Soviet Russia and Indian Communism

Soviet Russia and Indian Communism

1917-1947 With an Epilogue Covering the Situation Today

> by David N. Druhe, Ph.D.

MLSU - CENTRAL LIBRARY

BOOKMAN ASSOCIATES New York © Copyright 1959, by David N. Druhe, Ph.D

Library of Congress Catalog Card Number 59 8397

Manufactified in the united states of america by united printing services, inc new haven, conn

RAJASTHAN UNIVERSITY EXTENSION LIBRARY UDAIPUR



Communist Intrigue in India

Underground Communism in India

Soviet Intrigues on India's Frontiers The "Imperialist War' Becomes a

Indian Communism on the Eve of Independence

Introduction	
Chapter I	Early Soviet Designs on India
Chapter II	Moscow Launches the Indian

The United Front

"People's War"

Chapter III

Chapter IV

Chapter V

Chapter VI

Chapter VII

Chapter VIII

Bibliography

Epilogue

Notes

Index

Table of Contents

14

46

68

105

141

199

243

284

304

372

300

Introduction

The history of Russo-Indian relations may be divided into three basic periods. The first of these basic periods deals with the relations between Carist Russia and India and may in turn be sub-divided into two sub-periods namely the relations between Russia and India of the pre Mogul and Mogul periods, and between Carist Russia and the British masters of India after the middle of the eighteenth century. The second of these periods treats on Soviet policies in regard to India from the Bolshevik Revolution of November, (so-called October) 1917 to August, 1917 when the peoples of India were liberated from foreign rule and came to live under the free Indian Union and free Pakistan. The third period is concerned with Soviet relations with India and Pakistan to the present day. This work endeavors to consider the second basic period in some detail.

As regards the first basic period, it may be noted that Russiandian relations in the cultural sense had their beginnings in the time of the purely unofficial mission of Athanasius Nikitin a merchain of Tive (kalinin) to India between the years 1466 and 1475 Nikitin preserved a record of his journey to the subcontinent in his journal which is known as "A Journey be yould the Three Seas" In this journal Nikitin rendered an interesting account of the mores and manners of India in the epoch prior to the Mogul period

It was under the auspices of the Mogul Empire that the first effort to establish political relations between India and Rusia was initiated in September, 1552 the Government of Muscovy was astonished by the arrival of an Indian Tausein Horya by name the envoy of Jabur, the Irit Grand Mogul of India Tausein Horya bore a letter of recommendation from

Introduction

The history of Russo-Indian relations may be divided into three basic periods. The first of these basic periods deals with the relations between Carist Russia and India and may in turn be sub-divided into two sub-periods namely the relations between Russia and India of the pre-Mogul and Mogul periods and between Carist Russia and the British masters of India after the middle of the eighteenth century. The second of these periods treats on Soviet policies in regard to India from the Bolshevik Revolution of November (so-called October) 1917 to August, 1947 when the peoples of India were liberated from foreign rule and came to live under the free Indian Union and firee Pakistan. The third period is concerned with Soviet relations with India and Pakistan to the present day. This work endeavors to consider the second basic period in some detail.

As regards the first basic period it may be noted that Russindian relations in the cultural sense had their beginnings in the time of the purely unofficial mission of Athanasius Niktin a merchant of Tive (halium) to India between the years 1466 and 1475 Niktin preserved a record of his journey to the subcontinent in his journal which is known as 'V Journey be yould the Three Seas In this journal Niktin rendered an interesting account of the mores and manners of India in the epoch prior to the Mogul period.

It was under the auspices of the Mogul Empire that the first elfort to establish political relations between India and Russia was initiated In September 1522 the Government of Muscovy was astonished by the atrival of an Indian Tausein Horya by name the envoy of Babur the first Grand Mogul of India Tausein Horya bore a letter of recommendation from

the Mogul in which the latter expressed the desure to live in friendship and fraternity" with the ruler of Muscovy The latter also proposed a trade agreement between Muscovy and the Mogul Empire However, the Muscovite officials evinced no interest whatever in political and economic relations with so distant a land as India Besides, Babur had died even before his emissary had arrived in Moscow and the formers successors evidenced no desire in establishing political relations with a land to far to the profibest of Hunduiste

16

land so lar to the northwest of Filmunian.

In the seventeenth century Cara Alexis Michaelovich Romanov determined on opening political and economic relation ship between Russia and the Mogul Empire He sent out no fewer than four diplomatic missions to that Empire, all with out fruition. These missions, however, resulted in the exploration of much of the intervening territory between European Russia and India in the area of Central Asia which was to prove valuable to the Russians in their future policy in respect to that region

In 1694 during the reign of Peter the Great, under a mer chant named Simon Malenki, a new mission was sent forth to inaugurate the desired political and economic relations Travel ing through Persia the Russian mission arrived at the Mogul, april Dello in December, 1696 The Great Mogul, Aurangeb, treated the Muscovite mission with courtey, but at the same time refused to make any arrangements for the definite establishment of Russo-Indian political or economic relations. The mission attempted to return to Russia by way of Persia on two ships, loaded with Indian goods, but disaster struck in the form of Arab prates who attacked these vessels and seized the goods. While returning to Moscow, the empty handed and chaprined Malenki deal and only one member of his mission to India, who had held only a subordinate capacity in it, arrived in Moscow.

During the latter part of his reign, C221 Peter boldly de termined to conquer the lands between Russia and India, just as his predecessors had won Siberia a century before But his two expeditions to Central Asia ended in disaster and those

INTRODUCTION 11

parts of Northern Persia which he had seized were lost by his successors.

In 1791 a certain Frenchman, M de St Genie, drew up a plan for the consideration of Empress Catherine the Great which involved an invasion of India This plan was not carried out, but her son and successor, Paul, the so-called "mad Czar," ardently espoused the idea of a Russian invasion of India He proposed to Napoleon in 1800 a scheme for a Franco-Russian invasion of India Upon Napoleon's refusal to collaborate in the proposed Quixotic enterprise, Carr Paul determined to go it alone. In January, 1801 he ordered the chief of the Don Cossacks, Orloy Denisov, to invade India Orloy Denisov obeyed his Czar's instructions. In the depth of winter he man aged somehow to assemble a force of 22 000 Cossack cavalry men and two companies of horse artillery. His hastily organized and bewildered force of Cossacks set forth for the conquest of India from the Don River Valley on March 12 1801 In spite of great privations they had reached a point north of Lake Aral some 450 miles from the Don by April, when the news was received that the mad Czar' had been assassinated the previous March 23rd The mad adventure was abandoned and the Cossacks straggled back to the Volga

Several years later in 1808, at the meeting of Emperors, Napoleon and Alexander at Tilsit, the question of a joint Franco-Russian march on India was considered However, when the two Emperors fell out shortly thereafter the idea was naturally abandoned

During the course of the Crimean War (1854-1856) three Russian Generals Chickacher, Dulamel and Khrulev proposed that Russian Geres should attack India through Persia and the Afghan principalities of Herat and Kabul The defeat of Russia brought an end to these military speculations and Russia did nothing to encourage the leaders of the Indian Mutury' or 'War of Indian Independence' in 1857 However, the Russian conquests of the native states of Central Asia, Bukhara in 1863 and Nokand in 1875 gas the impression to cer tain apprehensive Britons that Russia was preparing a marth on India

On April 25 1878 when war between Britain and Russia appeared inevitable as a result of the Russo-Turkish conflict on order of Emperor Alexander II three columns in Turkestan were actually alerted to undertake a march to India However, the Treaty of Berlin June 13 1878 ended what would have at best, been an extremely audacious military enterprise

12

The consolidation of Russian power in Central Aria between 1879 and 1895 and the construction of strategic railways connecting this area with European Russia evoked fear in some English quarters for India's safety but these apprehensions were dissipated by Russias a evokent weakness in her war with Japan in the years 1904 1905. The result was the Agreement of 1907 by which Russia and Britain stabilized and recognized each others rights in India's northern and western borderlands. The First World War also reflected the more favorable relia.

tions between Britain and Czariut Russia in that the Russian consulgeneral in Calcutta was permitted to enjoy in New Delhi the same quasi ambassadorial status as other Allied envoys moreover Russian warships cooperated with those of Britain in the Indian Ocean When Russia fell to the Communists in November 1917 an abrupt change took place in the relations between Russia and India under British rule and it is the story of this change which the writer will relate in some detail

story of this change which the writer will relate in some detail.

As to Russian designs on India in the Carist era it may be said that save for the mad." Emperor Paul and for a portion of the reigns of Empress Catherine the Great and Emperors Alex ander 1 and Alexander II Russia had no design of invading India either to liberate the Indians or to substitute Russian for British rule in the Peninsula. The Russian conquests in Central Asia rather represented a fairly logical rounding out of the Carist Empire in Asia at the expense of poorly organized states and turbulent tribesinen. These conquests also served to augment Russian bargaining power in respect to Britain as a consequence of the potential threat to India from the new Muscovite positions.

Muscovite positions

But so far as the Soviets were concerned, as the succeeding pages
will show it was an entirely different matter or rather there
was a return to the thinking of Crats Paul and Alexander I

INTRODUCTION As applied to India, the plan of the world revolution meant

ment in the sub-continent

nothing more nor less than the substitution of the British Raj by a disguised Russian Raj ruled nominally by the Indian agents of the Soviet Union operating as a radical Indian or ganization the Communist Party of India The Russian line and that of the Communists in India often changed between November 1917 and August, 1947, as regards India, but those changes only reflected Russia's interests and not those of India

13

An entirely new scope in Indo-Russian relations was afforded by the liberation of the peoples of the Indian Peninsula from the British Rai into the nations of the Indian Union and Pakistan The relations of free India and Pakistan with Soviet Russia as well as the activities of the Communist Party of India (C.P.I.) and the Communist Party of Pakistan (C.P.P.) cannot be dealt with in detail in this work in that to do so would hinder an adequate presentation of the relations of the Soviet Union with India when the latter was yet a colony, as well as

with the foundation and development of the Communist move-

Early Soviet Designs on India

One week before the revolution which overthrew the ill fated Provisional Government of Alexander Actensky, the Russian Bolshewiks were maintesing an interest in India and the East On October 31, 1917, a Communist agency known as the Teague for the Liberation of the East" called for the overthrow of "Nestern Imperialism in the East." And, shortly afterwards on November 24, 1917, the newly installed Council of Peoples to Commissian called upon the Indians and the people of the Middle East to "Shake off the tyrampy of those who for a hundred years have plundered your land."

Several month later, in the late spring of 1918, the Bolshevik government published a so-called "Blue Book" on relations beween Carast Russi and India. This "Blue Book," chied by k. M Troyanovsky, was a "Collection of Secret Documents Taken from the Archives of the Russian Ministry of Foreign Affairs." Of Isgnificance to us there is the introduction by Troyanovsky who expounded the first Soviet viewpoint as regards India.

Troyanovsky devoted a considerable amount of attention to the product famines which have best the people of India and blamed these catastrophes upon "the evil exploitative will of their mighty masters—the English Imperialists who for more than a century have drunk the blood of this unhappy county." At the same time he eagerly charged that the British ruled the Indians by means of rublies "material strength" in the form of their army and police and that they denived the natives

of the subcontinent of even the smallest share in the govern ment of their own country Besides the British have been truly cruel, held this early Sovice expert on India, in that they have monopolized the Indian market and thereby prevented the development of native Indian industries 4 Furthermore, the British have added insult to injury by forcing the Indians to pay for the support of 100 000 English bureauerats annually about 14 million pounds sterling. 5

In view of the ruin which the British have brought about to the "richest country of the world," its inhabitants were held to be yearning for liberation from 'the hated yoke of the Eng lish and so a liberation movement on the part of the people of India threatens the oppressive tyranny But, argued Troyanov sky, Great Britain is determined to hang on to the land which is 'the very basis of her existence as an imperalist power,' aland which he is able 'to milk as one milks a cow' Hence England has refused to grant even a modicum of autonomy to the Indians and has even brought about the World War to save her imperial holdings, specifically to check the German threat to India by way of the Turkish province of Mesopotamia'

Troyanovsky then went on to maintain that India in rebellion would become a "natural ally" for Russia in her own struggle to avoid becoming a "colony of Western Europe." And this alliance would also be one which would aid and abet the cause of Boishevann in India for

our revolutionary path in the not distant future will bring forth jor, not only on the plane of the stringgle for national liberation from foreign domination, but also for the broader principle of the class struggle and for the Socialist order.

At about the same that Troyanovsky was propounding this propaganda, the Moscow wireless telegraphy station in May, 1918, broadcast the report of an appeal for help said to have been received from a so-called 'Indian delegation' During that same month, it was announced that Communist propagands would be disseminated in India?

Some months later, in March, 1919, the First Congress of the Communist (or Third) International took place India was referred to in this conclave in Moscow The line taken at the Congress was that the World War had been waged with the aid of the colonial peoples, of which the Indians were the first mentioned whose reward would merely be to remain the "slaves" of the colonial powers The Congress also held that 'as to India, revolutionary ferment has not been absent there for a single day. The British Government took action with armoured cars in Bombay's

The views expressed by Troyanovsky and the First Congress of the Communist International had actually been antedated by those of Marx Engels and Lenin before the First World War while the Czars yet ruled In their famous 'Manifesto of the Communist Party" drawn up in January, 1848 Marx and Engels took note of India in the following words

The East Indian and Chinese markets, the colonisation of America trade with the colonies the increase in the means of exchange and in commodities generally, gave to commerce to navigation to industry an impulse never before known, and thereby, to their evolutionary element in the tottering feudal society, a rapid development 10

Thus the markets of India facilitated the replacement of the feudal order by the capitalist order Realizing this Karl Marx devoted a considerable measure of attention to the sub-continent in his writings

Marx charged that the British had shattered the traditional Indian agricultural and village economy, by downright looting during the course of the eighteenth century and the attendant transporting of the plunder from India to England, by the neglect by the British of vital Indian irrigation and public works projects, by the introduction of the English system of large private landholdings and by prohibitory duties on the imports of Indian manufactures first into England and later into the continent of Europe 11 Somewhat later, in the first half of the nineteenth century, argued Marx, Indian economy was altogether smashed by the entrance into India of cheap British machine-produced goods which ruined the native artisms. At the same time England secured a monopoly for her own manufacturing interests and the exclusion of Indian manufactures from their own marker 12

The British policy of destroying the economic basis of India has been supplemented by political tyranny contended Marx for the oppressed Indians are compelled to pay a tribute of live million pounds for a so-called good government, which is not in their interest but rather in that of dividend searching British capital ¹³ As for the semi-automomous Indian native states Marx tartly remarked they were mere vassals of the British government. ¹⁴

However it should be noted that Marx did assert that British policy in India was in itself a "tool of history" which made for the "regeneration" of India in that it provided for political unity a native army a free property the formation of an educated Indian class regular and rapid communication with Europe by steamship and within India by railway which factors would make for an Indian capitalism which would be a stage on the road from feudalism to socialism 18 However. Marx was quick to add that the Indians could not "reap the Iruit of the new elements of society until either the British proletariat had overthrown their capitalist masters or the Indians themselves had been successful in throwing off the English yoke in its entirety 18 And Marx was optimistic that this would occur for he expressed the view that Britans "Bloodsucking" policy in India would lead to a serious complication if not a general insurrection."

In a letter written in 1882 to Karl Kautsky a noted German Socialist Friedrich Engels predicted that colonies occupied by persons of European blood such as Canada and Austrialia would all become "independent" but that India and other colonial countries inhabited by natine peoples must be "taken over by the proletariat of these countries in order that independence within them might be achieved. On the same occasion Engels confidently predicted a "probable" revolution in India against British rule there. It would be a destructive one but averred

Engels lightly, "that sort of thing is inseparable from all revolutions "18

18

Prior to the Bolshevik revolution Vladimir Hystch Lenu also displayed interest in India When in the year 1908, some of the cotton mill operatives in that land struck in protest against the incarceration of the Indian patriot, B G Tilak, the future master of Russia was prompted to remark that

also in India the proletarist has sufficiently matured to carry on an acknowledged mass political struggle—and once this is so the swan-song of the English order in India will have been sung ¹⁸

Lenu also held that next to Carata Russas, mixery was great et in English India among other nations of the East '70 'In the spring of 1919 there were two avenues open to the Soviets in the furtherance of their designs on India The first was to utilize the strife between British India and Afghamitan, the strategic buffer state between Russian Turkestan and India The second was to utilize the services of Indian revolutionaries both within and without the peninsula.

The sudden unprovoked attack of the Afgham upon British India on May 5, 1919 greatly encouraged the Bolsheviks Prior to February 20th, 1919, Afghamistan had been ruled by Emir Habbullah a ruler who had always been friendly to the British masters of India But subsequent to the assassmation of the latter Emir upon that date, a ruler of an entirely different character appeared on the Afghan scene—after a scuffer for power—named Amanuilah Motivated by the disturbanes then going on within India as sell as a belief that the would remove dissaffection towards his rule by means of a foreign war. Amanuilah entered upon the dissatrously daring step of his attack on India Having launched this statek, the foolhardly Emir determined to effect a firm multiary alliance with Russia, and so he telegraphed Lenin a proposal that Sowiet Russia and Afghanistan should intitate diplomatur relations a proposal to which Lenin readily assented ²¹

To further his policy, Amanullah dispatched missions to

Moscow The first of these missions arrived in the Soviet capital on May 9, 1919, according to the official Soviet wireless telegraph station Early in June 1919, a larger mission under General Mohammed Wali Khan entered the Communist strong hold and capital of Turkestan, Tashkent, which mission was most enthusiastically received by the local Soviet authorities The Afghan general asked the Red Governor-General of Turkestan for help in arms and men for the war against British India, especially in respect to artillery The Communists offered sympathy, but no arms, for they were hard presed in the spring of 1919 by various White Armies and anti-Russian Central Asian Muslim elements and their position was, then, in Turkestan precarious, to say the least However, the Soviets and the Afghans agreed that a Russian agent from Tashkent should be accredited to the Enur at Kabul 29

On June 14th after a ten days' sojourn at Tashkent, the mission of General Mohammed Wali Khan left for Moscow, but was delayed by the Russian civil war, and was only able to proceed to Moscow after the Bolsheviks had opened the railroad line from Tashkent to Orenburg and Moscow How ever, the mission succeeded in establishing formal diplomatic relations between Soviet Russia and Afghanistan so that the latter country received the doubtful distinction of being the lists country to recognize the Communist regime in Moscow, and in turn. Russia was the first European country, outside of Great Britain to recognize the full independence and sover eignty of Afghanistan's Besides, the Soviet Afghan relation ship permitted the Communists to carry on propaganda in respect to India on the very borders of the sub-continent. (On June 14, 1919, the day the Afghan mission undense.

On June 14, 1919, the day the Afghan mission under General Mohammed Wali khan had set out for Moscow, a Soviet mission under a man named Braiun proceeded to Afghan stan. This mission which brought with it a quantity of munitions including artillery thells had a reception in Kabul' the Afghan capital, which Bravin described as "pompous but with out interest." That Soviet war material was really used during the Third Anglo-Afghan war is claimed by a British source. The supposed fact that they tent arms to the Afghans indi-

cates their interest in having the British ousted from the subcontinent. Indirectly substantiating the British contention, Soviet Origini of Propaganda, especially those in Central Asia, extolled the Afghan cause, excornated that of the British, claimed vast non-existent victories for the Afghan armies and went into considerable detail about a great revolution against British rule which was supposed to be sweeping across the sub-continent 27 In Moscow, Stalin in his role as Commissar of Nationalities hailed the war as a "revolutionary struggle," even though it was being conducted under the auspince of an hereditary monarch 28

20

The war was, of course, an entirely uneven struggle owing to the vast technical supremacy of the British, particularly in avation, their use of which utterly terrified the Afghans Consequently the Afghans were content to agree to a virtual armistice at the end of June and to conclude a peace treaty with the British at Rawalpindi in the North West Fronuer Province on July 26 1919, by which the latter suffered the Afghans to retain their independence and territorial integrity and indirect ly permitted the Emir's government to establish diplomatic relations with governments other than the Anglo-Indian, a right previously denied to the Afghans is

The conclusion of the Anglo-Afghan conflict did not deter the Soviets from endeavoring to carry on a policy of dissemi

nating revolutionary propaganda into India For this purpose Lenni utilized Indian revolutionarie Emigre Indian revolutionary Emigre Indian revolutionary centers had been established since the turn of the century in London Paris, Sai Francisco and Berlin, in Which Litter city a so-called "Provisional Government of India Jhad been set up by an 'Indian Revolutionary Committee during the years of the First World Wax," These various emigre centers endeavored, in spite of rigorous British opposition, to maintain contact with revolutionary societies within India, notably in Bengal and the Punjab. "I One of the 3gents of the "Provisional Government of India" was a certain emigre Indian revolution, ary named Maltendra Prater, a landowner from Uttar Pradesh (then known as the United Provinces). He was sent by his German superiors in 1916 on a mission to Afghanistan to induce that country to join the Central Powers but under British and

Czarist pressure, the Afghans forced him to flee into Chinese Turkestan 32

With the defection of Russia from the Allied side, it became possible for Mahendra Pratep to return to Berlin and report to his Indian colleagues and Teutonic superiors. On his way to Germany, however, Mahendra Pratep conceived the idea that the new Russian regime inglit be interested in the liberation of India so he had an interview with Leon Trosky, the Commissar of War. 3 Apparently, the wireless telegraph message of the Bolsheviks of May, 1918, about an Indian delegation in Moscow referred to Mahendra Prateps visit. The latter apparently found the Sowies less attractive patrons than the Germans, for he returned to the Reich and rejoined his com rades in Rerbin until the end of the war.

With the defeat of Germany, Mahendra Pratep had only one course open if he were to receive foreign support for an insur rection in India He had to go to the Soviets Hence, once again, the Indian revolutionary proceeded to Moscow early in 1919 and there he engaged in several conversations with Lenin The Indian candidly informed the Red leader that he was not a Communist, but rather a believer in the "Religion of Love," and presented Lenin a tract on this thesis Lenin was obviously digusted with the views of this seemingly eccentric revolu-tionary, but he nonetheless recognized Mahendra Pratep as one who was fervently anti-British and as such one who might prive a willing sevant of Russian designs if not of Communism per se, as an ideology Therefore, Lenin dispatched him to the border of India Howere, not pleased at serving those peculiar godless Bolsheviks Mahendra Pratep on his own responsibility, proceeded to the khanate of Bukhara which had thrown off its former vassal status to Russia as a result of the revolutions of 1917 The Indian hoped to interest the Khan of that land in the cause of Indian independence, but in this he was un in the cause of motion independence, out in this fee was successful Consequently, making the best of a bad lot Mahen dra Pratep returned service with the Bolsheviks** He was joined by a certain Baraktullah, another agent of the Indian "Provisional Government" in Berlin who by his own assertion

had undertaken an unsuccessful mission to Japan to win support there for the Indian revolutionary cause 25

Late in 1919, accompanied by a small group of Red Army soldiers, Mahendra Pratep and Baraktullah traveled to Kabul where they joined the Soviet envoy, Bravin The Indian revolunonaries were well received by Emr Amanullah and with his permission they founded a "Provisional Government of the People of India" at Kabul to replace the one in Berlin which had been dissolved upon Germany's defeat. Mahendra Pratep held the post of President and Baraktullah that of Foreign Minister in this shadow 'government' 36 At about the same time, in November, 1919, the Soviets backed up their newly sponsored Indian "government at kabul with a show of force In Novem ber, 1919. General Sokolnikov, the commander of the Red Army of Turkestan, ordered his forces to take up positions in the Pamirs area where the boundaries of Russia and India virtually touched, being separated by only a thin wisp of Afghan territory Through the Krasnava Gazeta," a then influential Communist paper, Moscow declared this move was designed "to bring the British Government to its senses."37 Early in 1920 the Russians replaced Bravin as their chief

envoy to Afghanustan, by a man named Suriz, Bravn remaining at the latter's assistant. However, the effort of the Sowiets to disseminate propaganda into India from Afghanistan received a jolt when early in that year dissension broke out in the ranks of the Indian revolutionaries. At that time, a certain Archarya-who had been one of the Indians sent by the Berlin "Pro-sional Gowernment of India's to carry on propaganda among the Indians, captured by German Turkish forces on the Ves opotamian front, entered the picture After the war, he had slipped into India. Subsequently, accompanied by an old You Im Indian trader named Abdur Rab, Acharya repaired to kabul Acharya thereupon proclaimed himself a Communist and immediately ingratisted himself with the Sowiet embassy, and indired it to stop supporting Mahendra Pratep and Barak ullah, with whom Acharya had fallen out almost at once Suritz backed the professed Bolshewk as against the "Provisional Gowernment" leaders who were no Compunious? The result of

all this was that the plans of the Bolsheviks for carrying on propaganda within India and ultimately Red revolution there were thrown into utmost confusion 38. The Soviets needed above all an Indian revolutionary who would also be a Communist by conviction and not merely one by expediency as appeared to be the case with Acharya. Such a man appeared in Moscow in January 1970 He called himself M. N. Roj.

Manabendra Nath Roy ne Manabendra Nath Bhattacharp was born in Bengal in February 1893 of the Brahmin caste with his early youth he was connected with the Bengal terrorist movement and upon the outbreak of the First World War he became an agent of the "Berlin Committee and in that capacity carried on anti British activities throughout the Far East. In 1916 he arrived in San Francisco where he became allihated with his Indian emigie revolutionary center there known as the Chadr party 40 On the campus of nearby Leland Stanford University Roy met and married an American girl Evelyn Trent who had socialist sympathies and played a role in Roys con version to Communism 41 She subsequently accompanied her husband in all of his travel.

Roy then proceeded to New York City where his socialist conand his contact with the American radical Jay Lovestone Early in 1917 Roy was arrested on the campus of Columbia University but jumping ball and armed with a letter of introduction from President David Starr Jordan of Stanford University to General Alvarado, the Governor of Yucasan the Bengali fled to Mexico In the land of the ancient Artesi Roy got in touch with the resolutionary leaders Obregón and Carranaz and the latter then President of Mexico gave Roy protection vithin that country and refused the request of the British legation there to have him handed over for extradation ⁵²

Having now forsaken the cause of the Berlin Committee Roy plunged into the thick of the Mexican socialist movement and was one of the founders of the Mexican Socialist Party in 1918. By the summer of 1919 the Brahmin revolutionary was the General Secretary of the Mexican Socialist Party and the head of it e inciprent Mexican Communist movement. At that time

there arrived in Mexico a personage almost as interesting as Roy himself, Michael Borodin a Russian Jew who had resided in the United States and who was also known as Branitwein and Gruzen berg. Borodin s task was to found a Communist Party in Mexico a land deemed fertile ground for the dissemination of Boshevik propaganda by Moscow While they were forming the Mexican Communist Party Roy expressed the desire to Borodin with whom he had struck a firm firendship to work for the cause of Communism in his native land Specifically. Roy offered his services for the furthering of Soviet Russias aims in the great Indian sub-continent Borodin agreed that although Roy swort in Mexico had been effective it would be well if the latter should go to Russia and work for the Indian revolution after a successor to Roy had been trained to carry on as the leader of the Communist Party of Mexico Hence Roy left Mexico in Novem ber 1919 and arrived two months latter in Russia having successfully cluded British agents who had sought to apprehend him 54.

04

As a result of his being the leading organizer of the first Communist party in Latin America and one of the first outside Russia. Roy had interviews with the leading figures of Bol shevism in the early months of 1920. He was treated with considerable respect by Chicherin the Commissar for Foreign Affairs and this deputy. Karakhan the Assistant Commissar by Madame Angelica Balabanova the mattrarch of Bolshevism and the first General Secretary of the Communist International and by Lenin himself. They were impressed by the audacious approach of the young Indian in his zeal for revolution in the sub-continent. Lenin was struck and perhaps astonished by Roy's criticism of the then Communist line in regard to the peoples of the East.

Lenn maintained the view that Communist Russia, should support the national liberation movements in Assan countries including India regardless of their ideological bases. He held that since according to Marxism every stage of social revolution is historically determined colonial countries like India should have their own bourgeois democratic revolution before the stage of profestrain revolution could be entered upon It was the duty of Communists to help the colonial liberation movement under

the leadership of the national bourgeoine regarding the latter as an objectively revolutionary power. As an example of this line of reasoning Lenin held that the Pan Islamic movement, certainly a non Bolshevik movement, was revolutionary and thus mented the support of the world Communist movement, the success of Mustala Kemal Pasha in Turkey being alluded to as one of ultimate benefit to Bolshevism.

Roy boldly disagreed He pointed out to Lenin that even in the most advanced Colonial countries, such as India: the bour geonie was not economically advanced not socially differentiated from the ancient feudal orders in the Eastern countries. Hence a revolution by the bourgeoiste in the East, including India, would not at all mean a bourgeoiste democratic revolution Hence Roy characterized the rising Candhian movement in India a Purely Teactionary and argued that Gandhi was but a "religious and cultural revivalist" in contrast to Lenin who deemed him a "revolutionary" Roy took the view that the Indian revolution must originate from the workers and peasants on the Russian model and cited the judgment of Plekhanov on the Russian model and cited the judgment of Plekhanov on the Russian model and cited the judgment of Plekhanov on the Russian model and cited the judgment of Plekhanov on the Russian model and cited the facility of the Populist and Social Revolutionary movements to prove his points Lenin's actitude was "very kind and tolerant" to the "Indian topatrit," but as a matter of fact, the attitude of the Bolsheviks towards the East was plastic and uncertain early in 1920 it had not yet crystallized into a rigid "party line" "1911. Lenin's emphasis on "national revolution" was satisfactory to

such non Botheviks as Mahendra Pratep and Baraktuliah and it was this line which poured forth from the "Provisional Govern ment of the People of India" in Afghanistan and from the Bol sheviks in Turkestan This propaganda stressed the excessive wealth of the British in India, the famines they supposedly engendered the tyranny of British rule, the absolute lack of cwil rights including restrictions on freedom of worship for fluidus and Muslima slake the arbitrary imprisoment of thousands of Indians including Sir Rabindranath Tagore, the Toistoy of India." the forbidding of Indians to ride in tramears in the towns and their being allowed to ride only on cauthe-cars on the trains Indian history was also oddly interpreted by this propaganda. Thus it was held that the

26 Sepoys of 1857 had formed soviets in precisely the same way as had the Russian Bolsheviks of 1917 Economic grievances were also mentioned-wretched wages were duly noted but here again the emphasis was on the colonial exploiters and not on alleged wicked deeds of the native Indian bourgeouse 4 Moreover Barak tullah as a Muslim put a deeded pan Islamic slant into his propaganda which thereby simply represented a continuation of efforts along the same line that had earlier been made under German aegis 47 The British it may be noted were somewhat worried by this propaganda and Colonel Etherton the British consul at Kashgar Chinese Turkestan went to great pains to try and offset it by issuing a proclamation of the Sheikh ul Islam the leading cleric of Islam next to the Caliph in which the former rigorously attacked Bolshevism and all its works 48

(The Second Congress of the Communist International held between July 19 and August 7 1920 in Moscow was the arena for a continuation of the friendly debate between Roy and Lenin on the subject of what the Communist propaganda line should be as regards India and other countries of the East. In his address before the delegates Roy took the view that the Communist International should exclusively assist the formation and development of the Communist movement of India and that the Communist Party of India when once formed should be devoted exclusively to the "organisation of the broad popular masses" and for the class struggle Roy held that world capitalism and especially European capitalism was securing its main re sources and income from the colonies hence in order to destroy European capitalism there must be a Communist revolution per se in the East As for the national liberation movement Roy argued that the popular masses living in a state of usmost desti tution are not interested in the nationalist movement but only in questions of a socio-economic character. This was all the more reason why the masses should be indoctrinated exclusively in Communist principles Roy admitted that the industrial prole-tariat in India was weak but pointed out the vast size of the

Indian agricultural proletariat-the depressed peasanity. He felt that from these elements a "strong Communist party could be formed 48 Roy delivered his address in English and claimed that he was applauded by the few delegates to the Congress who could understand that tongue 50

Lenn disputed Roy's position! He held that since the Russian Bolshevik had supported the 'liberal liberation movement' when had opposed the Crar, so he Indian Communits should, by analogy, support the bourgeos-liberation movement without actually merging with th'Lenin also disputed Roy's view that the "destiny of the West" would be dependent upon the strength of the Revolution in the countries of the East Supporting the then leader of world Communism "Comrade Welch," a leading British Communist, added that Roy's thesis was defective in that the British government could easily 'handle the purely Communistic propaganda in the colonies" and could also "suppress the Communist movement there," but it would be harder out." To defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in a colony "10 for 11" to defeat the national liberation movement in

The second Congress produced two notable results! In the first place, a special bureau of the Communus International was set up, the "Central Assatis Bureau" which was designed to further the dissemination of Communism, particularly in India, and in the borderlands of the sub-continent, Afghanistan, Persia, and Chinese Turkestan as well as in Russian Turkestan itself. This bureau was composed of M. N. Roy, who was not in any way tensored for his heterodoxy, General Sokolnikov, the Commander in Chief of the Red Army in Central Asia, and Charman of the Turkestan Commission of the Central Soviet Government, and a man named Safarov, known to be a "close follower" of Zinoviev who had recently become President of the Communist International This new bureau, called the "Turk Bureau" was to act in close collaboration with the Turkestan Commission of the Soviet government.

2-The other important decision resulting from the Second Congress of the Comintern, affecting India, was the agreement to hold a conference composed of nations of the Near, Middle and Far East. The conference was to meet at the city of Baku in Arrebasjan which had been recently won by the Bolshevits from the whilom anti-Communist independent state of Arrebasjan. The conference was to assemble at the important petro-

leum port on the west shore of the Caspian on September 1, 1920 is

The Congress of the Peoples of the East represented no fewer than 37 countries The Indian delegation was a small one as contrasted to the delegation from other Eastern countries There were only 14 members representing India as compared to 235

28

contrasted to the delegation from other Eastern countries. There were only 14 members representing India as compared to 235 Turks 192 Persans and 157 Armenians present at the Congress The Indian delegates consisted mainly of deserters from the Anglo-Indian forces which were still occupying the Iranian province of Khorasan 18 They were mainly Pathans of Muslim fast (today such people are Pakstanis) who were not imbured with Bolthevism but only with the desire to support the Caliphate 18 They had apparently been influenced by the pan Islamic propaganda of the Communists which held that the aims of Communism and of pan Islami were one. The leader of the Indian delegation was a main named Abani Mukherji who was however, a professed Communist Roy refused to attend the Congress on the grounds that it could not in itself bring about the Bolthevik revolution in Asia and that called as it was on short notice it could be nothing more that a glorified mais meeting. The leaders and opening the Congress and planting the Congress on the gaders and opening one that a glorified mais meeting.

The leaders and organizers of the Congress naturally were non Asians the most important of whom were Zinoviev, the President of the Executive Council of the Comintern and Karl Radde, the Congress Security of the Comintern and Karl

Radek, the General Secretary of the Commern 'y

Due attention was paid to India in the Congress and one of
the full reports presented to it was that of Alaim Mukhery. The
latter in a pessimistic report indicated that the situation in
India from the revolutionary and Communit point of view was
almost the least promising of all the nations of the East Al
though there had been a nationalist movement in Hindian
since the eightes of the past century it had been confined to
the middle classes and students and had found little approval
amongst the Indian masses. Mukhery, asserted that the land
question had played a great role in India and had been charac
terried by the existence of huge landed esistes land hunger
among the masses of the people and an unduly high export of
foodifulfs from India in proportion to the population of that

country Consequently famines were all too frequent in India Delegate Mukherji also observed that the industrial proletariat in India was very small numerically and was poorly organized He admitted that, as of 1920 there was no Communist party in India although there existed a movement for the creation of one However remarked Mukherji, this potential Commun st. one However remarked Muknery, this potential community amovement had made every effort to be soluted from the movement for national independence looking upon the nationalism movement as one of bourgeon character. In this respect the Communist "leader," of India had maintained a marked difference from and even opposition to the general policies of the Communist International in the East. Hence this attitude had weakened the position of the Comintern towards India,88 Here Mukherji was evidently criticizing Roy For at this time Mukher ji was jealous of the position of Roy and desired to supplant him as leader of Indian Communism Mukherji then held that the progress of Communism in India would be affected although not directly by events taking place in China This progress would however be even more affected by the establishment of a center of propagands in Afghanistan in addition to the effect of Sovee propagands from and Russian diplomatic relations with the Afghan state Mukhery remarked darkly that a third weapon could be added to those just mentioned—the establishment there of armed forces which could be controlled and commanded directly from Moscow 59

The principal speeches of the Congress were made by Zinovice and Radek Zinovice held that the example of Russia should be followed by revolutions throughout the entire East 80 while Radek advocated a line of internal Communization in eastern countries coupled with a violent Anglophobia. The latter ut tered the phrase that there can be no permanent peace between the countries of labor and the countries of exploitation that is between Communist and non-Communist countries non European as well as European. Indeed, Radek boldly deemed it the task of Communist to "Create a new culture under the banner of Communism". Besides assuring the workers and peasants of India and other Eastern lands of Russian support even to the extent of furnishing them with arms, Radek evoked

the tradition of the Huns of Attila and the Tartars of Genghis Khan and Tamerlane in calling for an end to the patient pacifism which had seemingly characterized the peoples of the East. The latter must strike as "a new wave of barbarism" ⁴⁸ As a matter of fact, this emphasis on the need for Communism in the East altenated not a few non Communist delegates who were hostile to European, and especially British imperalism but were social and religious conservatives. However, the emphasis on the necessity for Bolshevizing the East was in line with the hopes and designs of M N Roy.

80

The Bengali Bolshevik now concocted a plan to bring a Russian army into Afghanistan which with the connivance of Emir Amanullah-a connivance which Roy expected-would the support of tribes of the northwestern Indian frontier who would be inflamed by Communist propaganda and who would in addition supply mercenary support" to the Communist army this "army of liberation" would then march into India. The force would occupy some Indian territory and set up a Community government on Indian soil as soon as possible It should immediately issue manifestoes calling upon the people of India to launch a great revolution and would outline a program of social reform which would be in conformity with the destruction of British rule in the sub-continent. At the same tune "the entire adult population of the liberated territory would be armed, thereby augmenting the size of the Red forces would be armed, increby augmenting the size or the facts across the facts and the facts are and the facts are and the facts and Communist forces to advance well into India As it advanced it was believed that "the masses would enthusiastically support the new regime" Roy was confident that Great Britain, weak ened by the First World War, would be unable to meet the combined onslaught of the invasion of the Communist army commined onstanger of the invasion of the Communist army and a popular uprising Roy also felt that the Indian bour geousie who would conceivably support the British would be brushed aside by the uprising of the masses. *2 Roy indicated that

the cooperation and support of Afghanistan was absolutely indispensable for this scheme to succeed, but since the Emir would conceivably like to average himself on the British who had so thoroughly trounced his army in 1919, there was reason to believe that he would permit the use of his country as the base for the Red invasion, if he did not actually join in the proposed invasion of India The scheme was a bold one and it was necessary to convince

the leaders of Soviet Russia that it was a wise one and one potentially productive of success Certain factors favored Roy the Was a member of the new, important Turkestan Bureau of the Communist International and in that capacity had been foremost in urging the successful occupation of the Khanate of Bukhara, which actually fell to the Bolsheviks by the middle of September, 1920 The success of the campaign against the khanate must have added to Roy's prestige This prestige was likewise enhanced when the Indian revolutionary revealed to Lenin and Chicherin the conversation he had had with the Turkish emigré, Enver Pasha, in which the latter had revealed his plans for the establishment of a great Central Asian empire at the Soviets' expense. This made the shock of Enver's eventual desertion of the Bolshevik cause not as disastrous to the Soviets as it might otherwise have been.63 Besides, it was believed that in addition to being an allegedly easy target for Communist in addition to being an allegedly casy target for Communia expansion, India was a very wealthy target. And further, it was at about that time that Lenin thought up his slogan that the road to London and Paris lay through Peking and Calcitate Roy's very audicatous plan was approved in the early autumn of 1920 by the Politburo of the Russian Communist Party and the Council of the People's Communiars Although he approved of the scheme Lenin observed that the contivance of

For a project depended, was a doubtful one Nonetheless, Len in approved the whole scheme because he felt it was in the interest of the world revolution *1

Roy himsell was placed at the head of the project, in which he was to be assisted by a new Russian envoy to Afghanistan, Fedor Raskolnikov, who would succeed Suritz at the Kabul

post. Raskolinkov, the natural son of a court noble whose name he bore had been a jumor officer who had supported the "October" Communist resolution During the Russian Civil War he had risen to the post of Commander of the Volga flotilla where he served the Red cause well Raskolinkov was charged to win over Emir Amanullah to permit the Soviet forces to enter into and organize their strength in Afghanistan and if possible to induce him to renew his war with the British in conjunction with the Red army At the same time even though his treachery to them was now known the Soviets permitted Enver Pasha to leave for Turkestan and Afghanistan It was felt that his prestige as one of the leaders of Turkey during the Yirst World War in the Muslam world might even facilitate the success of the projected invasion of India 40. Details for the project were worked out by the Revolutionary Military Council and shortly after the celebration of the Third

32

Anniversary of the "October" Revolution that is after Novem Anniversary of the October Actions in a single and the Property of the Weight of 20 tons of the weight of 20 tons of the weight of 20 tons. One of the trains carried arms exclusively—light artillery ma chine-guns rifles pistols grenades and adequate military supplies and stores as well as field equipment which consisted of a number of wireless transmitters and receivers. The other train consisted of freight cars loaded with dismantled airplanes and the complete outfit of an air force battalion the personnel of the latter as well as the staff of a projected military training school or academy in Russian Turkestan One "sal ion car was actached to this train for the personal use of Roy the com mander of this expedition. In addition two of the freight cars of this train were loaded with gold bullion pound and rupee of this train were loaded with gold bullion pound and rupce notes. Also accompanying the expedition were two companies of crack Red Army soldiers who were commanded by an American Communits a physical gainst identified only as 'Wobbly John' The trains were well guarded as precautions against Kirghiz tribesmen and possible White guerrillas These trains proceeding from Moscow by way of Orenburg (now Chikalov)

arrived at Tashkent in one week-according to schedule and without incident. The latter city in Turkestan was designated as the rear base for the projected incursion into India.⁵⁷ Before the expedition could proceed from Tashkent to India,

Before the expedition could proceed from Tashkeni to Indian, four conditions had to be met. First-a number of Indians would have to be trained as zealous Communists and good solders so that the invasion would look like a true Interation of India and not a conquest by Russia Secondly all of the territories of the Crars former domains in Central Asia must be placed under complete and absolute Sowiet rule. Thirdly, Chinese Turkestan and Iran flanking India to the north and west must be put under as much Soviet influence as possible—with their governing authorities amicably disposed to the proposed invasion and fourthly, Afghanistan must be won over as an ally in the invasion of India to the extent at least of having the Soviet army, which would be a motley affair, composed of Russans, Central Asians and Indians be aided by Afghan tribement if not by the regular Afghan army justif.

Roy immediately plunged into the work for the fulfullment of the first condition—the propagandizing and military training of Indians to serve as the nucleus of the projected Red invasion and subsequent government of the sub-continent. The propaganda school has not new As early as January 15 1920 when Roy was just arriving in Moscow the British War Office had indicated that it was aware that the Communists had opened a school for propaganda in Tashkent in which Oriental Ian guages were taught and agents were being trained to be sent into India as well as other countries **I However, the coming of Roy signified the intensification of the propaganda training Three groups of Indians were available for this training Indian traders in Central Asia deserters from the Indian armies

into India as well as other countries. However, the coming of Roy infinited the intensitication of the propagnidal training. Three groups of Indians were available for this training Indian traders in Central Asia deserters from the Indian armies tationed in the Ahorasan area of Northeastern Iran, and the "Mujahis" or Indian Muslim advocates of the Calip hate who sought to go to the assistance of the Caliph and Turkey against the forces of the British and the Greeks. They had heard that Russia was Inendily to their movement so that they had proceeded across Afghanistan into Turkesian. Where they were well received by the Russian authorities?

24 The traders who were few in number made poor material

for Communism but the soldiers who were more numerous possibly a few hundred strong and the Mujahirs whose num bers were calculated to be as high as 5 000 contained a con siderable number of men who seemed to possess a potential aputude for acquiring Communist principles. The men found in this category were lodged at the so-called. India House where they were indoctrinated in Anglophobia and elementary

Marxism It was among the students of "India House Tashkent that the Communist Party of India was first formed early in 1921 It was planned to send the graduates of the propa ganda school into India as agents to form the Communist party there These students were held subsequently by one of them to have been well treated at Tashkent and given a fair amount of freedom '71

Considerable emphasis was also placed upon the military training of the Indians for they would form the nucleus of the Indian portion of the army of the liberation of India Those Indians who were deserters from the Anglo-Indian Army were immediately put into Soviet service becoming members of a kind of international brigade since they were joined together with Russian Communists some Persian revolutionaries and probably some former prisoners of the Austrian Hungarian Army who had been converted to Communism The Indians served the Soviet cause well guarding the vital railroad from Krasnovodsk to Tashkent and they even engaged the British with some success along the Persian-Soviet frontier so that it is claimed that the British troops were forced to abandon their advanced positions and had to retire to their base in Meshed The Indian troops appear to have done well with machine-guns weapons which at that time they were not allowed to employ in the Anglo-Indian Army In fact they so pleased their Russian commanders that some of the Indians who were Pathans from the North West Frontier Province (now they would be classed as Pakistanis) were commissioned as officers of the Red Army posts which they could not have held in the Anglo-Indian

Army 75 To facilitate the military training of the Mujahirs as con trasted with the above-mentioned deserters from the Anglo-Indian army, a military school at Tashkent was founded with a flourish of inflammatory anti British speeches by local Red dignitaries. The military academy was staffed by officers of the Soviet Army in Turkestan. One of them was none other than "Wobbly John," the eritshile American radical. ³¹ The military school appeared to be effectively turning out cadres for the Indian portion of the international Community army for the liberation of India, as the year, 1921, progressed ³²

Two of the Mujahir cadets at the academy were to play an important role in the history of Communism in the Indian sub-continent. One of them named Fall Illan Qurban sub-sequently became one of the leaders of the Communist Party of Pakutan, while another, Shaukat Usmani became one of the founders of the Communist Party of India It may be noted that the latter mentioned that he had met Roy and described the latter as "sincere, frank and full of learning but un practical".

A boastful description of the activity of the Soviets among the Indians in Central Asia at this time was rendered by Commissar Eliawa of the Eastern Department of Propaganda at a Communist conference held in Bremen on December 26, 1920. He bragged that "John Bull knows this (activity) and already shows his teeth, powerless in the sight of the bridles we shall throw him in India 378.

The second preparatory move of the Russians prior to a potential thrust into India was the complete conquest of Central Aus for Communism. This conquest had been effectuated by the defeat of anti-Communist Muslim armies in the Fergana area as well as the troops of the khan of Bukhara in the closing months of 1920 Roy himself accompanied the expedition to Fergana which penetrated into the High Pamirs to within a few miles of India, separated by a thin step of Alghan etritory From a high peak on the Pamirs the Bengsli Bolihesiak was able to survey his homeland, but a few miles away through his field-glasses and to dream that soon the forces of Communium would surge into Hindustan.

The Communist authorities in Turkestan made no secret of

the significance of the conquest of the Pamir area to India On October 10, 1920 during the course of the Pamir campaign, General Sokolnikov, the Chief Commissar of Turkestan and Roy's colleague on the Committern's "Turk Bureau" issued the following inflammatory communique which held in part

On this tableland, you, the signalers of the Revolution must hoist the Red Flag of the Army of Laberation May the peoples of India who fight against their English op-pressors soon know that friendly help is not far off. 78

The third preparatory move of the Russians before the con templated invasion of India involved the subversion and gain ing of influence in the strategic areas of Chinese Turkestan, Iran and Afghanistan Moves to attain this end in these regions were duly carried on Indeed, as early as 1918 the Soviets at tempted to secure influence in Chinese Turkestan 19 Their prospects in this region were fairly promising in view of the extremely weak condition of China in the years following the First World War-years in which that ancient land was convulsed by internecine civil conflict. As a consequence of that conflict the local Chinese authorities in this large and strategic province of Sinkiang could expect little support from a central Chinese government. Indeed, the only resolute opposition the Soviets could expect in Chinese Turkestan appeared to ema nate from the British consul-general at Kashgar, Colonel P T Etherton

Bolshevik propects were even brighter in Persia than in Sinkaring Here again it was only the British, the occupiers of much of the country from 1918 to the latter part of 1920 who were the principal barrier to Soviet expansion in the land of the Shahs Indeed a Soviet government had been formed in Ghilan Province in Northern Iran as early as 1918 under a Communist peasant leader, Kuchik Khan Furthermore, an autonomous, although non Communist government, had been authonoloss, although non-communist government, had been established in 1919 in the province of Persian Azerbaijan, and, taking advantage of this circumstance, Soviet forces took possession of the city of Enzeli (now Pahlevi) in the vicinity of Aterbaijan In addition the Soviets had officially inaugurated relations with the Iraman government in November 1920 and were able to conclude a treaty with the latter government on February 26 1921 the provisions of which opened Iran to Bolshevik penetration 80 During this same month a new regime came into power in Persia headed by Riza Pahlevi and Sey yid Zia. The latter had been a resident of the Russian Cau casus area and the Arrenhin apparently expected that he would lend support to the Bolshevik ukase and the former was known to be an ant British Persian nationalist. In addition as an early manifestation of Communist fifth column tactics the Persian Communists had formed a "National Bloc which obtained lifteen seats in the Iranian Parliament and the Persian minons of Moscow had also secured a measure of control over the Persian Islori movement by the summer of 1920 s.

By the late spring of 1921 the Soviets felt that in view of Communist control of much of Northern Persia and the Communist fifth column in the remainder of the country they could seize control of all Persia So in June of that year Soviet troops were taking part with those of kuchik khan on a march to Tehran 182 Were this operation to effectuate in Soviet control of Iran India's strategic western borderland the projected move by Roy's army against India would be visitly facilitated

But the focal point of the possibility of an invasion of India by the Communists remained above all in Afghanistan An the year 1921 got under way prospects for the Soviets appeared bright, in that Ambassador Raskolnikov and his beautiful wife made a not inconsiderable impression on Emir Amanullah and his Queen. In the meantime the Afghan ambassador at Moscow General Volammed Wali khan as early as the winter of 1920 had been conducting preliminary arrangements for a treaty between Afghanistian and Soviet Russia

treaty between argamistan and soviet kuwia. This treaty was also the subject of negotiations between the Emir and Raskolinkov and, on February 28 1921 it was signed in Moscow It was extremely fastorable to Russia Making for a virtual alliance between the two countries it pledged each of the two high contracting parties to refrain from entering into a political or military agreement with a third power to the

detriment of the other signatory. This would seem to have ruled out a treaty by Afghanistan favorable to Britain and Russia was permitted to establish consulates in Herat Jalalabad Maimana, Marar i Sharif Landahar and Ghazni. These con sulates of course would be nothing more nor less than propa ganda centers aimed against British India. Furthermore Afghani stan acknowledged the "independence of khiva and Bukhara regardless of the form of government obtaining in those erstwhile semi-autonomous Khanates of the Czarist era Since those states were now under Communist rule and were really unincorporated parts of Russia this was tantamount to recogn tion of Soviet rule in these states. Other clauses were still more favorable to the Bolsheviks. They were permitted to establish a powerful radio staron at Labul they might build a tele graph into between thoush on the Russo-Alghan border to both Aboll and Aandahar and Russan engineers were permitted to engage in road building which would presumably better con nect Turkestan and Alghanistan and thus facilitate the advance of Roys army Russia was also given the right to send instruc-tors to build an Afghan air force and Soviet planes were to be bestowed as a free grit to the Afghans as the first step to mangurate this force 84

58

In the summer of 1921 the Russo-Afghan treaty was formally rathered and the Soviets planned to send agents to the proposed consulates near the Indian border. Therefore at that time a Soviet squadron made a spectacular flight across the Hindia Kushi range from Turketin to Labul to implement the training of the Afghan Air Force which was destined in the Russian eyes to be employed against the Royal Air Force This act on was followed by the establishment of a regular air service between Tashhent and Rabul 3º Also of a spectacular character was the disclosure that the Soviet government had been trying to persuade a notocous Indian anarctust, known as Dr. Hátir who had been studying processes of bomb manufacture in Viennia to proceed to Afghanstan viens supervise. a bomb depot on the frontier of India and to fastiliate the export of explosives rush Huddistan. At the same time it was alleged explosives.

that Dr Haiz had been ordered by the Soviet government to undertake the manufacture of smokeless powder in Kabul for the bombs and it was further alleged that he had been granted a sum of money by the Soviet government to carry out this dark purpose \$7

Thus in the summer of 1921, the time seemed to be ripe for a possible Soviet invasion of India through Afghanistan, since the connivance of the latter country in this scheme appeared likely But no Communist force moved on India Why

then did this Soviet plan not come to pass?

In the first place, the Bolsheviks in spite of their efforts were not so successful in bringing about the formation of a nucleus of Indian revolutionaries in Central Asia as they had desired Many of the Indian merchants in Central Asia were only interested in returning to India since Bolshevism threatened their livelihood, and as a matter of fact, many of them succeeded in effectuating this desire. If Moreover, many of the Mujahirs likewise proved to be useless from the Communist point of view, and consequently made poor scholars at the revolutionary school at Tashkent After all they could well perceive that atheistic Communism was incompatible with the Muslim faith for which they had been sacrificing so much in pursuance of their desire to save the spiritual head of Islam, the Caliph **

Moreover, there was dissension among the Indian Communists Acharya and Abdur Rab had arrived in Tashkent in the spring Of 1921 and they appear to have resented Roy's authority in Central Asia They and a minority of Mujahirs who had been converted to Communism in the Tahkent propaganda school advocated the immediate formation of the Communits Party of India. On the other hand, Roy himself thought the mote a premature one and opposed it. However, the view of Acharya prevailed undoubtedly because it had the support of the Russian authorities in Central Asia ** Hence Acharya and his follower the old trader, Abdur Rab, rather than Roy, may be deemed the founders of the Communut Party of India Moham med Sadiq a fairly well-educated young Muslim who had come to Tashkent from Kabul with Acharya and Abdur, Rab, was

40 SOVIET RUSSIA AND INDIAN COMMUNISM named the first Secretary-General of the Communist Party of India

In addition to his rivalry with Acharya, Roy likewise had difficulty with the Indian delegate at Baku, Abani Mukherji The latter appeared early in 1921 in Tashkent where in Roy's view, he "had no business" Like Acharya, Mukherji was an advocate of immediately developing and building up the Com advocate of influentately developing and outling up the Communist Party of India as contrasted with Roy's more cautious view that this step should be delayed

A second reason for the abandonment of the scheme to invade

India, was the failure of Soviet Russia on the borderlands of India, in Chinese Turkestan and Persia, and above all, in Afghanistan

Between 1918 and 1921 the Soviets sent three missions to Chinese Turkestan all of which completely failed to exert any influence whatoever upon that territory Their failure was due to the anti-Communist policy of the local Chinese authorities as well as that of the redoubtable British consul general, Colonel Etherton 97 It may be noted that the third of these Soviet missions carried out in the latter part of 1920, was headed by no less a personage than President" Mahendra Pratep of the 'Provisional Government of the People of India" The latter Provisional Government of the People of India "The latter was accompanied by an Afghan sirdar (nobleman) and an exort of forty six men, but this notwithstanding, his mission was a faxeo Indeed, Mahendra Pratep was fortunate to excape from the British consul who had planned to seaze him and have him sent to India where he was a very much wanted fuguitive? The Bolsheviks were furious at their failures in Chinese Turkestan, but they decided it would be the wiser policy not to arouse the Chinese and British governments by sending in armed forces to conquer Sinking
The Soviets also failed in Iran The Soviet supported force of Kuchik Khan was not successful in its attempted march on

Tehran in June, 1921, and the Soviets in the circumstances, felt at best to honor their testy of the previous February which had provided that Russian troops be withdrawn from Iran by the end of May, 1921 Hence Soviet forces were withdrawn from Northern Persia in September, 1921 As a result Riza

Pahlevi who had overthrown Seyyed Zia his rival for power in Persia and had assumed control of the Iranian government in May 1921 was able to destroy the hittle Soviet state of Kuchik khan in Ghilan (the autonomous state in the Azerbaijan area had already been dissolved by the Central Persian government

in September 1920) and to execute that Communist leader in the fall of 1921 * Having put down Bolshevism in Ghilan Riza Pahlevi suppressed the Red-controlled "National Bloc" and the trade union confederation alike 95 Iran then was no avenue for a successful Soviet invasion of India

But the basic cause for the abandonment of the ambitious Soviet plan regarding India involved Afghanistan the Emir of which refused to cooperate with the Bolshevik scheme Even in 1920 there were difficulties between Afghanistan and Soviet Russia There was a dispute concerning the disposition of the strategic Penideh regime north of Herates and conversations between members of an Afghan mission to India and a British diplomat Sir Henry Dobbs in the late spring and summer of

depoint of resulting in the late spring and sometic to 1900 although not resulting in any precise agreement none-theless indicated that the Emir was willing to deal with his erstwhile foes a move hardly calculated to evoke joy in the Atemin 17

In addition at a diplomatic dinner" given by M. N. Roy late in 1920 to which the Afghan envoy to the Soviet Central Asian capital at Tashkent had been invited the latter indicated that all Russian arms to be sent to Afghanistan for use in the eventual "liberation" of India could be transported only by the Afghan government although he half promised that they would be delivered to anti British Indians on the Indian North west frontier. At the same time, the envoy indicated that the Afghans would agree to permit Roy and a number of Indian revolutionaries to enter Afghanistan but they must be d sarmed on their entry into that land and if armed at all only later on the frontier of India It was fairly apparent that the Soviets could not utilize Afghan stan for an invasion of India as it was elear that Afghanistan had no desire for any Soviet force to enter its territory an I employ it as a base of operations for an

invasion of India, and much less did the Afghans desire to participate in the operation itself 88

Prospects appeared no brighter for the Communists in Afghanist an early in 1921 when Bravin, the first Souet envoy to Afghanistan who had at one time even troosed into the North West Frontier Province of India for a short distance was assistanted at Ghazin He had been one of the key Bolshevik agents in Afghanistan working under the aegis of Ambassador Raskolnikov.

Although Soviet reverses in Afghanistan were seemingly more than offset by the Soviet Afghan treaty of February 28, 1921, which has already been noted, the fact was that the very success of the Soviets in this matter actually led to the downfall of their influence in Afghanistan, for the British were now roused to take counter measures against this alliance, so threaten ing to their position in India.

Indeed, this Russo-Afghan treaty resulted in a stern British note to the Russians on March 17, 1921, which indicated that Great British was quite aware of Soviet intrigues in Afgham stan up to the date of the signing of the Russo-Afghan treaty, which intrigues were specifically detailed. The note referred to the activity of the Russian embassy in Kabul, especially in respect to the Soviet's shipping arms and ammunition to the turbulent tribesmen on the Indian Northwestern frontier ¹⁰⁰. The note concluded with the blunt warning that "Soviet activities must case "¹⁰¹.

Not withing to aniagonize the thoroughly aroused British by seeming to support Soviet designs on India's Northwestern frontiers and recognizing in this context the relative impotence of Soviet Russia at contrasted to the might of the British Empire Emir Amanullah assumed a clearly hostife attitude towards the Soviets in the late summer of 1921 and after dibthough the Soviet Afghan treaty was actually confirmed in that summer at turned out to be but a paper confirmation, because Afghanustan refused to allow the Soviets to establish because Afghanustan refused to allow the Soviets to establish

because Arganistan retused to anow the Soviets to establish consulates at Kandahar, Charm and Jalalabad as was provided by the treaty of February 28 1921, and now the Afghans decided to ban free transit of Bolshevik agents across Afghan

soil 192 Furthermore, in the autumn of 1921, the Afghan government ordered the "Indian Provisional Government" and its leaders President" Mahendra Pratep, "Prime Minister" Mau lana Obeidullah and "Foreign Minister" Baraktullah, to leav Afghan soil forthwith The certifallen Indians were compelled to return to Soviet soil All these anti British yet non-Com munist agents soon thereafter left Soviet service However, it may be noted that Mahendra Pratep subsequently returned to Afghanistan but as a free anti-British agent and not as a Soviet agitator 192

The drift of the Afghan government towards a pro-British and anti-Soviet stand, was further accentuated by a very strong note sent by Great British to the Soviet government on September 26 1921 (which Britain recognized de facto but not de jure) which devoted a considerable amount of attention to Russian intrigues in Afghanistan previous to the eviction of the Soviet agents in the 'Provisional Government of the People of India This note which once again made it clear that Britain was fully aware of Soviet intrigues in Afghanistan directed against the Indian Empire and that she would not tolerate them. See had the dual effects of decelerating Soviet intrigue in Afghanistan—for the Communists now had come to recognize they could not risk war with the British Empire—and of inducing Amanullah to assume a pro-British policy, for he now saw the was the matter of the situation

The denouement of the drift of Afghanistan towards the British was that a delegation of the Anglo-Indian government made progress in negositations with the Afghanis in the autium in 1921 in conversations with the government of Emir Amanul 1814 in Ababil which resulted in the signing of an Anglo-Afghan treaty of November 22 1921 By the terms of this treaty British and Afghanistan reciprocally recognized each other's territories and confirmed the existing Indo-Afghan boundary (that is the bine established by the Durand line of 1893) subject to slight modification in the area around the Khyber Pass and the two nations agreed mutually to establish diplomatic relations. At the same time, provisions were made for the facilitation of the exchange of goods between the two countries 1918. News of

44 SOVIET RUSSIA AND INDIAN COMMUNISM

this treaty evoked no joy in Moscow,106 for the Soviets now knew that not only could the land of Emir Amanullah not be used as the base of operations for an invasion of India but that to a measurable extent it had reverted to a British sphere of influence The diplomacy of the British in Afghanistan was not the

only means by which they endeavored to thwart the Soviet design on India at this time Thus in the spring of 1921 the British sent an agent known as "Maulana X a Pathan to Tashkent to disrupt the military and propaganda schools there The spy was detected by the Turkestan agents of the Cheka The affair is interesting in that Vyacheslav Molotov then the Secretary of the Turkestan Commission and the liaison man between this body and the Turkestan Bureau of the Communist International of which Roy was a most important member, interceded vainly for the Indian who was executed Molotov felt it was a horrible thing to kill an Indian comrade even thought he might well be a possible spy for such a deed would alienate the Russians in the eyes of all Indians 107 Another cause for the decision of the Communists not to

invade India lay in the discord which resulted from the clashes between the Bolsheviks and the Central Asian Muslim peoples The action of the Communists in destroying the Muslim Khan ates of khiva and Bukhara and of ruthlessly suppressing other Muslim elements in Central Asia from 1918 to 1920 108 and later (in 1922) their effective suppression of the Basmachi Re bellion of the fabulous Turk Enver Pasha, led Muslims through out the Middle East and in Britain's Indian Empire, to recognize that Soviet Russia was no friend of Islam 109 It may be noted that the Emir of Afghanistan gave a measure of moral support and perhaps even some material assistance to the man who hoped to re-create the Empire of Tamerlane but of course such assistance was of no avail 110 Furthermore increasing Russo-Such assistance was of no avail - Furthermore increasing Mossor Turkish discord which followed the conclusion of the Russo-Turkish pact of March 16 1921, the treaty notwithstanding added fuel to the flames of Muslim animosity towards the

On the other hand while Russian prestage among the Muslims of India and the Middle East sank, that of Britain rose The improvement of British relations with Afghanistan, an improvement which Communist sources attributed to bribery, ¹¹² and a like improvement of English relations with the Turkey of Mustifa kemal, ¹¹³ made a favorable impression among the followers of the Prooher.

Finally, we may observe that a cause for the failure by Russia to carry out the scheme for an invasion of India lay in conditions within Russia herself During the summer of 1921 there was a marked increase in the domestic difficulties within Soviet Russia European Russia was racked by famine and pesulence114 and there was still sympathy in many quarters for the Whites whose armies had been but recently defeated. These internal difficulties help to explain the conciliatory nature of the reply of the Soviet government to the strong British note of September 26, 1921, which among other matters had so bitterly excornated Russian intrigues against India The Soviet note in reply, sent to London in the first week of October, 1921, falsely declared, that the Soviet government, since the con clusion of the Anglo-Soviet Trade Agreement of the previous March, had had no dealings whatever with Indian revolution aries More truthfully, however, it declared that there was no propaganda school in Tashkent, for, by October, 1921, such activities had been transferred to Moscow 118 This note was reasonably satisfactory to the British government which con tinued to maintain its de facto relationship with the Soviet covernment.

This Soviet note coincides with the renunciation of the Soviet scheme to intervene directly in India. The new Soviet plan which will now be compared was not to attempt an armed intrusion into Britain's Indian Empire but rather to launch a Soviet Islib column in India through the instrumentality of a Community Party within India.

Moscow Launches the Indian Communist Movement

During the summer of 1921 at the very time that the Soviet government was beginning to recognize that a Red invasion of India was no longer practicable, the Third Congress of the Communist International was held Paradoxically, the number of Indians present was greater than at any previous Communist meeting On hand at this conference in Moscow were not only M N Roy and Abani Mukherii, but also the leading members of the German sponsored "Indian Provisional Govern ment" Since the termination of the First World War these Indians who had been divested of their "rank," had been cool ing their heels in the German capital hoping to find a patron who would assist them in their aspirations of liberating India from the British yoke At first they refused to admit Soviet Russia as such a patron They were shocked by Roy who had accepted the godless creed of Bolshevism, and unlike Mahendra Prater and Baraktullah, they felt they could not even serve the Communist movement, while not actually becoming Commu nists themselves

But by the spring of 1921 their views had changed No patron other than Soviet Rusia was in 1921, and so these Indians felt they should undertake a mission to Moscow by which they hoped they would receive full Sowiet backing for their designs against the Bristis Raj They received encouragement for this from M. kopp, the Soviet envoy to Germany, and so, in May,

1921 there was a delegation of Indians from Berlin in Moscow 1 The delegation included no fewer than fourteen persons en compassing the leadership of the old Berlin Committee Virendranath Chattopadhyaya Bhupendranath Duta Virendranath Das Gupta Chulam khan Luhani and Nalim Gupta 2 But the "driving force of the delegation was an American radical Miss Agnes Smedley who like Evelyn Roy had failen in with the members of the Indian revolutionary center in San Francisco Miss Smedley had been inclined to anarchism in the United States and had gone to Berlin after the First World War to join the Indian revolutionaries there for whom she evinced a great amount of sympathy 3 In addition it may be mentioned that a Pathan from the Northwestern Frontier of India and a delegate from Afghanistan were also supposed to have been present at the Congress which met from June 22nd to July 12th 1921 4

The Indians from Berlin immediately demanded interviews with Chairman of the People's Commissars Lenin Foreign Commissar Chicherin and Comintern Secretary Radek to demand of these Soviet leaders that Russia give them a position minute to that which they had enjoyed under the Kaiser but without being committed to Communism as an ideology The Soviet leaders were polite but non-committal to the Indian revolutionaries. This disinterestedness on the part of the rulers of Bolshevism filled the Indians with wrath and many of them left Soviet Russia forthwith B However the leaders of the old Berlin Committee Chattopadhyaya Dutta Luhani and Nalini

Gupta stayed on in Moscow. In addition to trying to induce the Soviets to give them more support in their own plans for the eviction of the British in India the Berlin Indians endeavored to have the Soviets remove M N Roy as the leader of the Indian to have the soviets remove at Noy as the reader of the robust revolutionaries in Russa. They despused Roy because he had espoused Communism as an ideology and even more they were gealous of the Bengali because he had succeeded in obtaining the favor of Lenin and held a high place in the Communist hierarchy 6 In view of the inharmonious relations between Roy and his

fellow Indians, the Communist International decided to set up a commission composed of August Thalheimer, the then leader of the German Communist Party, Tom Quelch of the British Communist Party and Borodin to hear the case of the Indian revolutionaries from Berlin (and also Roy) as well as to examine the situation in India from the Communist and revolutionary point of view. In the hearings before this commission, the Indian revolutionaries from Berlin through their spokesmen Chattopadhyaya and Luhani hurled an ultimatum to the effect that if the Communist Party of India were not dissolved, "they would leave Moscow" When the Comintern refused to comply with this demand, most of the Berlin Indians remaining in Moscow carried out their threat, 'fully convinced that Indian revolutionaries could not count on any help" from Soviet Russia 8 However, two Indian revolutionaries remained in Moscow and

actually embraced Communism, Ghulam Khan Luhami and Nalini Gupta The former became a worker in the Information Department of the Comintern and never became a Communist of significance, but the latter became a trusted assistant of Roy and played an important role in the early history of Communism in India* Moreover, other Indian revolutionaries—members of the 'Indian Provisional Government which had been compelled to leave Kabul, notably a man named Zahara and another named Mohammed Ali-and also Acharya and Abdur Rab from Tashkent-arrived in Moscow in the autumn of 1921 These personages formed the nucleus of the Indian Communism movement under the leadership of M N Roy ¹⁶

Meanwhile, in the thesis of the Third Congress of the Com of the Eastern Countries' was bound closely to foreign capital and had thus become a very important weapon of capitalist domination. At the same time greater emphasis than heretofore was placed on the Communist Party, for 1s was held that the great masses of the peasants of the Oriental countries, look upon the Communist vanguard as their real revolutionary leader. The thesis went on to hold that the revolutionary national movement in India and in other colonies is to-day an essential component part of the world revolution to the same extent as the uprising of the proletariat in the capitalist countries of the old and new world.

This part of the Communist thesis is interesting in that it indicates partial acceptance, at least, by the leaders of the Soviet Union, of Roys view that the national bourgeoise could not be utilized by the Communists, but rather that Communist parties, per se, must carry on their revolutionary task. It also reflects the breaking down of the unnatural flirtation between the Pan Islamic movement and international Communism The Communists were beginning to show their true colors?

One decision which followed the wake of the Third World Congress, was that of the abolition of the Turkestan Bureau of the Communist International and the opening in its stead of an Eastern Section of the Committern in its headquarters at Moscow which would take charge of the Communist revolution ary movement in the Last and guide its course. Since the attempt to establish contact with revolutionary movements in India and other Eastern countries from the base in Central Asia had proved fruitless, it was also decided that in the future the Com munist parties in the "imperialist countries" should be charged to carry on subversive work in the colonies controlled by their countries 14 This meant that in the case of India, the British Communist party would collaborate with the Eastern Section of the Executive Committee of the Comintern in promoting the Communist Party of India but would be, of course, subordinate to the latter M N Roy has asserted that he was offered the post as chairman of the Eastern Section of the Communist Inter national so as to continue his work initially begun in Central Assa However, he turned the plan down because, influenced by Nalini Gupta who was now a strong Communist, Roy felt that he should proceed to Western Europe and there establish a base to carry on propaganda and develop a Communist revolution ary movement in Hindustan.

A second decision taken after the Third Congress of the Communist International was the disbandment of the Communist propaganda school and the military training school in Tashkent and their removal to Moscow This would, the Soviet leaders believed, modify the hostile fear of Great Britain towards the new Russia But in the place of the schools at Tashkent, it was proposed that a Moscow training center for propaganda should be set up the University of the Toilers of the East, which would carry out the work of the earlier propaganda school in Turkestan but would be less liable to produce apprehension in, and stern notes from, London than the school in Tashkent 15

In connection with these decisions, Roy was ordered to return to Central Asia to "wind up the Turkestan Bureau of the Com-munist International and to disband the Indian propaganda and military schools and to have those Indian Mujahir students and initiarly schools and to have done insular adjustant solutions at the Taskhent military and political academies be brought to Moscow who were believed to be potentially loyal Commissist At the same time Roy was charged to assist in the closing down of the agency of the Soviet Commissists of Foreign Affairs in Turkestan which had cooperated with the Soviet Bureau of the Communist International since the former agency, like the latter, no longer served a useful purpose 16 Having carried out his commission in regard to the closing

down of the Red schools Roy returned to Moscow in the autumn of 1921, which city he temporarily made his head quarters, and there assisted in the foundation of the Communist University of the Toilers of the East. To this college of propa University of the fourth of the East. To this courge on propaganda, 22 Mughins were brought by Roy is excreasy interpreter, a certain Russian Jew named Tivil or Levit. To ther Mughins enterted the Soviet armed forces including the Red Air Force ¹⁸. But the majority of the Indians who had attended the propaganda and multary schools were conducted to the frontiers of Perisa and Afghanistan where Soviet border guards put them. across these borders 19

In the summer of 1921 when news of the non-cooperation movement in India which had grown out of Indian disappoint ment at Britain's failure to grant autonomy after the First World War, and which had been officially inaugurated at the Nagpur session of the Indian National Congress in December,

51

1920 trickled into Moscow it was decided to carry on a program of intensive Communist propaganda within India Be-lieving that the Congress might sanction the outbreak of a new

Indian Mutiny Roy conceived of the idea of sending an appeal to the Congress when it should meet at Ahmadabad in Decem ber 1921 Consequently the appeal signed by Roy and Mukherji was drawn up in the name of the Communist Party of India 20 The manifesto called on the National Congress to support the trade unions and peasant organizations so that the former body might then lead the revolution which is shaking India to the

very foundation 21 In order to disseminate copies of the manifesto in India to lay the foundation of a Communist party within India and to contact Mr C. R. Das believed to be the most radical of the then Congress leaders Roy dispatched Nalini Gupta to India

Since Gupta was an acquaintance of Das Guptas mission appeared to be a promising albeit dangerous one "2

Gupta succeeded in arriving in Hindustan loaded down with the large bundle of the appeal and had copies of them "broad cast throughout the country just prior to the meeting of the Ahmadabad Congress in December The appearance of this Communist manifesto in India created a certain amount of containing infamesto in their detailed a certain amount of sensation and it was actually published in a "number" of Indian newspapers Unfortunately for Gupta however he could not contact Das who had been arrested shortly before the session

at Ahmadabad Nonetheless the appeal did get anto the hands of some of the more radical Congress delegates Indeed two
of them had the document clandestinely reprinted under their
own signatures and it was said that this Communist manifesto own agratures and it was that that in Commission mainteen induced a prominent Congress delegate Maulana Hasrat Mohani to move a resolution for the complete independence of India which was the first time that this radical idea had ever this radical resolution was rejected the Congress Although this radical resolution was rejected the Congress did approve a program of mass disobedience which had the sanction of its revered leader Mahatma Gandhi Thus to the extent that non cooperation became a mass movement through non payment

52 SOVIET RUSSIA AND INDIAN COMMUNISM of taxes and other peaceful means the Communist demand had been in part, fulfilled 28

Roy and his followers had also reason to be pleased by a

resolution voted in the second session of the All India Trade Union Congress at this time which expressed a resolution of sympathy for Soviet Russia as well as by a speech by Mr Chaman Lal the secretary of the organization who stated that if the condition of the Indian working class were not to be improved this would signal the coming of Bolshevism to India and that if labor were to receive no concessions workers would take matters into their own hands 24 It may be noted here that taking cognizance of the incipient Indian labor movement on October 27 1921 the Red International of Labour Unions the labor section of the Comintern invited the AITUC to join it in the great world movement for international labor soli darity 25

In spite of the suspension of the Indian non-cooperation movement in 1922 owing to the firm British policies of repression Roy persevered in his endeavor to introduce Communism into India. He put out a book in the spring of 1922 entitled India in Transition" a few copies of the English language edition of which were smuggled into India. The book is held to have helped pave the way for the appearance of an Endian Community in the Indian Community in the Indian Community is the Indian Community in the Indian Community in the Indian Community is the Indian Community in the Indian Community is the Indian Community in the Indian Community is the Indian Community in the Indian Community in the Indian Community is the Indian Community in the Indian Community in the Indian Community is the Indian Community in the Indian Community in the Indian Community is the Indian Community in the Indian Community in the Indian Community is the Indian Community in the Indian Community is the Indian Community in the Indian Community is the Indian Community in the Indian Community in the Indian Community is the Indian Community in the India Indian Communist party 26 During the closing months of 1921 and the opening months

of 1922 M. N. Roy was among those who supervised the opera-tions of the Communist University of the Toilers of the East. In a large four story structure in the center of Moscow some 600 students from all parts of Asia including the erstwhile Indian Mujahirs studied the principles of Marxism and various foreign languages 27

The Indian section numbering only 22 members was small in comparison to the Chinese section which formed the large majority of the students and the Korean which held second place The Indians were told that in order to initiate the revolu tion in India as elsewhere in the East the propagandizing ac tion in mind as eisewhere in the East, the propagandizing ac-tivity of the Communists must be secretly conducted and they were also informed that since the Indo-Afghan border did not

offer a very safe or convenient method of approach to India" links from Russia to India should be maintained through the countries of Western Europe 28

Above all the professors of the Communist University made it clear to their Indian pupils that all countries of the world must follow Russia's example of establishing a Communist state and that for the proper operation of Communism within Russia itself "a world revolution was indispensable "29

Having been duly instructed in Communist ideology the quondam Mujahirs were sent to Chinese Turkestan by way of Tashkent From Sinkiang they crossed the mountainous border into India where they were to act as missionaries of Bolshevism However British border authorities had got wind of the plot and succeeded in arresting no fewer than eight of the would be agents at the Northwest Frontier outpost town of Chitral 80 Other agents however did elude the British notably Moham med Sadiq and Shaukat Usmani. The captured Red agents were immediately subjected to trial in a case referred to as the "Moscow Conspiracy Case" The Indians received lenient treatment at least one of them Abdul Qadir khan being acquitted out right. The Anglo-Indian authorities apparently felt that the "Red agents" were after all merely creatures of circumstance" whose conversion to Communism had been a purely fortuitous event 21 This affair was significant in that it made the Anglo-

trating into India In the spring of 19°2 with the permission and support of his Russian masters M N Roy decided to transfer his activities from Moscow to Berlin He chose Berlin because he felt Ger many "was the country of great resolutionary promise "22 its Communist party being second only to Russias in strength and influence. At the same time Roy felt he could win over the Indian revolutionaries in Berlin to the cause of Communium

Indian authorities alert to the danger of Moscow's agents pene-

Having arrived in Berlin Roy with the aid of the German Communists started the publication of an English language periodical called the "vanguard of Indian Independence" and proselytized the Indians of Berlin with Communist ideology. This publication which boastfully proclaimed istell the organ

of the Communist Party of India assailed British imperialism in the sub-continent in the political economic and social spheres condemned Gandhi and the former non-cooperationists as preach ers of counter revolution at a time when "mass revolt is at flow tide and praised radical and insurrectionary peasant movements such as that of the Akalı Sikhs in the Punjab and the Mophlah Muslims of the Malabar coast, Needless to say paeans of praise for the Soviet Union prominently featured this publi cation s propaganda sa

This publication was supplemented by a manifesto to the All India Congress Committee on July 15 1922 in which Roy complained that 'the tactics of militant non-cooperation has not required courage and determination and argued that

the Congress should at once launch a programme advothe congress amount at once taunch a programme day cating the fight for higher wages an eight hour day better hous ng recognition of Unions right of strike equal pay for equal work abolition of landfordism reduction abolition of usury These demands should be forced by mass action strikes demonstrations

Roy was remarkably successful in his proselytization of the Indians in Berlin in that he won over the two former leaders of the former Berlin "Provisional Government of India" Viren dranath Chattopadhyaya and Dr Ferozdin Mansoor The latter had not attended the Third Congress of the Comintern and as we have noted, the former had left Moscow in disgust. Both men apparently convinced that only through Communism could India be freed repaired to Moscow where Mansoor attended the Communist University of the Toilers of the East and Chattopadhyaya became a worker for the Communern 35 They joined the little Indian colony of Mukherji Acharya and Abdur Rab whose numbers had been augmented by a number of mem New whose numbers may been augmented by a number of meta-bers of the Pumphi Chardr party a terrorist organization who having made good their escape from British custody in India had successfully fled to Russia ** This colony was not as significant as the Berlin headquarters of Roy in furthering Communism in the Peninsula.

In the year 1922 Roy sent his chief assistant, Gupta, to India to establish centres for the reception of Communist propagated and to appear for the violence of community propagates and to appear for the violence of the second constitution in

ganda and to arrange for its underground circulation ²⁷
Gupta found a fertile field in which to work Mary Indians,
particularly the young intellectuals, were discouraged and dis
gruntled by the collapse of the non-cooperation movement and
were reading extensively about the Communit experiment in
Russia with interest. ²⁸ Some of them became converts to Com-

Communist propaganda likewise found a certain response among the former adherents of the Ghadr Party of the Punjab, the terroristic revolutionaries of Bengal whose ideology had been tinged with anarchism, the left wing leaders of the nascent labor movement in Bombay and Calcutta and even among the more radical followers of the late Indian leader, Tilak, in the Maharashira area of Central India 38

Communist propaganda resulted in the establishment of no fewer than five Communist centers in India by the fall of 1922 in the following places: Galcutta under the leadership of Muzaf far Ahmad Bombay under Shripat A. Dange Cawnpore in Uttar Pradesh (then the United Provinces) under Shaukat Usmam Madras under Singaravelu-Cheturar and Lahore (the Punjab) under Mohammed Sadiq 48 The first two mentioned individuals have remained important leaders of the Communist Party of India to the present day

An event of significance to the cause of Committees in India was the holding of the Fourth Congress of the Committee in Moscow from November 7th to Deteember 3rd 1922 Of course M N Roy, who had achieved the "honor" during that year of being named a member of the Presidum of the Committees was present at the Congress Also attending the conference were two Indian delegates who represented the Chaft Party of California which was being revived, Rattan Singh and San tokh Singh-1

nothing the Congress Roy advocated that Communist Addressing the Congress Roy advocated that Communist parties in various Eastern countries should take their place "in the organization of a United Front against Imperialism" in which the Communists, of course, would become the leaders of 'a united anti Imperialist Front." This 'front' would then liberate the masses from the leadership of 'the timid and hesitating bourgeoiste" 42

5G

India was alluded to in the speeches of various delegates to the Congress of the Third International Thus the delegate of Iran, Nik Bin, argued that

Communists had not done much in connection with the great trade union movement in India and the large num ber of strikes, which convulsed the country 48

Furthermore, the weakness of India's Communists was brought forth in the report of the Credentials Committee of the Congress which disclosed that only four delegates from India had been invited to the Fourth Congress and that only 'one' (Roy) had been admitted to the Congress with the right to vote 4'. This signified that other potential delegates such as Mulherji and Achtarya were so jealous of Roy that they would not collaborate with him which made for all the greater weakness among India's Communits. On the other hand however, Zinoviev, the then head of the Comintern, hailed the formation of a Communist party within India and especially noted the entrance of Communists into Indian trade unions and newspapers 15.

Institutor to his leaving for the Fourth Committern Congress.

Just prior to his leaving for the Fourth Commitern Congress. Roy on November 7th, 1922, dispatched to India a pamphlet enurled "What Do We Want' along with a lealitet captioned "A Programme for the Indian National Congress. To the leaf tet which constituted a greeting to the Congress, Roy stressed the need for the elimination of British rule in India by means of "a singuinary revolutionary struggle." This declaration was followed by demands for the election of a National Assembly by universal suffrage and the establishment of a "tederated Republic" of India As to economic measures, Roy's program called for the 'abolition of landlordism' but it otherwise presented only a mild platform of social reform—specifically urguing such reforms as an eight hour day." profitsharing in all big industries," a maintenum wage, old age and sickness benefit, and

the legalization of trade unions and the right to strike ⁴⁸ Why was this program so mild? In the first place it was in line with the Leninst thesis that Communists should collaborate with the national bourgeoise as against the imperialists and secondly Roy and his Soviet masters hoped that by this moderation the petty and middle bourgeoise of India 2s represented in the National Congress would become friendly to the Indian Communists

This program incidentally was given a considerable measure of publicity by Reuter's Nees Agency and the British press which resulted in the Communist program being given not a little amount of corresponding publicity in India Commenting on the program the conservative and progovernment 'Times Of India" (Bombay) of December 22 1922 editorially growled that Reuter's agency had given Roy's program

a publicity seldom accorded even to a Prime Minis ters most serious utterance Why should it have been thought worth while to cable three columns of Bolshevist delirium to this country?⁴⁷

On the other hand the pro-\attonalist Calcutta "Amrita Bazar Patrika's issue of December 27 1922 expressed a favor able criticism for Roy's plan 48

Roy was pleased to hear that at the Gaya session of the Congress that recent convert to Communism Singuravelo Chettars had boldly arisen and spoken out as a representative of world Communism which through him was conveying greetings to the workers of India He implored the Congress to pledge tuelf to the cause of the toilers and held that the Communists would support the Congress were the latter to support the workers cause Singaravelu-Chettuar concluded with an admonition to the Indian bourgeoiste that Indian workers had awakened and would light for their rights.

In addition to his pronouncements Roy stepped up his contacts with Communist comrades in India by means of an extensive correspondence with the leaders of the comrades in India However the Criminal Investigation Division (CLTD)

E C SOVIET RUSSIA AND INDIAN COMMUNISM of the Anglo-Indian government, recognizing full well the Soviet designs on India, was successful in intercepting much Soviet designs on India, was successful in intercepting much of this correspondence The first of these letters was written on November 2, 1922 by Roy to Shripat A Dange, the leader of the Communist group in Bombay In this letter, Roy informed the latter that he was in tharge of the "Eastern Section" of the Comintern and that the question of Communium in the East was to be one of the principal problems to be discussed in the forthcoming Comintern Congress. Roy informed Dange that the organization of a mass party" in India had been discussed by the leaders of the Third International, that it was con by the leaders of the Third International, that it was con-sidered an indispensable move and that since the previous May (when Roy had arrived in Berlin) the groundwork for such a party was being prepared "through our paper," (the "Vanguard of Indian Independence," later the "Advance Guard,") and through other media of propaganda This mass party would, held Roy, embrare "all the truly revolutionary elements" in India It would be based upon the workers and peasants of India It would be based upon the workers and peasants of India The control of this party would be in the hands of the Communist. It would be a legal organization, whereas its Communist leadership would operate underground as such and rule this 'front party'. This 'front party', 'explained Roy to Dange, would liberate the masses of India from the control of the 'conclustory leadership in the National Congress.'99

Having returned to Berlin following the conclusion of the Fourth Committeen Congress, Roy intensified his efforts of build was in the Community.

ing up the Communist movement in India. On December 12, 1922 he wrote letters to Dange and to Singaravelu-Chettiar, the Communist leader of Madras, in which he urged that an all India leftist conference to be dominated and controlled by the Communists should be convened, not later than the end of January, 1923 Moreover, Roy held it was indispensable that some one from the All India Trade Union Congress should be present at this conference 55 But all this Communist spon ore present at this commence. But at this Community spon-sored activity should be seemingly legal so as not to attract the suspicion of British authorities. Thus in a letter written by Suspicion of Dritton authorities. Thus in a letter written by Roy to a certain Sharma an Indian Communist who carried on his activities from Pondicherry in French India, the former

summarily rejected the latters request for pistols Roy insisted that the Communist movement in India should eschew individual terrorism since it was rather a mass movement.⁵²

As the year 1923 opened Roy intensified his efforts at etablishing a pussant Communist movement within India from his headquarters in Berlin At that time he put out a so-called open letter to the people of India which pointed out that the Indian people faced two alternative—either submission to British rule through constitutional processes with the consequent continued rule of the British and their agents or the adoption of more revolutionary methods" which could lead to Indian freedom. This meant first of all that in India there should be created "a revolutionary mass party. §3

Roy desired that Congressmen (members of the National Congress) should join his proposed mass party and in a letter written to C R Das on January 6 1923 he expressed positive support for the Congress—if it should adopt a really revolutionary policy 4 But the Congress certainly could not endure the sentiments of an open letter written by Roy to Das on February 4 1923 in which the Bolshevik Brahmin awerred W. Communists stand for the abolition of classes and consequently of class struggle but classes cannot disappear unless private property is abolished. 35 No wonder the Communist did not secure the services of Das although they did find a patron in the Congress in a certain Vithalbhai who was not a very influential Congressman 36

influential Congressiman state of the constraint of

in Calcutta, dated May 13, 1923 Roy wrote the latter that the "People's Party" should forthwith be formed in India, which reopies rarry' should forthwith be formed in India, winch party would be, of course, under the leadership of the Communists and which would gradually' be transformed into an open Communist Party ** Muzzifar Ahmad was likewise charged to carry on Communist propaganda among the workers of Calcutta, notably amongst the jute mill and tramway workers At the same time, Muzaffar Ahmad was ordered to get busy ers At the same time. Attrastar Ahmad was ordered to get busy and publish Boshevia pamphlets The Calcutta Communist was likewise informed how he might receive funds supplied from Moscow through Roy's propaganda centers in Western Europe 39 By May, 1923, Roy's efforts (in spite of the interception of some of his letters) began to bear fruit. The five Communist sections were actively functioning. Two of them, that of Bom sections were actively functioning a no of utility, the bay and that of Lahore, put out publications known, respectively, as 'the Socialist,' in English, edited by Dange and a Punjabi journal known as 'Inquilab' (Revolution) edited in Lahore by Mohammed Sadıq *6 These journals spread the doctrines of Bolshevism as did a number of their pamphlets *1 Moreover, Housevism as the a number of their paniphies - Model or probably influenced by this propaganda, certain elements in Bengal, formerly sympathetic to the pre-war terroristic revolutionary sentiments, were now indulging in "academic Bolshevisin' 42 This indigenous Communist propaganda was sup-plemented by copies of the "Advance Guard" and other material put out by the international Communist conspiracy relative to India Much of the smuggling, as before, was done by Nalini Gupta ⁶² He was assisted in this task by R. C. L. Sharma, the Communist agent in Pondicherry, French India to which town Communist literature was mailed and whence it was smuggled across the land boundary into British India 64

actors are sain boundary into British India **
This propaganda was actually beginning to take effect. At this
time, in 1923, there was founded by the Communist center in
Bombay under S A Dange the Girmi Kamgar (Mill Workers)
Union, often called the Red Flag Union which was to play
an important role, subsequently, in the history of Communism
in India *6 This move, hoped the Communists would signal
their immunent conquest of Indian labor

Communists of India prepared to meet in Lucknow, in June, 1923 to organize an all Indian Workers and Peasants Party which would operate as a not too-veiled front for the Communists Singaravelu-Chettar, the Madras Communist leader, was designated to be the leader of the party since it was felt that he would be less obnoxious to the Anglo-Indian authorities than the other Communist leaders.

Likewise encouraged by the coming founding of this party the Executive Committee of the Communist International (Known as E C C.I or I k k I) on June 4 1923 sent a memorandum to the Workers and Peasants Party which urged that his party be dedicated to two purposes, secession from the British Empire and the establishment of a democratic (sc) republic. This memorandom is also interesting in that it denounced Abani Mukherji manifestly, we hear no more in our story of Roys would be rural.

The apparent progress of Indian Communism also attracted the attention of that rising member of the Soviet hierarchy, Joseph Stalin Speaking at the Twelfth Congress of the Russian Communit Party on April 23, 1923, the future dictator opined

Great Britain is now ruling India exactly the same way (as Austria once ruled her subject peoples). In order to make it essire from the point of view of bureaucracy to deal with the nationalities and tribes of India. Great Britain has divided India into British India—240 millions and native India—27 millions. Why? Because Great Britain wanted to pick out one group of nations and grant it privileges in order to rule the remaining nationalities.

This increased Sowet and Committers interest in India as well as the formation of Committed groups within the sub-continent did not escape the attention of the British Raj, which took action against the apparent menace. Within India the Criminal Investigation Division (CLTD) intercepted the correspondence between Roy and his Indian comrades and early in May, 1923 arrested the Ultiar Pradesh leader of the Committeds, Shaukat Usmani. This event thwatted the Committed conference which had been scheduled to 1ste Place in It ucknow *0 Ostude of Ostude of

India the British undertook two diplomatic moves. In the spring of 1923 the British Embassy in Berlin put heavy pressure on the German Government to cease permitting Roy to remain in the German capital, and the latter, fearing that the German Reparations question, might seek to please Britain by handing him over to the English agents, fled to Zurich ** Roy set up his headquarters in the Swiss city in May, 1923, but this obviously represented a set back to the cause of Indian Communism, for Roy could no longer utilize the services of the puissant German Communist party The second diplomatic move came in the form of a virtual ultimatum, dispatched on May 2, 1923 by Foreign Secretary Lord Curzon, in which the anti-British ac tivity of Soviet agents in India, Afghanistan and Iran was excornated The Soviet envoys, Raskolnikov in Afghanistan and Shumiatsky in Iran were the particular targets of the British Foreign Minister Raskolnikov was accused of having endeavored to foment insurrection in the North West Frontier Province of India and of having requested the Moscow government for 5,000 rubles and ten cartons of cartridges to aid fanatical Waziri tribesmen. The blistering British note also charged that Wanti tribenier Lie Disserting pritian flore and distributions that N Roy, the Indian Communists were receiving subsidies from the Soviets ³⁰ Unwilling to submit to a break in its de factor relations with England, the Soviets after an exchange of correspondence evinced a disposition to conciliate the British and Raskolnikov, but not Shumiatsky, was dismissed from his post 71

62

As a consequence of these British actions there was a marked lessening of Soviet and Committers interest in India in the second half of 1923 and a corresponding deterioration in the position of the budding Indian Communist movement. Interespected correspondence between Roy and Indian Red leaders indicated that the latter were becoming disappointed by a lack of interest in Hindutian in the Communist movement (they had apparently optimistically felt that once the idea was introduced-Commisting would sweep the country), and by the effective surveillance of their movement by the Anglo-Indian authorities and they were disappointed with the work of Roy

in Europe 12 By the end of 1923, the Indian Communists who eather in that year had been so intent on launching their grandiose all Indian Workers' and Peasants Party were now begging Roy to have the Comintern in Moscow send them funds to keep their two publications, "The Socialist' and Inquilab" going and were demanding that these funds should be sent on a regular and not on a 'sportable' basis as heretofor 13.

However the assumption to power of the Laberal-supported Labour ministry of Ramsay MacDonald and its prompt de jure recognition of Soviet Russaa⁴⁴ prompted the Soviets to take a bolder course in regard to India This is reflected by an address at Sverdlov University in Moscow, early in 1924 in which the ever rising Stalin (who was taking advantage of the death of Lemin in January, 1924) averred

Where will the (imperialist) chain break in near future? Again, where it is weakest it is not precluded that the chain may break, say in India Why? Because that country has a young militant revolutionary proletarist, which has such an ally as the national liberation movement—an undoubtedly powerful and undoubtedly important ally. Because there the revolution is opposed by such a well known for as foreign imperialism which lacks all moral credit and is deservedly hated by the oppressed and exploited masses of India 14.

Taking his cue from his masters in Moscow, M. N. Roy became more active. On January 25, 1924, the Bolshevik Brahmin urged Singaravelu-Chettar to see to it that the Communities should at once get control of the Indian labor movement.** But if Moscow and its Indian agents thought the new British government would condone open Red activity in India, they were sadly mistaken For, only a few weeks later, in March, 1924 the Anglo-Indian authoritues arrested the leaders of Indian Communism S. A Dange in Bombay, Muralfar Ahmad in Calcutta, Singaravelu-Chettiar in Madras and Roy's im mutaties agent, Malnid Gupta ''It was apparent the new British ministry was just as wary as had been the case with the preceding Tory cabinet, of the danger of Soviet Russia to India and was just as determined to halt the insidious development of a Russian fifth column within Hindustan in the form of

64

the Communist party of India
On March 18, 1924, the Director of Central Intelligence of On starch 16, 1924, the director of Central intenigence of the Anglo-Indian government Colone have preferred charges in the Magustrates Court of Quarter Sessions at Cawipore against the several Communist conspirators. They were charged with endeavoring to deprive the King Emperor of his sovereignty in India 18 One month later on April 24th, the trial—referred to in main a - One mont nater on apparatus, the time-resonance as the 'Campore Conspirery Trial a-actually got under way In the prisoners dock were Dange, Muzaffar Ahmad, Usman and Gupta 'P Proceedings against Singaravelu Chettuar had been suspended owing to his ill health and charges against one Ghulam suspended owing to its in nearin and charges against one Guidan Hussain, another alleged Communist, had been dropped, ap-parently for want of evidence ⁵⁰ Charged in absentia were M N Roy, then resident in Switzerland and R. G L Sharma, a res dent of Pondicherry, French India 81

dent of Pondicherry, French india.

The trial was featured by testimony by Colonel Kaye which
made use of the captured correspondence between Roy and his
Indian agents and by the vigorous presentation of the case for
the Grown by Mr Ross Alston, the public prosecutor On the
other hand, the defense attorneys for the accused the so-called Indian "vikils' could not disprove the connection between the Indian Communists and the Communist International The defense was sufficiently effective to convince the three Indian assessors who served as advisory judges to hold in their separate opinions that one or more of the defendants were not guilty opinions that one or more or, are electronates were not good, thosever, since Indian assessors were really only advisory judges, their verdict actually had no legal force ²³ The judgment rested exclusively with Mr. H. E. Holme, the Sessions Judge at Cawn pore and he found all the defendents guilty and so he sentenced pore and ne round an the detendents guity and so he sentence them to four years imprisonment at hard labor for daring to conspire against the king Emperor's sovereignty in India ⁸⁰ British reaction to the trial and its outcome, except on the

extreme left was either indifferent to or favorable to the con viction of the Communists #4 Reasonable Indian opinion likewise showed little sympathy for the incarcerated Communists Some months after the conclusion of the trial in November,

65

MOSCOW LAUNCHES THE INDIAN COMMUNIST MOVEMENT

1924 the All Parties Conference of prominent Indian leaders including Gandhi, Das, Pandit Motilal Nehru and Mrs Annie Besant, repudiated the Third International in a specific refer ence to the Cawnpore trial The attitude of the people of India towards the trial is uncertain. It is true that there was a strike of mill workers in Cawnpore during the time of the trial that at one time resulted in a riot and all this may have been connected with the trial 85 It is possible that the Communist

leaders, prior to their apprehension had disseminated some

India and that these had, therefore, a special sympathy for the convicted Communists. The workers would, at all events, have sympathy for any sort of anti-British political prisoners However it is probably safe to say that in the year 1924 the Communist movement was so weak among the masses of India that the arrest and condemnation of the Communist leaders were, on the whole, greeted with a profound indifference But the attitude of M N Roy was anything but indifferent Writing from the safety of Zurich, Roy addressed an open letter to Prime Minister MacDonald which appeared in the then organ of the British Communist party, the "Communist Review" of July, 1924 Roy charged that the trial was designed to destroy the working class organization in India II E made much of the argument that contrary to the allegations of the prosecution "no overt act was alleged". Roy then denied that the propagands of the Communists or his own letters to the Indian comrades contained material houtle to the laws of India

prioners was based on an inconstruction and underinterate charge "as Because of this held Roy, the trial had been only a "mockery of justice," especially since "all the witnesses with one exception were police officers and Government hirelings" one exception were poure outcers and covernment hirelings, and that one exception he charged, was really a "pole apy" at Well might Roy fulminate against the decision of the Anglondian court at Casinpore, for it deprived the Communista in India of effective leadership But his appeal to MacDonald of course, had no effect whatsoever

and hence he maintained the arrest and condemnation of the prisoners was based on an unconstitutional and undemocratic

The Communist International also took note of the prosecu tion of its Indian adherents. In their report of its Executive Committee which surveyed Communist activities throughout the world from November, 1922 to June, 1924, it was declared

The severe persecution by the British Government which realizes the magnitude of the Communist peril makes any activities of our young party extremely difficult. Not only are Communist organisations and publications prohibited in India but even any kind of contact between individuals and the Communist International is a punishable offence while expression of opinion in a Communist spirit is a Crime 45

However, manifesting blustering Bolshevik bravado the Ex ecutive Committee of the Comintern claimed that four factors were making for an impetus to Communist activities in the sub-continent, namely, the disintegration of the national mass movement the continuation of agrarian unrest in the North especially among the Sikhs a more militant attitude on the part of Indian labor manifested by its demands for economic part of initial ration manifested by its defining for concessions and the discrediting of the reformst nationalists in the eyes of the working class which had become disguisted by the former's policy of compromise with the British 19

The Executive Committee held that in the circumstances the following should be the tasks of the Communist Party of India following should be use taxes of the Community stay, we make the development of the national liberation movement on a revolutionary basis the formation of a National Peoples party which would comprise the urban petty bourgeouse the "pau perised" intellectuals and small clerks" as well as workers and person interested and small certals as well as worker units persons and the establishment of an exclusively Communist Party in India as well The Indian Communists were also charged to get control of the Indian labor movement reorganize it on a class basis" and purge it of hostile (ie, anti-Commu nust) elements 90

The "persecution" so bemoaned by the E.C.C.I was further manifested on November 10 1924 when the Indian High Court of Judicature at Allahabad peremptorily rejected the appeal of the Cawnpore conspirators and in so doing praised Colonel

MOSCOW LAUNCHES THE INDIAN COMMUNIST MOVEMENT Kaye "and the remarkable efficiency of his department (which)

frustrated and hampered them at every turn "91

The "Cawnpore Conspiracy Case" evinced the weakness of native Communism in India in 1924 The fact that the British knew all about the Communist movement, and that they were easily able to quash it, indicated that this attempt by the Soviets to penetrate India by internal subversion was no more successful than their earlier plan to effectuate a successful external invasion of the sub-continent from Soviet Turkestan by way of

Afghanistan Thus, once again Soviet designs on India had

hit a snag

CHAPTER THREE

Communist Intrigue in India

In spite of their initial failures the Soviets and their Indian minions boldly determined to increase the influence of Bol shevism in the sub-continent. At the Fifth Congress of the Communist International which met from June 17 to July 8 1994 in Moscow Comrade Zinoviev in his capacity as Secretary General of that organization asserted that the Achilles Heel of the British Empire is India and we must therefore make every effort to develop all possible lines of advance there 1 Taking his cue from this Soviet leader M N Roy also made a bold front at the Congress The emigré leader of the Indian Communists not only attacked the upper and middle classes of India but also the petry bourgeoiste as well for collaborating with the British However the Bolshevik Brahmin held that if "we organise the peasantry and the workers they will force the pace for the petty bourgeoisie who are now ready to compromise with imperial sm" and so make them bolder and less inclined to compromise with the British masters of India 2 Roy also praised the greater class consciousness of the Indian workers hailing a strike of a large number of textile workers for three months in Bombay an event occurring earlier in 1924 as a manifestation of the growing class struggle in India

Of greatest significance however was Roys appeal that the British Communist party recognize that its "task tran seends the boundaries of the British Isles" This in effect meant that the leaders of the international Communist movement were beginning to realize that Roys work in Western Western

Europe had not produced the desired results in India and that the task of furthering Soviet aims in Hindustan would be best carried out through the instrumentality of the British Communist party Indeed, the task of the Communist Party of Creat Britain (CPGB) in regard to India had already been foreshadowd in a declaration rendered by the Executive Committee of the Communist International over two years before on March 4, 1922 by which the British Communist Party was specifically ordered "to launch a well-organised and continued action with a view of supporting the revolutionary movement in India and Egypt⁻³ In accordance with this mandate, a British Communist named Ashley was sent to India in the autumn of 1922 where he came into special contact with the Communist, center in Calcutta which was under the direction of Muzaffar Ahmad Moreover, it was in line with this policy that at the Fifth Congress it was a British Communist named MacVanus who read a "protest against the persecution of revo-lutionaries in India by the British Labour Government"

Soon after the Fifth Congress the British Communists decided on making their activities empire-wide. The British party set up a Colonial Department to exercise leadership of the Communist movement in India as well as in other British colonies?

At the beginning of 1925 the leadership of that party decided to send an emissary in the person of a certain Percy Gladding (alias Richard Cochrane) to India "in order to estab-lish real connections of a healthy character" with the Com munist movement there

Gladding was also charged to en courage those Communist leaders, still at liberty, to reform their incipient party and report his findings to his superiors in the C.P.G.B. who in turn would relay them to the Aremlin Gladding surreprisously sneaked into India under the name of Cochrane on January 30 1925 and remained three unit April 10th of that year During his brief stutt he was able to make contacts with the representatives of the All India Trade Union Congress and even of the National Congress.

The CPGB evinced pleasure over Gladding's visit Its

This visit was extremely useful indeed Our representative was able to attend the All India Trade Union Congress and held many conversations with the representatives there 10

Also of significance was the Pan Pacific Labour Conference held under the auspress of the Red International of Labour Unions at Canton in June 1923 This meeting decided on forming a bureau in China for the Red Eastern Labour Unions which would have representation from British India as well as from other countries in the Far East The conference also put forth a thesis holding the view that the gaining control of trade unions especially transport unions, was absolutely vital for the success of Red revolution in the Orient

During the years 1924-1925 new leaders were emerging in India to take the place of the convicted Cawnpore conspirators notably Satya Bhakta and S V Ghate Bhakta an odd character was really a non Marxist and a pacifist and apparently had been drawn to Communism out of a purely idealistic motivation 12 Ghate on the other hand was a militant labor organizer and readily absorbed the doctrines of Bolshevism 12 The latter became the General Secretary of the clandestine Communist party He and other Communist agitators such as k N Joglekar and a certain Iyengar undoubtedly had done their best to help foment a textile workers strike in Bombay in which 150 000 to 180 000 workers participated early in 1924 18 Moreover in an official report the Commtern claimed that Communists had participated in the 1924 Congress of the All India Trade Union Congress (AITUC) and noted that in a number of trade unions the Indian Communists held influential positions "14

During the course of 1925 Ghate and other Communist lead ers followed the their official line and endeavored to form from workers and peasants parties. The first such party was formed on Noiember 1 1925 in Calcutta and bore the imposing name of the 'Labour Swaraj Party of the Indian National Congress "ST The mentioning of the National Congress is significant for the Communists were beginning to feel they might be able to infiltrate into that organization which would supplement their organizing workers and peasants parties.

In an official statement, this Bengali Communist 'front party declared that since other means for having attained Indian independence had failed the party would seek Indian self-rule by organization of the workers and peasants who constituted 80 per cent of the population so that they may wrest freedom from the hands of vested interests by their own might and for their own interests is In patie of its supposed connection with the National Congress, the party proposed to send its own members into the legislatures "it The nominal founders of the party were Bengalis named Quazi Navrul Islam Sham suddin Hussain and Hemant Sarkar 1st Behind them, however, were the Communital leaders of India and most notably 5 V Ghate As its mouthpiece, the Labour Swaraj Party put out a journal called Langal! (Plow) on December 16 1252 is

members into the legislatures "11 The nominal founders of the party were Bengalis named Quazi Navrul Islam Shan suddin Hussian and Hemant Sarkar "18 Behind them, however, were the Communist leaders of India and most notably \$ V Ghate As its mouthpiece, the Labour Swaraj Party put out a journal called Langal" (Plow) on December 16 1925 "10 The Communists also made surprising progress in the labor movement in India during 1925 Indeed, at the fifth All India Trade Union Congress session of Bombay in that year, a convert to Communist D R Thengdi was actually elected the President of the A1TUC at that session Thengdi evinced his Communist ideology in his presidential address by advocating a nation wide strike movement in India, and indeed Thengdis appeal was heeded in the form of a strike by workers of the North West Indian Railway in the spring of 1925 and a renewed strike by some 150 000 (extile mill operatives in Bombay in the retiring in that the All Russian Textile Union sent financial assistance to the embattled workers "I it is claimed that in 1925 the strike was that a then record for India 22

However in the latter part of 1925 the gains made by the Indian Communists were more than offset by a schmin in their zanks. One group which was led by \$5 V. Ghate followed the orthodox views of Moscow and aided in the formation of the Labour Swarap Party of Bengal and planned the further founding of workers and peasants partles of that type in other parts of India which process would be culminated in the foundation of an all Indian Workers and Peasants Party in which the Communist element, while maintaining its secret identity as such would be the dominating factor Another group was led

by Salya Bhakta The latter group desired the Communist Party in India to act openly under its own name, to carry on, by constitutional means, agitation of a Marxist nature and to be independent of the Kremlin

Bhakta indicated his views in two manifestos appearing on July 16th and October 21st, 1925 entitled respectively "The

77

Future Programme of the Communist Party' and "The First Indian Communist Conference" In these documents Bhakta presented the thesis that the transition from capitalism to Com munism might be achieved without injustice or violence, a view which naturally subjected him to vigorous criticism from Communists outside his own circle 28 This notwithstanding, Bhakta won over to his peaceful and nationalist Communist views, Singaravelu-Chettiar and Maulani Hasrat Mohani, a leader of the former Caliphate movement, who had irked Gandhi when, as we have already noted in connection with the Ahmadabad Congress, he had argued that Swaraj or Indian self-government be defined by the Congress as 'complete independence, free from all foreign control '24 In an apparent effort to heal the breach in the Communist movement in India, the pro-Comintern Communists Ied by Ghate and Joglekar and the independent Communists led by Bhakta and Singaravelu-Chettiar met in the First Conference Bhakta and Singarachi-Chettiar met in the First Conference of the Conjuments Party of India in Casoppore on December 26, 1925 3) That this meeting did not have the blessing of Moscow, in view of the prominent position of the dissident Communits participating in it, is attested by the fact that the Communist press throughout the world ignored it. It also would seem to explain why the British suffered the meeting to be

The dissident Communists dominated the preceedings. The nominal president of the conference was Moham, but Bhakta and Singaravelu-Chettuar dominated it from behind the scenes In his presidential address Moham declared it was the aim of the Communists "to establish Swaraj or complete undependence by all fair means and after establishing Swaraj to see that it takes the form of a Sowiet republic.28 To attain this end Moham argued that Indian Communists should not accept the Candhist

precept of non violence as a "fixed principle," but on the other hand the Indian Communist party should also deny that the party "necessarily stands for bloodshed and terrorism" in the attainment of its goal Mohani also insisted that the Communist party was purely Indian in that at least for the present the work of our party will be restricted to India alone" and that as for the Third International

we are only fellow travellers on their path, and not their subordinates Neither do we give them any practical help nor do they extend any financial aid to us 27

Singaravelu-Chettiar, who had assumed the presidency of the Indian Communist party surpassed Mohani in disavoving any foreign influence on the policies and program of the Indian Communist party, averred

Indian Communism is not Bolshevism for Bolshevism is a form of Communism which the Russians have adopted in their country. We are not Russians Bolsheviks and Bolshevism may not be needed in India 25

Angered by these statements Ghate Joglekar and their fol lowers on December 28 1925, irresociably parted company with the group of Bhakta Mohani and Singarayelu-Chettuar and moved their headquarters from Cawpore to Rombay 28

moved their headquarters from Cawinore to Bombays and During the years 1926 and 1927 the Communist party of Great Britain did its tumost to earny out the task which had been devolved upon it by the Filth Congress of the Communist International in the summer of 1924, that of furthering a revolution in India for the benefit of Sowiet Russia Interest ingly enough the leading Communists in Britain in charge of the hoped for Bolshevization of the sub-continent were them selves of Indian blood the brothers Rajain Palme and Clemens Palme Dutt and Shapurji Saklaviala the latter having been elected a member of the House of Commons from a working class constituency in London Such leaders of the British Communit party as Harry Pollitt and R Page Arnot also took an active interest in Indian affairs Work in regard to India was

dealt with by the C.PGB s colonial bureau 30 The British Communists were of course under the overall supervision of the Executive Committee of the Comintern

74

The British Communist party furthered its policy in regard to India on six different fronts. The first front involved the propagandizing of Indian students attending Oxford and Cam bridge Universities so as to make them emissaries of Communism upon their return to India This Communist activity was fully confirmed by an investigation by the Chancellor and Proctors of Oxford University in 1926 As a result of this investigation as an alternative to expulsion from the University the Indian students were obliged to sign a written pledge that they would refrain from any association with Communists and would not disseminate Communist ideas in the future *1 Thus Com

munist advance on this front was halked The second front concerned the winning over of prominent Indian personages who should be visiting England to Communism. Three such Indians who visited London early in 1926 were N M Joshi the President of the All India Trade Union Congress and a member of the Indian Legislative Assembly and his fellow legislators the labor leader Chaman Lal and a certain Goswami The comrades of the CP GB attempted to convince these Indians that they should participate in an Oriental Convention" which would be Communist dominated Although Lal displayed definite interest in this scheme his fellow visitors

Lat displayed detante interest in this scheme his fellow visitors were averse to thus being made into puppets of Bolshevism so that this plan fell through Nonetheless the fact that Chaman Lal a fairly prominent left wing member of the Congress had been won over to an apparently fellow traveling position was encouraging to the British Community *2*

The that front involved the activity of a Community front organization in England known as the Labour Research Deorganization in England known as the Labour Research De-partment the task of which among others was to send Com-munst literature into India ³³ The principal Indian participant in this organization was a certain M G. Desai who subsequently returned to India toward the end of 1926 bringing a large mass of Communist propaganda with him ³⁴. The fourth front had as its concern an attempt to convert

Indian seamen on the docks of London to Communism. How ever this maneuver had little success.²⁵ In this connection we may note that Indian Communist couriers between Europe and India traveled in the guise of sailors.

The fifth front was the literary front—the attempt to formulate a specific Communist party line on India and was carried out by R. Palme Dutt in his book entitled "Modern India which appeared late in 1926. This book was a fairly thorough review of Indian conditions from the Marxist and Communist point of view.

Dut held that impersalist domination" had drained the lifeblood out of India 45 argued that the Indian upper classic existed "under the protection of the British bourgeois" as subordinate shareholders of the spoils 15 contended that the Indian bourgeoise as a whole cannot be trusted" and that fear of insurrection makes it 'hasten to the side of the British "38 and charged that the political arm of the Congress the Si ara just Party i as following a policy of surrender to impersalism "39 than 15 and 16 and 16

just Party 1 as following a policy of surrender to imperalism "2" In his political program Dutt maintained that India must enjoy complete independence "4" and to attain that end a Peoples Party" should be organized combining workers and peasants—this being analogous to the projected all Indian Work.

peasants—this being analogous to the projected all Indian Work ers and Peasants porty. In the free India there would be complete civil rights—notably freedom of speech and assembly. In his economic program Dutt advocated the "expropriation of the big Jandowners and the nationalisation of Jand." and in

of the big landowners and the nationalisation of land," and in industry a program for the amelioration of labor conditions by raising of wages, workmen's compensation on European standards and reforms of a like sort."

Thus with the exception of the provision on lard tenure buils program was a mild one a program in line with the view that Communists should collaborate to an extent with non-protestrian elements and thus was a continuation of the Lenin line of 1920

The sixth and most important front dealt with the sending of emissaries of the C.P G B to India We have already noted the sending of Gladding alias Cochrane to Hindustan in 1925 Early in 1976 the leaders of British Bolshevism decided to send

76

another agent to India, one who stood higher in the party hierarchy than Gladding The man selected for this mission was George Allison who was to travel to India under the false passport of Donald Campbell Allison was a high ranking British Communist who had previously attended a session of the ECCI in Moscow 44 He was instructed to organize a small illegal Communist Party and a large legal party-the Workers' and Peasants Party which, it was hoped, would operate on an All India scale, but, of course, would be Communist-dominated Allison was likewise charged to induce Communists to enter the National Congress and the All India Trade Union Congress with the ultimate hope that these organizations might be captured by the Communists 45

Allison whose funds for his mission were supplied from the 'Continent,' meaning Moscow, arrived in Bombay on April 80, 1926 and remained there until November of that year when he betook himself to Calcutta and carried on his Communist activities in the Bengali metropolis 46

During the year, 1926, the workers' and peasants' parties were maintained as fronts for Communism In February of that year maintained as troots for Communium in February of that Jean. Warrap Party of Bengal changed its name to the Workers' and Peasants Partys' and conducted 'an All Bengal Tenants' Conference 'a During the course of the year the party published its party organ known at first as 'Langal,' (the Plow) and later as "Ganavani," (The Voice of the People) 'a The arrival of Allison in Bengal spurred this party's activity at the end of that year

Allison also was largely instrumental in founding the socalled Congress Labour party of Bombay in the spring of 1926 shortly after his arrival in India 50 The Communists also founded a Workers' and Peasants Party in Lahore the Punjab in the spring of 1926 and they were laying plans in the latter part of the year of forming similar parties in other parts of India as well, with the hope that these separate parties might serve as the foundation stones for an All India Workers' and Peasants Party

The programs of these Red front parties in 1926 stressed the need for national independence, demanded the organization on class lines of the workers and peasants, and insisted that "direct action" by the workers and peasants would be the best means of attaining independence. The economic demands of these parties resembled those of R. P. Dutt.⁵¹ Their relative moderation indicated that the Communists were not determined on altenating the Indian bourgeoiste as a whole particularly that part which vigorously aspired towards national freedom.

It may be noted that the Congress Labour Party of Bombay

It may be noted that the Congress Labour Party of Bombay succeeded in 1926 in rejuvenating the revolutionary Girms, kamgar textile workers union which had been founded in 1923³⁹ but which had failed to gain numbers and influence after 1924. The revivincation of this union played an important role in spreading Communism among the workers of Bombay and encouraged the dissemination of Communist indeological notions thoughout India as well 37. The fact that Communist precepts were spreading in India out from Bombay was evinced by the feat that in 1926 the Punjabi Workers and Peasants Party put forth the first May Day demonstration in Indian history in Lahore 44.

Besides setting up front organizations in the form of workers

Besides setting up front organizations in the form of workers and peasants parties and trade unions the Communits in India endeavored to build up the organization of their own, illegal secret party during the year 1926 They were definitely interested in attracting members of former terrorist societies to their clandestine party organization and they were highly pleased when they recruited the services of Dharani Gowami former member of the "Anushilan Society" a terrorist organization in Bengal Gowami was instrumental in converting many of his former terrorist accomplices to Communisms 18 It was felt the terrorist would be most useful to the clandestine Communist Party when the day of Red resolution should arrive The entry of the terrorists compensated for the defection of the "undependent Communists led by Bhakta Singaravelu-Chettiar and Mohani. As a matter of fact these dissident Communists disappeared into obscurity during the years 1976 and 1927. In November 1926 the Thrid International issued the fol

In November 1926 the Third International issued the following instruction to the Indian Communists in regard to workers (w) and peasants (p) parties The W & PP of Bengal should call a conference to organ see the W & PP of India To this conference will be invited all organisations which now belong to the GP as well as other revolutionary working class or socialistic organisa tions that sympathies with us This conference will be entirely controlled by us and the W and PP of India will remain under our leadership The Central Committee will easily be composed of conscious Communists 84

In order to implement this directive the CPGB sent out at trusted agent to India named Philip Spratt a genuinely talented young Englishman who was a graduate of Cambridge University While attending that university he had been converted to Communism. Upon his graduation Spratt worked in the Labour Research Department, a front for British Communism in which post he directed not a little propaganda to India 48 Simultane outly he was also a member of a Communist-controlled agency known as the National Minorities movement. Indeed the view that his profession in Engliand was Communism was most apt 48

Thilp Spratts arrival in Bombay on December 1926 in the capacity of a 'humble bookseller for a firm known as B rrell and Carrot: tstelf a Communist front was to prove a philip' to the Communist movement in India 8º For immediately after his arrival Spratt effectively reorganized the Congress Labour Party under the new name of the Workers and Peasanis Party of Bombay In this capacity he did his utmost to educate Indian ardicals in the mores and precepts of international Communism teaching them duly to hold demonstrations on Lenin Day May Day the anniversity of the Bohkevit revolution and even to protest violently over the execution of the American radicals

Spratt and his Indian comrades conducted an important meet ing in Bombay on May 31 1927 which made an earnest attempt to clarify the relationship of Indian Communism to the Comin tern. Hence a declaration was put forth to the effect that

the CPI (Communist Party of India) looks up to the CP's of the world as well as the (Third) International for lead and guidance in the work undertaken by this Party in this country 41

The party specified that only those subscribing to the policies of the Comintern would be eligible for membership. The meet ing also approved the policy of the formation of workers and peasants parties the activities of which would be open in contrast to the clandestine activities of the CPI per se ⁴². In this session the leadership of S V Ghate was confirmed

in his being "elected" General Secretary *s although Spratt as chief emissary of the CPGB the tutor of India's Communists had in fact probably even more influence

The latter it may be noted conducted an extensive correspon

dence with his comrades in London which correspondence as had earlier been the case with that of M N Roy was inter cepted by the alert officers of the Indian G.I D. This correspondence mainly between Spratt and Clemens P. Dutt or R. Page Arnot was in cipher and invisible ink in the proper "cloak and dagger style."64

On the basis of its knowledge of the affairs of Indian Com munism the C.P G B decided to spur on the cause of Communism in India by sending new agents to wit Benjamin (Ben)

F Bradley and Shapurji Saklavala The former an active F Bradley and Shapurji Saklatvala The former an active worker in another of that partys from organizations the Workers Welfare League of India of London arrived in Hin dustan on September 23 1927 which arrival was heralded by the cryptic message "Engineer will shortly go to Glasgow "Glasgow here meaning Bombays" Havung joined Spratt in the latter city Bradley immediately plunged into Communit activity specializing it seems exclusively in trying to win over Indian trade unno leaders to Bolshevism."

Saklavala taking advantage of the presuge he enjoyed as a Brinh M P boldly sisted many important centres in India* where 'he delivered a number of spectnes, the substance of which received wide publicity in the Press*** He audaciously attended the Delhi session of the All India Trade Union Con attended the Delni Session of the All thinks 1740 Ontollo Con-gress in the pring of 1927 and the Caswiper Session of that body in the autumn of that year "1 On being praised by the All India Congress Committee on October 26 1927 for his seemingly pro-Indian policies "5 Saklatvala replied by sending a mesuge to the plenary session of the Congress assembled in Madras which called on the Congress to "awaken our working

20

masses, (and) organise our teeming peasants' and to carry on a boycott against British goods, 'not of a picturesque political kind but of an economic character "10 However, Saklatvala really injured the Communist cause by his own "autocratic manner", indeed, at one time he even refused to attend a secret conference of the Communist Party of India "because it was not officially affiliated with the Communist Interna tional'¹¹ From the British standpoint Saklatvala's conduct was so obnoxious that his passport was cancelled and he had

to return ignominously to England 12 All this activity by Spratt, Bradley, Saklatvala and their All this activity by spratt, pranticy, sakitavaia and uses indian accomplices did make for progress in the workers and peasants' parties during 1927. Thus that of Bombay came to control during that year to a large extent the organized labor movement of that city and it was even able to establish uself. as the official "opposition" to the Swarajist party there 72 As

as the official "opposition" to the Swarajist party there": as a symptom of its growth, two publications appeared under its aegis, one in the Marathi language boldly called kranti (Revolution) and another being denominated the 'Spark," the same name as the first pre-revolution clandestine Russian Bol shevik newspaper 1" As the premier Communist-controlled party in India, the Workers' and Peasants Party of Bombay by the end of 1927 maintained liaison not only with the Communist International and the CPGB, but also with the Red Inter national of Labour Unions, the U.S.S.R. Society for Cultural Relations with Foreign Countries and other Communist-con trolled and sponsored groups 18 The Workers and Peasants' Party of Bengal likewise became

The workers and reasonts Party of Bengal Inkewise became more active in 1927 although not to the extent of its sister party in Bombay In Bengal, under its General Secretary, S. N. Tagore, the Workers and Peasants Party expanded its activities, which were featured by the second conference of the party in Calcutta in February, 1927. It had received a vertiable rejuvenation towards the close of 1926 when Allison had come rejuvenation towards the close of 1920 when Almon has com-to Bengal and had reorganized its lagging ranks. The party continued to gain strength during the course of 1927 until at the beginning of 1928 it claimed an "affiliated membership" of over 10 000 " probably an exaggerated figure *6 It continued to disseminate Marxist propaganda through its journal now called "Jagaran" it Especially important to this party was the work of Dharani Goswami the erstwhile terrorist who was one of the founders of the Young Comrades League" the first Indian Communist organization aimed specially at attracting the youth of India to Communism 18

But the spread of Communism in the Peninsula was not limited to Indias two greatest metropolitan communities in 1927 Under the leadership of U. N. Mukerji and Puran Chan dra Joshi the United Provinces Workers and Peasants Party ura joint the United Provinces Workers and Peasants Party became active in that year "3" The Punjab also had its Workers and Peasants Party by the end of 1927 headed by its General Secretary Abdul Majud. Majud had been one of the Mujahirs who had been successfully indoctrinated in Communist ideology at the Bolshevik political academy" in Tashkent and in the University of the Tolers of the East in Moscow He subsequently had returned to India eluding British frontier guards on the way *0 This Punjabi party was particularly active in that it put out three journals and in that its agents were known to be in touch with Communists in China *1 Another Workers and Peasants party was also established in Ajmer in Rajputana in 1927 82 At the end of 1927 the Communist Party of India as such was

still little more than a secret society composed of the real (and sometimes nominal as well) leaders of the several workers and peasants parties 12 However it could hardly have remained otherwise for the British would surely not have permitted a otherwise for the British would surely not have permitted a Community party per se to operate boldly in the open Beades the workers and peasants appeared to be well serving the Bol shewk cause in India by attracting elements which could be controlled by the Communists in a "united front" type of party but which elements would not have desired to be enrolled as members of an out-and-out Communist party. In 1928 the secral workers and peasints parties became even more active. That party in Bombay was visibly strengthened in that year by the release from imprisonment of the Cawmpore comparator. S. A. Dange The latter immediately furthered the

Communist cause in the Girni Kamgar textile workers' union, in which organization he became General Secretary, se's while simultaneously he made inflammatory addresses, the contents of which were not unnoticed by the British authorities se's It was Dange who became the principle correspondent of Clemen P. Duit, the British Communist, who supervised the activities of

82

Dut, the British Communist, who supervised the activities of Indian Communists from London But it was Dange's colleague in Bombay, the Indian Communist R. S. Nimbkar, who was in direct contact with the Comintern in Moscow, which agency also supervised Dutt in London 1st The Bombay Workers' and Peasants' Party was particularly active in the labor organizations of that city and took an important role during the great textile strike in the Bombay area of which we shall presently take note.

The Workers' and Peasants' Party in Bengal was strongly.

The Workers' and Peasants' Party in Bengal was strongly bolstered in March, 1928 by the arrival of Spratt from Bombay Soon after the arrival of that British Communist, who im mediately inaugurated a program of extensive agitation amongst the workers of Bengal, at especially the railway workers, the third annual conference of this party took place on the thirty first of March At this meeting the current line of the workers' and pesants' parties, and hence that of the Indian Communists, was expounded The "Soviet Republics" which were held to be "menaced' by Britain were lauded,** the policy of British 'Imperialism' was thoroughly denounceds although it was stated periation was incoording temporated. Annough it is a bourgeouse' was denounced for its alleged "position of sub-ordination to British capital which resulted in its 'treachery and desertion" from the Indian national movement, 90 and the Indian petty bourgeoiste was held either to be (in its 'upper strata') 'following the bourgeoiste' or else (its 'lower strata') 'falling into indifference or a radical policy On the other hand in keeping with the then Communist line, the National Congress was not denounced as such, but rather, the comrades in Bengal were urged to become members of the provincial and All Indian Congress Committee and in the Congress to take "active part in such work as leads towards the development of mass movement" 11 The followers of the Workers' and Peas

ants Party of Bengal were informed also that it should have its

ants Party of Bengal were informed also that it should have its 'alliance with the petity bourgeouse' consolidated on the basis of direct action for 'complete independence' 92-a manifestation of the tactic of the "popular front"

The political program of the Bengal Workers' and Peasants' Party was defined in terms of proximate and ultimate goals. The ultimate political aims of the party were held to be those of 'complete national independence of India' and the convocation of a Constituent Assembly to be elected by universal siffrage Another ultimate goal was to be the 'abolition of native states.' The proximate political policy of the party would have also goal the boxecutine of the Simon Commission, then touring. as its goal the boycotting of the Simon Commission, then touring

as it goal the boycotting of the Simon Commission then touring India, and in the international sphere, the adherents of the party were called upon to support the Russian 'revolution,' "particularly in view of the danger of war,' presumably to be waged by the British against Sowiet Russia ⁵²

In order to carry out these political tasks it was urged that party workers should organize not only geographically in "Towns Districts Divisions and Villages" but also functionally by means of "groups" which would be "set up in Factories, Railways Mines "The party was also to organize "definite Youth organizations" and "women's sections "**

In the economic field both proximate and ultimate demands were also put forth. In the domain of agriculture, although the final goal was held to be the "abolition" of the landford system " immediate reforms such as "substantial reduction in rent" were stressed 35 In the field of industry immediate aims of a reformist nature such as an "eight hour day" and the "aboli tion of child labour" were emphasized to the exclusion of the radical prescription of the nationalization of industry of it was resurcing precuption of the instinuitation of industry. It was evinced by the mildness of the program, typical of workers' and peasants' parties in 1928, that the Communists hoped to entice many Indian liberals into these parties in order to utilize them all the better as fronts for the Communists.

It may be noted that Muzaffar Ahmad, another recently released Cawnpore compirator and the former terrorist, Dharant Goswami, played an important role in this Bengali conference and were ordered by it "to form a sub-committee to represent the party in making arrangements to form a united Party (of

84

organization in Bombay

Workers' and Peasants') and to hold an All India Conference in December next '5" The Workers' and Peasants' Party of Bengal increased both in size and in influence during the year 1928 and by the time that the All India Workers' and Peasants' Party held its conference in December of that year, the leadership of Spratt, Muzaffar Ahmad and Goswami had made the Workers' and Peasants' Party of Bengal nearly the equal of the like

During the course of 1928 the Workers and Peasants' Party of the United Provinces the activities of which centered in the town of Merut, likewise were extended in scope ** This party was ever more falling under the control of P C Joshi, a young has student The Punjabi party under Mohammed Abdul Majid

was likewise active and its principal organ, the 'Kitti' (Worker) was unusually vituperative and candid for a Workers' and Peasant' party publication. This journal with temeity dis played the Hammer and Sickle on its front page, and it did not shirk from asserting, in connection with a potential Anglo-Soviet war, that "the Russian Government is the toilers' Government and it encourages us to fight's "

In 1927 and 1928 under cover of a policy of 'friendship for the National Congression' the Communists really endeavored to infiltrate that body so as ultimately to control it. At that time a number of out and-out Communists were elected to the All India Congress Committee, the large executive Committee of the Congress and about this time a pro-Communist was elected to the Preudency of the Punjab Provincial Congress Committee 181 Beudes such Communists as Spratt and Joglekar who also attended the Madras Congress of Detember, 1927 played an important part in the proceedings of the Bombay Provincial Congress Committee which resolved to organize group agitation against the Simon Commission, 1938 which on the bass of 183

investigations was to report on whether India might or might not secure more autonomy

In those years the Communists secured marked influence with in individual unions and in the All India Trade Union Congress. The expressed intention of the Communists, through their workers and peasants parties was to base the trade union movement in India on the principle of the class struggle and to draw the workers into the nationalist struggle by means of a program of national independence which could be secured by direct action" especially involving the political strike as a weapon 103

A manifestation of the growing Communist influence in the Indian labor movement occurred at Delhi on March 12 and 13 1927 at the seventh annual session of the All India Trade Union Congress (AITUC) At that session hearty greetings and welcome were extended to Saklatvala who very officiously participated in the meeting 104 This session of the AITUC furthermore passed not only a resolution of welcome to the Indian Communist member of Britain's Parliament but also adopted resolutions praising the revolution in China and con demning the sending of Indian troops to that land which resolutions were in line with the Comintern policies 105

Even more than in the case with the Delhi session did the Campore Congress of the AITUC evince the growth of Communist influence in the Indian labor movement. At this session held in November 1927 a number of resolutions again were carried which were in conformity with the Comintern line 104 They included resolutions affiliating the AITUC. with that international Communist front the League Against Imperialism 107 and congratulating Soviet Russia on the tenth anniversary of the "October" Revolution 108 In addition to penetrating existing unions the Communists in 1927 also busily bolstered their own union of textile workers in Bombay the Girni Kamgar Union and organized their own Indian Seamens Union 109 In addition where they could the Communists aided and abetted the strike movement most notably in the strike on and abetted the strike movement most notably in the strike on the Bengal Nagpur Railways workshops at kharagpur near Calcutta in the early spring of 1927 and in a walk-out of the weaters of Bombay in the summer of that year ¹¹⁰

The Communists made considerable progress in 1928 in securing influence in the Indian labor movement especially in the Bengal and Bombay areas. Among unions they came to control were those of four Indian railway systems which gave

them the opportunity of potentially partially paralyzing the commerce of India 111 At the same time the Communists worked assiduously to augment their sphere of influence in the AITUC. In the annual conference of the AITUC, in Jharia in December, 1928, the Communists succeeded in having resolu tions enacted reaffirming the affiliation of the AITUC to the League Against Imperialism and opposing any affiliation with the anti-Comintern International Federation of Trade Unions In addition Communist leaders k N Joglekar and D R. Thengdi were assigned to be the AITUC representatives at a forthcoming conference of the League Against Imperialism Although at this session the moderate element amperatusm Attnooping at this session the molecule cleaned in the organization secured the election of Jawaharlal Nehru to the Presidency of the body, the Communists were compensated by the election of their men, Muzzafar Ahmad, Mohammed Majid and D B Kulkarni as Vice Presidents and Secretary of that organization 112

The growth of the strike movement also featured Red activity in Indian labor in 1928 Communist inspired and supported strikes broke out in all parts of the country Involved were the steel workers at Tatas mills in Bengal where 18,000 men struck¹³ the tin plate workers in the same province,¹¹⁴ the jute mill workers of Calcutta of whom 200 000 walked out,¹¹⁵ the workers of catedita of whom 200 on warren on operatives of the cotton mills of Sholapur, the woolen mills workers of Cawnpore and even the garbage collectors of Cal cutta 116 Of serious potential were the strikes of rail workers in Bengal and Southern India the latter region having been previously immune from the Communist virus 117

But the most important strike centered in Bombay and in volved the textile operatives of that city. The workers had had volves are texture operatives or mat easy are workers as a legitimate gireance in the early part of 1928 when the management of the mills endeavored to put into effect a scheme of standardization which resulted in reduced wages double work for each operative and a large measure of unemploy ment. The strike which involved over 60 000 workers was unique in the annals of Indian labor for its duration-six months-the strike lasting from April 28th to October 4th

1928 119 The walkout also saw the rise to prominence of the Red textile workers union the Girin kamgar Union and the Bombay Communist leaders particularly S A Dange and R S Nimbkar played an important role in furthering this strike which was successful in that the management of the textile mills agreed to abandon their standardization scheme and restored the old system of wages and working conditions 129 In England R. Palme Dutt hailed the walkout as the greatest strike in Indian history 121 but on the other hand non-Communist Britons and Indians recognized that the strike had resulted in the grave unsettlement of the working classes there. 122 Communist activity in the textile strike was fully appreciated by the British authorities who also noted Red instigation of other strikes in India during the course of the year 1928 123 Thus a CID report at the end of the year stated that there was hardly a public unity service or industry which had not been affected in whole or in part by the wave of communism which swept the country during the year "14".

The grave character of the strike wave in 1928 was attested to by figures showing that 506 851 workers were involved in them and that no fewer than 31647 604 working days were lost statistics which registered the unprecedented extent of the largely Communist inspired labor unrest. 128 It may also be noted that the workers in addition to their strike activities were all the more indoctrinated in Communism by political demonstrations such as that against the Simon Commission. Their participation in routine Red rituals notably May Day demonstrations 128 also contributed to their indoctrination into the ideology of Communism.

the ideology of Communism
In addition to their activity among the workers the Communist through their workers and peasants parties endeavored arduously to win over the Indian peasanty to the cause of Moscow This was particularly the case in Uttar Pradesh (United Province) 1¹¹ There were in 19% a number of peasants strikes in scattered parts of India which had particularly in Uttar Pradesh been insugated by the Communist. 1¹¹ However in general the Communists made little progress among the

workers in the year, 1928 129 The Indian Communists and their advisers of the CPG.B

were highly desirous of winning support from the educated youth Facilitating their purpose was Lester Hutchinson, a young, British, free lance journalist who arrived in India in September, 1928 Besides engaging in the activities of the Workers' and Peaasint's Party of Bombay, Hutchinson estab lished 'study circles" for young Indian intellectuals who read proscribed books' such as Stalins solume on Lenin, Buk harm's "A B C of Communism" and like samples of Red literature 180 It may also be noted that Spratt endeavored to establish Communist-controlled 'Youth Leagues' in his travels from "province to province during the course of 1928 181 In addition to winning over a number of impressionable educated Indian youths to Communism, the ideology of Com

munism made a profound impression upon a number of great Indian intellectuals who had nothing whatever to do with the clandestine Communist Party of India or its workers' and peasants parties Among them was the renowned Bengali poet, Sir Rabindranath Tagore, who in spite of his profound indi-vidualism, admired what he deemed were the great achievements of the Bolshevik Revolution, particularly in the develop-ment of educational and health facilities and over-all cultural progress in the Soviet Union as well as the growth of an alleged spirit of equality in Russia 122 Moreover, the most famous Indian Muslim intellectual at this time, Sir Muhammed Iqbal, also evinced sympathy for Communism In his writings Affair, and evinced sympathy for communism in his winner, the evidenced a hatred for Western capitalism composed many "socialist" poems and even employed at times the notions of Marx in his condemnation of the West. At one time. Iqbal even Marx in an condemnation of the West At one time Iqual eva-werred that Soviet Russia was already, to a certain extent, doing "Gods work," albeit unconsciously However, Iqbal appears not to have known precisely what Socialism' really meant is-Furthermore, Jawaharlal Nebru, son of Moulial Nebru, the then principal leader of the National Congress attended the "Congress of Oppressed Nationalisies" in Brussels of February, 1927, which founded the 'League Against Imperialism' 1948 It may also be noted that in a journey with his father, the 'younger Nehru visited the Soviet Union in November, 1927. Upon his return to India he had a book published which praised the domestic accomplishments of the Soviet Union, for example, Nehru noted that the Soviet government had 'practically' abolished illiteracy in urban areas ¹³². In foreign relations, Nehru insisted that Soviet Russia had no designs upon India whatsoever, but held by contrast that British foreign policy or design of the property was designed to crush' the Soviet Union 136 It was with this thought in mind that Nehru, besides sponsoring the well known independence of India resolution at the Madras session of the National Congress of December 1927, 137 also was the probable principle framer of a resolution which stated

that in the event of the British Government embarking on any warishe adventure and endeavoring to exploit India in it for the furtherance of their imperialist aim, it will be the duty of the people of India to refuse to take part in such a war, or cooperate with them in any way whatsoeter.

Jawaharial Nehru held this resolution indicated that the Indian people would not support an aggressive British war against the Soviet Union 129

We have now seen that it was the Communist Party of Great Britain which was the dominant agent for Moscow in the establishment of a growing Communist movement in India from 1921 to 1928. In this circumstance, the C.P.G.B. had

from 1921 to 1928 In this circumstance, the C.P.G.B. had sixtually eclipsed M. N. Roy However the latter tried his best—subject to the overriding will of the kremlin—to maintain a kind of none-too-firendly competition with the London comrades in the aim of winning India to Communism.

Thus in July, 1921, after the close of the Fifth Congress of the Comintern, Roy repaired to Paris which he felt might make a good Continental European headquarters for his plans in regard to India 144 However, his hopes in this regard were rudely shattered when on January 30 1925, he was summarily

hustled out of France and thrust across the Luxembourg frontier,141 a manifestation of sudden French hostility to Indian exiles which was also evinced in in Pondicherry, French India, by the internment of R. C. L. Sharma, the Communist leader there a few months before Roys expulsion 142 It is likely that both these moves were undertaken by the French at British suggestion.

However, Roys ruffled feelings over the ascendancy of the CPGB in Indian affairs and his expulsion from France were somewhat assuaged by his being appointed in February, 1925 as one of the four directors of the Far Eastern Bureau of the Communist International At that time Roy and his fellow directors of the Far Eastern Bureau put forth a pompous mani

festo calling for a British-empire wide revolution 143 In the mean time, the Bengali Bolshevik's devoted wife, Evelyn Roy, who had remained behind after the expulsion from there of her husband, boldly formed an organization in Paris known as 'le comité pro-Hindu (or Indian League), the ostensible purpose of which was to aid the cause of Indian independence and to secure the 'fulfillment of the pledges

made (to India) during the Great War "144 Actually it was

just a front to further the spread of Communism in India The society published a number of bulletins which, incidentally, complained bitterly about Roys expulsion from France 145 A showdown between the two competitive sets of fomenters of Communism in India occurred at a Communist colonial con ference in Amsterdam on July 11 12, 1925 Representing the CPGB were Messrs Clemens P Dutt, R. W Robson and

Gladding the latter just returned from his mission to India The meeting resulted in a most acrimonious exchange of heated remarks between these British gentlemen and the Roys over who should have the right to control Communist work in the British colonies" The chasm between the British Communist Party and the Roys' Indian bureau remained as gaping as ever 146 M N Roy then endeavored to re-establish his influence over the Indian Communist movement through his writing a book, which appeared in the spring of 1926, entitled, "The Future of Indian Politics' As in the work of his Communist

competitor R. Palme Dutts "Modern India that of Roy's diated on how British capitalism had robbed the Indian prople 1st complained about the alleged bent of the national ist bourgeoiste to join hands with the "imperalists against the revolutionary masses" sits demanded complete independence for India and called for the creation of a national workers and peasants party a revolutionary mationalist party which would unite the petty bourgeoiste and the peasantry in a "democratic coalition under the leadership of the profit traitat" ist This party would be known held Roy (as with Dutt) as the Peoples Party However to a greater extent than in the case of Dutt Roy insisted that besides this Peoples Party" the profetariat would have its own party—the Community tapty 130

In 1926 Roy organized a Western European group of Communist Indians leading members of which were men named Sepasis (Roy sprincipal licetinant) Haidar shan and Upadhaya which group maintained an unsuccessful competition with the C B G B of being the kremlins principal instrumentality in fomenting Bolsheyism in India Early in 1927 Roy was assigned by Stalin to assist in furthering the cause of Communism in China and so along with Borodin and General Bildcher he became one of the principal Communist agents who en deavored to further Communism in the erstwhile Celestial Empire. 111

Empire 11

In China Roy also conducted activities for the purpose of furthering Communism in India In the spring of 1927 operating from Canton and Hankow Roy supervised the sending of propaganda into India which awailed in the sharpest tones the Anglo-Indian government of the sub-continent. 110 On the anticipation that China would go Communist Roys propaganda appears to have been smuggled into India at least in part from across the seemingly inaccessible Tibetan sistem frontier 112 Roy also employed this route to Ioment strikes among the tea plantation workers of Ausam who being poorly paid and living under mistrable conditions were felt to be likely converts to Communism 110 least Roy diskinanted Community props

ganda among certain notoriously disaffected. Indians in China notably certain Silhs 135 Some of these were men who had served under Mahendra Pratep earlier in the decade. Their ven omous hatred for all things British was reflected in their literature which was of a "violently inflammatory nature" in both the English and Gurmukhi (a dialect of Punjabi spoken by the Sikhs) languages Contemporaneously Roy endeavored to get into contact with the Sikhs in Western North America and to convert them into supporters for Red revolt in India 1356.

However with the suppression of the Communists in China in the summer of 1927 Roy was compelled to give up these sundry activities and return to Europe From there on December 30th of that year Roy sent a long letter to the Indian Communists which was obviously an attempt on his part to regain his leadership of Indian Communists which had been lost to the emissaries of the British Communist party. The letter which was intercepted by British authorities chiefed the Communists for allowing conservative elements to enter the workers and peasants parties For example Roy argued that both the then president and a former president of the Bengal Workers and Peasants Party were landfords ¹³⁷
Roy made at clear in his letter that his centres in Berlin

and not the British Communists should act as Moscows lieu tenants in furthering Communism in India by stating that

the centres in Berlin are the agencies of the C1 (Communit International) to look after the Indian affairs The CP of India will have its relations with the CI through these centres and not through London 158

A tentative effort was made early in 1978 by the Commern to heal the breach between Roys group in Berlin which was mow putting out its own organ. Masses of India." as successor to the Advance Guard." and Moscow's principal lieutenant in Indian affairs the C.P.G. B. To this end instructions were sent forth for the creation of a three-man Foreign Bureau the members of which would be Roy Sepass and Allison the latter representing the C.P.G.B. which group would foment

Communist subversion in India 120 But in practice, the British Communists maintained their control, and Roy vented his dis pleasure by not attending the Sixth Congress of the Comintern, held between July and September, 1928, but instead sulked in his tent in Berlin

.

The British Communist Party, M N Roy and his "centres" and the Indian Communists themselves were not the sole in struments of Moscow's designs on India The leaders of the Soviets were capable of publicly laying down the policies be followed by international Communism towards India Thus on May 18, 1925, Stalin now emerging as Russia's dictator, noted in the case of India that the national bourgeoise had split into a revolutionary and a concilatory party' and held the latter group had come to terms with British imperialism because

fearing revolution more than imperialism more concerned about its moneybags than the interest of its own mother land, this part of the bourgeoisse the wealthiest and most influential, has both feet in the camp of the irreconciable enemies of the revolution (and) forms a coalition with imperialism against the workers and peasants of its own country ¹⁸⁰

As a result, held Stalin, "the resolution cannot be successful unless this coalition is broken." To break it a revolutionary bloc must be formed led by the professirat in general and the Communist in particular. This bloc could take the form of a single workers and peasants' party, which would embody an alliance of the professirat led by the Communists, and the 'revolutionary' ection of the bourgooise," which alliance would of course really be dominated by the professirat and, above all by its "ranguard," the Communist.*11

This policy which was substantially that which Roy had been trying to carry out nace 1922 and which as we have seen was the policy of the Communists in India during the years 1925-1928, would seem to be one of alarm to the British since it had been enunciated by Russia's emerging top man To sooth British nerves Foreign Minister Chicherin in an official state ment declared all the legends put out about the alleged inter ference of our Government in India are based on simple invention 162

Speeches by Stahn were not the only means of direct Soviet intervention in Indian matters. Thus not only did the General Secretary of the Red International of Labour Unions Comrade Lorowsky commend the aid of his Soviet sponsored organization to the Cawnpore Congress of the AITUC in November 1927 189 but the Soviet trade union system itself intervened in the large scale strike of Bombay textile workers a few months later According to the admission from a Communist source the sums of Rs 20917 and 14101 in two consignments were sent by the Central Committee of the Russian Textile Union to the Joint Strike Committee of the Bombay textile workers which enabled the latter to provide sustenance for the strikers 164 It may be noted that the first consignment was not strikers 144 It may be noted that the first consignment was not directed to Communist unionists but rather to N M Joshi as conservative Indian labor leader much to the chagrin of the former. However the receipt of bolshevik gold did not embarrass Joshi and he even remarked that this was not the first time that such help has come but the third time and added that there is nothing harmful in accepting money from Moscow or anywhere else for the support of the strike 134 In this context one may remark that a responsible observer of the history of Indian trade unionism has declared that Sovet assistance to India from the years 1925 to 1932 amounted to Rs 46 6408 in addition to 36 500 miles 138. Rs 46 408 in addition to 25 000 rubles 100

Rs 46 408 in addition to 25 000 rubles ¹⁸⁶
In addition to this tangble support the Soviets saw fit to bol ster the Communist cause in India in 1928 by moral support from their controlled press notably from a period cal entitled. Novi Vostok (New East) and also from the official parry new paper Fravda Indeed following the failure of the Chinese Communist revolution of 1927 Soviet attention was all the nore directed towards India and it was constantly repeated in the Russian press that the errors of the Communists in China should be carefully analyzed so that they would not again be repeated in India 181 It may be added, that the Russian Communists secretly sent an agent of their own to India, a certain Indian named Fazal Illahi, who had been trained in Moscow, probably at the Communist University of the Tollers of the East 182 This indicates that Moscow was not willing to yield the field of promoting Communism in India entirely to the Communist Party of Great Britain or to Roy, for that matter

In the summer of 1928 Moscow ordered a most significant change in policies of the Indian Communists. In the report of the Communist International prepared for the Sixth Congress of that organization, the whole concept of having the Indian Communist utilize the service of a worker's and peasants' party to further the Communist cause was challenged. The report held

(The) weak point of the Workers' and Peasants' Party 1s, that, in practice, it is acting more as a Left wing of the Congress than as an independent political Party. . It is entirely out of the question that the Workers' and Peasants' Party should be a substitute for the Communist Party, the organisation of which is absolutely necessary 1se.

The Sixth Congress of the Third International was duly held between July 17 and August 28, 1928 Representing the Indian Communists at this Moscow conference were four men, "Sikander Sur," and three individuals known as Raza, Mahmoud and Narayan "Sikander Sur," was none other than Shaukat Usmani who upon his release from prison had once more plunged into Communist work He "alipped out of India," "In at the end of June in order to attend the Sixth Congress Also present at the Congress was R. Palme Dutt who really directed the Indian delevation

As the principal Indian delegate Usmani stated what India's Reds' role would be in event of an Anglo-Soviet war-

We have already concrete plans to deal blows in the rear if Imperialism adopts the offensive We (shall) get the enemy between two fires, and his plans on Turketanh will be destroyed India is the most vulnerable spot from which it is possible to deliver British Imperialism a mortal blow 96 SOVIET

Every section of the Comintern must co-operate with us, and each in his own country must facilitate our work. With such co-operation, the day is not distant when we shall hurl British Imperialism into the Indian Ocean 111.

Delegate Raza dealt with the question of the workers' and peasants' parties in respect to the Communist Party of India He noted with some concern that in his official report to the Executive Committee of the Comintern, Bukharin, a leading Soviet delegate, had showed his distaste (and that of the E.G.C.I.) for these parties by not even mentioning them in It Raza affirmed that the "WP P"s" had so far been the organisers, of the workers in the present struggle against the bourgeointe—I act "which cannot be overlooked" and added in this struggle every credit must be given to our comrades who are influencing the whole movement through the Workers' and Peaants' Parties "IT But Raza quickly added his approval to the necessity for the development of a strong Communist Party in India 173 Reference to India was made by the well known Finnish Comintern leader, Kusunen who affirmed that India would

Reference to India was made by the well known Finnish Committen leader, Kuusinen who affirmed that India would be the area of the next serious revolutionary outburst Consequently, held Kuusinen, the Communist International should focus its attention' there and should thus strengthen the Communists in India 'to handle the coming revolutionary situation 114

India likewise was duly considered in the "Thesis on the Revolutionary Movement in the Colonies and semi-Colonies' adopted by the Sixth Congress' The theiss which presented a Communist interpretation of Indian history from 1914 to 1928, excorated 'British imperialism in the usual severe term 1928, excorated 'British imperialism in the usual severe term the national bourgeouse' was also castigated 118 But, unlike the case in Stalin's speech of May, 1925, (it appears the dictator had changed his mind) there was no differentiation between the 'Conciliatory' and the 'revolutionary' wings of this bourgeoise the latter wing being of such a nature the Communists could collaborate with it The thesis only stated the national bour grouse merely exerts a breaking retarding influence on the development of the revolutionary movement.' Hence, Com

munists should reject the formation of any kind of bloc between the Communist Party and the national reformist opposition although merely "temporary agreements" with them might be in order 127 For in India and other colonies Communist Parties" must "demarcate themselves in the most clear cut fashion both politically and organisationally from all the petty bourgeois groups and parties "128".

Referring again only to India it was stated that

the basic tasks of the Indian Communists consist in a strug gle against British Imperaism for the emancipation of the country for the destruction of all relies of feudalism for the agrarian resolution and for establishment of the dictator ship of the profestariat and peasantry in the form of a Soviet Republic.¹³⁹

In order to carry out this program there must be a union of all Communist groups and individual Communists scattered throughout the country into a single illegal independent and centralised party and so the effectuation of this union "rep e sents the first task of the Indian Communists ¹⁰⁰ As for the Communist controlled workers and peasants parties and the proposed All India Workers and Peasants Party the thesis warned the Indian contrades that "Communist are not recommended to organies such partiers" because they "can loo easily

be converted into ordinary petty bourgeois parties hence the Indian Communist Party can never build its organisation on the basis of a fusion of two classes the workers and the peasants 181

The thesis of the Sixth Congress of the Communist International therefore established a new party line for the Indian Communists by its emphasis on the need for the thorough establishment and independent isolation of the Communist party per se with a corresponding virtual al andoniment of the workers and peasants parties. The thesis likewise abandoned the tactic of a united front organist "imperatisism" a tactic that had been exinced by the participation of Communists in the National Congress itself and its tact approval of the Congress resultant from that bodys Wadras resolution on Indian inde-

pendence The thesis was manifestly also featured by its violent advocacy of armed insurrection as the ultimate aim of Communist activity in India On this basis the thesis was conductive to the weakening of the Communist position among potential fellow travelers of the non-Communist left who would be frightened by this new expressly violent approach Moreover the emphasis on the building up of a strong Communist party as such in India was somewhat assunine in that no overtly Communist party would possibly be permitted to exist openly by the Anglo-Indian authorities In the year 1928 the Communist Party of India as an illegal organization could only in fact exist as a necessarily small body completely obscured from the glate of publicity Consequently the decisions of the Sixth Congress of the Comintern as manifested in its thesis represented a reverse to Indian Communism That it did so in the eyes of the CPGB is indicated by the following notation from R P Dutt commenting on the new unfriendly line of the Comintern towards the workers and peasants parties as represented in the thesis.

90

The question of the Workers and Peasants Parties can not be dismissed with a phrase of this sort (their uselessness). The characteristic feature of the Workers and Peasants Parties in the present stage of development in India as that they are forming an important route through which the Communists are finding their way to the masses ³³²

In apparent defiance of the will of the kremin the Ind an Communities and their British mentors continued to work with the Workers and Peasants party Indeed the already scheduled First Conference of the All India Workers and Peasants Party which conference united delegates from the various parties of that name throughout India was held in Galcutta in December 1928 193 This conference was an open one and was tolerated by the British authorities

But this was not the only conference to be held in the capital of Bengal The other was a conference of the Communist Party of Ind a a meeting des gned to discuss the new I nes which the Communist Party of India should take in view of

the decision taken at the Sixth Congress of the Communist International This Conference, it may be remarked, was a strictly clandestine meeting of Communist bosses

As with other Communist and Communist-controlled conferences, that of the All India Workers' and Peasants' Party in Calcutta duly presented an elaborate thesis which volently assailed the "provocative and apparently stupid policy of British imperialism," ³³⁴ and severely castingated the "Indian bourgeoisie" for its alleged policy of retreat ⁷³⁵²

As to its program the thesis came out for complete national independence for India the expropriation of the zamindars (landlords) the nationalization of key industries, and reforms in industry such as that of the eight hour day ¹⁸⁶

International questions it may be added, were also not absent in the thesis of this pupper party. Its members were informed that a campaign of propagnida must be conducted against the war danger, and particularly against the war preparations against Soviet Russia," while at the same time.

the international nature of the revolutionary nationalist and working-class movement must be emphasized in a concrete manner and examples from current politics brought before the masses, particularly the workers ¹⁸⁷

But while speeches were uttered and resolutions were drawn up at the conference of the Workers' and Peasants' party of December, 1928, in another part of Calcuta the entirely secret meeting of the real leaders of the Workers' and Peasants' party took place on 121A Gircular Road-18s There, acting upon the directives of the Communist International which had been reinforced by a letter from M N Rop in the preceding September, 18st the Real leaders of India decided that the Communist party which had previously not functioned as such and was only at most a small secret society, must "come out into the open," since their "objective," a "resolutionary situation was there". The comparation also agreed that their party should apply for formal affiliation to the Communist International as one of its sections It was spreed that every effort should be

made to overthrow the rule of the Anglo-Indian government and to destroy the economic power of the Indian bourgeoisie 180 At the same time a constitution for the party specifically de nominated as the Communist Party of India was drawn up and its executive committee was selected. The members of this executive committee who fancied that their operations were concealed from the Anglo-Indian authorities busily furthered their plans for revolution, which plans were discussed at a special meeting held in Bombay on March 17 19 1929 181 But before that meeting was held, the British Communists un doubtedly received orders from the Comintern that their Indian protegés must abandon the workers and peasants parties forth was meekly obeyed Hence reflecting obedience to this command R P Dutt declared I think that there is a very real danger of the petty bourgeois elements getting hold of the WPP s 192 As a consequence just one month after the session of its All India Congress the workers and peasants party movement was abandoned by the British Communists and their Indian charges loyally followed suit Henceforth in the publi cations of the Comintern the workers and peasants parties were treated with savage scorn and harsh hostility 193

At the meeting of the Communist leaders in Bombay between March 17th and 19th 1927 the new course the Communist Party thould take was ducuised At this meeting Dr G M Adhikati who while he was in Berlim obtaining a Doctorate in Chemiury had been converted to Communism by Roy's centre' in the German capital presented concrete proposits for the organization of the party By hu plan the Indian Communist party was to be organized into five departments dealing with trade unions the peasantry propagands organizational development and political control—the details for the formulation of which were to be formulated by a sub-commutiee. This program for a hoped for puissant Communist party was accepted in theory ³⁴⁸ The meeting undoubtedly also stud ed a memorandium of volent character issued by the Communist International which called for a revolution in India After having called for multitian support for a revolution in India.

this manifesto from Moscow concluded with the dramatic words "Long live the Soviet Republic of India' 185

This represented a challenge by Moscow to Britain's rule in India and the British were determined to meet it. British authorities in London and New Delhi alike had been by no means ignorant of Russia's fifth column inside India Scotland Yard and the CID of India were generally aware of the movements of British and Indian Communists, and, as we have noted, much of the correspondence which passed between Com munists in India and their colleagues in Britain was duly intercepted British officialdom was thus cognizant of the Com munist threat to India and important officials periodically indi cated that knowledge publicly For example, on May 15, 1925, the Home Secretary of the Conservative Ministry of Stanley Baldwin, Sir William Joynson Hicks made the flat declaration that India is one of the first objectives of the Bolshevik campaign The East is the main endeavour of the world revolution "Ige

Certain prominent Indians were also aware of the Red threat. Thus Shiva Rao the Chairman of the Executive Committee of the AITUC and foe of the Communists in that body averred in May, 1928

The time has come when the trade union move ment in India should weed out of its organisation, mischief makers A warning is all the more necessary because there are certain individuals who go about preaching the gospel of strike 193.

Moreover, the saintly Gandhi, spiritual leader of the Congression and the leadership of the Muslim League had no sympathy for the Communists.

The Anglo-Indian government was determined, in the words of Shisa Rao to "weed out" the Communist "mischief makeri" of India As early as the close of 1924 and January, 1925, the Anglo-Indian police conducted a series of raids on Communist and pro-Communists organizations which culminated on January 30th in the closing down of a clandestine newspaper in Campiore called "The RevOutionary". This paper had claimed

that it was following in the footsteps simultaneously of the glorious Indian rishis (sages) of the past and 'Bohhevist Russia (of) today "rish In January, 1927, George Allson, alias Donald Campbell was arrested by the Anglo-Indian police while or ganzing the Bengal Workers' and Peasants Party He received a prison sentence of eighteen months the following March and was then deported to England 200

On August 25, 1928, the Anglo-Indian government requested the Indian Legislative Assembly to enact legislation permitting the deportation of subsersive agents who were not British Indian subjects or subjects of Indian states Such agents could either be other subjects of Creat British or nationals of other countries.²⁰¹ Manifestly, non Indian Communist agitators were the persons aimed at by the proposed law

The bill was opposed by Indian Nationalists, both in their press and by their representatives in the Assembly, on the ground that the measure could be employed against all foreign ers who show or act in sympathy with Indian aspirations, economic and political. 2002 As a result, in spite of the fact that the bill was supported by most Muslim representatives and the 'Europeans, it suffered defeat in the Assembly on September 25 1928 by the tie-breaking vote of the speaker of the Assembly, the Congress leader, Vithalbhai Patel 203

The government of the Viceroy, Lord Irwin (now Lord Halifax) re introduced this measure, known as the Public Safety Bill on January 21, 1929 with hopes that the manifestly growing influence of the Communist party as indicated by the audacious convention of the All India Workers and Peasants' Party in December, 1928, would have created such apprehension as to secure its enactment 264

To lachtest the bills passage, Lord Irwin addressed the Assembly and declared that fo him the disquency spread of Communism had been "causing an anxiety" and he argued that all classes of Indian society were endangered by the dissemina tion of Communism doctrum He also contended that both Bombay and Calcutta had suffered from Communist instigated Strikes 205

Once again the Congress forces in the Assembly, led as in

the previous year by Motilal Nehru, fought the bill on the floor of that body with all the parliamentary dexterity of which they were capable 200 Consequently, the Anglo-Indian govern ment determined to act without waiting for Assembly action Striking suddenly and swiftly in the latter part of March, 1929, police swept down on the Communists' headquarters and the headquarters of their front organizations, in Bombay and Poona in the West, in Calciuta and Dacca in the East, and in Lucknow, Allahabad and Lahore in the North Hundreds of houses were

searched and much Communistic literature in English and seriacular tongues examples of which bore such titles as "What is Communism" and "What is Bolshevism" were seared set At the same time many arrests were made throughout India which involved all Moscows important minions in the sub-continent In Bombay, S. A. Dange, Shaukat Usmani, (who had sneaked back into India after his visit to the Sixth Congress of the Commeters) S. V. Ghate, R. S. Nimbkar, S. S. Mirajkar and G. M. Adhikari were apprehended as was Ben Bradley, their British Communist menior. In Calcutta those arrested included Muzzifar Ahmad. Dharam Gossami, and R. R. Mitta, the leading Indian leaders of Communism in Bengal and their British adviser, Phillip Sprait. P. G. Josh and Abdul Majid, the Communist leaders, in the United Provinces and the Panjab respectively, were likewise taken into custody "68 Shortly after wards the young Britisher Leister Hutchinson editor of the newly formed pro-Communist journal, "New Spark," was also arrested, 30 In all no fever than thirty two leading Indian lefutus together with their three British turns were arrested with the store of Serven 1213, of the Indian enewly cole

Muzaffar Ahmad Dharant Gossami, and R. R. Mitra, the leading Indian leaders of Communism in Bengal and their British adviser, Phillip Sprait P. C. Joshi and Abdul Majid, the Communist leaders, in the United Proxinces and the Punjab respectively, were likewise taken into custody *98 Shortly after wards the young Britisher Lester Hutchinson editor of the newly formed pro-Communist journal, "New Spark," was also arrested. *99 In all no fewer than thirty two leading Indian leftuist together with their three British tutors were arrested under the authority of Section 121A of the Indian penal code which legalized the imprisonment of those who would "deprive the King of the sovereignty of British India." the same proxion which had been utilized in the Cawmpore Computary case. Not all of those arrested were Communists but even these had been fellow travelers who had supported the Communist cause in varjing degrees. The arrests broke the back, for a time, of the Communist conspiracy in India. The arrests likewise dealt a secret blow to the over all labor movement in India, many unions there especially in Bombay and Calcutta losing

again thwarted.

their leaders. This fact manifestly testified to the extent to which the Communists had been able to penetrate into the

Indian labor movement in the spring of 1929 210

Further emphasizing its determination to stamp out Com munist activity, the Viceroy proclaimed the Public Safety Bill as law on April 13, 1929 by vice regal ordinance which was his prerogative under the British Indian Constitution of 1919,211

and in the same month the Legislative Assembly enacted a "Trades Disputes" Act which like the Public Safety Bill, was

passed for a duration of five years. The former act, which was evidently aimed against Communist labor organizers, barred cer tain types of strikes in public utility services and sympathy strikes and also granted the authorities the right to ban strikes which the latter deemed would endanger the public interest.²¹²
Thus Communism in India had suffered severe reverses By Moscow's ukase they had been compelled to abandon their collaboration with the Congress and the formation of a broad front of workers peasants and petty bourgeoise in an All Indian Workers and Peasants Party By the Public Safety Act, they could no longer legally receive open support and advice from British Communists within India itself, by the Trades Union Act their activities in the Indian labor movement were bound to be curtailed and by the arrest of their leaders, the Communist movement in the sub-continent was veritably para lyzed and thereby the designs of Moscow on India were once

Underground Communism in India

After having arrested the leaders of the Communist Party of India the Anglo-Indian Government sent them to the town of Meeritt in the United Provinces (Uttar Pradesh) to be tried for conspiracy against the rule of the king Emperor in India 1 The reason for the selection of Meeritt a relatively small provincial town as the venue of the trial was the fact that the Workers and Peasants Party of the United Province had been organized there even though this branch of the Communist conspiracy in India was small as compared to the Communist groups in the metropolitan areas of Bombay and Calcutal 2 On the other hand Communists complained that Meerit had been selected as the venue of the trial to "smash" the revolutionary movement. For example Meerit was designed to deter European Communists from giving any help to the revolutionary movement in India 18.

Of the thirty three men (the journalist Lester Hutchinson was arreited several weeks after the detention of his comrades) arrested twenty were Communists by membership or conviction including the Britons Spratt and Bradley The remain ing were in varying degrees fellow travelers of the Communist Party of India 4 All the prisoners Communist and fellow travelers* alike were sternly refused bail by the British authorities.

Owing to the peculiar importance of the case a special preliminary trial known as the Magisterial Enquiry was carried on in Meerut against the Communists under Special Magistrate Milner White and Langford James was the chief prosecutor for the Crown 6 As a result of the efforts of a special committee set up by the All India Congress Committee, a fairly imposing staff of Indian attorneys were brought together to defend the prisoners?

The arrests needless to say, provoked bitter reaction not only from the Communists of Russia who were naturally angered by the severe blow administered to their agents in India, and from the British Communists, and radical elements in Indian labor, but also from non Communist radicals in England and from the leaders of the Indian National Congress as well

Moscow was manifestly furious that the promising Communist movement in India had been so suddenly decimated Russian fury was reflected in an unusually violent manifesto from the Communist International which appeared early in April, 1929, a few weeks after the arrests In the manifesto "British im peralists" were branded as "the plunderers and hangmen of India and the Swarajists and other bourgeos parties were assailed as 'traitors to the Indian National Revolution' The Legulative Assembly was referred to as 'this Diet of a handful of corrupted plutocrats," while the Simon Commission was denounced as "a gang of spies roving about India." This was in line with the assumption that "imperaism is shringing itself with all the force of military terror against the toilers of India." This "terror" was supposed to be correlated with hostic designs of Great Bittain upon the Soviet Unica, for the manifesto argued

The plans of British imperialism for the coming world slaughter are set by its aim to hold fast is monopoly in the plunder of India without having to share out its profits with other imperialist robbers Subjugared, exhausted, losing millions of her sons through starvation, India must also provide cannon fodder for the British year machine?

The notion that India would play a role in an imaginary British attack upon Russia was further alluded to in the expression. The threads of imperialist war now being plotted are atteched out to India, for, relying on India as a base, "British imperialism" is preparing to carry out its long cherished.

plan of a strategic assault on the Soviet Union presumably across Afghanistan and into Russian Central Asia. In addition the mainfesto blundy maintained that only a profestarian revolution will destroy imperalism and (its) bourgeous allies, and that here in India meet the paths of the growing world revolution marching into war against imperalism. ¹⁰ Thus the manifesto gave vent to Moscow a feeling of enrared frustration on the studen disaster to befall the Communist movement in India. ²¹ The manifesto is also interesting in its savage assault on the Indian bourgeous which was in furtherance of the line dating to the Communist solven the profestariat which the communist bioped to control and those bourgeous elements seeking autonomy or even complete independence for India. ²⁴ Another blast from the Voscow Committen issued on April.

7 1929 specifically dealt with the Meerut arrests stating.

Imperaism is huring itself with all the force of military terror agains, the toilers of India. Their trade union leaders their newspaper editors and the participants of open conference of workers and peasants are threatened with execution or with hard labour in prisons. Justice must be meted in the colonial chamber of torture without even the farce of a sworn jury or the staging of a trial. It is to open a new chapter in the bloody oppressions of the country with its 500 000 000 people. ¹²

Outside of the Communists the non-Communist but leftust Independent Labour Party of Britain was also bitterly crutical of the arrest of the Indian radicals and evinced considerable sympathy for them 14 However the Labour Party as well as the British Trades Union movements leadership condoned the arrests 18 Indeed it was not until 1935 when the Labour Party (which was in office from 1997 to 1931) was again in opposition that criticism was expressed by leading Labourities on the trial of the radical agitators of India 198

The news of the arrest of the Communists and their fellow travelers engendered much excitement throughout India particularly in Bombay There on March 28, 1929 some 3,000 for the Crown 4 As a result of the efforts of a special committee set up by the All India Congress Committee, a fairly imposing staff of Indian attorneys were brought together to defend the prisoners?

The arrests, needless to say, provoked bitter reaction not only from the Communists of Russia who were naturally angered by the severe blow administered to their agents in India, and from the British Communists, and radical elements in Indian labor, but also from non Communist radicals in England and from the leaders of the Indian National Congress as well

Moscow was manifestly furious that the promising Communist movement in India had been so suddenly decimated Russian fury was reflected in an unusually volent manifest from the Communist International which appeared early in April, 1929, a few weeks after the arrests. In the manifesto, 'British im peralists' were branded as 'the plunderers and hangmen of India" and the Swarajists and other bourgeous parties were assailed as 'traitors to the Indian National Revolution." The Legulature Assembly was referred to as 'this Diet of a handful of corrupted plutocrats," while the Simon Commission was denounced as 'a gang of spics rowing about India. "This was in line with the assumption that 'imperialism is hurling itself with all the force of indiary terror against the toilers of India." This terror, was supposed to be correlated with hostle designs of Great Britain upon the Soviet Unica, for the manifesto

The plans of British imperialism for the coming world slaughter are set by its aim to hold fast it monopoly in the plunder of India without having to share out its profits with other imperialist robbers. Subpigated, exhausted, losing millions of ther sons through starvation, India must also provide cannon fodder for the British war machine?

The notion that India would play a role in an imaginary British attack upon Russia was further alluded to in the expression "The threads of imperalist war now being plotted are stretched out to India," for, relying on India as a base, "British imperalism" is preparing to carry out its long cherished plan of a "strategic assault" on the Soviet Union, presumably across Alghanistan and into Russian Central Asia * In addition, the manifesto blundy maintained that "only a profestarian revolution will destroy imperalism and (its) bourgeois allies" and that here in India meet the paths of the growing world revolution, marching into war against imperalism '19. Thus the manifesto gave vent to Moscow's feeling of enraged firstration on the indiden disaster to befull the Communist movement in India 11. The manifesto is also interesting in its savage assault on the Indian bourgeoise which was in furtherance of the line dating to the Communist so Sixth Congress that no popular front should be established between the profestariat, which the Communists hoped to control, and those bourgeois elements seeking autonomy or even completes independence for India 21.

Another blast from the Moscow Comintern issued on April 7, 1929 specifically dealt with the Meerut arrests stating

Imperialism is hurling itself with all the force of military terror against the toilers of India Their trade union leaders their newspaper editors and the participants of open con ference of workers and peasants are threatened with execution or with hard labour in prisons. Justice must be meted in the colonial chamber of totture without even the farce of a sworn jury or the staging of a tral I is to open a new chapter in the bloody oppressions of the country with its 500 000 000 people. ¹⁹

Outside of the Communists the non-Communist but lefust Independent Labour Party of Bratian was also butterly critical of the arrest of the Indian radicals and exinced considerable sympathy for them.¹⁴ However, the Labour Party as well as the Brutish Trades Union movements I caderhup condoned the arrests ¹⁵ Indeed, it was not until 1935, when the Labour Party (which was in office from 1929 to 1931) was again in opposition, that criticism was expressed by Jeading Labourities on the trial of the radical agitators of India ¹⁶

The news of the arrest of the Communists and their fellow travelers engendered much excitement throughout India, par ticularly in Bombay There, on March 28 1929 some \$000 workers, belonging mainly to the Red-controlled G I P (Great Indian Pennisula) Railwaymeni's union staged a 'procession' of protest which was broken up by a 'baton charge' by the police when the "men refused to disperse "15 Simultaneously, fourteen textile mills were struck in protest by workers whose Red Girm hamgar Union had been especially decimated by the arrest of its leaders ¹⁶ However, pressure by the authorities made this strike a short one. The fact that in Bombay a num ber of Anglo-Indian infanity units were mobilized as well as squadrons of well armed police prevented the demonstration in that city from becoming more serious

The news of the arrests had surprisingly strong repercussions in the National Congress and its political arm, the Swarajist Party A Central Defence Committee, consisting chiefly of important Congressmen was formed to aid the accused. On March 53, 1929 this committee, which had been set up by the Congress Working Committee, "contrary to its usual practice," made a grant of Re 1,500 towards the defense 1s usual practice," made as a made to the public over the signature of Pandit Motilal Nehru and other Congress leaders to support the defense fund of the prisoners 20

The fact that a number of the accused were persons holding important rank in the Congress undoubtedly contributed to the solicitude of the leaders of that organization for the welfare of those, who had they been able, would surely have carried out policies detiremental to the National Congress 11 It may also be noted that the Meerut convicts received sympathetic attention from a considerable portion of the Indian press 22.

Among noted Indians taking an interest in the cause of the

Among noted Indians taking an interest in the cause of the imprisoned radicals was Pandut Jawahatal Nehrin In his auto-biography he remarks that he became a member of the Mecrut Coispiracy Case Central Defence Committee. In this capacity, Nehru observes that he and his collesques had no easy time in dealing with the accused since there was a complete lack of harmony between them ²⁰ thus indicating a split between the outright Commitists and the erstwhile fellow travellers who now felt that they had taken the wrong road in their collaboration with the minimons of Moccow.

It may be noted that Jawaharlal Nehru himself was not at that time beyond suspicion in regard to the Meerut conspiracy case. His visit to Moscow in 1927 and the resulting praise he had bestowed on the Soviet Union must have engendered a measure of suspicion towards him on the part of the British authorities. This suspicion was all the more brought forth during the course of the trial when one of the exhibits was revealed as a letter from the Communist Party of India to Jawaharlal Nehru which stated

If you are organisationally prepared, you will be able to strike a blow just as Gandhi was able to do in 1921. But I hope that this time there will be no sentimental nonseas about the shedding of a few litres of blood and that the revolutionary movement will be on purely materialistic lines.²⁴

Indeed, at one time, the prosecution actually called on Nehru to produce other letters written to him by the Communists, however he was able to escape interrogation by the Crown counsel 13

Mahatma Gandhi also visited the Meerut prisoners in the autumn of 1929, but he was not particularly active in their behalf Convict Hutchinson claimed that "he (Gandhi) had washed his hands of us "16

During the course of the Magisterial Inquiry and the formal trial in the Sessions Court which followed, the attorneys for the Crown stressed the relationship of the Indian Communits with the Commetern of Moscow Accordingly, in the opening phase of the Magisterial Inquiry, the cluef prosecutor for the Crown Langford James emphasized the role of Moscow in carrying on Communits propaganda in India and among Indian students residing in various British universities. Beddes an other attorney for the Crown J. P. Mutter, specifically argued that the compilizery "was conceived in Europe, primarily in Moscow and had been throughout fomented directed and financed from there" In addition the prosecution pointed out the relationship of various Moscow-controlled and directed front agencies such as the Red International of Labour Unions,

the National Minorities Movement and the League Against Im perialism to the furtherance of Communism in India ²⁹ Besides stressing the subversive character of the Comintern to

Besides stressing the subversive children counsel James the entire non Communist world Chief Crown Counsel James also emphasized the view that the Communists who in Russia harshly oppressed their own people ¹⁰ were bent on annihilating the social structure of India notably the so-called national bourgeomie ²¹

Anticipating a defense argument to the effect that since there was technically no Indian Communist party which was formally a branch of the Communist International and that therefore Indian Communists were not officially members of the Communern Prosecutor James held that it was sufficient to show the Indian Communists were exting at the behest of the Third International to warrant the conviction of the Indian conspirators ³²

Third International to warrant the conviction of the anusan conspirators \$1.2 For the Crown Counsel the activity of the Communists in the Indian labor movement was a valid case in point. He noted they had made an alloui selfort to secure control of unions engaged in vital and induspensable public services and indeed they boasted of having captured and controlled most of the big trade unions *3* The immediate purpose of all of this Communist trivial had James was to bring about a general strike on an extensive scale on the First of May 1929 *2! indeed the Indian Communists were engaged in vigorous planning upon this ambitious task when they were arrested in March of that vear*

Another valid instance of Committen inspired activity in India involved efforts by the Communists to subvert young India involved efforts by the Communists to subvert young Indian intellectuals For this aim noted the Grown Counsel Communist-controlled associations such as the Calcius Socialist Youth Conference were founded and study circles were started to effectuate the dissemination of Communists.

Realizing that the serure of the Indian radicals was un popular to say the least among influencial Indians notable in Congress circles, Prosecutor James sursessed those features of Communium which he felt were utterly distanteful to the Indian

Nationalists Thus he stated

Now to be a Bolshevik, of unimpeachable character you do not lose your country, you are anti-country, you are anti God and you are anti family In fact at is fair to say that a Bolshevik, of unimpeachable character is anti-everything which the normal man can consider decentary.

Chief Prosecutor James went on to lay great stress upon the anti-patriotic and anti-religious character of Indian Communists. However, he made it clear that the case was not directed against non-Communist trade unionists nor against Indian Nationalists. It was directed exclusively against the Communist conspiracy which was hatched in Moscos. 39

As rebutal, the Indian attorneys for the defense presented a bewildering variety of arguments. The senior Defence Counsel, Mr. D. P. Sinha, maintained that the case represented the first systematic prosecution of the Anglo-Indian Government of a num ber of men for "holding certain ideals and cherishing certain beliefs," even though their actions had really not been illegal "on Another defense attorney, b. C. Chakravity argued that "the only law which applied to such a conspiracy was the Law of Nations." Hence a "municipal tribunal" such as that of Meerut had no true jurisdiction over the case "il He also contended, apparently in earnest, that the charge of depriving the king Emperor of sovereignty in India was an invalid one, because "if anyone should successfully deprive the King of sovereignty, laws promulgated by His Majesty would ecase to exit." As a result, the person or persons depriving him of sovereignty would frame new laws and establish their own courts." and so "it would not be possible to prosecute them in their own courts." Hence, "the act of such depriving was no offence "a courts." Hence, "the act of such depriving was no offence "a

While opposing counsel were presenting these arguments, certain of the prisoners boldly manifested their loyally to Communism On July 18, 1929 some of the comparators as dactously sang the "Internationale" and on the following September 15th, the sedate count was startled by shoust of some

defendants of "Down with the White Terror and the British Government," and the singing of the "Red Flag "42 In addition certain of the accused boldly admitted Communist precepts Muzaffar Ahmad stated, "I am a revolutionary Communist, 44 Dange audaciously asserted that 'the aim of the Communist is the overthrow of imperialism and capitalism and (the) im mediate aim of the Communist in India is the overthrow of British imperialism,"43 while Nimbkar noted that 'we have no objection to help by the Russian Working Class, in fact, we consider that India should welcome such help "46

In the latter part of September, 1929, certain of the Com In the latter part of september, 1925, the latter part of september, 1925, munits comparators, emulating the methods of Gandhi, en gaged in a fast on the ground they were ill-treated in juil "By the Islith day of the strike, the prisoners were suffering du comfort, and they were pleased to suspend it on the excuse that the All India Congress Committee (a bourgeois-dominated organ at that!) had passed a resolution calling on the Meerut prisoners to abandon their fast since it was no longer necessary "48

The first phase of the Meerut Conspiracy Case, the Magis terial Inquiry, lasted seven months from the middle of May to December 15 1929 On January 13 1930 the Committal Order by which the accused were fornally ordered to be tried by the Court of Special Sessions was rendered All the prisoners except Dharamvir Singh who was released, were committed to stand trial in the formal process 49

The formal trial of the Indian Communists and their fellow travelers the second phase of the conspiracy case, got under travelers the second phase of the computacy case, go, unuser way before Jugge R. L. Yorke in the Court of Special Sessions in Mearut on January 31, 1930 in spite of efforts by the defense to effect a change of venue and institute trait by jury 30. The trial then dragged duly and dully along featured by the defendants dilatory tactics in their exercise of their right to cross-examine the numerous witnesses for the Crows 10 On March 17, 1931 after no fewer than 281 witnesses were ex amined the prosecution concluded its case This was followed by the rendering of the formal statements of the accused The Communists took advantage of this occasion to proclaim au dactously and defiantly their ideology 52 Late in January 1932,

the formal defense of the accused was mangurated Although attorneys D P Sinha, Sheo Prasad and Pandit P L. Sharma served as defense counsel at this time, some of the accused chose to plead their own cases ³³

During the proceedings involving the formal defense of the accused, which was live months in duration, when the non-Countries are the services of thirty-six witnesses, the most notable of these being N M Joshi, the then principal leader of the Indian labor movement. The Communist accused endeavored to call witnesses from abroad, but when this request was denied by the court, the Indian comrades decaded to call no witnesses at all to their defense by way of a protest.

The traal reached its closing phase on June 16, 1932 when the special prosecutor for the Crown, M I Kemp, renewed the prosecutions case against the defendants In conformity with Indian legal procedure, four Indian assessors—advisory judges—rendered their verdicts on August 17, 1932, which had no binding force whatever It is noteworthy, however, that they found most of the Communists guilty and many of the non Communists not guilty ⁸⁸ The court was now adjourned for judgment

It may be noted that in the period of over three years in which the trial had taken place, during both the Magisterial Inquiry and the Court of Sessions proceedings, no fewer than 637 witnesses (nearly all were witnesses for the Grown) were examined and some 2500 documents covering 10000 printed pages were adduced as evidence. To great was the strain on the prosecution in presenting this mammoth amount of evidence that several members of the prosecution staff broke down and Langford James, the Chief Crown Counsel deed in May, 1930 his place being taken as noted, by M 1 kemps.

After a delay of several months, the Sessions 1040c R. L.

and Langford James, the Chief Crown Counset used in vizy, 1930 his place being taken as noted, by M I kimps After a delay of several months, the Sessions Judge R L. Yorke, on January 17, 1933 delivered his vertuck, which was binding but subject to appeal to the High Court at Allahabad He sentenced the Bengal Communist, Muzzifiar Ahmad to transportation for life, presumably to the Andaman Islands, the Devil s Island of India. Other leading Indian Communist S A

Dange, S V Ghate, K N Joglekar and R. S Nimbkar and their British mentor Philip Spratt, were sentenced to twelve years' transportation Moreover, the other most prominent Com munists, Shaukat Usmani and the British Bolshevik, Ben Brad ley were sentenced to ten years transportation Other admittedly Communist accused, as well as non Communists, received sentences of transportation and of 'rigorous imprisonment from three to seven years Three defendants who had at one time been associated with the Communists but who now vigorously evidenced opposition to them, were acquitted al together 50

The judgment provoked a violent cry of wrath in the Communist press throughout the world. For instance, a writer in an official Comintern publication screamed that the sentences are savage 60 One can perceive the cause of Red rage, for the sentenced represented the flower of Indian Bolshevism whose members had been influential in the growing Indian labor movement ⁶¹ It may be noted that a relatively recent Indian Communist explanation of the conspiracy case has held that

general elections to (the British) parliament were scheduled to take place in May, 1929 The March 1929 arrests in India as well as the opening of the political trial was de signed by the Conservative Government of Baldwin to play up the Communist danger which was allegedly threatening the entire capitalist world. It was intended to use the trial for preparing the ideological ground for a new anti Soviet intervention 62

The sentences not only produced reaction from Communists in India but anti-Communists as well Influential Indian jour nals waxed indignant over the sentences. Thus the 'Tribune of Lahore held that the "utter indefensibleness of these severe sentences was borne out by the fact that the Judge himself did not find that the accused were members of the Communist International "62 and the Mahratta of Poona registered wrath over the savage and shocking sentences and added that we pray for good luck and sound health to them in jail'66

The Meerut trial sentences likewise produced a surprisingly

sharp reaction in England and on the Continent. Protests on the seventy of the sentences were rendered by such notables as Dr. Albert Einstein Romain Rolland H. G. Wells R. H. Tawney and Professor Harold Laski ** Furthermore the Na tonal Joint Council of the Trades Union Congress and the Labour Party issued a brochure which stated that the whole of the proceedings from beginning to end are utterly indefensible and constitute something in the nature of a judicial scandal ** The Independent Labour party reiterated its earlier tharp crut cism of the Meerut case ** On the other hand however in its official leader on the Meerut Trial the Times" approved of the trial and its results holding the process had been con ducted with exemplary fairness and with the most meticulous compliance with Indian las **⁴⁸

While the mild furor was going on vishin and without India about the verdict of Judge Yorke ⁴⁹ the wheels of justice continued to turn in India In February 1933 it was revealed that a formal appeal for review of the case to the High Court at Allahabad had been made and in April the appeal was officially considered by that court and simultaneously some of the professedly non-Communits prisoners were released on bail ¹⁰ In July 1933 the case was officially resumed by the High Court and as it deliberated in Westmoster Labour and Independent Labour MFs argued on behalf of the Indian radicals ¹⁷

Labour MP s argued on behalf of the Indian radicals 11.

On August 4 1935 judgment was rendered by Chief Justice Sulaiman and Justice Young which drastically reduced the sentences of the conspirations. No sentences more severe than three years incarceration were rendered such sentences being given to the leading Communists Dange Muzzafar Ahmai and Umnain Other Communists exciped with sentences ranging from seven months to two years 12. At the tame time all the non-Communists were released outright. Some of the latter were formally acquirted while old ers were a mply released on the ground that their detention during the trial was sufficient punishment. Among those acquirted outright were the all leged Communist when findian R. R. Mitra and the Briton Lewer Hutchinson 12 Indeed later in the year 1935 only four Communists were still in detection 14.

In spite of their leniency, however, the justices of the High Court 'held the prosecution was justified and complimented the police" They argued that severe sentences had been nece sary, 'but held that four years' detention" during the course of the trial "was sufficient and that that there was ground for reduction" of sentencer 18

Grim satisfaction over the release of the prisoners was regis tered by international Communism, the reaction of which may be epitomized in the following terse statement by A M Djakov, a contemporary Soviet 'expert' on India who held

Under the pressure of a mass protest in India as well as beyond its frontiers the English government was obliged to release them before the expiration of their sentences.

Like grim satisfaction was expressed in the Indian nationalist press,⁷⁷ and Liberal and Labour publications in Great Britain ⁷⁸

While the Meetul case was dragging tediously along, important events were occurring in the Peninsula On January 26 1930, Indas was proclaimed as "independent" by the Congress, and shortly thereafter the latter sponsored a program of civil disobedience to convert the lictional "independence" into reality. The British Raj responded with repression, including the incarceration of the revered Gandhi. The crisis was not resolved by the First. Round Table Conference in London from November 12th 1930 to January 31st, 1931, in which the Congress was not represented Although an agreement between the Congress and the British (the Gandhi Lord Irvin agree ment or Delhi Paci) in March, 1931 temporarily eased the crisis the failure of the Second London Round Table Con ference held from September 7th to December 1st, 1931 led to the renewal of civil disobedience, in turn resulting in the imprisonment of tens of thousands of Indians including Gandhi and the banning of the Congress Bowing to British power, the Indian Nationalius abandoned civil disobedience with the per tonal exception of Gandhi in May, 1934 and the Congress was

again legalized in the following month and its imprisoned leaders released From the summer of 1934 till the opening of the year, 1936 there was little militant nationalist activity in India 19

But in spite of the advice of Gandhi, the civil disobedience with the spite of the advice of Gandhi, the civil disobedience Nationalists briefly seized control of the industrial town of Sholapur in the Bombay Presidency and in April and May of the same year they also temporarily secured possession of the capital of the North West Frontier Province, Peshawar The insurrectionary seizures of Sholapure's and Peshawar's evoked pacons of praise in the official journal of the Committen, as did a peasant revolt in the princely state of Kashmir in 1931 against the autocratic rule of the Maharajah which was suppressed with the aid of troops from British India 12

In addition to this insurrectionary activity, there was the greatest outburst of terroristic activity in the history of British India, between the years 1929 and 1934. The ferroristic out rages which were concentrated in Bengal were decried by the Congress but were approved of in the Committen press ²⁸ By the summer of the latter year as a result of thorough British repression, terrorism had become a negligible factor in Bengal and had vanished from other parts of India ²⁸ The terrorist movement is of significance, for many of the leading terror ists, upon their release from prison became ardent members of the Communist Party of India

. . .

Between the years 1929 and 1936 that party was subjected to competition on the part of various left wing movements which refused to obey the ukase of the Kremlin Such a movement, operating on a strictly regional level was the "Red Shirt" or Khuda is hadmatgaram ("Servantia of God") movement of the North West Frontier Province, led by Abdul Ghaffer Khan. This movement was much more innocuous than the then be shirted movements of Europe in that it placed its emphasis solely on the need for social and economic reforms and on the rights of the Pathans of the Northwest Frontier area. In

June 1930 the "Red Shirts had a following of some 25 000 men "Suspecting that his movement was insurrectionary the British authorities jailed Abdul Ghaffer Khan but he was released in March 1931 as a result of the Delhi Pact ** Upon his release he spoke out sharply against British rule and advocated the partial non-payment of taxes ** Consequently in December 1931 British authorities again incarcerated Abdul Ghaffer Khan and banned his movement, which by March 1932 was completely crushed.

Certain British sources claimed that the Red Shirt move-

ment was Soviet inspired the color of its members uniforms among other reasons being cited as proof of this alleged fact 88 However important leaders of the National Congress have re futed this charge Thus they have pointed out that the Red Shirt Volunteers were Congress volunteers and had nothing to do with the Communist Party "80 and "as a matter of fact were perfectly non violent "90 Hence the sobriquet of Abdul Ghaffer Khan as the "Frontier Gandhi was justified Besides it was held that a great achievement v as wrought when the Ludas Khidmatagars were made a part of the Congress organisation in 1931 1 A further relutation of the view that the Red Shirts" were the agents of Moscow is evinced by the fact that during his long career as a leader of the Pathans from 1930 to the present day Abdul Ghaffer Khan has demonstrated no specific evidence of special sympathy for Soviet Russia On the other hand however it must be admitted that the Comin terns press did not shower the kind of abuse on the "Red Shirts which it so freely bestowed on other non-Communist left wing Indian groups 92

The Red Shirt movement then as an affiliate of the Congress and an organization which sponsored social reform of a vaguely socialist character may be considered as a movement competitive to the Communists in winning the favor of the masses of the North West Frontier Province although a certain measure of Community infiltration into the ranks of that movement may well have taken place

A more serious source of Socialist competition for the Indian minions of Moscow during the period under review came from none other than M N Roy himself! How did this surprising circumstance come to pass?

In 19°7 the secret OGPU representative in Berlin was a man named Goldstein who in addition to furthering the interest of Communism in the Weimar Republic, kept a very close eye on the Communist Indians of Berlin who were under the direction of Roy Goldstein had an Indian assistant named Fatouki whose task it was to recruit Soviet agents for service in the East and it may be noted that two such agents were sent out from Berlin in the winter of 1929 one to Bengal and the other to the Punjab 13 It is certain that they had the intention of assisting the Indian Communists and their British advisers whose plans for expanding the scope of Communism had been hampered by the arrest of the Meerut compartation Farouki also maintained relations with the Brothers Ali who had led the Caliphate movement which movement had disappeared in the mid the nurse owing to the abolizion of the Caliphate in modern Turkey There is no evidence however that the Ali Brothers were converted to Communism

Farouki suggested to his Committen superiors that Evelyn Roy was really a British agent and this suggestion appears to have been taken secrously by them 28 Roy also was out of favor with the Committen Congress and the fact that unlike R Palme Dutt he had refused to bow down to the Committen as the Sixth Committen Congress and the fact that unlike R Palme Dutt he had refused to bow down to the Committens policy of isolationism as the rule for Indian Communist policy for the Brahmin Bolshevik maintained that Indian Communist should "prepare the masses for national liberation, which would be a necessary prerequisite to the establishment of a Socialist society in the Pennisult This was a policy which in volved a measure of cooperation with other elements in India which were assistly seeking "national liberation" even the "national bourgeoiste" 15 This interestingly enough Roy had wing over to the position which Lenin held in 1920 whereas the Committen had adopted Roy is former policy of Communist exclusioners. In addition Roy held a theory of decolonization to the effect that the British bourgeoisie was transferring power to their Indian counterparts, which was contrary to the Comit

tern's view and was specifically repudiated by Bukharin in his re-port to the Sixth Comintern Congress 25 As a consequence of his divergence from the party line, Roy

120

was secretly expelled from the Communist Party of India, and the Comintern as well, at the tenth "plenum" of the Executive Committee of the Communist International held in July, 1929, although the formal announcement from Comintern head quarters on Roy's expulsion was withheld until the following 4th of December 97

The charges against Roy were five-fold First, he misled the Indian Communists by asking them to form workers' and peaants parties. Secondly, his instruction that Communits should work within the National Congress "was calculated to make them a tool in the hands of the compromising and betraying bourgeoise" Thirdly, Roy had expounded his theory of "decolorization," contrary to the will of the Community Fourthly, he had betrayed the revolutionary movement in China, Fifthly, Roy had associated himself with men who had broken with the Comintern, notably Heinrich Brandler of Germany 85 This latter charge was really true for Roy had allied himself with such outstanding anti-Comintern German Communists as Brandler and August Thalheimer in opposing the Comintern's policies in the Reich 19 In this connection Roy contributed articles to publications operated by these dissidents in the course of 1929 Roy himself confirmed this connection when he later stated he opposed the Kremlin at this time 'for opposing the adventurist policy in Germany which helped the rise of Fascism "100

The expulsion of Roy, which was naturally justified by the organs of the Comintern, 103 constituted the second terrific blow suffered by the Indian Communist movement during the course of 1929 Coming not long after the arrest of the leading Indian Communists and their British Bolshevik tutors it deprived the Kremlin of the services of its best known Indian agent and destroyed the Indian Communist center in Germany, Moreover, it is claimed that a majority of Communists in India, no doubt disgusted by the servile dependency of their party immediately on the British Communist party and ultimately on Moscow, saw fit to break their allegiance with the Comintern and serve under M N Roy 102 The latter and Evelyn Roy escaped the undoubted fate which would have been theirs in Russia by

untiouted rate which would have been theirs in Russia virtue of their being in Germany in the summer of 1929 Having been expelled from the ranks of the Third International Roy joined forces with the leaders of the so-called International Communist Opposition whose leaders at the beginning of 1930 were Thalheimer and the American dissident organing of 1930 were a maneumer and the American dissociation.

Communist Jay Lovestone in Germany in that year Roy issued a bold manifesto in which he urged members of the revolutionary trade union movement in India to organize and preparte for a revolutionary party of the Indian working class. to engage upon a relentless agitation for the election of a "National Constituent Assembly which would serve as the "sovereign authority of the oppressed and exploited classes "103
Roy established the best contacts he could with his adherents

in India and these followers formed organizations in a number of Indian cities The Angio-Indian police were no more ami cably disposed to the dissidents than they were to the orthodox Communists and on one occasion acting on a rumor that Roy had arrived in India the Anglo-Indian police out of nervousness arrested a number of persons in Bombay Calcutta and other Indian towns suspecting that each of the arrested indi-viduals was the wanted Bengali 104

vaduals was the wanted Bengals 194

The police were really justified however for Roy actually did determine to return to India on the soil of which he had last stood in the year 1915. He was dissatisfied at running his own personally-directed Communist computary in India Irom Berlin Accordingly he returned to India and was arrested on July 21 1931 in Bombay 19 the Anglo-Indian police 1938. Roys a arrest brought about quite a ripple of excitement in India Leaders of the Royst Communists were rounded up so that this dissident Communist movement was severely shaken just as the orthodox Communist movement had been rocked some two years previously 184 housands demonstrated before the police auton where Roy was initially detained and mass meetings were held on an India wide basis with committees for his defense being set up throughout the land Jawaharlal Nehru

122 SOVIET RUSSIA AND INDIAN COMMUNISM and R. S Ruskar, then President of the AITUC also protested against the allegedly 'barbarous' treatment meted out to Roy 107 Moreover, Roys arrest caused a marked reaction abroad, especially in Germany According to a dispatch to the Bombay 'Chronicle" of October 2. 1931 a large mass meeting was held in Hamburg in which the release of Roy was demanded in a resolution which took the form of a letter addressed to the British consulate in that city demanding Roy's release Various letters of protest on Roy's arrest which had been sent to the Second Round Table Conference, then in session, written by many distinguished men in Germany, among them one from Dr Albert Einstein, were likewise read at the Hamburg meet ing 108

In contrast to the Meerut process then going on, Roy's trial was a swift one beginning on November 3, 1931 at the Court of Sessions in Campore and terminating on January 9, 1932 when the Court sentenced him to twelve years' transporta tion 109 but in fact, he was sent to the Bareilly Central Prison rather than to the Andaman Islands 110

In spite of the arrest of Roy, his followers carried on his dissident Indian Communist Party Such labor leaders as V N Joshi, A B Kandalkar and others made the Royist Communist movement a powerful one within the ranks of the Indian labor movement and his party was at least as influential as the orthodox Communist Party in the early thirties 111 As regards its policies the Royist Communists or 'Royists" unlike the orthodox Communists, gave a measure of support to the Na tional movement and refrained from indulging in harsh criti cism of the left wing of the Congress

There were also a number of other non-Communist radical parties espousing socialist ideology operating upon a regional basis in India between 1929 and 1936 These included the Bengal Labour party and two parties in the Punjab, the Punjab Socialist Party and the Punjab Kirti Kisan (Workers' and Peasants') Party 112

It was the founding of the Congress Socialist movement, how ever, which brought about the organization of the most im portant left wing competitor to the orthodox Indian Com munists In the early thrites a left wing was arising in the Congress as a cohesive group ¹¹³. This group was galvanized into a definite organization largely through the efforts of an individual of unusual character and ability. Jay Prakash Nara yan Born in a village in Bihar Province Narayan as a journ succeeded in scrapping up enough funds for a passage to the United States where he worked on farm and factory attended several universities and was converted to Communism ¹¹⁴. Upon his return to India he joined the Communists but in spite of his falling out with them!¹¹⁵—perhaps he was opposed to their "sectarian stand at that time—he was apprechended and in "firetering in Nash I hall. There he came upon contact with two. "sectarian stand at that time—he was apprehended and in carecrated in Nasik Jail There he came into contact with two young intellectuals Ashoka Mehta and Achuyt Patwardhan who had been highly interested in Marxism although it seems they had not like Narayan actually joined the Community party ¹¹⁸ On their release from prison Narayan and his friends founded the All India Congress Socialist party at Patna on May 17–1931 with Narayan being elected as the Organizing Secretary thus the de facto leader of the party ¹¹⁷ At Patna it was decided to make this party a group within the National Congress rather than a separate party altogether because the leaders of the Socialists believed that as a portion of the great All Indian national organization they could popularize socialist policies. They also hoped to give a "mass basis" to the Congress which they felt it had higherto lacked and at the same time they detired to convert the Congress sinto an outright socialist or ganization 118

Although the Congress Socialists as they came to be known had a tendency to shift their goals-especially their economic program—in general it may be said that they stood for the nationalization of basic industries and likewise the nationaliza tion of the land although not necessarily involving a system of collectivized agriculture 119 These economic aims were held to be in conformity with the over-all aim of leading the Ind an National Movement in the direction of socialism 220

Besides the goal of the complete independence of India the political end of the Congress Socialists was embodied in their demand that there be an all Indian Constituent Assembly

constitution of a socialist government 121 The Congress Social ists also opposed council-entry that is the entry of the Congress or its political agent the Swarajist Party into the provincial or national legislative assemblies 122

The founding of the Congress Socialist Party was generally welcomed in liberal political circles inside and outside the Congress it being pointed out that the Congress as a whole was not committed to defend the present economic order 123 However Congress conservatives including Mahatma Gandhi objected to the Socialists policy of class warfare and confisca tion of property as that implied violence 124 This view was expressed in a formal statement made by the Congress Working Committee which was dominated by conservatives 125

Friction was also to develop between the majority of the Congressmen and the Congress Socialists after 1935 over the questions of council entry and the allegedly soft policy pur sued by Congress towards the secturian Muslim League 126 Almost immediately after the formation of the party it

opened branches in various provinces The Congress Socialists took especial interest in the labor peasant and student movements. They gave their support to the A.I.T.U.C and in a short time they had succeeded in practically capturing that organization and the presidents of that body came to be the nominees of the Congress Socialist Party 127 At the same time during the years 1934 and 1935 the Congress Socialists formed peasant unions known as kisan sabhas which grew in scope and influence as well as students organizations. All of these groups naturally were permeated with the Socialists but not Communist ideology 128

A few months after its founding the greater part of the Roysus' jouned the Congress Socialist Party But during the course of 1935 the Roysus' and Congress Socialists fell out over the question of the relations of the Congress Socialist over the question to the relations of the congress com-parity of the National Congress the former holding the view that the Congress Socialist Party should be dissolved and should instead form just the left wing of the Congress whereas the latter insisted that the party maintain its identity as such Besides, the "Royists" appeared anxious to seize control of the Congress Socialist Party from Narayan and others of their leaders 122 Therefore, at the end of 1935, the "Royists unable to capture the Congress Socialist Party, withdrew from it and resumed their course as a strictly independent left wing movement

.

But what of the orthodox Communists of India against whom the above-mentioned groups were competitors, in the years, 1929 19362

With the leaders of the Communist movement behind the bars of Meerut prison the Comintern had to find new men to carry on its subsersive work in India. Two men who met the kremlins standard of unconditional loyalty to the Third International and at the same time showed a measure of ability, came to the fore as leaders of the Communist movement in India in the spring of 1929 They were S V Deshpande and B T Ranadive

Deshpande was a genuinely able man A brilliant student, he had joined the non-cooperation movement of the early 'twenties as an ardent adherent of the National movement. At this time Deshpande was a member of the religious-nationalist Arya-Swaraj movement However, during the course of the year 1928, he underwent a complete ideological metamorphosis In fluenced by the fervor of the Communist led strikers in the Bombay textile mills strike of 1928, Deshpande forsook his Bombay textile mills strike of 1928, Deshpande forsook his traditional Hindu Indian ideology and accepted wholeheartedly in its place that of Communist Russia 189 In spite of the bresty of duration of his membership in the Communist move-ment, Deshpandes ability and the influential standing which he had gained among the workers of Bombay, induced the Commeter to make him its principal agent in India Deshpandes chief colleague, B T Ranaduse had also been

an active leader in the labor movement of Bombay and was connected with the textile workers' Girni hamgar timon 111 The basic task for Deshpande and his colleagues to fulfill

during the period in which the Meerut trial was dragging along, was laid down as follows by the Comintern

The First fundamental task of the Communist Party, which is linked up with all its other tasks, is to convert itself into a mass, all Indian Communist Party This task is being tackled now, but has not yet been accomplished Every where and on all occasions, the first thought of the Indian Communists must be directed towards the creation of party organisations on every hand. In all towns, in all workshops, factories, railway repair shops, plantations and mines-the Communist Party of India must have its organisations 132

Above all, the Indian comrades were made to realize that "the creation of an illegal Communist Party is the prerequisite for the establishment of the hegemony of the working class in the Indian revolution."183

Although the working class was to have "hegemony," the allegedly increasing "class-conscious activities of the agricultural proletariat' should also be taken advantage of, therefore the peasants and landless agricultural workers also should be in duced to join the ranks of the Communist Party of India The Communists were, then, deciding to place greater stress than had been hitherto been the case on winning over the peasantry 134

In spite of the arrests of their leaders the Communists remaining at large maintained a bold front. Thus on May 19, 1929 the official and yet unbanned Communist journal of Bombay, 'krantı" defiantly declared that

the fight of the Red Flag is not dependent on the leaders,

that will continue as long as capitalism is in existence.

The aguation which has been started by the workers suffering under repression of capitalism and imperialism not only of India but of the whole world, cannot be stopped by one or many governments The Red Flag has never submitted before any government.135

Cit this time the Communists in India could count on support from the C.P.G.B., 136 115 front, the Workers' Welfare League of India and the League Against Imperialism¹³⁷ which after

1929 had become completely dominated by the Communists a fact which was testified to by the expulsion of non-Communist Jawaharlal Nehru from that body ¹³⁸ But above all Indian Communists remained under control of the Comintern This control was manifested in the publication of a Draft Platform of Action of the Communist Party of India" in that Cominteen journal International Press Correspondence of December 18 1930

This manifesto which was almost certainly made in Moscow declared that the following were the goals of India's Communists

- 1 The complete independence of India by the violent overthrow of British rule The cancellation of all debts The confuscation and nationalisation of all British factories banks railways sea and river transport and plantations
- Establishment of a Soviet Government The realisation of the right of national minorities to self-determination including separation Abolition of the native states the creation of an Indian Workers and Peasants Soviet Republic.
- The confiscation without compensation of all the lands forests and other property of the landlords ruling princes churches the British Government officials and moneylenders and handing them over for use to the tolling peaanitry Cancellation of all agreements and all the indebtedness of the peasantry to moneylenders and bants ¹³⁰

In addition to this candid exposition of Communist policy the "draft platform" stridently stated that

the Communist Party of India declares with prule that it considers used a party of the organised world Communist movement a Section of the Communist International The Communist Party of India calls upon all advanced workers and resolutionaries devoted to the cause of the working class to join the ranks of the Communist Party now being I utility in order to fight to carry out the historic tasks of the Indian

128

Therefore for the first time the "honor of being formally a section of the Communist International was bestowed upon the Communist Party of India

the Communist Party of India
But the progress of this newly launched section of the
Committer during the course of the year and a half subsequent
to December 1930 was evidently not satisfactory to Moscow
Hence orders were sent by the Committern to the Indian Communist
allegedly jointly written by the Central Communist Parties of China Great Britania and Germany' and
published in an official organ of the Committen the Com
munist Parties of China Great Britania and Germany' and
published in an official organ of the Committen the Com
munist International' of June 1 1932 Although the real author
ship of this Open Letter very likely lay in the Kremlin the
alleged authorship of the missive was significant in that the
Chinese was then (as now) the leading Communist party of

Indian comrades The "onen letter held

The general picture of the Communist movement is not satisfactory. On the one hand there is a tremendous un precendented development of the working class movement. On the other hand the Commun it Party still consists of a small number of weak groups often isolated from the masses discontented with each other (and) not political

Asia and the German held a corresponding position in Europe (next to the Russian) whereas as before the Communist Party of Great Britain was the basic link between Moscow and the

Much stress was laid on the theme that the Indian Community had been pursuing a policy of self-siolation which was as a mater of fact perfectly true 1¹² It was held that self-siolation from the anti imperialist struggle had left the strug fle in the hands of the National Congress and so aids the work of all the agents of imperialism 114

But did this mean that the Communists would adopt the view that they should cooperate with or at least not be markedly uncooperative with the leaders of the National Libera

tion Movement, the National Congress and other elements in India which desired independence? Not at all The "Bourgeos National Congress," its left wing led by Jawaharla Nchruitt and Subhas C Bose, 143 and, of course, the 'Roy group" then led by V N Joshi and A B Kandalkar, 146 were subjected to seathing demunications

The "open letter instructed the Indian comrades to differentiate between the petty bourgeouse and the bourgeouse, the latter, naturally, remaining as before, the enemy of the proletaria. Hence, Indian Communists should not excorate the petty bourgeouse but rather carry out the "mobilisation" of its 'revolutionary strata. On the other hand Indian comrades must not in any way "join with or follow" the petty bourgeouse in view of its "waterings and hesistations" since this would lead to subordinating the proletariat to the leadership of the national bourgeouse"¹⁴⁵

An equivocal line analogous to that dealing with the petty bourgeoise was laid down in respect to the peasantry by the 'open letter Indias Communists were informed that "lighting in alliance with the peasantry" was a proper policy, but this should not cause the working class which the Communists hoped to control, to lose its "independence". The supremacy of the working class must be at all times stressed so that the peasants would never be considered more than very dependent allies at best 149.

The 'open letter' ordered the Indian Communists to further
"the development of the strike movement," to organize "trade
unions' on an 'all Indian level' as well as "factory commit
ces" and above all to form an All Indian Communist Party
composed of workers and 'revolutionary intellectuals," a party
which would have a legal and an illegal press particularly a
'central party paper "156

Finally the 'open letter' insisted that Indian comrades obey
and execute the Committens orders a circumstance mecessitated

Finally the "open letter" insisted that Indian contrades obey and execute the Committens orders a circumstance necessitated by the activities of imperialist countries, notably Great Britain, the United States and Japan, which were plotting to wage "war against the U.S.5 R." "14"

This "open letter" culminated a series of articles coming

forth from the publications of the Comintern, as well as from the British Communist press and even that of Russia, since the time of the arrest of the Meerut conspirators in 1929 These articles monotonously assailed the British-invariably referred to as imperalists who oppressed the Indian masses and particularly the labor movement stages, as well as the bour geome of India who were held to be trying to win over the working class and peasantry while seeking to effect con-ciliation' with the British. 183 In this connection Gandhi was singled out for especial abuse 154 Also excortated were the left wangers of the National Congress, notably Jawaharlal Nehru and Subhas C. Bose who were deemed the worst kind of 'na and Subhas C. Boos who were deemed the worst kind of national reformats, as well as the Congress itself which was con sidered a mere tool of the bourgeoise in the execution of that class' designs on the helpless tollers 155 Perhaps the most severe vituperation fell upon Roy's dissident Communits party,155 but even small leftist groups such as the Punjab Socialist Party,

130

which groups were competitors of the Communists in winning the allegiance of the proletariat, did not escape the Cominterns the angulance of the projectariat, and not escape the commission whath 187 On the other hand, the terrorists and especially the insurrectionists who had seized Peshawar and Sholapur in the spring of 1930 were granted fulsome praise 188

In the year, 1929 in spite of the detention of their leaders at Meerut, the Communists actually gained influence in the Indian labor movement, Reasons for this included the clever leadership of Deshpande and Ranadave, the widespread surge of nationalist sentiment in India in 1929 which was receptive of nationalist sentiment in India in 1929 which was receptive to the Communists' extreme pro-strike policy the genuine amount of sympathy in labor circles for the Communists and fellow travelers being tried at Meerut and the marked disapproval travelers being tried at Meerut and the marked disapprovation the ranks of Indian labor at the mission of a Royal Commission on Indian Labour or the Whitely Commission it being felt that instead of finding means for improving the lot of the Indian worker, the Commission merely was a scheme whereby the 'imperalists sought to hoodwink the economic and nationalist aspirations of Indian workers 129

A showdown between the right and the left in Indian labor took place at the annual convention of the AITUC in the

town of Nagpur in November and December 1929 the result of which was the splitting of the Indian labor movement into two groups a new body which seceded from the AITUC known as the AII India Trade Union Federation (AITUF) which was conservative and which promptly became affiliated with the anti-Comintern International Federation of Trade Unions of Amsterdam 186 whereas the AITUC remained an uneasy combination of Communists and left wing Congressmen headed in that organization s post of General Secretary by Red boss S V Dehpande 181

Deshpande and Ranadise bosses of the Girni Kamgar Union in 1929 utilized their power in that union to call a strike in in 1929 utilized their power in that timon to call a strike in April 1929 in which the textile mill operatives 150 000 strong struck ostensibly because their wage demands were not met. For a time the walkout appeared a great success' and "showed that there was considerable organising behind it ¹⁴⁹ but the employers held firm and the strike ended in the following September ¹⁴⁰ Other strikes in India in 1929 in which the Com tember of Other strikes in India in 1929 in which the Com munists at least in part directed were those which involved thousands of workers in such varied enterprises as the Tata ron and steel works at Jamhedpur the Jute mills of Bengal the woolen mills of Cawapore the East India Railway and the South India Railway and even the scavengers and street sweepers of Calcutts 181 Indeed 1879 was a record strike year with no fewer than 153 059 workers involved in walkouts as contrasted tewer than 103 Up9 workers involved in walkouls at contrasted to only 131 655 in 1927. The trade union movement in India also reached its peak in 1929 185. The Communists were especial by pleased that in 1929. "a very large number of strikes took place on the railways particularly in the railway workshops." This in leasted they might be able to paralyze the Indian rail road system in connection with a resolutionary ruing

road system in connection with a revolutionary rising.

The Communists then had at least held their own in the Indian labor movement in 1979 in spite of the arrest of their principal leaders in March of that year. This testifies to the ability of the new Red leaders. Deshpande and Ranadive But the second great blow which Indian Communists received during the course of that year the defection of M. N. Roy was completely to alter the picture and reverse the drift of Indian

labor towards orthodox Communism The followers of Roy actually got control of the Girni kamgar Union early in 1930 which evoked an anguished howl from the Comintern press The latter admitted that the enemies of Communism had been able to break that textile workers union so that it was declining in numbers and influence 187

119

The culminating blow to the position of the Communists in the Indian labor movement at this time came with the meeting of the AITUC in Calcutta in the late summer of 1931 At the convention a combination of Royists and left wing Con gressmen led by Bose secured control and furiously the wrath ful Reds withdrew from the Congress after creating a lot of

Following their secession from the AITUC the Commu nists formed their own frankly Communist trade union federa This federation up to 1934 had not shown much sign of activity except occasionally in Bombay and in Calcutta 140 This notwithstanding the Comintern did its utmost to en courage the growth of Red unionism in India Thus in June existing Red Trade Unions and to form new ones as well as "to build up revolutionary opposition in the reformist trade

The strike wave definitely declined between 1930 and 1933 in spite of the growth of national unrest in those years. This decline was a concomitant of the decline of the Communists influence in the Indian labor movement. This decline also produced wrathful fulminations by the Comintern's press over the alleged betrayal of the strike movement by the bourgeoisie and their supposed agents the Royists 171

The Communists made no progress in gaining influence among the peasants between 1929 and 1936. Their workers and among the peasants between 1959 and 1950 after worksteen on peasants parties which were quietly buried had hittle con-tact with the villages but the Communists acting exclusively under their own name since 1929 had even less to do with the peasants movement in spite of verbose attention given to the agrarian situation in Comintern documents A belated at

tempt to rectify this situation was made by the Provisional Central Committee of the party, meeting claudestinely, at the end of 1934 It laid down the thesis that one of the basic tasks of the Communist party in the near future would be the formation of peasant committees in rural areas. But by this time the Congress Socialists had the lead in the peasant movement and the Communists, harried by the Anglo-Indian authorities, could make but little baddway in this movement.

make bur little headway in this movement 122

The Communists also sought to infiltrate the Indian students' movement In March, 1952, a draft program of "The Young Communist League of India" appeared in the International Press Correspondence which program besides stating demands for freedom of speech assembly and press also asked for free education and the "free right to choose principals and professors" 123. The program cassigned Jawaharial Nehru and Book holding them to be "the most dangerous enemies in the struggle for independence," because they were "dulling the consciousness of the youth through their revolutionary phrases" "114 However, this YCL of India manifested little activity

Two years later, in March 1934 an authoritative Comintern spokeiman claimed that the situation with respect to the Indian student youth was comparable to that of Russia before 1905, the year of the first abortive Russian Revolution He held, however, that students 'under no circumstances' should be allowed to join the Indian Communist Party on a large scale" although selected ones might be brought into the party so that the student movement might be utilized in India as it had been utilized in Russia at the turn of the century ¹⁷⁸ However, the stern and Communist measures of Anglo-Indian authorities, as well as the successful competitive activity among Indian youths by the Congress Socialists made this phase of the Communist program as futile as had been its activities among the peasantry in the years from 1929 to 1996

Seventeen months after the "open letter" of the three Communist parties had been received by the Indian Communists another "open letter," this time signed only by the Central Committee of the Chinese Communist Party was produced in

the official Comintern press. The theme of the "open letter" was that

there is no doubt that the chief and decuive question is the formation of a militant MASS INDIAN COMMUNIST PARTY This Party must be a model of Bolshevik organisation and discipline, it must stand up against conciliation and adaption to the oppressors and the bourgeouse it is not a peaceful Party, but a militant, bold, revolutionary Party ¹⁸⁶

the Indian Communists must not be an isolated group, they must "participate in the struggle," and apply the "factics of the united front," so as to form the 'united front of workers, peasants and urban petty bourgeoiste' This policy was held by the Red Chinese "open letter' to be

As in the previous "open letter," this missive from Mao Tsetung, or more likely Moscow, again vigorously declared that

all the more necessary in that

British imperialism is trying to rally together the forces of counter revolution against the Indian people, and PREPARE FOR A NEW INTERNATIONAL WAR AND ABOVE ALL INTERVENTION AGAINST THE USSR 17

Did this indicate a change in Communist policy towards a greater degree of collaboration with the partisans of Indian freedom? Again, not at all, for the National Congress, its left wing led by Jawaharlal Nehru and Bose, and of course, Roy, the renegade "were excontacted, just as they had been suite 1923. The fundamental fact remained that the Comintern still wanted in practice, although not in theory, a separate, "sectarian" Communist Party in India. The "united front," referred to was to be a "united front from below," i.e., a coalution of forces to be dominated entirely by the Communists 178

Galvanued into these orders by Mao (or Moscow), a meeting was held in Calcutta in November, 1933, the same month of the publication of this latest open letter, at which it was decided that a Provisional Central Commutice of the Communist Party

of India should be set up At this meeting plans were made to reorganize the local units of the party and increase their member ship Actually modest goals were set up for this end-thus the huge province of Bengal was to furnish a membership of 50 Communists within six months whereas smaller Indian provinces were to form kernels of five or six whole-hearted workers 179

Another fact which aided the Communists at this time was the release shortly before and after the holding of the Communist conference in Calcutta of many of the minions of Moscow who had been tried at Meerut and the immediately plunged into Communist activities with the same real and dis-patch they had evinced prior to their incarceration 180 Working under the leadership of Deshpande and Ranadive they sought to breathe life into the almost moribund Communist movement As a result early in 1931 that movement for the first time since 1929 began to show signs of growth Thus in certain provinces several Communist provincial organizations came into being 181 It has even been claimed that the Communist Party of India (CPI) had two thousand members towards the end of the first half of 1934 182 probably an exaggeration

Nonetheless the Indian Communists were making progress and encouraged by this progress the Central Committee laid down its official "Political Thesis of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of India which publicly appeared on July 20 1934 Here again it is more than likely that this political thesis" like the "open letters" was of Muscovite

origin

The "political thesis" opened by attacking "British imperial ism in India with the succinct statement

A hundred and fifty years of British imperial st rule has reduced the millions of Indian toiling masses to unspeak able poverty and abject slavery 182

It then duly attacked the Indian "National bourgeoiste" as "betrayers" and collaborators of the "imperialists" although with the modification that there was an error in "mechanically placing the bourgeoise completely in the camp of the imperial six. "At the same time the ideology of Vahatims Gandhi

was buterly attacked as an "anti-revolutionary ideology of the Nationalist bourgeoisie" The emphasis in Gandhi s philosophy on 'love, meckness, modesty and hard working existence,' was held to be merely a means to divert the masses from their true revolutionary goals ¹⁸⁴.

The usual vigorous abuse was heaped on the 'left reformism' of Jawaharlal Nehru and Bose and their followers as well as upon the 'Royists' who "posed as Communists" but really were the latters energies ¹⁸⁵

The thesis dilated freely on the usual tasks of the party, 'the complete independence of India by the overthrow of British rule,' and the like overthrow of the rule of the native princes. The creation of an 'Indian Federal Workers' and Peasant's Soviet Republic was demanded which naturally, would be accompanied by the nationalization of all important industries and the confession of Indianost' land state.

Even more fully and frankly than in the 1930 'draft plat form,' the 1934' 'political them's 'pointed out that 'the structure of the state,' when the revolution should have succeeded would be on the Soviet model' 'built on the councils (towers) of representatives elected in every area, on the basis of the units of production' 'This mode of government, it was argued, 'ensures the drawing of all the tolers in the task of governing' say It was also the form of government of the Soviet Union in July, 1934

In elaborating on the "Revolution in India-a Soviet Revolution and its present task," the "political theirs" assaled with acerbity the slogan of "the Constituent Assembly as used by the Royitis," advocating in its place the watchword, "The Indian Federature Soviet Worker," and Pesanist, Republic, "48 At the same time the thesis called for a 'united and anni imperialist front under prolectarian leadenthip." It was suggested that "one of the forms of broad anti imperialistic movement can be (an) anti Imperialist League," "48 However, it was indicated that such a League or other front would obviously be controlled outright by and would form a very thin mask for the CPI

The thesis also deemed it a "task" to win the terrorists from the path of useless individual revolutionary activity to that of mass revolutionary activity which would really accomplish the terrorists' goal-the violent overthrow of the British and feudal order in India 190

The very fact that the Communists seemed in the year, 1934 to be making some headway led to very serious blows being applied against them The first blow was struck against the growing Red menace in the spring of 1934 by the arrest of two of the leading Communist P C Joshin-newly released from prison-and B T Ranadive who had heretofore escaped incar ceration Joshi was summarily (in contrast to the long-drawn out Meerut process) sentenced to three years' rigorous improvement and Ranadive to a term of two years. The charge against both men had been that of making inflammatory speeches ¹⁸¹. The culiminating blow against the Communist occurred on July 27, 1934 when the Anglo-Indian Central Government, through a notification in the official "Gazette of India" an nounced that under the terms of the Indian Criminal Law Act, the Communist Party of India and all its committees and other branches were declared illegal because their objective contituted a danger to public peace ¹⁸² Contemporaneously, a dozen lormerly legal registered trade unions which were under Communia influence or control as well as the Young Workers' League were likewise outshared ¹⁸⁹

The banning of the CPI obviously engendered extreme ire in the Comintern A spokesman for that body sulkily stated that the powers employed by the Anglo-Indian Government against the Communists.

are to be used, not merely against the Communist Party of India but also against the Trade Unions Strike Commit tees—and to crush any movement to defend or improve economic conditions in the struggle for independence.

The formal suppression of the Communists evoked a different response from non-Communist Indians as compared to the reactions upon the Meetru arries of March, 1929. Little attention was paid to the affair in the Indian press. Nationalist or moderate. It would seem that with the repression of the national movement, that of the Communist was a small matter. 138 SOVIET RUSSIA AND INDIAN COMMUNISM

Praise for the ban on the CPI was registered by the influential "Times of India" in a leading article of July 31, 1934 which simultaneously attacked the Congress as veiled Communists, subtly carrying on the work of the Communists them selves ¹³³ On the other hand the pro-Congress journal, the 'Mahratta,' expressed fear that the suppression of the Communists was but "prelude to the more vigorous repression of the labour movement,"196

the labour movement."188

In Britain, Conservative opinion naturally sided with the formal statement of Sir Samuel Hoare, Secretary of State for India, who emphasized the danger to the administration of law and order in India as posed by the Communists 198 Except for the independent Labourites, this statement went unchallenged. 188

In spite of this setback, the international Communist movement kept up a drumfire of propagands on behalf of the Indian Communist, thereby ewdencing continued interest in India Subsequent to, as well as prior to, the formal banning of the Communist Party of India, a voluminous and verbose barrage of propagands was laid down condemning the British imperalists' who were supposed to be threatening to attack Russia as well as ruling India with a tyrannical hand Also vigorously and often viciously assaled were the Indian bour geomet, 198 the National Congress, and the 'lefts' of that body, Jawaharial Nehru being especially singled out for attack as a

geouse, 199 the National Congress, and the 'lefts' of that body, Jawaharlal Nehru being especially singled out for attack as a 'left Gandhist and a bogus Socialist.' 209 Also subject to abuse, as always, were the left wing competitors of the Communist, not especially the Congress Socialists who replaced the declinging 'Royists' as the leading left wing target for Communist castigation 201 However, as portent of things to come, a Committern spokesman instructed the Indian comrades to join 'Trade Unions associations' and 'youth groups' which latter bodies were actually affiliated with the National Congress 202 The spokesmen of the Committern evinced an interest in the Trigorial and this time. The midwallial character of the deed terrorists at this time The individual character of their deeds terrorises at this time. The monitorial character of them between the lack of a 'mass basis of their activities, as well as the petty bourgeous character of terrorist groups were factors which were noted and criticized, but it was inferred that in their violent ways, the terrorists were on the right road to the elimination of

British rule. As a matter of fact, many of the terrorists of the early 'thrities including many of the known members of the Bengal terrorist movements the "Anushilan' and "Jugantar" societies, became Communist, many of them having learned the principles of Communism from fellow prisoners in jail in the Andaman Islands of all places ³⁰⁰ a fact subsequently admitted by the leadership of the CPl²⁰⁴

by the leadership of the CP 1 200.

After its banning in July, 1934, the Indian Communist Party was handicapped by a want of effective leadership At we have noted, both Ranadive and Joshi were imprisoned in the spring of 1934 and the most effective Communist leaders of the 'twenties such as S A Dange and Muzzafar Ahmad were still serving sentences for their part in the Meerut Conspiracy Case The crushing blow for the Communists in this connection came in June, 1935 with the death of S V Deshpande, the principal and most effective leader of the CP 1 200

and most effective leader of the CF1722.

A further blow against the minions of Moscow was struck when the Anglo-Indian Government followed up its official ban on the CF1 by sundry moses of a repressive character. During the course of 1935, the Communist press was silenced²⁶⁴ and in September of that year widespread raids were conducted by the CID against the Communist ⁵⁰⁷.

These raids were the prelude to the introduction by the Anglo-Indian Government of a bill amending the Criminal Law which provided for strict legal procedures against suspected Communists Upon the passage of this law, the Vicetoy, Lord Willingdon in a dispatch on November 28 1935 justified the measure by stating it was aimed against the "avoid aim of Communism in India" which "is to bring about an armed recolution as quickly as possible "298".

Prior to the ban of the CPI, the fortunes of the Communications of the Communication of the CPI, the fortunes of the Communication of the CPI, the fortunes of the CPI is the continuous of the CPI.

From to the ban of the CPI, the fortunes of the Communists had improved in respect to their position in the labor movement. They arranged a temporary truce between their Red Trade Union Congress and the Royist Left Wing Congress ATTUC. in November, 1933 so that the two groups might carry on a successful strike movement in Bombay 1898. This and subsequent moves of a joint character between the two leftist labor federations resulted in a new strike of con

siderable proportions by the Bombay textile workers in April, 1934 ²¹⁰ This strike lasted only until June of that year, thanks to effective and thorough means of repression carried out by

140

Anglo-Indian authorities including the arrest of the Strike Committee of Action on the first day of the walkout 211 Although the strike was unsuccessful this walkout and others that occurred in 1934, represented the greatest wave of strikes in India since

1928 and 1929, and testified to the effectiveness of the de facto alliance of the Communists with other left wing groups 212 The denouement of this alliance was 'the great united front meeting on February the 7th (1935) in Bombay" and similar meetings in

all parts of India which brought about the merger of the Red Trade Union Congress and the AITUC in that year *13 This presaged that new course in Communist policy in India we shall review below However, Communists were now in a much less advantageous position in the latter organization than they were when they had left it, thus indicating their weaker

position in the labor movement as compared to 1928 and 1929 Therefore, on the whole the Communists had less influence amongst the masses of India at the end of 1935 than they had at the commencement of 1929 A combination of vigorous repression of the Communist movement by the Anglo-Indian authorities combined with the rise of left wing organizations competitive to the Communists, particularly the "Royists" and the Congress Socialists, as well as the Communists' own self

isolationist policies had definitely brought about a deterioration of the position of the minions of Moscow and thereby

foiled at this time the designs of Soviet Russia on India

CHAPTER FIVE

The United Front

In the year 1935 Sowiet foreign policy and the policy of Communist parties throughout the world definitely changed. In Soviet foreign policy a definite seeming orientation towards the democracies was observed and the various Communist parties spoke of joining with various radical and liberal forces in "popular Ironis" and "united fronts" This change in Soviet policy was not occasioned by a tenunciation of the ultimate goal of that policy—world revolution—but railier by the rue of Faxism In addition, Nati Germany and militarist Japan threatened the Soviet Union as a nation and Faxist or pro-Faxist parties threatened the existence of the Communists in democratic countries Hence arose the basis of the Soviet change in policy

India and other colonial countries were included in the scope of the Sowiet change in policy Its application to India was clearly evidenced in the Seventh Congress of the Communia International which took place in Moscow from July 25 to August 20, 1935 Enuncating the line which the Indian com rades must follow in the future was Wang Vlung, a delegate of the Chinese Communiast This fact is noteworthy for two reasons. In the first place it indicated there was no Indian Communiate of sufficient importance in the eyes of Moscow (as compared to M. N. Roy when he was a loyal adherent of the Communia to 1st down the correct party line for the Indian comtrades. In the second place, once again, we see the Chinese Communias party, the most pursuant Communias party, of the

East, as the specific mouthpiece of Moscow in laying down the correct line for the Indian Communists

In his address to the Congress, Wang declared

Our contrades in India suffered for a long time from 'left' sectarian errors, they did not participate in the mass demon strations organisations affiliated with it. At the same time the Indian Communist did not possets sufficient forces independently to organise a really powerful and mass anti-imperialist movement.

Wang went on to triutize the Indian Communists for using wrong slogans such as 'an Indian Workers' and Peasants Soviet Republic," 'confuscation of lands belonging to the zemindars (landlords) without compensation," 'a general strike as the only effective programme of action' and the like Wang held that

such demands on the part of our Indian comrades can serve as an example of how not to carry on the tactics of the antiimperialist united front?

Of course, we may note here that these very slogans, now condemned, had been formulated in Moscow and the Indian Communists had only been carrying out the orders of the Kremhn in uttering them Hence the latter were really being soolded for having carried out their previous orders

As for the new orders of the Communist International, Wang held that it was incumbent for the Indian Communists

to strice with all their power and all the means of their disposal for the establishment of a united anti impertalist front of the broad masses of the people both within and without the National Congress, to strive for the active participation of Communists and their supporters in all mass anti impertalist demonstrations, irrespective of who calls them, in order to show the people by deeds that the Communists are really the vanquard of the people of India in the struggle for national emancipation—this is now the main task of the Indian commends.¹ Georgi Dimitrov, the head of the Communist International in his capacity as its General Secretary authoritatively added

In India the Communists have to support, extend and participate in all anti-imperialist mass activities not ex-cluding those which are under national reformist leader ship 4

This then represented a return to the line first propounded by Lenin and opposed by Roy, which was enunciated in the pronouncement of the Second Congress of the Communist Inter national in 1920 and it was also the line which Roy himself had come to favor in 1928 and 1929, but which the Comintern then spurned in favor of the view that colonial Communist parties should stand boldly alone as candidly insurrectionary bodies hostile to the colonial "imperialists and the national bourgeoisie" alike

As a consequence of the decision of the Seventh Comintern Congress, the line was stressed that the masses of India should participate in the United Front⁵ alongside the brave peoples of Ethiopia, and China,⁶ then fighting foreign aggressors. In of Ethiopia, and China," then fighting foreign aggressors in order that this might be brought about there must be a unity of all Indian patriots in "the anti-imperalist People's Front." a front which would checkmate the schemes of "the cunning British rulers" and their policy of "divide and rule." It was further argued that the 'mass character of the united front should be its greatest feature and for this "mass character" to be properly made use of, the Communist program should be of such a nature as to meet the vital demands of workers peasants and middle classes "s the latter a new addition. It was also held that the "situation in India is particularly favorable for the organisation of a United Anti Imperialist Front," and for the organisation of a United Anti Imperialist Front," and that the Communists in India were actually "helping to mould the workers, peasants and middle class in such a way as to deal a smathing blow" to imperialistic British rule. "This would be in keeping with "the main task confronting the anti imperialist front," which was "the liberation of the Indian people and the introduction of a democratic regime." "It Besides workers, peasants and the "middle classes," this "anti-

imperialist united front" would comprise in the first place such local lefust parties as the Socialist and Radical League Parties of the Punjab and the Labour and Socialist parties of Bengal and also the Congress Socialist Party. In fact in calling for the "consoludation of the left wing the spokesmen of the Committern specified that this meant by necessity that all Left. Wing elements in Congress" as well as outside that body should be brought into the united front 15 No leftist group not even the Royats were specifically excluded. This was the manifestation of the "united front from above strategy by which through cooperation with nationalist socialist and liberal elements, the Communists sought to maneuver themselves into position to play an important—although not immediately a dominating role—in a broad and imperialist coalition. But ultimately under this strategy the Communists would seek to control the coalition. As noted above a modified form of the

144

united front from above" strategy had been followed by the Communists from 1925 to 1928, and subsequently abandoned In the second place trade unions peasant unions (kisan sabhas) and youth organizations were held to be groups which should join the Communists in a national front." On the basis of this national front it was held a minimum probasis of this national front it was held a minimum programme of anti-imperalist struggle" could be drawn up. 13. In the third place the National Congress itself was to be brought into the united front. As a result, it was held that the National Congress had undoubtedly achieved a gigantic task in uniting wide forces of the Indian people for the national struggle so that "the National Congress can play a great part and a foremost part in the work of realising the anti-unperalist Peoples Front."4 The Communist thereby diameterically reversed their position towards the formerly abhorred Congress Indeed Commeter propagandists between the years 1936 and 1939 saw fit to praise the actions of the National Congress both in regard to its domestic and its foreign policies. Thus in the summer of 1936 a very modest agricultural reform plan by a Congress Committee a program advocating such generalities as a just and fair relief of agricultural indebtedness was duly praised 15 Furthermore we find Ben Bradley the whilom Meerut conspirator in April 1959 prassing the Congress for its return iton of the "national demands that is not only the need for Indian independence but also the election of a Constituent Assembly (a policy formerly so bitterly assailed) to implement that independence ¹⁸

The foreign policy of the Congress was also praised by the Comintern's agents particularly the stand taken by the Congress in its plenary session at Haripura in February 1938 which stand was held to be an anti-Fascist one and was favor ably contrasted with the allegedly imperalist policies of the Brush Congregment if

The CPI even saw fit during the period under survey to greet the plenary sessions of the Congress, while at the same time expounding its views Thus in their manifesto of greet ing to the Haripura Congress as prepared by fourteen leading Indian Computings it was started

We shall stand for the overshrow of the Constitution the convening of a Constituent Assembly with the participation of the representatives of the States people to determine the Constitution of the free and united India the freedom of the basic economic and political demands of the people worked out in agreement with labour and States Peoples Organia unos and the representatives of the National Minorities 19

It may be noted that the leader of the British Communist Party Harry Pollitt along with Ben Bradley and R. Palme Dutt aw fix to "greet" the Harnpura Congress? and the Executive Committee of the GP G B also sent a message of "greeting" to the Tripuri Congress of March 1939. 37 These messages again served to demonstrate the tutelage of the Indian Communists in respect to the British Communist Part.

A feature of the Communists now pro-Congress policy was their "discovery" of Javaharial Nehru who since his father Motials Ned rus death in February 1931 had become with Gandhi the co-leader of Congress Previously casugated as a "reformit" of the worst type the Communist press now discovered him to be a great friend of Indian liberty Nehrus statement made in December 1935 that he believed the choice before the world lay between Communism and Fassism and

that he chose the former, was duly noted 21 Besides, Nehru's former to chose the former, was duly indicated between French presidential addresses" to the plenary sessions of the National Congress at Lucknow in April, 1936 and at Fairpur in Decem-ber of that year, were officiously reproduced in the "Labour Monthly, that British Communist organ edited by R Palme Dutt 22 Furthermore an interview by Nehru granted to the "International Press Correspondence," in March, 193622 was conspicuously featured in that Comintern journal and on June 27, 1936 a writer in that same publication referred to the Indian leader as 'Comrade Nehru "24 In addition, the British Communists were pleased to publish in the Labour Monthly" of August, 1938 an article by Nehru entitled 'Nationalism and the Mass Struggle in India." The article was a reasonably dis passionate review of the Indian national movement, not at all radical in its reference to social and economic matters. However, the theme of the article was the need for unity in the Indian national movement, a theme which was paralleled in the writ ings of the Communists of that time 25

Certain Congress leaders, however, did not receive a like laudation from the k-remlin's agents. Among them was Mahatma Gandhi who was acidly referred to as the leader of the Congress Eright wing "26 At this time the spokesmen of the Comintern held that a

program should be formulated which would be attractive to the needs of the masses of India which program would include
(1) The aim of complete independence for India.

Freedom of speech, press organisation, assembly, strikes

and picketing

(3) Repeal of all exceptional and repressive laws, Criminal Amendment Act, Press Acts, etc.

(4) Release of all political prisoners detenus and internees

(5) Against reduction of wages and dismissal of workers for an adequate minimum wage and eight hour day, for 50 per cent reduction in rents and against the seizure of peasant land for debt by imperialists, native princes zemindars (landlords) and moneylenders 27

The moderation of this program as compared to the programs formulated by the Communists in their previous platforms" and "theses" is startling for gone now are references to armed insurrections confiscations of land and the formation of a Soviet India

The Comintern's propagandists urged the National Congress to participate in Indian national elections on the basis of an anti imperialist bloc in such a way that there would be "no splitting of the vote for the benefit of the reactionary right wing outside the Congress "3" When these provincial elections of 1937 held under the new Indian Constitution of 1935 a docu ment based on the report of the Simon Commission which had been made public in June 1930 and which was designed to re place the Constitution of 1919 and which also gave a measure of autonomy to Indians in provincial affairs 3" resulted largely in Congress trumphs, (the Congress campaigning under its own name—not that of Swarajut as formerly) these triumphs were duly praised by the Comintern's spokesinen. Should agree

One demand which the latter held the Congress should agree to was entirely contrary to the best Indian traditions and one which would never have been agreed to under any condition by the vast majority of Congressmen. This was the demand that in the tactics of mass struggle, the "dogma" of "non violence should be "omitted." However this view was qualified by the assertion that this issue should not be allowed to subtle the national front.²³

The spokemen of the Comintern also advocated policies which could be far more pulstable to the Indian Congress that the abandonment of non volonce namely that of opposing spiritedly the "war preparations" alleged to be carried on by the Brush Government and that of expressing solidarity for the struggles of peoples against unperalist aggression motably struggles of the Ethopians against the Islains and the Chinese against the Jalians and the Jalians

Although the Communists had alenced their abusive criticism of the Congress and of the "national reformist" hourgeouse other elements in Indian life were still assailed. The British 148

were still castigated, just as before—regardless of the possibility of an Anglo-Russian rapprochement on the over all international political scene. Indeed, the new Red line was designed all the more to further 'the popular struggle against imperalism," and the Indian Constitution of 1935 was particularly assailed by the international Communist press 34

This violent hostility to the British put the Communists in India in a rather potentially ticklish position in the event of a war in which Great Britain and Russia would be allies against the Axis Powers, an event which seemed possible in the years from 1936 till the Russo-German pact of August 23, 1939 In event of such an Anglo-Russian alliance the Indian Communists would, it might seem, have to withdraw, at least in part, their strong hostility to the 'imperialists' When asked about this takhish question, the Indian Communists between 1936 and 1939 answered with the claim that even were the Soviet Union to fight on the side of England and France, it would still be in to light on the side of England and France, it would still be in their eyes 'an imperialist war '35 Thus the Communists implied they would in such a circumstance still oppose the British in India and thus directly hamper the latter's war effort, but in directly they would simultaneously hamper the war effort of the U.S.S.R. as well. However, in practice, the Indian Communists

U.S.S.R. as well. Honever, in practice, the Indian Communists would never act in any way contrary to the interest of Moscow and this as we shall see, subsequently proved to be the case. In addition to the British, the princes and their states were also subject to Communist abuse during the period under survey. The princely states were called 'hotbeds of reaction' and the governments of these states were demoninated as government by "feudal autocracy. The princely states were bitterly assailed in that they were held to be propped up by the British's. As before, the Communists within and without India con

As before, the Communits within and without India continued to make much over the hard lot, real and alleged, of the Indian masses In this respect the tendency was to put the blame for this misery on Bruth capitalists, for example an expose which discussed with seemingly righteous wrath the conditions of the miners of the British owned mines of Bihar blamed British industrialists more than on their Indian equiva

lents 37 However the Indian capitalists were not exonerated by the Communists from the alleged guilt of making for the hard lot of the Indian masses a misery which the Communists did their utmost to exploit for their own subversite purposes 39

Entering the Congress in strength in 1936 Communists plunged into the work of that body feigning that they were good Con-gressmen. They even donned khaddar the costume of the into the work of that body feigning that they were good Congressmen. They even donned khaddar the costume of the Congressmen and are said even to have preached humanitarian uplift in line with Gandhist ideology 3º This of course was pure deception. They were only behaving like good Congress men in order to gain influence and power in that organization and in this respect the Communists were markedly successful considering how butterly they had criticated the Congress be tween 1929 to 1935 the Communists were elected to high posts in the provincial Congress organizations and some Communists were even elected to the All India Congress Communites 4th Prominent Communists who were serving on the latter body in 1939 included R D Bhardwaj the leader of the Communists in the United Provincial Congress Committees 10 for Direct Provincial Congress Committees indeed in the Prinjab included R D Bhardwaj the leader of the Communists in the Punjab and S G Patkar a Red leader of Bombays 12 Communists were also prominent on several provincial Congress Committees indeed in the Punjab Communist Vlan Hitcharuddin was elected President of the Provincial Congress Committees 10 non-formity with their new ly adopted pro-Congress policy Communists supported the Congress individuals in the electron of the spring of 1931 1st However in one constituency the Communist supported the Congress individuals in the continuency the Communist supported the Congress with all segments of that body while carrying on a Tooring from within 'ampaign maile of it Hence when the Communist found that their policy of having the Congress accept taking over ministres in the provinces in 1937, was opposed by the Congress they quickly veered around to the latter'a position. ministries the Communists went along with this pointy. An even more noteworthy example of this Communist course concerned Subhas C Bose The Communists approved the election of this left wing Congress leader to the Presidency of the National Congress in the spring of 1938 and according to A K Ghosh the present leader of the CPI (as its General Secretary) the Communists backed his policies before the Tri purt Congress of March 1939 47 They also supported the recelection of Bose at this plenary session of the Congress How ever subsequent thereto when the dominant right wing of the Congress Working Committee urged Bose to resign the Com-munists remained silent in effect thereby assisting the right wing whereas the Congress Socialists had boldly advised Bose to remain at his post 48 This would seem to indicate that the Communists were pursuing a purely opportunistic policy devoid of ideological considerations simply to make themselves appear respectable" in the "eyes of right wing Congressmen and thus prevent themselves from being isolated 49 The policy of unity" prevent memseries from being isolated. ** The policy of unity which the Communists spoke of so passionately at the Tripuri Congress⁵⁰ was also in line with this policy.

The Communists also invaded the Congress Socialist Party in considerable force during the year 1958 and after We have already seen that a kind of semi truce had existed between the Communists and certain non-Communist leftists in connection with the Bombay textile mills strike of 1934 Furthermore with the Bonnay textile mills strike of 1934 Furthermore during the latter part of 1934 and the early part of 1935 prior to the Seventh Comintern Congress, in spite of public Com-munist abuse of the Congress Socialists and the fact that at its first plenary session its first Congress in Bombay the Congress Socialist Party had gone on record that no Communist could be admitted into its membership there was actually contact between the Congress Socialists and the Communists Thus between the Congress Socialists and the Conmunists and the General Secretary of the Congress Socialist Party Jay Pra kath Narayan and other important members of the Executive Committee of that party kept up constant contacts with the leaders of the Communist party "constant contacts which were held to have been "useful from the (Congress Social st) Partys point

ministries the Communists 'went along with this policy

150

of view 51 Furthermore the Congress Socialists sent an emissary to the Communists in the summer of 1935 the summer of the Commerce Of 1939 the summer of the Commerce of the Commerce Of the Desire of the Person of M. R. Masani one of the leaders of the party ¹² In September 1935 Masani came into contact with the leaders of British Communism and the mentors of the Indian Communist party Messrs Harry Pollitt, mentors of the Indian Communist party Vessis Harry Pollut.

R. Palme Dutt and Ben Bradley (recently released from prison).

These gentlemen admitted to Mainn that the Indian Communist party had erred in its left sectarian policy and held that now the Indian Communist should participate in a "broad anti-imperialist front" which would operate both within and without the National Congress Masain then asked why should not the Communist Party in India be dissolved altogether and the Socialist Reled in India be left exclusively to the Congress Socialists' Very well answered the British Communists if the Socialists? Very well answered the British Communists it the Congress Socialist Parity would accept affiliation with the Comin tern and in effect become the Communist Parity of India they would agree to this On Masans insistence that the Congress Socialist Parity must maintain its independence the British Communist leaders indicated that the Communist leaders indicated the Communist leaders in the Communis own party in India 63

Communist request a measure of the relationship between the Communist party as the leading left wing group and the Indian Communists was about to begin. Masanis mission had apparently convinced the Congress Socialists that they could collaborate with the Communists for in January 1996 at the second plenary session of the Congress Socialist Party at Meerust is was decaded that in the interest of "socialist unity" the Communists might join their party although under the simpulation they could be brought in only with the specific consent of it executive Commutee of the party and would be put under "supervision" once in the party at Bust in May 1996 at the time of the Lucknow session of the National Congress the Congress Socialist party and the Communist party (which was now following the proper party line) signed an agreement the "Lucknow Agreement." in which both parties recognized each other as bona fide socialist parties and in which it was declared they

would cooperate with each other with a view to an eventual merger 55 Following the 'Lucknow Agreement" Communists joined the Congress Socialist Party in considerable numbers and the pro-vision that the Executive Committee of the latter party should approve their entry, was not observed. The Communists im mediately took advantage of the circumstance and by the early part of 1937 they were working hard to capture the Congress Socialist local organizations in various parts of India The Communists were particularly active in the Congress Socialist party in the industrial areas of Bombay, Calciutta and Cawipore, and in that party's organization in the district of Andhra an area located to the east of the princely state of Hyderabad and covering the north of Madras Province 56 It was at this time, therefore, that the Communist story of intrigue and lust for power in this region had its inception Furthermore the Com munists in many parts of India were themselves taking over the munusc in many parts of india were inemseives taking over one task of organizing the peasants and particularly the workers nominally in the name of the Congress Socialist Party, and leaving the true Congress Socialist somewhat isolated at the top ¹⁰ And even the top leadership of this party was successfully infiltrated by the Reds for four Communists secured member ship in the Executive Committee of the Congress Socialist Party 58

152

The leaders of the Congress Socialist Party (C.S.P.) became aware of the dangers to their movement from Communis infill tration when a secret statement of the C.P.I was brought to their attention which declared it was the Communists purpose to unlike their party as a "platform to flet unity" a platform to be dominated by the Communist party '29 The Executive Communities of the Congress Socialist Party threeupon unanimous ly (even the Communists voted with the majority so as not to betray their identity) passed a decree, known as the Patina Decision which forbade Communities to entire the Congress Socialist Party However, no action was taken against Communists already in the party ⁴⁰ At the plenary conference of the CSP in Lishore April, 1938 the Chairman of that conference, M. R. Masani, demanded the expulsion of the Communists.

but his demand was not agreed to \$^4\$. However the Executive Committee of the CSP did authorize General Secretary Nara yan to expel the Communists but he refused to do so hoping that by not so doing he could continue friendly relations be tween the CSP and the CPI \$^4\$

The connection with the Congress Socialists was a useful one for the Communists in that it was through Congress Socialist votes that Communists were elected to important posts on proxincial Congress committees and even to the All India Congress Committee 48 For example it explains the victory of the Communists in the spring of 1959 in elections to the Bombay Provincial Congress Committee in which the Communist received the highest number of votes of any of the candidates in that city and also in the Bombay municipal election held at that time in which the four Communist candidates who ran for election topoed the polls 44.

The "Royats" were still in existence during the period under survey, but they were declining both in numbers and in in fluence. There is no concrete evidence that the Communist did try to infiltrate their ranks neither is there any definite vidence that they did not try to infiltrate the ranks of this group. Although Roy was released from prison in 1956 44 he and his group failed to make a great sir in Indian politics. Under his direction the "Royats" joined the Congress party and like the Communists came out for Congress unity. After the defeat of Bose following the Tripurs session of the Congress the "Royats" formed a separate group called the League of Radical Congressmen which maintained a relationship with in the Congross in the same autonomous way as did the Congress Socialist. 46 This "Royats" group was a small group and of hittle importance.

The Communists were also busy carrying on subversive activities inside the Indian labor movement during the years from 1956 to 1959

1936 to 1939

When the Indian Communists adopted the line of the "united front" they did their utmost to advocate a merger between the two great Indian labor federations, the AITUC in which unger 1935 they were a constituent and the "vational Federation."

154 of Trade Unions (NFTU) formerly known as the All India Trade Union Federation (AITUF) Their policy after a number of unsuccessful efforts finally achieved fruition in 1938

In January of that year the AITUC meeting at Delhi ratified an agreement providing for provisional unity with the NFTU According to a Comintern source the terms for the amalgamation were considered favourable to the NFTU" but all the same added this source it is to the credit of the executive of the AITUC as well as the progressive elements executive or the AII UC as well as the progressive elements within the NFTU that this much needed unity has been practically achieved ⁴⁷ It may be noted that at the time of amalgamation the NFTU was the larger organization with a membership of 83 000 in sixty two unions whereas the Communist infiltrated AITUC had only 46 000 members in ninety eight unions 68

This provisional unification was confirmed at a joint session of the two bodies at Nagpur where it was decided that the representation of the Joint General Council of the amalgamated trade union federation would be divided evenly between representatives of the AITUC, and the NFTU and that there would be no affiliation with any foreign organization. This meant there would be no affiliation either with the Comin tern's RFLU nor with the Socialist labor union international of Amsterdam It is also interesting to note that the red flag but without the hammer and sickle was adopted as the official emblem of the new organization which retained the name of the All India Trade Union Congress It is noteworthy that the treasurer of the new organization was R. S Nimbkar the erstwhile Meerut convict. However the other officers were not Communists The actual formal amalgamation of the AITUC and the NFTU did not occur until 1940 but in practice union between them had been achieved at the Nagpur sess on of 1938. The amalgamated trade union federation defi-n tely prospered in that in 1939 it had a total membership of 554 000 with 1913 filliated unions to The numerical position of the Communists in it was smaller both in relative and in absolute terms than it had been in the older smaller AITUC for the NFTU had been free of Red influence However the

Communists had now a wider field to carry on their subversive tractics of infiltration Of course the Communists faced con tinued competition in the new organization not only from conservative labor elements but also from elements affiliated with the Congress Socialius and the "Roysits" In addition there were two labor associations which remained independent of the new combined trade union federation over which the Communist had no influence the Almadabad Labour Association com posed of 50 000 workers in 1939 which was under the influence of Mahatima Gandhi and the unions of the Railwaymens of the Sailwaymen steederation which did not include the Communist influenced union of the GI IP railwaymen 13

Before and after the amalgamaton of the A I T U C and the N F T U the Communists did their best to encourage the strike movement. Indeed between the years 1935 and 1937 there was a startling increase in the number of strikes in India. In the latter year there were no fewer than 617 801 workers on strike with 8 982 000 working days lost, the greatest strike wave uptil then in the history of India. The strike wave correct all segments of Indian industry thus in 1937 225 000 workers struck in the Bengal jute industry the greatest single strike in Indian Iabor history Other Indian enterprises, notably the failways and textile mills as well as such micellaneous in dustriet as the Swedish-owned matchworks of Bombay were subjected to walkous At the same time the strike movement period to "geographically backward provinces," and the princely states and vocationally to handieraft workers. To Committen spokeimen expressed pleasure at the "tenacity" of the strikers and the "new forms" of their stringels notably the stay in strike which was utilized in strikes in Campore and Madras, as well as in Pondicherty French India. It is more than likely that the Indian workers learned this new technique from Communist agents.

The strike movement continued to convulse Indian labor management relations in the years 1935 and 1939 up to the outbreak of the Second World War Some 650 000 workers were on strike in 1935 which strike movements convulsed the large industrial centers of Calcutta Campore Bombay Alla

habad, Ahmadabad (where even the 'Gandhist" unions were

156

around, Animacabad (where even the 'Gandhust' ninons were affected), Madras and Sholapur It is claimed by a Communist source that the workers, in contrast to previous years were now winning their strikes. The most important of these strikes was at Cawnpore, where after a walkout of fifty days' duration, the workers won their demands 15 This strike was also noteworthy in that the Congress Inquiry Committee of the United Provinces supported the strike, thus making for 'Congress-Labour unity' and in so far as the strikers were influenced by the Communists Congress-Communist unity 76

Another dramatic strike was of short duration—one day—but it attracted a considerable amount of attention. This was the one day strike of protest on November 7, 1938 against the enactment of the Bombay Province Trades Disputes Bill which had been put forth by the Bombay Congress Ministry. This was a measure which hampered militant trade union activity yimposing a compulsory conclusion period of four months' duration as a 'cooling off' period during which no strike action might be taken and by imposing regulations on the registration of unions in such a way as would seem to discriminate against militant trade unions in favor of "company unions" This strike of November 7, 1938, was halled by Ben Bradley as 'the greatest independent political action by the proletariat in this country" (India) "It may also be noted that the specific day for this strike was on the anniversary of the Bolshevik Revolution in Russia.

The Communits were also active in the peasant movement between 1936 and 1939. That movement had been greatly bolstered by the holding of the first All India Kisan (Reasant) Congress in 1936. This conference, which organized the All India Kisan Sabha (All India Peasant) Unnoil drew up a charter of fundamental demands which held as ulumnate goals the abolition of the landford system and the cancellation of debits and as immediate goals demanded the abolition of field and distribution of the landford system and the cancellation of feedal dues and forced labor, a five year moratorium on all agricultural indebtedness and development of irrigation and credit facilities we may note that the red flag was adopted as the official emblem of the All India Kisan Sabha 19

This adoption of the red banner did not mean however that the Communists controlled this first India wide union of peas anto On the Organisational Committee of the new body the controlling group of the organization only one of the eleven members was a Communist a man named Bankin Mukherjee who represented Bengal although two others on the committee was a Communist a man named Bankin Mukherjee who represented Bengal although two others on the committee of Sajjad Zaheer and E M S Namboodripad subsequently became very important Communists **Other Communists holding important positions in the All India Asian Sabha included Dr Z A Ahmed Dr M Asharl A K. Gopalan F Sundarsyya P Ramamuru and P Jeevanandam **

The peasants movement in India which was spurred on by the peasants genuine misery as a result of the growth of rural indebtedness after 1929 owing to the great world depression **
became an important feature in Indian life after 1936. Through

The peasants movement in India which was spurred on by the peasants genume misery as a result of the growth of rural indebtedness after 1929 owing to the great world depression to became an important feature in Indian life after 1936. Through out the length and breadth of India "peasant conferences were held schools were set up to train peasant workers" and the peasants began a disciplined struggle" to force a reduction of rent to landlords interest to moneylenders and revenue to the government to abolish "forced labour" for the landlords benefit and to retain possession of their lands. The methods employed by the peasant unions (kusan subhas) were non-wolent consisting of meetings demonstrations marches rent strikes and satya graha or passive resustance. By this means they endeavored to break down the former isolation of the peasants to promote active cooperation among them for the redress of their grievances and to draw them into the struggle against British rules.

The peasants movement encompassed by the All India

The peasants movement encompassed by the All India huan Sabha was led by true Congress Socialists and not by Communits at the top but many of the organizers at the law were Communits. Hence the movement in a number of areas came to be dominated by the Communits at the graw roots level*1 in addition as we have noted the Communits were successful in infiltrating the Congress Socialist party so that in so far they had succeeded in securing influence in that party they grained ground in the peasants movement. The position of the Communitis in the peasants movement in Bengal was particularly strong Vulkherjee and Vulsifar Ahmad, upon his release from prison were the leaders of the Bengal peasant movement, and under their auspices a militant movement border ing on insurrection was organized 8° The Communists were also influential among the peasants in other parts of India notably in the rural part of Bombay Province where there was a peasant movement bordering on revolt 8° as well as in Bhar the Punjab Andhra and the United Provinces 8° These uprisings" in Bengal and Bombay Province just referred to consisted of attempts to oust landfords" as well as refusal to pay taxes rents and interests It may also be noted that the Communists were also influential in the peasant movement of certain princely states notably in the Travancore and Cochin states in the South and in the Telengana area of Hyderabad 8° This last mentioned region alongside the adjoining Andhra region of British India was to become the greatest Red hotbed in India.

158

In the Communist-controlled kisan sahbas (peasant unions) it is interesting to note that the red flag and the hammer and sickle were frequently displayed at meetings and demonstrations and cultivators even refused to pay rent saying Lenin Sahib has told us not to pay although they had no knowledge whatever of the identity of the onest me Soviet leader. At the same time the shibboledhs of European Communism were duly treptated by the indoctrinated kisans although it is unlikely that these illiterate peasants had any idea of what they were sayings. In some places as in Bihar the peasants under Communist stimulus would shout long live the revolution and down with Brit sh imperialism. The slogans which were at least intelligible to the Indian peasantry.

It may also be noted that the policies of the All India Kisan Sabha were very far to the left of the National Congress and indeed disputes occurred between the Congress munitaries and the personal The Breach between the Congress and the All India Asian Sabha which had come to the surface as early as 1937 widned during the following two years 1 In fact in 1939 in an official statement the National Congress stated

Kisans have a right to organise kisan Sabhas but

the Congress cannot associate itself with such of its activities as are incompatible with its basic principles and tend to create a hostile and inauspicious atmosphere against its policy ^{§2}

This indicates that the Communists activity in the peasants' unions was often contrary to Congress' interest in spite of the formal support of the former for the latter

The Communists were also busy carrying on subversive activities among the Indian youth between 1935 and 1939 A Communist controlled Workers Youth League in Bombay came into being in 1937 when a former ban against it had been lifted by the Congress Government of Bombay Province 2 This group thereupon went busily about disseminating propaganda among the working class youth of Bombay, the Indian city where the Communists had the most influence Furthermore the Indian Students' Federation which had previously been dominated by Nationalists now contained a large and ever-growing segment of entitivastic Communists.

The organization of the Communists between 1935 and 1939 showed a distinct improvement over that prior to the Seventh Comintern Congress in Moscow For one thing by the end of 1938 all Communists who had been imprisoned at Meerit as well as those arrested in 1934 who were important leaders of the purty were now released from prison and were free to carry on their subseries extrustes. This meant that the ablest Communist leaders men like S A Dange Muralfar Ahmad S V Ghate and P C. Josh were able to take up the leadership of the movement and full the gap caused by the death of the 1935. The leader of the Communist from 1935 to 1939 was Joshi Describing his leadership during this period Harry Pollut, Joshis mentor, averred in 1912.

With the most harsh repression going on, hunted by the police day and night, without a home living on a few shilling a month Johal abong with other leaders of the Communist Party, built up the Communist Party from a small fighting organisation to the great mass political force that it is today, basing it firmly upon the working classes of Dombay and Calcutta and Campiore establishing firm all

liance with the organised Peasant movement, and winning over to their side the youth of the country *5

Besides Joshi, the leading Communists during this period were B T Ranadive and P Sundarayya As noted above, Rana dive had been, along with Deshpande, the principal Communist leader from 1929 to 1934 when he was imprisoned Sundarayya was a native of the district of Andhra and it was under his influence that this agricultural region even by the end of the year 1939, had a strong Communist movement

It must be noted, however, that the Communist Party was a strictly illegal organization between the years 1935 and 1939 and in the words of a Comintern commentator it was "carrying on the struggle deep underground."36 Indeed, the British carried on an active anti Communist policy Thus to-wards the end of January, 1936, raids in Bombay led to the arrest of five Communists and the seizure of a sum of 4,000 rupees A little later, on February 5th, raids were conducted by CID agents in Lucknow, Cawnpore, Allahabad and Benares and it was announced that this was "one of the most sensa tional Communist conspiracies of recent years' The raids in volved the premises of trade union offices, and interestingly enough in both Benares and Allahabad they were carried on against students indicating the spread of Communist propaganda among them 97 Commenting on the searches and the seizures of large quantities of Communist literature which re sulted, the Bombay "Chronicle" of February 6, 1936 noted

The searches were carried out with a thoroughness that showed the authorities are working on prepared plans. The raids were made with a view to finding out the head quarters and principles of these organisations Suggestions that these bodies are being subsidised with Moscow gold have been made in certain quarters which claim that there is a network of secret Communist organisations in the country working under a Control Board 28

Attention to the Communist menace in India was also drawn in the Indian Legislative Assembly in February, 1936 At that

time a pro-Government member Sir Mohammed Yakub held that Communist propaganda was being distributed among Sikh soldiers. and further attention was turned towards the Communists in June 1935 with the conviction in Calciuta of three Communist agitators to sentences of two years rigorous im prisonment that is at hard labor for "being members of an unlawful organization—namely the Communist Party of India—and of assisting it in conducting its activities and of issuing unautiforised newshers: 1985.

At this trial it was brought out that Indian Communism was being carried on by underground propaganda which propa ganda showed that its objects were the overthrow of British Tule in India 181

Further evidences of the Red conspiracy in India were to the property out in the tiral of a Communist. Sheo Singh in October 1936 in Campore At that tiral a special Investigation Officer named Rai Sahah Tika Ram testified that papers were found on the person of Sheo Singh which stated that one of the objects of the Communist Party was to combine the scattered groups of Communists in India. 168

It was further adduced that the CPI had planned to pay is unemployed members 15 rupees per month and—in order to conteal the Communists subversive activities within them—provincial secretairies were threatned with expulsion if the mentioned the names of legal bodies with whom the CPI had entered into agreement ¹⁹⁸ This trial was an instance of strett Bruth repression of the Communist movement in the second half of 1956 a repression which elicited cries of rage from the Commitern's press ¹⁸⁴

During the year 1937 the British Raj continued to maintain a stringent attitu le towards the Indian Communist On this subject Ben Bradley complained in September 1937 that "in every province in India the Communist Party of India is illegal" and this was true also in those provinces in which Congress Governments had been elected that year. Thus in the Congress-controlled Province of

Bombay alone the following organisations are among those

162

In December 1937, another Commern spokesman complained bitterly about the alleged fact that "the police in many provinces are communing to arrest people for political activities" and that searches and seizures, particularly in Bengal (which was not under a Congress Ministry) were being carried on "8 British repression of the Communists in 1938 and in 1939 up to the outbreak of the war, slackened, but the Communist Party remained allegal.

In spite of this repression by operating within legal organizations such as the AITUC, the All India Aisan Sabha, the Congress Socialist Party and even in the National Congress itself, the CPI was able to extend its influence The C.P.I was expectably able to do so in view of the fact that its then line of a united front against 'imperialism' was genuinely attractive to wide strata of the Indian public

The Communist press also made a reappearance in the years under survey. This was a direct product of a measure of leniency by the Congress ministres. Thus in Bombay there appeared in 1937 a weekly in English entitled the "National Front," which paper has been held to have "played a great role in unifying the Communist movement and developing it in new areas ¹⁰⁴ in addition in Bombay, the "Karnti" was revived as a Marathi publication and in the Malabar area at about this time there appeared appeared lap appeared as paper alled Prabastam in Andria a paper called "Fabastah" (in Telegu) came forth and in Taminad (the Madras area) appeared as papeared as pursual Janasakhi "The circulation of these journals did much to assist the dissemination of Communist propaganda in line with the Communist campaign in the furtherance of their utilization of the tactics of the tactics of the travels.

During the thirties the Communists were assisted by a friendly

interest in India in Socialism and Communism as ideologies and their practical manifestation in Rusia. Thus Sir Rabindramath Tagore visited the USSR. in 1930 and expressed a not incon siderable amount of praise for the Soviet experiment. He emphasized that Soviet Rusia had made considerable progress in education, indeed greater progress in eight years than India and made under British rule in 166 years Tagore was also impressed by the alleged fact that education in Rusia was applied equally to all Russian subjects "irrespective of class and race" ¹⁰⁸ He also stated the view that the Soviet regime had been beneficient in that in modern Rusias "greed of individual or party power and of money is absent." ¹¹⁰

In Moscow, on September 24, 1930 the great poet declared "I have envied you in all the great opportunities you have in this country' "It and on the following day in an interview with "Irvestia" he added

Before leaving your country let me once again assure you that I am struck with admiration for all that you are doing to free those who are in slavery, to raise those who were lowly and oppressed and to bring help to those who were unterly helples, reminding them that the source of their salvation lies in a proper education and their power to combine their human resources ¹¹³

Tagore was an admirer of, but not an adherent of Communium He held that Communium had arisen from the "in-human background of modern cavilization" the greed of modern society and was a lind of "medical treatment" for it. As a "medical treatment" Communium was only a socio-economic system which was transitionary to an economy in which "cooperation in the production and control of wealth would presail." 199

Jawaharlal Nehru remained amicably disposed towards Social sim and the Soviet Union during the 'thirties Just prior to the dawn of this decade, at the Labore Congress of December, 1929 he personally proclaimed himself a "socialist." ¹¹⁴ It was thus under his influence that the next plenary sesson of the Congress at karach in December, 1931, included in its "Funda

mental Rights of the Indian People a provision that "the State shall own or control key industries and services mineral resources railways waterways shipping and other means of public transport, 115

Nehrus boldest pronouncement regarding Communism and Socialism occurred in December 1933 in which besides holding that the choice before the world lay between Communism and Fascism and of the two he chose the former Nehru held in regard to the ideal of Communism

In regard to the method and approach to this ideal I may not agree with everything that the orthodox Com munists have done I think that these methods will have to adapt themselves to changing conditions and may vary in different countries But I do think that the basic ideology of Communism and its scientific interpretation of history 15 sound \$16

These remarks caused some to feel that Nehru was playing the game of Moscow It was humorously stated that vodka has gone to his head"117 and fear was expressed that such a socialist approach endangered Indian nationalism in that this stressed concept of the class struggle whereas the need for India was co-operation among Nationalists of all strata of life to make their country free and independent. 118

Nehru also followed the leftist line in his official press dential address" to the Lucknow plenary session of the National Congress in which he maintained that two rival economic and political systems, the capitalist and the socialist faced each other in the world" the first prepared feverishly for war" whereas

the other was the new socialist order of the U.S.S.R. which went from progress to progress though at a terrible cost and where the problems of the capitalist world had ceased to exist 110

From this \chru went on to add

Capitalism in its difficulties took to Fascism with its brutal suppression of what Western civilisation had apparently stood for it became even in some of its homelands what its imperialist counterparts had long been in the subject colonial countries 120

Referring again to Russia Nebru noted that although there were things in the land of the Soviets which pained him and to which he had to disagree nonetheless in the U.SSR there was being founded a new order and a new civilisation" and that

if the future is full of hope it is largely because of Soviet Russia and what it has done and I am convinced that if some world catastrophe does not intervene this new civilisation will spread to other lands and put an end to the wars and conflicts on which causalism feeds in

It was no surprise then that this address was hailed by a clandesine Indian Communist journal. The Communist as a clearer anti imperialist call than has ever been made from the Congress chair "122

But did this pro-Soviet and anti-capitalist attitude mean that Vehru favored that Socialism be pressed forward in India at all cost? Vehru answered by stating in the same address

Much as I wish for the advancement to socialism in this country. I have no desire to force the issue in the Congress and thereby create difficulties in the way of our struggle for independence. 122

In his address as President of the Congress delivered in December 1956 at the plenary session of the Congress in Faupur Nehru was more restrained in his utterances on Russia and Socialism than at I ucknow but he did state that "backward Russia with one mighty jump has established a Soviet Socialism state and an economic order which has resulted in tremendous progress in all directions." ¹³¹ And as for the Congress Socialism he simply stated that "the Congress stands today for full democracy in India and lights for a democratic state not for socialism." ²³³ It may also be noted that in his writings between 1936 and 1939 he approved of the foreign policy of the Soviet Union and the British Communist Party in regard to such pressing matters as the Spanish Civil War and the Crechoslovakian or Sudeten question and he even held the view that the British and French "ruling classes" would rather endanger their empires than "engage in co-operation" with Soviet Russia for the "defence of democracy as this policy might threaten their "privileged position" This held Nehru explains why the British and French governments "ignored Russia" at the time of the Munich crisis of September, 1938 124

166

Nehru held in these writings that Marxism appealed to him in a broad sense and helped him understand the processes of history He stated further that class struggles are inherent in the present-day capitalist system particularly since the "ruling or owning classes" resist all attempts to change the socio-economic state of their lands. Nehru denied that Marxism or Socialism envisioned violence, although like capitalism itself Nehru felt that Socialism envisages the "possibility" of vio-lence 127 It may be noted in this connection that a "National Planning Committee" was set up under Congress auspices under Nehrus direction A "majority" of this Committee believed that "key industries" should be state-owned and the Committee decided that agricultural land also "must vest in the people of India collectively "178

Although in his writings during the years 1936 to 1939 Nehru continued to express satisfaction in the "progress" of Russian economy and the "advancing standards" of the Soviet people there is evidence of doubt setting in Hence Nehru noted with apprehension the "purge" trials in the U.S.S.R. and although he believed the trials were generally "bona fide" and that there believed the trials were generally bona lide: and that unchandeen a "definite conspirary" against the Sowret Government (contentions which are doubted today outside the Communist part of the world) Nehru nonetheless felt that all this might betoken ill health in the Soviet body politic which required, therefore the employment of "voolence" as a remedy ""

As to the Indian Communists Nehru noted that the Indian

Socialists (and presumably also the Communists) had not "re-

acted sufficiently to changing conditions," although he felt that the Communists 'in Europe' might change "under the compulsion of events 'But he specifically declared that such a change would not apply to the Indian Communists ¹³⁰ There is no reason whatsoever to feel that Nchru had any special inclination to the Indian Communist Party during the period under review in spite of his good words for Soviet Communism and for 'Socialism," nor for that matter, his article in the British Communist publication the "Labour Monthly"

It may be observed that the various resolutions on foreign affairs condemning 'fascist aggression' and the 'fascist powers,' 'Rebel Spain' and the Munich Pact, and 'British imperal ism' while approving of 'collective security' against the Fasist menace probably written by Nehru and approved at the plenary sessions of the National Congress at Fairpart, December, 1936 '11 Haripura, 112 February, 1938 and Tripura in March 1939, 113 Were not at all dissumblar to the Computer line.

The Congress Socialist Party also registered sympathy for Soviet Rusia Thus an official statement of the Socialists urged the National Congress at the time of the Faispur Congress that 'the Congress declare that the solidarity of the Indian people is with the people of the U.S.S.R.¹⁵⁸

The Congress Socialists further manufested sympathy for the Soviet Union in their party Conference at Labore of April 1938 A resolution of the party stated "that (the) U.S.S.R. is the only major Power working for peace" and in contrast argued that "the foreign policy of Great Britain is profascit and is encouraging the forces of reaction and war." The resolu

and is encouraging the forces of reaction and war." The resolution also went on to call for 'support to the U.S.S.R."133.

The Indian Communities were also assisted by certain articles in the Indian periodical press during the 'thirties which lauded the alleged ethics of Communitim as practiced in Soviet Russia in the supposed freeing of the Russian people from the greed for money ¹²⁸ for improving morals in the Soviet Union in the advocacy of temperance and the abolition of prostution "not by law. but by practice," ¹²⁸ by furthering child welfare and cultivating the fine arts. ¹²⁸ as well as carrying on a "successful battle for the liquidation of illiteracy" ²²⁸ The progress of 168

However, right wing elements in India naturally expressed disapproval of socialism Soviet Russia and those favorable to them Thus an editorial in the "Feudatory and Zamindary Review the ultra-conservative spokesman for India's princes and great landlords referring to Nehru, in 1936 averred

The New President of the Congress will be satisfied with nothing less than Socialism all round whatever that term now man. The model of Pandix Jawaharlal is administratedly Soviet Rusia The socialism of India, according to him means the sovietusion of the country 144.

The leader of the Muslim League Mohammed Ali Jinnah, likewise expressed his disapproval of the leftist tendencies of Achru At his Presidential address to the All India Muslim League in October 1937, Jinnah indicated marked distate for that kind of public utterance which is intended to lead the people towards socialistic and communistic ideas for which India is far from prepared. 145

Mahatma Gandhi represented a bulwark for traditional Indian thought in the Congress and resisted pressures which would draw the Indian national movement into a materialistic Marxist direction. In November, 1921 he categorically stated India does not want Boshevism 144 Three years later he added of Communism that in so far as it is based on violence and denial of God it replies me "144 Hence, it is no wonder that when early in 1927, the Communist M P Shapurji Saklatvala clumnily attempted to induce Gandhi to put on an ordinary

pair of khaddar trousers and work with us in an ordinary way "148
his suggestion was most coolly and definitely rejected by the
Mahatma in a lengthy correspondence 149

A few years later on March 17 1931 having been jeered in a public appearance by Communists the Mahatma rebuked them by stating. You claim to be communist but you do not seem to live the life of communism. 150

The passage of time did not induce Gandhi to evince great friendship for Soviet Communist In February 1937 Gandhi maintained that Communist of the Russian type that is communism which is imposed on the people would be repured in 10 fair 181

Moreover two years later in January 1939 referring to Soviet Communism the Mahatma wrote

As I look to Russia where the apotheosis of industrialisation has been reached the life there does not appeal to me To use the language of the Bible. What shall it awaif a man if he gain the whole world and lose his soul? In modern terms it is beneath human dignity to lose ones individuality and become a mere cog in the machine. I want every individual to become a full blooded full-developed member of the society ¹³²

However this did not mean the Mahatma was a mere re tionary Thus on March 26 1931 the saintly Indian leader rote

The Swaraj of my dream is the poor man's Swaraj. The necessaries of 1 fe should be enjoyed by you (the common people) in common with those enjoyed by moneyed men 122

Furthermore Gandhi was not averse to Commun im taken as an i lealistic concept. Hence he stated in February 1937

I believe in nonviolent communism. If communism came without any violence it would be welcome. For then no property would be held by anybody except on Jehalf of the people and for the people is.

analysis? In March 1937 he endeavored to answer this question by averring

What does communism mean in the last analysis? It means a classless society an ideal that is worth striving for Only I part company with it when force is called to aid for achieving it. We are all born equal but we have all these centuries resisted the will of God. The idea of in equality of 'high and low' is an evil but I do not believe in eradicating evil from the human breast at the point of the bayonet 155

Hence Gandhi believed in Communism as an ethical ideal, but opposed its practical manifestation in Russia especially in its anti religious manifestations. These manifestations were in cidentally generally soft pedalled by Indian Communists al though as an ultimate goal it was held by them necessary to fight religion in India 156 and to do so by joining religious organizations so as to destroy such organisations from with IN 187

Gandhi distinctly discounted any Soviet threat to India On July 2 1932 he held that it is a gratuitous assumption that Russia is to pounce upon India and is an insult to Russia 158 and on another occasion when asked "Are you not afraid of Bolshevik propaganda spreading into India the Mahatma re plied "I do not think the Indian people are so gullible 188

Interestingly enough one of the critics of a pro-Soviet policy was Motilal Nehru who probably aiming his remarks at his son declared that though the example of the Russian revolu tion is trotted in and out of season" the Soviet Union was no terrestrial paradise. The elder Nehru observed there was really no equality there and all the elementary rights of free citizens were denied subjects of the Kremlin Even advocates of freedom in Russia held the present Indian premiers father "were rotting in prison "100

Influenced by the right wing of Congress some of the Con gress ministries notably those of the Bombay Presidency and the Madras Presidency maintained a hostile attitude towards THE UNITED FRONT

on India

the Communists, 162 although, by contrast, that of the United Provinces refused to take action against them even though specifically requested to do so by the Employer's Association of Northern India 162. The anti-Communist activities of certain of the ministries naturally evoked an aggivered complaint from the Commitern's propagandists, that this could only have extremely serious repercussions in relation to the United National Front in India 188 However, by no means did this alter the Communist' policy in relation to the "united Iront." For this "united front," policy, in giving the Communists renewed access to the Indian labor movement, and opening up to them, as never before, access to the peasants," movement, in spite of continued

British repression, had paid rich dividends to the Indian Communists and had thereby facilitated the designs of Soviet Russia

171

CHAPTER CIV

Soviet Intrigues on India's Frontiers

Before taking up the story of the vicissitudes of the Community Party of India during the years of the Second World War we shall examine Soviet intrigue on the borderlands from the time that the projected incursion into India was abandoned till the outbreak of that conflict. We shall also examine Soviet policy in relation to India which was affected both by the activities of the Bolsheviks in the borderlands and by the machinations of the Soviet fifth column the CPT within India.

Sovet maneuvers in the western and northern borderlands of India Afghanistan Iran Chinese Turkestan and Tibet were of significance for it the Soviets could obtain effective control of these lands they would be in a position effectively to propagandie the sub-continent and dispatch agents into it to establish laison with the CPI and thus further the Communist conspiracy in India, Besides Soviet control of Iran and Afghanistan or of either country would make it possible for the Soviets if they should risk such a daring policy to emulate Alexander the Great and Tamerlane and effect an invasion of India

We have seen that after a flirtation with Soviet Russia between the years 1919 to 1971 Emir Amanullah of Afghanistan had resumed his fathers policy of friendship for Britain This policy was culminated by the appointment of an Afghan minister at the Court of St. Jamess in 1922 and the simultaneous appointment of a British minister to the Court of Kabuli and by the successful negotiation of an Anglo-Afghan trade convention in June 1923. Meanwhile, in spite of the fact that he was losing ground since the early part of 1921 when he had successfully negotiated the Soitet Afghan treaty, the wily Soitet ambasador, Fedor Raskolinkov did his best to carry on intrigues in the court of the Emir That his intrigues, albeit unsuccessful, were annoying to the English was evinced by the specific demand of the British Foreign Office under Lord Curzon of May 2, 1923, that Raskolinkov be removed from his post, which request was granted ⁸

Moscow was temporarily excited in December 1923 when the British sent a strong note to Kabul demanding that Afgham stam put a stop forthwith to dissurbing incidents on the Indo-Afghan frontier's Foreign Minister Chicherin in a press con ference on December 19th held that in this ultimatum" the British had demanded that Afghanistan should "sever all her relations with Russias" and that this "ultimatum" consequently resulted in the "danger of new complications in Anglo-Russian relations." This was all the more the case since the Soviet Union had close relations with the Emir's government." The British foreign office "flatity contradicted" the Soviet notion that the note to Kabul was an "ultimatum" and it added "no mention was made of Russia at any time" in the discussions with the Afghans."

In March, 1924 an insurrection known as the "Khost Re

In MIRCH, 1923 an insurrection known as the Annot. All the Bellion" broke out among the turbulent tribemen of Southern Afghanistan. In this circumstance the Soviets felt they would gain the greatest measure of influence by supporting Emir Amanulah Hence they accused the British of furnishing "money and arms in order "to overthrow the liberal Emir" in addition, at the end of April, 1921 they organized the most elaborate embassy they had yet sent to the land of the Afghani The new embassy was headed by Leonid Stark, who, like Raidolinkov, was of non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession origin being the son of a Catrist admiral This non profession or son the son of the son original triple of the son original triple original triple of the son of the son original triple or the son original triple of the son original triple of the son original triple o

Afghanistan and taking advantage of the "Khost Rebellion," for furthering this aim, a very important purpose of Stark's embassy was to further the dissemination of Communist propa ganda from Central Asia into India, particularly amongst the restless tribesmen of the North West Frontier Province

174

In the summer of 1924, Stark's embassy, which had been greeted at the Afghan-Soviet frontier with the 'highest honors' was ensconced in kabul, and Agabekov immediately set to work in organizing a Soviet spy network to conduct operations in both Afghanistan and India 10 Under the direction of Agabekov and another Soviet agent named Marhov, who had come from England to Russia and had studied at the Institute of Oriental Languages in Moscow, where he had specialized in Indian languages, the Soviets in Kabul gathered together a considerable number of spies to carry on espionage and further subversive activity in India. Among the agents utilized at this time by the Soviets was a certain Indian Muslim named Tchitzala who had "extensive relations" with the volatile tribesmen of the Afghan Indian frontier and it was through him that the Soviet embassy in Kabul came into contact "with two famous chiefs of those tribes (who) became our (Soviet) agents 11 The chiefs were named Moulk Bachir and Padcha Goulem and the former was paid a subsidy by the Soviets of no less than £500 every month to make mischief on the frontier 12

Another agent who was then utilized by the Soviets in their efforts to disseminate the seeds of Communist sedition into India from Afghanistan, was a certain Mousteli who was supposed to have been well informed of the doings of the Muslims of India He had also been 'commissioned by Amanullah himself to tie up with the principal Indian Muslims," so that he was in the position to play the dual role of spying on behalf of the Soviets in fact as well as ostensibly on behalf of the Emir, on the leaders of the Indian Muslim community He also spied on the Emir himself Moustefi is held to have 'executed his commission well from the Soviet standpoint 18

In order to facilitate Red propaganda operations in Afghani stan and India, in August, 1924, the Executive Committee of the Comintern issued instructions to officials in Tashkent that

a new propaganda base in Northern Afghanistan should be established at Mazar i-Sharif This action was followed by an increase in the number of Russians entering Afghanistan 14

In the beginning of 1925 the Russian agents in Afghanistan had a seemingly great opportunity. The influential old Sheak Ul Islam an important power on the Northwest Frontier proceed through his sons an alliance with the Soviets in which he would conduct a wide-scale partisan war on the Indo-Afghan frontier if the Russians in turn would furnish him with 100 000 rubles 5 000 rifles and a hundred cartridges per rifle. This matter was reported to Moscow without delay by the Soviet embassy but the response from there was negative as the kremlin refused to send the rifles. The reasons given by Moscow were that the transport of the arms to the Indian border would be too dangerous and should news of such a provocative shipment of arms leak out diplomatic complications with Britain and Afghanistan might follow "18 That such "complications" would have followed in respect to Britain is obvious particularly since an anti-Soviet Conservative ministry now held office. The bellicose old Sheik was disappointed at not receiving the weapons but he and his sons continued during the course of 1925 to supply the Soviets in Kabul with information 16 However towards the close of that year the Russian position

However towards the close of that year the Russian position in Afghanistan deteriorated In the first place a strong quarrel developed between Stark and Agabekov which resulted in Agabekov sloval leaving Afghanistan in March 1926 Agabekov's disappearance from the Afghan scene durupted the exposinge network of the Soviet. This circumstance from the Soviet standpoint had been further aggravated when Agabekov's able collaboration in intrigue Marhov had returned to Russia in August 1925 and had been replaced by a certain Frantievich whom Agabekov deemed a incompoop Frantievich wrote whilly to Moscow of ways 'to organize a resolt in India" while actually letting the Soviet network of agents in Afghanistan and India seriously deteriorate Stark thought so little of Frantievichs views on India that he did not even bother to forward them to Moscow "

176 In the second place the khost Rebellion, the outbreak of which in March 1924 has so encouraged the Soviets had been suppressed by January 1925 largely through British aid, in cluding the dispatch for the Afghans use of military aircraft which actually were flown by German pilots ¹³ The result was that in the year 1925 Emir Amanullah was more amicably dis posed than ever towards the British a situation obviously con trary to the desire of the intriguing Soviets 12

In the third place an incident involving a clash over an island on the Oxus River which formed the Soviet Afghan boundary in December 1925, aroused an intense measure of Afghan ire and even caused some concern to the British who felt that the clash which had been initiated by the Soviets indicated the first step on a possible march to India. The incident was ended when the Soviets evacuated the disputed island thereby backing down completely 20

Soviet influence in Afghanistan again rose in the summer of the year 1926 and even attained the highest point it had held since the spring of 1921 One great cause for this in addit on to Russia's backing down in the island crisis was the fact that in the summer of 1926 Soviet planes manned by officers of the Soviet air force vigorously" bombed certain unruly elements which had again revolted against the Afghan government 21 It may be noted that the presence of some twenty Soviet military may be noted that the presence of some twenty soviet minitary, planes and pilots in Afghanistan along with 100 Communist "Europeans was reported in Afghanistan as early as March 1926 22 As a result of the presence of these planes in Afghani stan in 1976 the wily Stark conceived the idea of creating in the land of the Emir an advanced Soviet air base which would manifestly prove very valuable were the Soviets to undertake an offensive against India."28 The planes with their pilots and mechanics were nominally at the disposition of the Afghans but in effect their presence in Afghanistan already made for a strategic base" for the Russians in Amanullah's domain 24 It was also in 1926 that there were reports that the Soviets had been surveying the route for a strategic railway from the Soviet frontier to the city of Kabul 25 Simultaneously the Soviets were building up their network of agents under the direction of

Agabekov's successor a man named Skijali Weiss, alias Schmidt, nominally an attaché of the embassy 28

During the summer of 1926 the Soviets encouraged by this favorable um of events for them entered into negotiations with the Afghans the result of which was a Russo-Alghan Treaty of Notural Neutrality and Non Aggression concluded on August 31 1926 which provided for the mutual neutrality of the two contracting parties in case either power was engaged in war with another power or other powers and provided also for mutual non aggression and mutual non interference in each other a affairs ²⁷

Although the treaty was apparently an innocuous one there was concern in unofficial British quarters that there was more in it than met the eye-3 A different view however was taken by Tory Foreign Minister Sir Austen Chamberlaim who an werted a question in Parlament on November 19 1926 by asying that he saw no reason to suppose that the treaty would have any prejudicial effects on British Indian interests 39

The reason for this apparent equanimity of the British Government lay no doubt in its belief that what was involved was simply Amanullahs policy of playing Britain off against Russia by granting favors at one time to the one power and then alter a period of time had elapsed of granting favor to the other while simultaneously cooling relations with the previously favored power.

This view was borne out in 1927. Quietly and without fanfare king the title had been changed from Emir in 1976) Amanullah made no effort to strengthen his bonds with Russia during that year Indeed during the spring of 1927 be undertook an ostentatious tour of Afghan Turkestan or Northern Afghanisan the area adjacent to Soviet Central Asia—like the latter area populated by Utbeks and Tajiks—where Communist in fluence was greatest in which region he definitely counteracted to a measurable extent. Red intrigues 18 Nothing more was heard of Soviet aircraft or Russian engineers surveying strategic radroad lines and it would seem that quiet Afghan pressure which had British approval and support had been utilized to compel the Soviets to currial their intrigues in Amanullah:

178

Kingdom Furthermore, Soviet intrigues there were further weakened by a quarrel which broke out between the ambassador, Stark, and the OGPU agent, Skijali Weiss a quarrel which ended in the recall of the latter 11 Indeed, the deterioration of Soviet Afghan relations is shown by the fact that in March, 1928, angered at this deterioration of their position in Afghani stan, the Soviets refused to remit transit charges on Afghan goods passing through Soviet territory 32

king Amanullah undertook an extensive and expensive tour of Europe in the spring of 1928, visiting among other countries Great Britain and Russia In the latter country, the Ling re ceived a royal reception, President Kalinin and Foreign Minis-Majesty," strange words for Bolsheviks 33 At the same time the Afghan ruler and his country were duly lauded in the Soviet press 34 However, in order to protect him and to spy on him, King Amanullah was 'surrounded" during his fortnightly visit to the 'workers' paradise" in May, 1928, by agents of the OGPU These agents reported to their superiors that in spite of the lavish entertainment he had been receiving from the Russians, the Afghan monarch was no longer disposed to support the Soviets but rather "was inclined to favor the Occidental countries ' 85

On November 14, 1928, the important Shinwari tribe of Southeastern Afghanistan rose in rebellion against King Amanullah on account of the latter's efforts to effect the occidentaliza tion of his feudal realm 36 This revolt was followed by another tion of his feutial realm. Into revolt was followed by an illiterate bandit of Tajik, rather than Afghan nationality, named Bakao-i-Sakao (meaning Son of the Water Carrier) Caught between two Irrs, King Amanullah, who had lost hope of successfully defending Kabul abditated his throne in favor of his elder brother, Sirdar Inayabullah, and fled by plane to kandahar 37 The 'Son of the Water Carrier' immediately took possession of Kabul and proclaimed himself King of Afghanistan with the title of Habibullah Khan which means Beloved of God **

The situation in Afghanistan evoked considerable interest in the Kremlin The fact that three parties were contending

for power in Afghanistan the Shinwaris the partisans of Bakao-Sakao and the royalists under Amanullah and Inaya bullah appeared to present a golden opportunity for the Rus sians to fish in the troubled waters of the most important sant to lish in the troubled waters of the most important borderland of India A dispute immediately ensued among the highest echelons of Soviet officialdom in regards to which group the Soviets should support. The OGPU argued that the Soviet government should actively support Bakao-i-Sakao because he had sprung from the people" and because his power was based on the peasants whose champion he was." Through him important steps to the "Sovietization of Afghanistan might be carried out. On the other hand the Soviet Com missariat of Foreign Affairs (the "Narkomindel") held that missariat of Foreign Affairs (the "Narkomindel") held that the cause of Amanullah and Inayabullah should be supported on the ground that since Bakao-i-Sakao was supported only by the nationalities of Northern Afghanistan the Uzbeks and Tajika he might desire to extend his influence into Soviet Central Asia a region heavily populated by these peoples On the other hand argued the "Narkomindel" the clan of Amanullah being Afghans or Pathanis would rather be expected to direct any aggressive attitude on their part to their kinsmen in the North West Frontier Trovince of British India. Besides it was held Bakao-i-Sakao would hardly be able to hold power for long *9

The quarrel between the OGPU and the Foreign Commissariat over which Afghan party to support, was carried over to the Soviet Polithuro uself and the latter (which undoubtedly means Stalin) decided in favor of the "varkomindel" and the claim of Amanullah Thus the Bolsheviks placed themselves on the side of that segment of the Afghan landlords who favored the cause of Amanullah as against the "profestarian" Bakaol Sakao who was actually carrying on a program of expropriating Afghan landlords. "Therefore this was an example of cynical Soviet opportunism

Soviet opportunism. The Soviets now determined to go to considerable lengths to aid Amanullah who having arrived at kandahar had revoked his abdication and was gathering a force to march back habul 41 In a conference one n ght in which Stalin was present,

it was decided, or most likely Stalin decided, that a Soviet force disguised as Afghans, to be led by a certain Primakov, the Soviet military attaché in Afghanistan who had returned to Russia, would invade that country and march to Kabul The nominal leader of the expedition would be Ghulam Wali Khan, the Afghan ambassador to Soviet Russia 42

Although the force was small-about 800 men only-thanks to its vasily superior military technology, it successfully pene-trated Afghan Turkestan and in two engagements whipped very much larger forces of the partisans of the "Son of the Water Carrier" The road to Kabul was open to the Soviets 43 But they were not destined to cross the Hindu Kush and set up a puppet regime on the borders of India

Why did the Soviets abandon their plan? In the first place, Amanullah for whom the campaign was nominally being under taken, had been completely routed in the South in the middle of April by the adherents of Bakao-i-Sakao so that he ignomin iously fled to India on May 23, 1929 whence he proceeded to Italy where he obtained asylum 44

In the second place the advance of the Russians was "the talk not only of the foreign legations at Kabul, but also of the European press,' 45 in which the claim was made that Soviet Russia was seeking to Bolshevize Afghanistan 48

Such reports of Soviet designs and intrigues in Afghanistan certainly were not conducive to an improvement in Anglo-Soviet relations It should be noted that it was in the late spring of 1929 that the Soviets began their negotiations with the newly elected Liberal supported Labour Cabinet of Ramsay MacDonald for the resumption of Anglo-Soviet diplomatic relations which had been broken off two years previously Mani festly, the Soviet threat to control Afghanistan through a restored puppet ruler, not to speak of the establishment of a Communist state in Afghanistan would to say the least, be prejudicial to the restoration and maintenance of tolerable Anglo-Soviet relations. Should the Soviets definitely commit with Great Britain, even with a Labour Government in office, might ensue In the circumstances therefore, the Soviet govern

ment saw fit to order the recall of its expeditionary force in Afghanistan in the late spring of 1929 th However the Soviet press outspokenly continued to vent its spleen on the role of the British in the Afghan crisis during the course of the year 1929. The British were accused of having designs on Soviet Central Asia as well as on Afghanistan ⁶⁴

In the meantume in strife form Afghanistan the rule of the "Son of the Water Carner" was overdrown by the forces of Amanullahs brother Nadir khan the former Afghan minister to France who had returned from that country. The latter captured kabul on October 12 1999 and was proclaimed King Nadir Shah on October 16th while the hapless Habibullah was forthwith excuted 49

The accession to power of Nadir khan brought no joy to the Kremlin. He was regarded by the Soviets as an "adversary to be reckoned with. 20 Nonetheless when it became evident that Nadir Khan would seize power the Soviets adopted an that 'Asdir khan would seue power the Soviets adopted an amicable attitude towards him perhaps hoping that he would manifest interest chiefly in the south of Afghanistan and that he might carry on an anti-British policy. In addition the Afghan embasity in Moscow urged Soviet support for Nadir Shah which appears to have had some effect. But when the new Afghan ruler consolidated his power in the Soutet authorities deceded on carrying out a new intervention in Afghanistan Hence the Soviets "bath a considerable." force" invaded Afghanistan in June 1930 and advanced some forty miles south of the Soviet Irontier Their excuse for this act of aggression was that an anti-Soviet Central Ssian insur rectionist known as Ibrahim Beg was utilizing Afghan territory rections known as Ibrahim Beg was utilizing Afghan territory for lorays against Sortet Central Aux 3³ However it would seem that through this invasion the Soviets hoped to present king Nadir Shah from seizing control of Afghan Turketian which has still in a state of anarchy However this aggressive act had the diametrically opposite effect on Nadir Shah Having suppressed all forces hostile to him in the South and West of Afghanistan this redoubtable ruler, sent his brother Sardar Shah Mahmoud Commander in Chief of the Afghan army across the Hindu Kush range into Afghan Turkestan in De

cember, 1930 52 The Soviets, not wishing to provoke Nadir Shah and behind him Britain (which through the proceedings Shan and behind him Britain (which through the proceedings of the Meerut Conspiracy Case, then in progress, was aware of Soviet designs on India) had already evacuated Afghan Turkestan and did nothing to impede Sardar Shah Mahmoud's ad vance By April. 1931 Afghan Turkestan was firmly under the rule of Nadir Shah, who proved an able ruler. He avoided Amanullah's error in trying to force "occidentalization" too rapidly on a people steeped in its age-old conservative Muslim culture, while at the same time, he strengthened his country's economic and military position 55

On November 8 1933, Ling Mohammed Nadir Shah was assassinated by a fanatical student.56 He was succeeded by his 19-year old son who took the title of Mohammed Zahir Shah But the real power behind the throne lay with his three able uncles brothers of Nadir Shah and Amanullah Hashin Khan, the Prime Minister, Shah Mahmoud Khan, the Minister of War, and Shah Ghulam Walı Khan 57 They determined the domestic policies of Mohammed Zahir Shahas In their conduct of Afghan pointes of autonamente Zami outain. In their temporary of foreign affairs during the years 1933 to 1939 they continued the policy of Nadir Shah in maintaining correct relations with Soviet Russia as well as Great Britain, without in any way subordinating Afghanistan to either They encouraged the nationals of several Western European countries to develop the resources of Afghanistan * a policy begun as early as 1922 by Amanullah * Under their rule as well as under that of Nadir Shah the Russians were far less in evidence in Afghani Natur onan the Russians were tar less in evidence in Augustus stan than they had been during the reign of Amanullah In addition the Soviet press paid far less attention to Afghanistan between 1933 and 1939 than it had hitherto which indicated between 1955 and 1955 man it had mimerio which matched that the Soviets were forced to recognize the independent status of that vital border state between themselves and British India The Soviets also maintained intrigues against India from Iran in spite of the anti-Communist policy towards Persian Communists of that country's ruler, Rua Pahleu, who had ascended the Peacock Throne of the Shahs in 1924 On October

1, 1927, however, Soviet Russia was able to conclude a non aggression pact with Iran as a consequence of which the Soviet Union was able to extend its commercial influence in that land to such an extent that by the early thirties the U.S.R stood as the leading nation in Persia s foreign trade a attuation which permitted the Soviet Union to engage in dumping" her products on the Iranian market *1

Between 1926 and 1929 Soviet agents were active in Iran particularly in the strategic northeastern province of Khorasan and its capital Methed, the area which bordered the British Indian province of Baluchistan as well as Afghanistan In that area in the spring of 1926 there was an insurrection against the national Iranian government led by a certain officer named Salar Djang which revolt had a definitely Bolshevist flavor "4" The Soviet authorities in Tashkeni wanted to intervene openly in this affair but their interventionist plans were vetoed by Moscow which apparently did not with to antagonize the British who were well aware of the strategic nature of this area located as it was on an important road to India 49

During the years 1926 and 1974 Agabekov who had been trans-

During the years 1926 and 1977 Agabekov who had been transferred from Afghanistan was active in Eastern Persia Under his ages is number of agents were sent to the Pensian Indian boundary in Baluchistan In fact by the early spring of 1927 no fewer than fifty Sowets agents were operating on the Indian Persian boundary. These agents were supposed to maintain an active liaison with Communists in the interior of India. The agents were especially charged to foment resolt on the Iranian Indian Ironiter and even inside British Baluchistan in event of an Anglo-Soviet war the outbreak of which it would seem was really believed likely in Moscow⁴⁶. It may be noted that at this time the Soviets won the secret support of a certain Asoled Sulan the Iranian governor of Bakharr a strategic area south of Veshed and bordering on Afghanistan The latter agreed that he would "pass across the frontier" Soviet men and arms "to any number and any quantity" ⁵⁴⁸. Soviet interest in the strategic southern part of Iran con

Soviet interest in the strategic southern part of Iran continued during the course of the year 1928 especially in view of the decision of the Executive Commutee of the Communist International as well as of the Sixth Congress of that body which had stressed the importance of this region as well as During this time Soviet agents were even ordered to pay sub-sidies to the chiefs of strategically located South Persian tribes 88 In 1929 and after Soviet influence other than commercial in Iran waned owing to the growing power of the central Iranian Government under Shah Riza Pahlevi and the ap-

parent desire on the part of the kremlin not to carry on moves of a provocative nature against this borderland of India which might offend the British Government, Moreover after the middle of the thirties Soviet trade with Iran markedly dimin ished. Indeed in the summer of 1939 the position of the Soviet Union in Iran was far weaker than it had been in the spring of 1921 when parts of Northern Persia were actually under Communist rule

Thanks to the determination of the redoubtable governor of Chinese Turkestan Yen Tsen hsian who was virtually an autonomous ruler other than being able to establish consulates in Kashgar and Urumchi 67 the Soviets were unable to gain any influence in this northern borderland of India 68

In Sinking in 1930 this old war lord was assassinated after having ruled that land for seventeen years. For the next few years thereafter. Chinese Turkestan was in a state of anarchy with several groups, among which interestingly enough was a White Russian party under a certain Pappengut struggling for power As victor in the confused situation there emerged a certain General Ma Sheng, who was a protégé of the Soviets 69 His victory meant an augmentation of Soviet influence in Sinking Soon after he came into power in 1933 Ma Sheng promulgated a "Six Point Program the first two points of which were anti-imperialism" (an anti-British slogan) and "kin ship to Sovietism "o In addition in December 1933 a com prehensive agreement" was concluded between the new Sinkiang government and Pogodin the principal Soviet envoy to that government By the terms of the agreement which were secret government by the terms of the agreement which were socio-and which were most comprehensive. Russia was promised mining oil and gold concessions and the right to build a rail way from Soviet Central Asia into the Sinkiang capital Urum thi. ProSoviet officers were put in charge of the remnant of the former White forces and so ironically enough this anti-Bolshevik force was put under what amounted to a Soviet command In addition Soviet military assistance was promised to the Ma Sheng government 12

Japan China and Britain were annoyed by the sudden acession of Soviet influence in Chinese Turkestan in 1935 and 1934 in Japan Foreign Minister Hirota informed the Diet with some asperity of reports of the Sovietization of Sin kinag "?? an area which Japan herself was possibly covering The Chinese Government protested also against the proposed loans by the Soviets to the Sinking Government?" The fact that the Sinking Government continued to admit a purely formal relationship with the Chinese state did not please at all the authorities in Nanking (later Chungking). Although not protesting openly the British were likewise certainly not pleased by the turn of events in Sinking they realized that Soviet agents could now cross directly into India by traversing the Himilayan passes and thus assist the cause of the Community Party of India "t Soviet influence in Chinese Turkestan had not openly Sovietized the area was probably a result of their desire not to offend too openly Great Britain and perhaps also the Nationalist Government of China.

The Soviets were also interested in that other northern border land of India like Sinksian nominally a part of China the mysterious land of Tibet Communist emissaries began to visit the forbidden city of Lhaia as early as 1922 to In 1927 a large delegation consisting of Sovietized Mongols arrived in Lhaia. They spent six months in Tibet taking photographs of all strategic passes and fortified positions and they even promised the Tibetian authorities military and in case the latter should come into conflict with Great Britain or China the nominal succease of Tibet. The Mongol Communits left behind a clever Red Mongol agent a certain Dorjies who become friendly with many leading Tibetian dignatures including the Dalai Lama humself.

In 1950 it was "decided to instruct the I k.k.l to take steps

to combine the existing revolutionary groups in Tibet into a national party and to nominate Comrade Dorjiev as President of the Central Committee of the proposed new party," and a sum of money equivalent to £20,000 was assigned for these activities 77 Besides, when in 1932 a war broke out between the Dalai Lama and his rival of East Tibet, the Panchen Lama Daia Lama and his rival of East Aibet, the Fancier Lama (who was supported by the Chinese Nationalists). Pravda' in its issue of July 27, 1932, saw fit to comment upon the situation by accusing the Dalai Lama of being a British agent who would aid. English imperialism 'in strengthening "its influence over the western provinces of China and in particular over Chinese Turkestan ' 78

196

This statement indicates that the growing influence of the Soviets in Sinkiang was causing them to cast a glance at Thet However, nothing concrete was done by the Soviets between 1932 and 1939 to improve their position in 'the roof of the

In addition to their attempts to infiltrate India's borderlands the Soviets also endeavored to send agents into India to form liaison with the CPI Active in this work was Rattan Singh, who as we have noted, represented the California members of the Ghadr Party at the Fourth Congress of the Commeren, and another agent named Teja Singh Swatanatar These two men were able to bring about an arrangement by which an annual number of the recruits of the Ghadr Party were sent to Moscow for one year's training in sabotage and espionage In 1934, according to British intelligence in India, there were about sixty ex-Ghadr Sikh students in training in Moscow in addition says ex-cutaur sixth students in training in Moscow in additional to those who had completed their course in espionage 19 Besides, in the early thirties the Soviets utilized the services of Haidar Khan, Roy's former associate in Germany who, unlike Haidar Khan, Koy's former associate in Germany who, unnactice latter, had remained loyal to Moscow Haidar Khan established himself in busines in Madras and engaged in correspond ence with Communist groups in various parts of the Indian pennisuls. These operations supplemented those of the British Communist Party which endeavored to subvert Indian youths in Britian These operations in the 'thirties were carried on under the supervision of R. Palme Dutt who had completely

displaced Saklatvala, his earlier rival, as the principal tutor of India's Communists ³¹ probably owing to the latter's defeat in the general British elections of 1929

. . . .

Since the foreign policy of Great Britain and India was one during the years under survey, relations between Russia and India were obviously stally affected by Anglo-Soviet relations. Commercial relations between the two powers resulting in the de facto establishment of Anglo-Soviet relations took place on March 16, 1921s² and the establishment of de jure relations was established by the first MacDonald Cabinet on February 1 1924s³ But the course of these relations were not smooth. In September, 1924, the "Zinoviev letter" was disclosed in which "letter (the authenticity of which has been questioned) the General Secretary Zinoviev of the Communist International allegedly ordered the "Central Committee" of the "British Communist Party" to form "cells" in the British army, in order to bring about an eventual "armed insurrection" against His Majesty's Government, The disclosure of the "Zinoviev letter" was largely instrumental in the victory of the Tories in the general election of October 1924s⁴⁸

Anglo-Soviet relations were strained after this new Govern ment headed by Stanley Baldwin, came time power. The alleged sending of £250,000 by Soviet trade unions to Brainh labor during the time of the General Strike of May, 1926, resulted in the exchange of acrimonous Brush and Russian notes. Of greater direct significance to India in March 1927, when Soviet influence along the Indo-Persian and Afghan Persian frontiers was considerable, and at about the time when British officials in India and Brush writers were playing up the "Soviet menace" to the subcontinent." Foreign Minister Sir Austen Chamberlain sent a "very energetic note" to Moscow demanding the complete essistion of all Community propagands in British territory and threatening to break off diplomatic relations in the event of non-compliance Since the Soviet did not desuit from their program but decided instead to intensity their propaganda work in Iran in 1927 with particular interest in that part of Persia bordering India. "the British India."

188 SOVIET RUSSIA AND INDIAN COMMUNISM were further ired and action against the Soviets appeared

mevitable 88

That action occurred on May 12 1927 when a force of 200 Inat action occurred on May 12 1927 when a inte of a plainclothes and uniformed policemen brusquely invaded the headquarters of the Soitet Trade Delegation and the premises occupied by Arcos the corporation handling Anglo-Russian commerce in London It was officially stated that the police operating under orders of Home Secretary Joynson Hicks were searching for a State document which had mysteriously dissearching for a State document which had mysteriously un-appeared some months previously and which was thought to be in the custody of the Soviets agents in London ** and it was unofficially reported that this document was one which contained information dealing with Britains military policy in the North West Frontier Province area of India adjacent to the Alghan frontier But though the British police searched the Soviet premises high and low they could not find the mysterious document. The upshot of the affair was another angry exchange of notes between Moscow and London which culminated in the official breaking of diplomatic relations by Britain with the Soute Government on May 26 1927 91 an act which prompted the Chancellor of the Exchequer (the then Mr.) Winston Churchill to opine exultantly "We have pro-

claimed them-the Soviet Representatives-treacherous incor-rigible and unfit for civilised intercourse "22 In a more temper ate vein his superior Prime Minister Stanley Baldwin informed ate vein his superior frime Minister Stanley Baldwin informed the House of Commons that the action "does not in any way mean or imply war against Russia and would not even bar "the pursuit of legitimate trade between the two countries". The first effect of the diplomatic break was to arouse the British to reorganize and reinforce their army in India and the rupture with Moscow is alleged to have induced the British to rupture with Moscow is alleged to have induced the Brit si to concentrate troops in the region of Peshawar in the vicinity of the Afghan frontier. Contemporaneously within India the news of the diplomatuc rupture was received with a definite measure direct part culairly from Indian mational its whose ympathies had been with Russia in her diplomatic dispute with Britain It is sign finant that the Nebrus pere and fils under took their journey to Moscow in November 1927 only a few months after the rupture a visit which resulted in the younger Nehrus extolling of the Communist regime in his book, "Soviet Russia" It is likewise noteworthy that in the following month the National Congress at Jawaharlal Nehrus suggestion en-acted resolutions favorable to Russia especially that which affiliated that body with the League Against Imperialism Following the Sixth Committern Congress the Soviet Govern

ment in its official journals as well as the ECCI inaugurated a scurrilous campaign against the British rulers of India as well as the native bourgeoisie of the Peninsula Thus on April 28 1929 Prayda" made much over the textile strike in Bom bay which was then getting under way by declaring proudly that conservative labor leaders such as N M Joshi had no influence over Indian workers whatsoever and that the latter must expose the treason of the labor leaders 95 Then on June 12 1929 Izvestia" duly noted the existence in India of a potentially revolutionary industrial proletariat some 4 000 000 strong" and observed that the peasants of India could indeed be led by this proletariat.

Izvestia" went on to state

The question at present is whether imperialism which employs ruthless terror and occasionally grants sops to the mational bourgeouse will succeed in disrupting the (Communist) movement and in isolating and destroying the proletariat before the peasant massies of India stage an insurrection. This (question) teacts on English policy in all countries adjacent to India a stage as a buffer states. Persia Afghanistan and Tibet. **

The article in "Izvestia" went on to state that although Gandhi had successfully betrayed the Indian peasants to the British in 1921 1922 and that as of 1929 the "bureaucratic machinery of English imperialism is working well and with precision" this did not contradict the fact that the Indian precision init and not construct the tack that the Indian persisting were on the verge of a great revolution against the British imperialists and their bourgeois accomplices.*

These provocative utterances in the official Soviet press were followed by the Second World Congress of the Community.

190

controlled League Against Imperialism in Frankfurt on July 21 1929 No fewer than twenty-seven of the delegates were Indians One of the speeches at this Communist sponsored gathering was one by a Soviet delegate named Melnit Shanki who bitterly criticized the British Labor government for prolonging the imprisonment of the Indian comrades the con spirators of Meering 98 A few months after this gathering the Executive Committee

of the Communist International issued a formal manifesto to the people which informed the Indian workers and peasants to spurn any offers made by "the imperialist lackeys now posing as a Labour government in England" in respect to any possible proposals for dominion status in India. The workers and peas and held the manifests should not supinely wait for what the imperialists" had to offer them but rather should take their own fate into their own hands and should rise and over

throw British rule and set up a Sovet India in its place?

This bold pronouncement was followed by a long article published in "Irwestia" on February 9 1930 which stated that one of the best developments in India from the Communist one of the best developments in India from the Communist. point of view was the growth of the revolutionary activity of point of the was the grown on the revolutionary activity of the petit bourgeois youth in the form of the terrorist move-ment. True held Investua" these young petity bourgeois ter rorust suffered from the taint of nationalist ideas but they could be brought round to a proper ideological position by the revolutionary proleitriat and the Communist party. Therefore it was held to be one of the most important duties for the Indian Communists

to wrest the Indian revolutionary petty bourgeoiste away from under the influence of opportunists and to direct their activities into the proper channels under the leadership of the vorking class ¹⁶⁰

In addition about this time Dictator Stalin himself spoke knowingly of a revolution in India which would take place in spine of the use by the bourgeoise of police bayoness or "people like Gandhi for the employment of such means in Carist Russia did not save that regime.101 All these provocative statements from the official Sowiet press and the E CCI could hardly be unnotized in Great Britain. The opposition Conservatives took a serious view of this matter. They had opposed the re-establishment of diplomatic relations with the Soviet Union in November 1929 by MacDonald's Labour Cabinet and had subsequently noted that this Soviet propaganda was contrary to the protocol signed at the time of the resimption of relations by the terms of which the Soviets promised that Communist propaganda would be banned through out the Embire

Consequently, in February, 1930, Foreign Minister Arthur Henderson was most closely interrogated by Tory members in the House of Commons in regard to inflammatory Soviet propaganda about India All Henderson would say was that he would "examine" the situation or that he did not consider that it calls for any action on my part. 1942

A few months later, in May, 1930, Tories interrogated Secretary of State for India, John Benn, on the part played by Communists in the insurrections at Sholapur and Pethawar, but the latter argued "there had been no evidence that Communist agents were responsible for any of the recent disturbances" although he admitted that "the use of red uniforms and hammer and sickle badges had been mentioned in some of the official Pethawar telegrams."

At this time other questions were posed by Tories concerning provocative articles of Comintern origin advocating recolution and sedition in India which appeared in the London "Daily Worker" as well as the dissemination of Soviet propagalition Trablein into India, especially the North-West Frontier Province Here again, the spokesmen for the MacDonald Govern ment answered lamely and indicated that no diplomatic action by Great Britain against the Sosiets on account of this propagands was contemplated "so.

Tory fears were further aroused by the disclosure in a comof the forestment of Brushi India on May 5, 1950, of Red propagnada on the Northwest Frontier and this was not the only report of Communist activity in that strategie area which at that time was in turmoil owing to a revolt by the 192 SOVIET RUSSIA AND INDIAN COMMUNISM Pathans 105 Besides, in the early summer of 1930 at a Congress

Patinas of Desires, in the early administ of 100 International, important Soviet leaders paid attention to the situation in India Indeed, it is likely that if a Conservative Cabinet had been in power at that time. Britain would once again have broken diplomatic relations with Soviet Russia because of the Interest threatening attitude towards India

Although they were out of office, the agutation of the Tories appears to have had a sobering effect on the Russians who did not want a diplomatic rupture with Britain In the latter part of May, 1930, leading Soviet journals published editorials in which reports in the London press alleging propagand abroad by Moscow, particularly in India are described as pure inventon based largely on false information "100. There was a luft in official statements in the Soviet press or by the Executive Committee of the Committee in regard to India for almost all the remainder of the year 1930, nor counting articles in Committee in Committee 1930, nor counting articles in Committee in Committee in Committee of the year 1930, nor counting articles in Committee in Co

However, beginning in December, 1930, the Soviet government and the Comintern suddenly resumed where they had left off In that month there appeared the 'Draft Platform of Action' of the Communist Party in India which, quite apparently was formulated in Moscow On January 4, 1931, V M Molotov, as Chairman of the Peoples Commissists and second only to Stalin in the party hierarchy, in his report to the Central Executive Committee of the Soviet government mocked the Tribund Table Conference then in progress 187. There followed on February 1st a declaration from the Committee in the Indian Communist party should "attract and unite under its banner the terrorist elements" who had proved their mettle by "falling a number of police officers and wound ing others" and had even made an attempt on the Viceroy himself and had wounded the Governor of the Punjab 198 Furthermore, at a military festival in Moscow held on February 4, 1931, to honor the litteth birthday of General Klimenty E. Voroshilov, the Commission of War (who is now nominally the present-day Chief of State in the USSR) the government of

193

the Soviet Republic of Tadjikistan a territory which included the Pamirs just north of Kashmir, sent an assurance that it would stand firm in the organization of its section of the Red Army at the gates of India 100 In addition on April 3 1931 "Pravda published an article which contained instructions for the Com munist Party of India to start a general strike an agrarian revolution and a general attack on the Anglo-Indian Govern ment 110

To add fuel to the flames more inflammatory references to India were made at another Plenary Conference or Congress of the Executive Committee of the Comintern which conference convened in Moscow in April 1931 On April 23rd special greetings were sent to the Indian Communists 111

Besides a statement concerning Communist organizational activity in India was made at this plenary session of the E.G.C.I to the effect that the agents of the Committen in the sub-continent should organize a revolutionary offensive among the oppressed classes against imperialism and the Indian National Congress should strip up workers and peasants should organize a mighty all Indian Commiss Party and Red Labour Union and should prepare a general political strike 122.

That the Soviet Government was fully behind this declaration was evinced by the fact that among the 30 members elected at this plenary session to a new Presidium of the Executive Committee of the Communist International was none other than Stalin himself 113 It was manifest that he was just as much in control of the Comintern as he was in control of the Com munist Party of the Soviet Union and thus Stalin must have played a major role in the formulation of Russian policy towards India

towards India
Once again as had been the case in the previous year Conservative members of Parliament sharply questioned the Laberal supported Labour Government on Communits threat to India both external and internal and intimated that His Majorive Covernment should adopt a "get tough" policy with Rusia as a result of the Soviet threat to India And once again as was the case in 1930, the spokesmen of the Labour Government adroutly brushed the questions under making thereby no cum

mitments whatsoever and thus implied that they would not undertake any kind of a decisive policy in regard to Anglo-Russian relations 114 It may be noted that the debate on this question involved an exchange of views between Sir Austen Chamberlain the former Conservative Foreign Secretary and Prime Minister Ramsay MacDonald in which the latter held that the Tories were inclined to view with undue alarm" Comintern manifestoes in regard to India 115

However on May 9 1931 the Moscow press published a most provocative pronouncement in which the Communist Party of India was called upon to organize revolutionary disturbances in order to overthrow British domination with an especial emphasis on the "violent destruction of British authority" the pronouncement also advocated a new Indian Mutiny through a revolt of the native Indian soldiers 116 The tone of these articles was so violent that the MacDonald government actually inquired of the Soviet ambassador in London whether Russia really had designs on India The ambassador's protestations to the contrary convinced the Labor government as to lack of a Russ an threat to India and in a formal statement to the House of Commons on May 17 1931 the Prime Minister declared

The Ambassador replied that the only publication which took place (on Ind a) was of extracts of a new programme of the Indian Communist Party which had appeared in Pravda of May 9 It was not issued from Moscow and was not issued by Prayda It is just as though Prayda were to copy some of the stuff issued by the British Communist Party

After noting that India is in an unsettled condition and conceding that "that unsettlement may be increased by Pravda articles MacDonald averred

So far as Soviet influence is concerned we do not indulge in protests we pursue the methods of negotia tions and exchange of views and statements made about what actually has happened and there is no reason why

that (Anglo-Russian relationship) should be interrupted and the Trade Agreement broken by anything we do 118

The Conservatives were utterly dissatisfied with this attitude of the MacDonald Government. Accordingly, they moved a vote of no-confidence in this Liberal supported Labour Ministry. In the ensuing division the Ministry won by the narrow margin of 248 to 228 votes. 118 This vote did not end the matter, for the ministers of the Labour Party were still subjected to sharp in tetrogation from the Tory benches over the Russian threat to India 129.

As had been the case in 1930 there developed a hill in the vigor of Russian and high level Committen propaganda about India immediately after the spring of 1931, excepting again material which appeared in Committen journals. Even more than in 1930 a large segment of the British public had been aroused and there was real danger to Russia of hostile action against her by Britain if the Soviets were to continue an openly provocative policy in regard to India. This would particularly be the case were a Tory Government to come into power And, as a matter of fact the Tories did come into power For later in 1931 a "National Government," constitute of small number of right wing Labourites, the "National Laberalis" and the Conservatives came into power as a result of the general election of October, 1931. WacDonald remained as the Frime Vinnier of the chew government but his power was normal in that the Tories were in the saddle. The Foreign Secretary was Sir John Stomo a Conservative who was no admirer of the Soviet Union.

Consequently with the assumption to power of this rightist coalition a firmer attitude was taken by the British Govern ment concerning the Russian and Committee towards India Simon held this "the Soviet Government and the Comminist International cannot be disassociated" and British policy was now to make representations to the Soviet ambassidor in London concerning Committen pronouncements towards India 121 The Soviets, now realizing that a public inflammatory policy towards Ilindustan was diagerous, maintained officially a Lir more discrete policy It is apparent that in spite of the bombast

196 SOVIET RESSIA AND INDIAN COMMUNISM

and blustering about an insurrection in India, the Soviet govern ment did not desire a diplomatic break with Great Britain which would most likely have occurred if the official Soviet press and the Executive Committee of the Committen had con tinued to stress the necessity for a Communist rebellion in India 122

In line with this modification of Soviet policy on November 13 1932 Irvestia charged that the allegation Soviet Russia was engaged in the preparation of civil war in India" was based on fabricated documents and materials prepared by agents of British Intelligence 122

In the following year Anglo-Russian relations were again strained to a measurable extent on account of the apparent Soviet menaging gestures towards India These relations which had been subjected to pressure owing to the arrest of six British engineers working in Russia in March 1933 124 were further strained when in October and November of that year the organs strained when in October and November of that year the organis of the Committern stepped up their campaign against im-perialist" rule over Colonial peoples in general and in India in particular Thus on November 5 1935 the Executive Com-muttee of the Third International issued a long new cate-chism for Communist agents in India consisting of 52 ques-tions and their answers in a publication which exceeded altotions and their answers in a publication which execution and gether 15000 words. The catechism went into great details in instructing Indian Communists how they might better carry on their subsersive activities in preparation for the inevitable Red revolution. 225 Furthermore it was at this time that the "open letter allegedly written by the Chinese Communist party to the Indian Communists appeared and it was in that very month of November 1933 that the Communist Party of India

monator is vovenuer 1993 that the communist rary of the was officially abber clandstenicly born (or reborn) in Calcutta As a consequence of the appearance of this Communist catechism Anthony Eden speaking as Parlamentary Under secretary for Foreign Affairs informed the House of Commons Secretary for Foreign Artans anothers are flower of Schollands. That a report on this matter from Moscow had been called for 128. This bestirring of the British may have disturbed the Russians to a certain extent for a writer in the November 24. 1935 issue of the International Press Correspondence claimed that

'British imperialism' is preparing "above all for intervention against the U.S.S.R. 127

Once again the Soviets chose to follow a discreet policy and with the exception of the "political thesis" of the CPT nothing of significance emerged from the Soviet press or the Comintern a press, during 1934 and articles on India definitely diminished in 1935.

During the late twenties and early 'thrities there is no evidence that Soviet Russia was making preparations for a military invasion of India, such as that which was actually invased by the 'mad Czar Paul at the turn of the mineteenth century, which would liberate the Indians from the tyramy of the British and bestow upon them the blessings of Bolshevism. The small scale filbustering expeditions into Afghanistin that have been noted above were the only actual threatening military moves by Soviet Russia in the direction of India.

There was a marked lessening of interest in India by the Soviet Union in the Jate 'thirties as compared to the earlier years of the decade Articles in the Soviet press on India were few, pronouncements by the Executive Committee of the Cominier on the coming armed revolution in India were absent and even the journals of the Cominier had less to say about India as compared to previous periods. It would appear that the threat of Nais Germany and Japan on the opposite ends of the Soviet empire tended to deffect Soviet interest from India Furthermore, the period from 1936 to 1939 coincided with the great "purge" trails which occupied the time and attention of Director Statin and his minions.

The Indian Communists in Russia also played a small part in the Soviet purges of this time. In the spring of 193s the Indian press reported that almost all of the Indian Communists in Moscow and Leningrad had been arrested on the charge of being "Trostsvite agents of Fascits" a common charge against the sictims of Stalin's purges Among those arrested was none other than Virendranth Chatopathyaya, "the celebrated Indian revolutionary" 121 who had been converted to Communism along with his Berlin Commutee" comrade Terotdian Manusor, by M. N. Roy when the latter was in

Germany Unlike Mansoor, who had repaired to India and had actually appeared as a witness in the Meerut conspiracy case 128 Chattopadhyaya had remained in Europe, making his headquarters in Berlin where, together with certain of Roys former colleagues he maintained an emigré Indian Communist center However, the dissolution of the German Communist Party in 1933 by the Nazis compelled Chattopadhyaya to proceed to Russia,130 There is no evidence that he had any influence on the Indian Communist movement comparable to that once en joyed by M N Roy, not to speak of the British Communist Party It is doubtful whether Chattopadhyaya, the Kaiser's designated leader of the Indian National Movement during the First World War, was really ever a sincere Communist he probably joined the Communists because he saw in Soviet Russia the sole nation which could liberate India from the rule of the British Raj The liquidation of Chattopadhyaya and his colleagues destroyed a link in the way of direct connection between Moscow and the Indian Communists and thereby all

102

the more bolstered the position of the British Communists as the immediate bosses of their "comrades" in Hindustan

An "Imperialist War" Becomes A "People's War"

The Nati-Soviet pact of August 23 1939 and the subsequent outbreak of the Second World War with Russia becoming the ostensible finend rather than the sworn enemy of the Hitler regime was as confusing to the Indian Comrades as it was confounding to the Communists in the rest of the world *How ever the new line was helpful to the Indian Communists in that the anti British turn in Soviet foreign policy made it possible for the Indian Communists all the more bitterly to denounce the alleged machinations of British imperialism Consequently when it broke out the Indian Communists

Consequently when it to take out the initian Community branded the war as an "imperialist" one and appealed to the Indian masses to carry on active demonstrations against the involvment of India in the conflict For example Community (were active in a mass demonstration against Indias participate tion in the war held in September 1939 in Valdras in which it is said 1000 persons participated They were also active in an anti-imperialist and anti-war conference held at Nagpur the following month? which Red leader B T Ranadure "inaugu-rated" and in which Communist dominated or influenced groups such as the national Kisan Sabha and the All India Students Federation were very much in evidence?

The Communists were not long able publicly to express their views a right which they had partly been able to manifer under the Congress ministries before September 1939. Soon after the inception of the conflict the Communists two leading journals, published in Bombay the "autonal Front" and the Kranti" were banned. However the Indian Communists con

tinued furtively to publish their secret organ the "Communist". The full blow of British suppressive force fell, however, in March 1940 On the twenty fifth of that month the Anglo-Indian government ordered 'the detention of the principal Communist leaders in India. "I twas indicated at the same time that the Communists had been carrying on subversive "under ground propaganda and had been doing their best to hinder the supply of men and materials in the furtherance of the war effort?

As a consequence, all the most important leaders of Indian Communism such as Dange, Muzalfar Ahmad, Ranadive, Ghate, Mizajkar and Nimbkar were summarily incarcerated 8 Arrests of Communists continued during the course of the year 1940.8 and in February, 1941, Sir Reginald Maxwell, the Home Mem ber of the Anglo-Indian Government in the National Legisla-tive Assembly declared before that body that out of some 700 persons then being detained in jail without trial "about 480 persons were almost without exception Communists or else active supporters of the Communist programme of violent mass resolution "10

"The arrest and detention of the above mentioned Communist" leaders was compensated to a certain extent by the joining to the Communist Party, late in 1939 and early in 1940, of a number of prominent members of the Congress and its autonomous branch, the Congress Socialist party They included such future noteworthy Red leaders as A K Gopalan, I E M S Namboodripad, I and Sajjad Zaheer II It may be noted these and others were members of the A I C C Indeed, some fifty nine important members of the Congress Socialist Party joined the Communists at this time 14 However, they too were subject to arrest by the authorities 18

In spite of the repression from British officialdom, the Communist party continued to carry on its subverinc activities. This was particularly true of the period from September, 1939, to March 1940 when the leaders of Indian Communism were still at large Thus in November, 1939, the Polithuro of the Indian Communist party denounced the war and held the revolutionary utilization of the war crisis for the attainment

of National Independence" was the central task of the Communist party 18. With this watchword before them the Communist discinianted propagands and organized demonstrations during the course of 1939 and 1940 against the war effort. In their propagands they were held to have explained the true cause of the victories of Hitler over European countries as the result of the English policy of isolating the Soviet Union 18 nurual areas the Communists carried on their propaganda under the slogan of Na ek Pai Na ek Bhai" (not one penny not one brother) that is they appealed to peasants neither to subscribe to war loans nor to permit their men folk to join the Indian armed forces: 19

Community activity in the trade union movement bore fruit at the eighteenth is session of the ATTUC which met at Bom bay in November 1990. At this session the unification of the former with the National Federation of Trade Unions was for mally approach 19 The Communists here played an important role in having this united trade union federation (known as the AITUC) pass an anit war resolution over the opposition of the "Royuts" 20 On the other hand however, the "Royuts" of the "Royuts" of the enacting a resolution to the effect that "political questions and questions of affiliation with any foreign organization" (such as the Red International of Labour Unions) would be decided by a "three fourths majority thus hindering the passage of Communist-sponsored resolutions 11 The Communistacepted this provision very reluctantly in the "interest of luttur".

Besides supporting trade union "unity" for their own selfish purposes the Communities between September 1993 and June 1911 did their best to further the strike movement, in order to enhance their influence in Indian labor to hinder the war effort and to further resolutionary sentiments among the Indian prolestrat On October 2 1995 32 and a month later on that Communit holiday November 7th 31 the textile workers of Doml ay were called out on one day strikes. During the autumn of 1939 there likewise were strikes in other industrial centers such as Allahabad Cawnpore and Calcutata" as well as by

909

sailors on Indian commercial vessels 26

An even greater number of strikes convulsed industrial India in the first half of 1940 as compared to the second half of 1939 Greatest of all the strikes was one conducted by the Bombay textile operatives which was not merely a one-day political texture operatives writti was not merciy a one-us; pointess strike but a prolonged walkout of forty days duration from March 3 to April 13 1940 Some 175 000 workers participated in this walkouts* It was this strike which probably precipitated the arrest of the leading Indian Communists.

Besides unleashing a one-day sympathy strike called by the Red infiltrated executive of the AITUC of India wide scope and involving 350 000 workers the Bombay strike heralded a arash of other strikes notably that of jute workers of Bengal and Bihar oil well workers of Assam coal miners of Jharia and tron and steel workers of Jamshedpur 28 During 1940 some 452 000 workers struck as compared to 409 000 in 1939 and 492/00 workers struck as compared to 100/00 in 1905 and this in spite of the arrest of Communists and Congress Socialists who called for the strikes 10 This repression by British authors the made for an enforced quiet in the Indian scene during the first half of 1941 only two large strikes occurring in the Nagpur district 30 The Communists were active in the peasant move ment in the Malabar and Andhra districts of the Madras Presi ment in the Majabar and Andria districts of the Madras Fig., dency and in Bengal 1: The peasant movement as encompassed in the Kisan Sabha movement in May 1940 was claimed to have one million members 32 At this time in addition to the usual Communist demands for reduct on of rents and debts anu British anti war slogans and praise for the Soviet agricultural system played a prominent part in the Red agrarian line ²⁵ The Communists were also active among the students. They

were now dominant in the All India Student's Federation Th's organization which had been founded in August 1936 claimed early in 1940 that it had over 100 000 members "with sections in every province 34 When the war broke out the Students Federation at once followed the line of the CPI denounced the war in its news organ the Student Call as imperialistic and conducted various demonstrations against it In the Fifth Annual Convention of this organization at Delhi January 1940 pro-Communist slogans against the war as well as against the

British and the princes were passed ** Proving the complete subordination of the Students' Federation to the GPI, the former body passed a resolution lauding the Soviet attack on Finland, then in progress ** The repression of the GPI, however, diminished the effectiveness of this student front, which assisted Red inspired strikes ** in the spring of 1940. The Communists maintained their prewar policy of trying to secure influence in the National Congress Those of their

The Communists maintained their prewar policy of trying to secure influence in the National Congress Those of their number who were members of the ATCC "unhesitatingly" supported the "National Demands" of the Congress and through the alleged consistency and energy of their work" came to hold "positions of trust and responsibility in the Congress organizations. This was supposedly confirmed by "police reports" As before the wars, the Congress between 1939 and 1941 took no action against the Communists within its ranks

However, in that autonomous branch of the Congress, the Congress Socialist Party, a more realistic attitude towards the Trojan horse' Communist policy was taken. Using as justification a more hostile attitude by the Communists taken towards them?" after a left wing conference of Communists Socialists and the Forward Bloc Party (the latter a new leftist anti-war group headed by S. C. Bose who had broken with the Congress) at Lucknow in October, 1939, had agreed to left wing collaboration," the National Executive of the C.S.P., meeting at Ram garh, in March, 1910, ordered the expulsion of the Communists from that party, 41 a move naturally received with wrath by the latter 12.

Communist criticism between the summers of 1939 and 1911 was mainly directed against the British and their "imperatistic war." The keynote of this line was sounded by the Polit bure of the C.P.1 in its thesis on the war in November, 1939, which manifesto argued

The war that is raging in Europe today is NOT a war of Democracy against Fascism It is an Imperialist War, Britain and France are not lighting in defence of freedom and Democracy. Their victory would not mean the destruction nor even the weakening of Fascism Chamberlain and Dala diet are making use of the anti-Fascist rosod of the people

in order to strengthen their imperialist designs in their own countries. They are assisting the spread of reaction in many European countries. They are destroying the democratic way of life in their own countries. They will strengthen political and economic exploitation in India 44

Moreover in haranguing textile workers on their one-day strike of November 7 1939 Ranadive averred

Today the British Ison has fallen into a pit which he has dug himself He had meant it for Soviet Russia the land where Workers and Peasants rule It is not our good for tine to claim that we have pushed him into the pir But it is certainly our good fortune that he is in it. We are not going to help him to come out 45

To which remarks his audience cried "Victory to the Red Flag and "Down with Imperialist War "46

Needless to say the proposals of the British and of the Anglo-Indian Government especially the August offer of 1940 which promised India eventual dominion status were greeted by ancers and jeers from the Communits 1 On the other hand the Defence of India Act (Emergency Powers Act) enacted by the Anglo-Indian Government soon after the outbreak of the war was bitterly castigated and when under the terms of this act arrests and imprisonments without trial occurred howls of Red protest were raised 48

Communist censure was not confined solely to the "imperial ists. The puppet princes came in for their share of abuse48 and the abolition of the native states was demanded so The Indian bourgeoisie also came in for stern censure 51 Thus in the February 1941 issue of the clandestine journal "The Com munist it was stated

The national movement under bourgeois leadership has International movement under bourgeois readership ne-entered a bind alley They feared the masses and trusted Imperialism They put their class above the nation They hand over the national organisers to Imperialism

for safe custody 5

The attitude of the Communists towards the National Congress during the period from 1939 to 1941 was somewhat am biguous There are several instances of Red praise for that organization ⁵² For instance, the statement made by the Working Committee of the Congress on September 14, 1939 holding that if the war were "imperialistic," India would have nothing to do with it and simultaneously attacking Fascism as well, was duly lauded by the Communists. ⁵⁴ Although the "individual civil disobedience" movement inaugurated by the Congress in the fall of 1940 to force Britain to grant India autonomy im mediately was not really militant enough to suit the Communists tastes still for them it was a step in the right direction and they saw in this development and the attendant arrest of India's leaders signs that a real struggle between "imperialism" and the Indian masses was now under way ⁵⁵ The high point in the Communists favorable attitude towards Congress came in their manifesto celebrating the tenth anniversary of the proclamation of Indian independence (January 26, 1940) in which they went so far as to identify the Congress with themselves in the yearn inter India's freedom ⁵⁵

On the other hand brickbats as well as bouquets were hurled at the Congress. Thus in its thesis of November 1939, the C.P.1 asserted

The ruling leadership of Congress does not wish to utilise the weapon of mass struggle. It wants to utilise the war crisis for carrying on dealings with the imperialists without a struggle ⁵⁷

The particular buit of the Communists in Congress was Mahatma Gandhi Of "Gandhism" an official statement by the Executive Communite Party, just before it was broken up by the action of the Anglo-Indian police, declared that it was absolutely indispensable to know that "struggle against settlement, struggle against Compromise, means simultaneously struggle against Gandhism". In bird, all round and convincing political exposure of Gandhism" in addition, in his "Review of Gandhism", published at about

206 the same time Dr G M Adhikari one of the top leaders of

the party stated Once Gandhism held the fate of British rule in its hands

To-day it pursues the logic of unconditional co-operation with the same Government and that at a time when an

unjust imperialist and predatory war is raging in the world Gandhi s line is (that of) the cowardly and compromis ing bourgeoisie Besides the harsh criticism of Gandhi⁶⁰ Nehru was subjected

to censure because the latter in turn had criticized Communists

for denying the right of the Congress high command to depart from the mandate of struggle which had been given at the Congress Ramgarh session of March 1940 1 This Communist criticism was manifestly at variance with the talk of "unity" in the contest with the British Raj 62 The Communists also vilified the Congress Socialists es pecially after their expulsion from that party and they treated the Royists who were now known as the Radical Democratic Party who supported the war effort with supercilious scorn.64 An air of contemptuous bravado was also taken towards the formation by Royist" labor leaders, Messrs Altab Ali and karnik of a new pro-war and anti-Communist labor federa tion the Indian Federation of Labour which endeavored to compete with the AITUC 65 and which allegedly received a 13 000 rupees a month grant from the Anglo-Indian Govern ment.66 This attitude by the Communists on the Congress and

parture from the policy of united front from above A harsh attitude was taken by the Communists in regard to the Mushin League which was looming into vital significance between 1939 and 1941 having initiated its demand for Paki stan in 1940 The League was held to be mainly an "upper middle class organization which had little support from the Muslim peasantry 67 The League was deemed to be "pro-imperialist" 68 and the British it was claimed "in every way imperialist ** and the british it was claimed in every ner encouraged the separatist tendencies of the Muslim League **6* The Hindu parallel to the latter organization the Hindu

Congress Socialists signified a partial but not complete de-

Mahasabha was also branded as being "extremely reactionary "10 We may note that in their position on the communal and nation alities questions between the summers of 1939 and 1941 the Communists took the line that there should be a single united India Hence they had no sympathy for the particularism of the Muslim League 12

That this independent India would not be attained by peaceful means was well indicated by the Communists Thus the principle of no violence was bitterly excorated? whereas the raising of a "people's army" was praised and it was held that a mighty wave of revolt which was already (somewhat exaggeratedly) sweeping the country' would result in "complete victory over British imperialism" 72

While dilating much on the necessity for the liberation of India from "imperialist rule" the Indian Communists had

little to say about their economic plans between the summers of 1939 and 1941 Apartial exception to this was found in the Manifesto of the Communist Party of India" on the tenth maniversary of Indian Independence Day (January 26 1910) which held that their goals were

For a democratic republic with a People's Army

For the eight hour day and a living wage For freedom from rack renting and debt-slavery 14

The reticence of the Communists on their economic plans in this document which was a grandiloquent series of statements extolling the Soviets position in world affairs at the com mencement of 1940 and the urgent need for Indian independence 78 was probably in line with the Communists policy of not antagonizing the Congress 50 that they might better carry on subversion within it.

During the period under review the Communists of India as before were under the direct tutelage of the British Com munist party This circumstance was all the more brought into being owing to the lack of contact between Russia and the rest of the world as a result of the war As examples of British tutelage of the Indian Communists we may cite the appearance

of a volume in 1940 by R. Palme Dutt entitled 'India To-Day" This work was really an elaboration of Dutt's earlier "Modern India," brought up to date both in regard to historical events and the correct party line It featured a Marxist analysis of the British rule in India, the history of the Indian national move ment and the political, social and economic situation from the Communist point of view ⁷⁶ Dutt also presented an economic program for the Indian comrades to follow which involved (a) the confiscation of all British capital holdings in India to take place along with the attainment of independence, (b) the 'liquidation of landlordism" and the "redivision of land' among the peasanty (collectivitation is not specifically mentioned) together with the abolition of peasants' debts and the "moderni sation of agriculture," and (c) the future "Independent Indian State" should own all 'key industries' including the various

208

modes of transport as well as banking and credit 77 Another example of British Communist tutelage is found in an article by Harry Pollitt, the leader of British Bolshevism in the Labour Monthly" of June, 1941, on the eve of the Nazi Soviet war in which once again the British rule over India is excorated, the war is branded as an 'imperialist' one and British solicitude for the liberation of the several countries occupied by the Germans, is contrasted with Britain's total dis interestedness in the aspirations of the people of India 78

The sudden Nazi onslaught upon Soviet Russia on June 22, 1941, was as stunning and shocking a blow to the Indian com rades as it was to Communists throughout the globe But with rades as it was to Communists throughout the gione. But wind the former, it was a source, not only of shock, but likewise of embarrassment. For now, and particularly with the signing of a wattume alliance part between Britain and the USSR on July 12, 1941, the hated "imperialists and the beloved land where the "workers and peasants rule" were allied

An immediate debate ensued among the Communists both misde and outside of jail as to what course they should pur sue 19 In July, 1941, they came to a decision It represented a compromise They would support the Russian part of the war as a herore peoples battle against Facism but would continue to denounce Englands part of the conflict as imperalists? and would maintain the policy of castigating Britain's rule in India. This view was stated in a clandestine manifesto which allegedly emanated from the Polithuro of the CPT most of whose members were in prison consequently it must have come from those Communists who were working underground.

The manifesto stated

The Communist Party declares that the only way in which the Indian people can help in the just war which the Sowiet is waging is by lighting all lith emore vigorously for their own emancipation from the imperalist yoke. Our attitude towards the British Government and the imperalist war remains what it was We can render really effective aid to the Soviet Union only as a free people. That is why our campaign for the demonstration of our support and soli darity with the Soviet Union must be coupled with the exposure of the imperalist hypocray of the Churchills and Rootseelfs with the demand for the intensification of our struggle for independence 89

The CP1 immediately swing behind this peculiar anti British pro-Soviet line 11 One of the wildest of the Communist notions at this time was one circulated by certain confused contrades to the effect that Churchill had been instrumental in provoking Hitler to declare was on Russia 12 In the summer of 1911 the Communists organized rallies (which the authorities permitted since after all they were indirectly supporting the British cause) in which reference was frequently made to the Soviet Union as "Fatherland" a term most painful to patriotic Indian cars 11. The Communists continued to prate their new ambiguous

The Communists continued to prate their new ambiguous hodgepodge of confusing ideas in regard to the war during the summer and autumn of 1941. For example, a so-called "party letter" dated October 50, 1941, aftermed.

that only in the measure the people gather the attength to assert themselved against impercialists and their rule will they be able to line up in the international peoples front for winning the war against fastism and for the Soviet people and the people of the worl! 48

It was time to take the erring comrades to task 85 and this the Communist Party of Great Britain endeavored to do in an official resolution on the subject of "India" by asserting in October 1941

The war on the Soviet Union has aroused the deepest feelings of all Colonial and oppressed peoples. That great country where the people of all races and colours live in harmonious economic and cultural unity has always been an insuration to all of them in the structurely for freedom.

From this it follows that Russia must be supported at all costs After complimenting the Indians for the anti Fascist policies which they have evinced in the past ²⁷ the resolution maintained that since the British-Soviet Alliance has at last been established ²⁸ a world alliance of the people against Fascism is being built up ²⁸⁸

The Brush Communist leaders admonished their Indian com rades that this alliance must be supported for the victory of Naii Germany and its Axis partners would mean not only the enslavement of the peoples of Europe but also a worse slavery of the people of India than ever known before whereas victory for the "alliance of the peoples in association with the Soviet Union would lead to the most favorable world condition for the liberation of the Indian people "*

In these circumstances the leaders of British Communism ex-

that the masses of the Indian people and all their most progressive leaders (the Communists) will recognise that to-day the path to Indian Independence less through the victory of the Soviet Union and its allies over Fascism

The Unity of the British, American Soviet and Indian peoples this is the path of victory over Fascism. This is the path to the liberation of all peoples **

The Indian Communists had thus received their orders. Even those in prison had received a letter from Harry Polint—a letter which the British authorities deliberately permitted the Indian Communists, incarcerated in Deoli prison to receive-stating the new line 8st. This new line brought about 'protracted dis cussion' amongst the comrades both behind bars and at large It was probably the greatest debate within the Indian Communist Party in 1st history II it should accept the new line, the party would be restored to the same relative freedom it had it would be recognized by the Anglo-Indian authorities as a legitimate political party. On the other hand were it to follow this course the opprobrium of many Indians would have to be faced for its betrayal of the national struggle But if the Indian Communists should refuse to obey orders and would maintain their anti-British line they would continue to have the respect of militant Indian nationalists as a group struggling valantly for Indian hiberty but on the other hand they would then be disowned by the Communist International and would become a small group without important interritional connections, such as was the case with the "Royists" a decade previously. The leaders of Indian Communism P. C. Joshi, Ranadire

The leaders of Indian Communism P C, Johh, Ranadive and Ghate choice the former course a course which seemed to have become a more palatable one in view of the Japanese entry into the war on December 7, 1911, and the consequent Nipponese threat to India 3º The result of the new Communist line appeared as a manifesto of December 15 1911, from the leaders of Indian Communism some of whom were already being released from detention. This manifesto which represented the officeal surring point of the Communist Party of India in regard to the war, declared in part.

We are a practical party and in the new circumstances our tasks are to work out new tactics, to put forth new slogani corresponding to the present world situation and the new situation in the National Movement. The chief slogan of our party which we now put forth, in "a People's Role in the People's War" "19

This manifesto was followed up a little later, by a booklet written by P. C. Joshi, the General Secretary of the party, en titled "Forward to Freedom," which appeared in February, 1912 This booklet presented in full details the official views and policies of the Indian Communist Party Joshi, who was now for the first time since 1939 able to carry on a more open leadership of the CPI, contended

The Indian people recognize that the war waged jointly by the USSR, Great Britain, the USA and China against the Fascist Powers is a People's War The Indian People must strive to win it in common with the other progressive peoples in order to secure the victory of the USSR and of all other peoples, the annihilation of Fascism and to win a peace and new order which ensures the independence and democratic liberties of all peoples and involves no annexa Therefore, held Joshi, 'our support to the war has to be unqualified, whole-hearted and full throated 95 and 'we must

go into the People's War for all we are worth '26 To this end the Indian Communists were urged to 'take a positive attitude towards the war effort because it is our war and we declare that we want to build a Real People's War effort \$7 For this purpose, therefore, Joshi urged that an all-out effort be made to further the civilian defense or ARP (air raid precaution) by, means of a "policy of full co-operation with the Official ARP" 98 He also urged the creation of a popular Home Guard a Citizens' Army, organised for the defence of cities and district towns against "the imminent danger of a Japanese invasion" a force raised under the slogan of "arm the people"99 Further affirmed Joshi, recruitment for the Indian armed forces must be greatly encouraged "We want to increase recruitment a thousand fold "100

To win the 'People's War,' Joshi affirmed that "unity is indispensable and in order to secure it

the Communist Party works for the broadest possible mobilisation in a united front of the Congress the Muslim League, the Trade Union Congress the All India Aisan Sabha and the All Indian Students Feteration 101

In this policy-determining booklet, Joshi chided the British on the "loss of Malaya"192 by their imperialistic policies and also chided them for retarding the industrialization of India an industrialization which would contribute to the speedy down fall of the Axis Powers 103

However this criticism of the British was mild indeed com pared to that made by the Communists before June 22 1911
We find nothing in John's remarks which advocate any militant struggle for the attainment of the admittedly indispensable Indian freedom Support of the United Nations came first with Joshi 104

The principal leaders of the GPI accepted the new line But neither all of the minor leaders nor many in the rank and file of the party could accept it. These formed a party bearing the provocative name of the Bolshevik Leninust Party of India and claimed an affiliation with the Fourth or Trotkytie International This party continued the old Communist opposition to the "imperialist war and endeavored to manugrate a "mass struggle against it "103 However it was a small group and had to remain underground

to remain underground

The pro-war attitude of the Communists albeit qualified by
the demand for India i independence during the spring of 1912
convinced the Anglo-Indian authorities that the Communists
should be permitted to carry on their activities as a legal
political organization. Hence many prominent Indian Communists were released from detention. The culmination of the

munits were released from detention. The cultimination of the new lement British policy was the formal removal of the ban on the Communit Party of India on July 24, 1912, which had the effect of legalizing the party. The Government of India held it took this step because the "announcements and circulars to party members" termed the war. "a Peoples Viar." and encuraged "cooperation with the war effort." "198

This decision by the Central Anglo-Indian Government, which was followed as a matter of course by the provincial governments was well received by those elements in Congress led by C. R. Rajagopalacharia who favored Indias participation in the war effort, not conditioned by the graining of freedom "9 but not by the Congress as a whole It was also well received by some non-Communiant elements in Indian labor 1992 and needless to say received a resounding cheer from the C.P.G.B., 189

214

but was met with reserve by conservative British circles¹¹⁰ and by silence from the Muslim League

by silence from the Muslim League

The Communist Party immediately set to work to increase
its influence in India. In the latter part of September 1942
the Central Committee of the party met in Bombay in the first
open meeting of that body in the history of the party At this
meeting plans were drawn for the augmentation of the partys
influence and special attention was paid to the necessity for
dissemination of the party's propagands in
Early in 1943 the Central Committee decaded that a national

EATHY IN 1915 the Central Committee oecoec that a national convention of the party should be held to draw attention to throughout India The First National Congress of the Committee Theorem 1915 and 1916 and 1916 are between May 23rd and June 1st 1943 in Bombay Led by Josh Ranadise and Dange the 139 delegates did their best to make a considerable in pression To a certain extent they were successful On May 23rd they conducted a great mass meeting attended by 25 000 workers 112 On May 24th a festival of national culture was presented and on the same day a Communist Exhibition was presented and on the same day a Communist Exhibition was opened in a large hall which was allegedly attended by many ¹¹⁸. The serious part of the affair the meeting of the delegates took place between May 23rd and June 1st ¹¹⁸ This consisted of a number of harnques by Communist leaders who laid down the party line and the passage of resolutions carefully worked out in advance by the party's Central Committee all to the enthuisatic applause of the assembled delegates It also elect (that is confirmed) P C Joshi as General Secretary and otherwise confirmed the leaders of the CPI in 118 Central

Committee 18
Another decis on of the now legalized CPI was to organize a party press and it was not long before the greatest number of jurials in the history of that party made their appearance. The leading dispenser of the Red line was the English language "Peoples War" which from July 1924 to July 1935 was edited by Josh; and after the latter date was edited by Adhikari. This paper appears to have had a considerable increase in its circulation between 1942 and 1945 and one Communitationize claims. it increased 124 per cent in ten months. There was also a Com

munist vernacular languages press throughout India In Bom bay alone there were three vernacular newspapers printed in the Hindi, Marathi and Urdu languages In addition, news papers in Indian languages were published under the auspices of provincial committees of the Communist Party in Bengal, Andhra, Tamilnad, Kerala, the Carnatic and Orusa 118 The party line was thus disseminated on a virtually all Indian scale In addition, a large number of pamphlets, booklets, leaflets and feuilletons were published in the party's central headquarters in Bombay

In view of the great extent of illiteracy in India it was increasily for the Communists to find ways to supplement the printed page in the dissemination of their propaganda. This was accomplished through the media of dancer songs and the spoken verse For instance, in Andhra there had existed a cus-tom for ministres to perform the Burra Katha" or the Ballad of Recutation-which was simply a recitation by a minstrel of a heroic tale in ballad form to the accompaniment of an ansure tase in obtained form to the accompaniment of an assistant bearing the 'burra" or drum Communists, herefore, disguised themselves as ministrels and went from village to village with their drummer assistants, they rendered their versified stories or 'kathas," but these did not deal with the heroes of Indian folklore, but rather with the Communist heroes of Russia, China and India 117 From 1912 to 1945 a well knit, centralized organization was

From 1912 to 1915 a west tait, centrature organization was built up in the CP1 which followed the pattern employed by Communist parties everywhere At the base were local and district groups and cells above them were the provincial com-mittees which coordinated the work of the district committees. and above the latter was the All India Central Committee of and above the latter was the All India Central Committee of the party, a segment of which was the Polishuro which main tained headquarters in Bombay 113 The latter body was the ruling body 115 Authority in the party theoretically ran from bottom to top but in practice, of course, the reverse was the case Up until 1915 the full time party workers received no pay, but beginning in that year they received a pittance of forty rupees (\$100) a month for which paltry sum they worked long hour every day In order to solve their immediate eco-

216

nomic problems the Communist Party workers ran cooperatives through which food was made available to them at reasonable prices However very often "professional" Communists had to beg for supplementary food as well as for clothes from friends and sympithizers Martied Communists and Communists supand symptomers wastred communities and community pay porting children received a slight increase in the paltry pay which was really an allowance 179 The CP1 definitely registered an increase in enrolled

members between the summer of 1942 and that of 1945 Start ing from a figure of 5 900 when the party emerged from under ground in July 1942²¹ (at that probably an exaggerated figure) the party claimed a membership in the following Febru ary of 9 219 with some 8 886 auxiliaries training towards mem bership 122 By March 1944 the number of active Communist party members had risen to 25 000 223 Near the end of the war in 1945 the C.P.I claimed that there were no fewer than 40 000 card-carrying Communists but this figure may be taken as an exaggeration the true number being somewhere between 25 000 and 40 000 124 Nonetheless there appears to have been truth in Joshi's boast that towards the end of the war the Com munist was the leading political party in India after the Con gress and the Muslim League 123

What accounted for this rapid growth of the CPL2 In the first place the ban on the activities of the Congress in the fall of 1912 and its autonomous branch the CSP temporarily re of 1912 and its autonomous branch the CSP temporarily re moved powerful competitors of the Communist from the field The only political parties in India of note besides the Communist, which parties in India of note besides the Communist, which parties in India of note besides the Communist, which was the control of the Political activity between 1942 and 1945 were the Wuslim League the Radical Democratic and the Liberal But the former group had a strictly communal basis and the latter body a support was derived from orbits of M N Roy were in a position to form a mass party with an All India following but owing to the vague policies of that party it failed to make headway losing rather than 1 In the second rules; the politics of the party in failed to make headway losing rather than 1 In the second rules; the politics of the party of the party of the politics of the politics of the party of the politics of the party of the politics of the politics

In the second place the policy of negative opposition on the part of the Congress to the war was not popular with

"IMPERIALIST WAR" 217

certain elements in that body, notably among certain Congress Socialists ³²⁷ The latter felt they could do more in the way of advancing the cause of socialism in a legal political organiza tion than in an underground movement Hence, "large num bers" of them particularly from the South Indian areas of Andhra kerala and the Carnatic joined the C.P.I in 1942 and after 128

In the third place action taken by the Central Committee of the CP1 facilitated the rapid growth of membership In September 1912 it put forth the slogan of a mass Communist party. As reported by the Peoples War of April 4 1915

It was found that old inhibitions still prevented the Party from enrolling the best militant and active elements 179

As a result entrance requirements to membership were low ered

Finally, of course "the legalization of the party lifted a bar against growth of membership" as it permitted the party to work openly and this factor "at the same time attracted to the

work openly and this factor "at the same time attracted to the party elements disinclined to enter an illegal organization "138 As for their communal backgrounds (in religion the Communists were actually atheists) the overwhelming majority of Communists were lindux. This out of the 193 delegates present at the First Congress of the C.P.I. in May, 1913 there were only 13 Muslims as well as eight 5this two Parsees one Christian one Jain and three out-astes or Untouchables. The balance of the delegates were Hindux 133.

From the vocational point of view on the basis of statistics gathered in the late spring of 1915. 56 per cent of the members of the C.P.I were peasants, 26 per cent were workers 22 per cent were unrellectuals. 11 per cent were budding mindlectuals, ie, students and 5 per cent were busding interfectuals, i.e., students and 5 per cent were women placed oddly enough in a special category. The disproportionately high percentage of intellectuals is noteworthy.

The CPI became a more truly national movement than heretofore, as its tentacles spread throughout the length and breadth of the sub-continent. The Communists between 1912

and 1945 made appreciable progress in the princely states which had been immune previously to large scale Communist penetration Such penetration was noteworthy in Central Indian princely states such as Gwalior, Barodia and Indore and in such Southern Indian princely states as Travancore, Cochin and Hyderabad The Communists were active both among the peasants and the textile workers of these states ¹³⁴

The areas of British India in which the Communists were most influential during these years were Bengal, the Andhra and Kerals regions of the Madras Presidency and the Punjab In Bengal the Communist Party reported that it had 5,000 members as of April 1945148 and that its membership there had risen in January, 1945 to no fewer than 9 000 members, many of them former terrorists 133

In its organizing activity the C.P.I for the first time in its history was unhampered by the Anglo-Indian Government. Indeed, in a circular dated September 20, 1945 that govern ment held the Communists were performing a real service, because they are "almost the only Parry which fought for victory" of the United Nations cause its

Communist members of the All India Congress Commutee in the spring of 194211 hailed the arrival of Sir Stafford Cripps who had come to break the stalemate between the British, the Congress and the Muslim League on the form of future freedom in the sub-continent Like true Congression of March 29, 1942 deferred Indian freedom until after the war, but unlike the former the Communists were willing to support the war effort.is Hence, in a proposed resolution before the AI C.C., its Red members suggested that 'the Congress'. Like the initia tive in the national resultance to the aggressors,' that it support the war effort. Needless to say, the Communist minority failed to secure agreement to its views from the majority of the AI ICL'99.

The minority of Communists on the latter body most bitterly remonitrated against the "Quit India resolution proposed by the Congress leadership of Gandhi and Nehru They argued that the Congress should work for a temporary national govern

ment in collaboration with the Muslim League to make India an independent opponent of the Axis and permit self-determination to Muslim areas They did not approve of merely ordering the British to Quit India. But the remonstrances of the

the British to Qui India But the remonstrances of the thirteen Communist AICG, members was in vain for the Quit India resolution was duly enacted on August 8 1942 110. The Communists were not the only group to oppose the Quit India resolution of the Congress The Hindia Massisabla the Muslim League the Liberals and Roy's Radical Democrats also opposed it 111 Fine company for Communists!

The ensuing civil disobedience campaign occasioned riots between the British and the Congressmen which resulted in

numerous casualties the incarceration of Gandhi and Nehru and a ban on the National Congress This seemingly per turbed the Communists Both Congress and the British were the recipients of Communist censure. The action of the A I C C. was deemed a "grave mistake and the use of civil disobedience as a weapon was deplored. The Congress was held to have fallen into a fatal trap which was suicidal to Indian free dom." 143 Gandhi was blamed for the revolution and it was thraged he was ready to make peace with Japan *** At the same time Communist ire was aroused over the stupid and clumy provocative measures adopted by the British government *** Both parties were deprecated by the **Preoples War* of August 23 1912 the British for **there REPRESSION* the

struggle of the police against the people "and the Congress for their sabotage" 148 In Britain Comrade Pollitt sent an appeal to Prime Vinnster Churchill to grant Indian freedom at once so that a free India might fight on the side of the United Nations but his appeal was in vain 148

but his appeal was in vain 148

The circumstances surrounding the Communists refusal to agree to the "Quit India" resolution did them harm among the now underground Congressmen. The latter felt anger that the Communists were safely praecling a prowar program while their own leaders were moldering in prison for their advocacy of India's freedom. The Communists weakened position with Congressmen was not improved after the release of Gandhi in May 1914

The Communists hoped, through flattery, to win over the Mahatma as an ally of their cause Thus at the time of Gandhis release, party boss P C Joshi declared

Gandhiji, the beloved leader of the greatest patriotic organisation of our people, the mighty Indian National Congress is back in our midst again We are anxious about his health Every son and daughter of India, every patriotic organisation of our land is looking to the greatest son of our nation to take it out of the bog in which none is safe 147

But the Mahatma was no more impressed by this solicitude and flattery by Joshi than he had been with the blandishments of Saklatvala in 1927 He addressed a letter on June 11, 1944 to Joshi which asked the latter the following pertinent questions

- 1 What is the meaning of 'People' in 'People's War'? Does it mean war on behalf of India's millions or the Negroes in the East, South or West of Africa, or the Negroes of America or all of them? Are the allies engaged in such
- Are the finances of the Communist Party represented by you subject to public audit? If they are, may I see
- It is stated that the Communist Party has actively helped
- the authorities to arrest leaders and organisers of our labour strikes during the last two years (Is that true?) The Communist party is said to have adopted the policy
- of infiltrating the Congress organisation with a hostile intent. (Is that true?)
- the policy of the Communist Party dictated from outside (India)2148

On June 14th Joshi endeavored to answer Gandhi's questions To the first question the former argued that the People's War meant the war of all the peoples of the globe against the Fascist aggressors, among which peoples was that of the Soviet Union, to the second Joshi stated that Gandhi or his representatives were free to check the CPI's finances, if they so

desired to the third query Joshi maintained it was a "vile charge easy to make but difficult to prove" that Communists had assisted the authorities in the arrest of labor leaders to the fourth question Joshi Halty and falsely asserted "there is no question of our adopting a policy of inflittating the Congress organisation and to the fifth interrogation Joshi stated that The Communist Party decides its own policy as it under stands the interests of its own people and of the people of the world "149

Replying on July 30 1911 Gandhi informed the Red leader Replying on July 30 1941 Gandhi informed the Red leader that according to reports received by him the Communist did not believe in God truth and non volence and that they were opposed to the institutions of marriage and monogany but rather advocated sexual anarchy in its place that they were anti-Congress, anti-Khaddar and thit they considered himself and referred to him as the "Rasputin of India 150 But added Gandhi in a conclusiory tein Joshis answer on Communist Party Industries was considered with the Artist of the Communication of the Communica

the following petulant tone on September 12 1914

If my own father had written to me what you have written I would NOT have answered his letter and would have never again gone to meet him I am writing to you because you are the nation's Father (and) it will be un patriotic on my part to get angry with you even when you intuit and humiliate us 122

Joshi went on to suggest that Gandhi place his anti-Communist file before such seemingly unprejudiced Congress leaders as Mrs. Arojini Naidu C. R. Rajagopalacharia and Bhulabhai Desai so that they might examine the role of the Communists in an objective fashion 121

This dare by John was accepted by Ganthi and shortly thereafter a committee under Dean was set up for the purpose of providing the Congress with a thorough examination of the role of the Communist Party of India While material for this

report was being compiled an anti-Communist sentiment began to develop in the Congress 154 Thus a manifesto which was put forth by a number of Congressmen in December 1944 presented the following viewpoint

Members of the Communist Party have questioned the leadership of the Mahatmaji and his basic principles like truth and non-violence (They) have no scruples to adopt any policy and programme to attain their end (They) have created a tradition itself in vulgarising

the patriotic standpoints of the Congress 155

It may also be noted that a prominent Congress leader of Madras P S Sane went on a twenty-day hunger strike as a penance over the sorry state of affairs in the (Red-dominated) labour movement 156 and that to meet the Communist chal lenge in this movement certain Congress workers began establishing trade umons in Bombay to compete with those of the Communists early in 1945 157 Whereas the Communists failed to make any appreciable

progress in the National Congress between 1942 and 1945 they did succeed in making some progress in infiltrating the Muslim League 138 We have seen that in 1942 the Communists reversed their former hostile attitude towards the League as a mere 'reactionary" communal association and praised it at times even more vigorously than the National Congress Between 1942 and 1945 a not inconsiderable number of Muslim Com munists joined the ranks of the League (a novelty for pre viously Communists of Muslim origin had invariably joined the Congress) and they came to have influence in the League Associations in the Punjab Sind Assam the North West Fron Associations in the supply state rossen the restricted influence and Bengal 188 They became especially influential in the Punjab Provincial League Committee, in which Daniyal Latifi a Punjabi Communist, was made the Office Secretary of this Committee as well as Secretary of the League

Certain elements in the Muslim League appreciated Com munist support and maintained an amicable attitude towards India's Communists Thus Liaquat Ali Khan then the General Scretzry of the League and the leader of the League in the Central Legislative Assembly paid tribute to the Community Party of India for its "ceaseless efforts to convince the Hindu masses of the justice of the demand for the rights of self determination to Muslims ¹⁸¹

On the other hand Muslim League leader Mohammed Ali Jinnah maintained a suspiciously hostile attitude towards the Communitis In March 1944 while addressing a conference of the Punjah Muslim Students Federation Jinnah referred scorn fully to Red propagands He held that even though admittedly the Communists had won some converts among Muslims in the past

The Mussulman of the last five or seven or ten years has changed and the Communists will not succeed in fooling us. We do not want any flag excepting the League flag of Crescent and Star 182

Besides it may be noted that in February 1945 a proposal was made that Communists should be ineligible to hold any office in the Muslim League 182

The Communist maintained their usual activity in the Indian labor movement during the war years. Their labor policy was two-fold to rally labor behind the "peoples war" and to halt saborage and ensure industrial production A main festation of the Communista desire to further the first aim was the December 1941 resolution of the Bombay Provincial Trade Union Congress which held that "the war which the Soviet Union and Great Britain are jointly wag ng against littler's fassism can no longer be regarded by the working class or the people of India as an imperialist war "** Communist control of the ALT U.C. was esdenced as the war proceeded in its plenary sets ons in February 1942** May 1915** setting May 1944** and January 1915** in the May 1915 setting S. A. Dange was elected to the presidency of that organization Residenced of the ALT U.C. and nine to the twenty-one member (Working Communites were elected to the seventy member General Council of the ALT U.C. and nine to the twenty-one member (Working Communities of that body 1*** However thanks to a provision requiring a three-fourths majority vote to approve reco-

lutions, the Communists were unable to secure a passage of a number of resolutions, notably a mandatory non strike resolu tion in 1942,170 but they did get the qualified majority to pass certain resolutions favorable to them, for example, at the May 1943 session they secured a resolution sending 'May Day greet ings to 'the first Socialist State' and "warm greetings to the workers' and peasants' Red Army "111 Although they did not have a monopoly in the A.I TUC, the Communists had power ful positions within it. Incidentally, the latter were pleased that its membership rose from 337,695 in 1942 to 432 000 in 1945 172

The Communists were also able to obtain a measurable degree of influence in the All India Railwaymen's Federa tion (A I R F) which since its foundation in 1922 had remained detached from the main stream of the Indian labor movement Thus, early in 1945, a Communist was elected to one of the two vice presidencies of that body and the newly elected presi

two vice presumences or that body and the nemy encode per-dent of that body. V V Giri was known to be pro-Communist 1³¹ Offsetting this Communist progress in the labor movement, the AITUC suffered to an extent from the patronage which was bestowed upon its rival, M N Roy's Indian Federation of Labour by the Anglo-Indian Government 174 Nonetheless, the former body remained dominant in the field of Indian labor

In line with their pro-war policies the Indian Communists maintained an antistrike and antisabotage policy They refused to support the strike movement of August-September, 1912, which was in sympathy with the 'Congress Rebellion' of that time following the "Quit India resolution of the AICC, and they thus effected a "steadying influence" on Indian labor at that time 175 Furthermore, in the First Con gress of the Communist Party of May, 1943, it was resolved that "Communists should take a bold and open stand against strikes as they injure the defence of the country in holding up production "178 And, in February, 1945, they partially offset their earlier failure in getting a three-fourths majority of the AITUC to agree to an anti-strike resolution by securing approval by that qualified majority of a resolution demanding "the uninterrupted production of war material" "III.

As a consequence of the Communists' slogan, 'no strike under

any circumstances "118 as well as the very positive antistrike attitude of the Anglo-Indian Government, the strike movement definitely declined during the period from the autumn of 1942 to the summer of 1945 118

Communist influence in the All India Assan Sabha induced it to follow the Soviet line after the outbreak of the Russic German war As soon as that conflict broke out the A.I.S. officially advocated as much help to our (Soviet) Fatherland as possible 189 and held a big Soviet Day demonstration on July 2. 1941 at the Town Hall in Calcutta in which the Soviet Cause was extolled to the skies 187 in addition the Central Council of the A.I.S. a first lauding "the brave people of the Soviet Union who are valiantly meeting the Nati horder" expressed regret on the mysterious passivity of a section of Indian nationalists on this vital issue "188

Dutifully following the Communist line the Executive of the All India Kisan Sabha at its Nagpur session of February 1942 officially resolved

The entry of the Soviet Union into the war has substantially changed the significance of the war for all the proples of the world The Council therefore has no heutation in calling upon Peasints to align themselves on the aide of the Allies in waging a relentless war for the final extermination of Fascian ¹³³

Communist control in the A1 k-S was intensified in 1912 and 1915 by the incarceration of Congress Socialists who stead fastly and militantly opposed the British Raj. Hence prominent Communist leaders of the C.P.1 also became leaders of the A1 k-S. Prominent in this category were E-M. S. Namboodri pad. Sajjad Zaheer and Munaffar Ahmad. Other peasants leaders such as N. G. Ranga. and Sawam Sahajan although they were non-Communists nonetheless collaboroated with the C.P.1 in 1912 and 1913 in the control of the con

Red control of the All India kisan Sabha in 1915 was manifested at the conference of that body in Bhakan the Punjah on April 4th of that year At that session which met under the presidency of the Bengall Communist Bankim Mukherjee the common theme of all speakers was that the Communist Party had saved the people 'from going astray after August 9th last "185 Repeated shouts of 'long live the Red Army' and prophetically 'not only will Russia win but Hitler will be slam," were raised by the excited pro-Communist audience *18

As was the case with the Communist Party istelf, the Kisan Sabha movement was found in all parts of India, but was concentrated in certain areas, notably East Bengal, Andhra together with the adjoining Telengana region of the princely state of Hyderabad and East Punjab In these areas, the Communists were very active in the peasants' movement ²⁸⁷ The Kisan Sabha movement was also influential in Bihar, the Kerala and Tamilnad areas of the Madras Presidency, and the United Provinces ²⁸⁸ However, in these latter areas, non Communist elements were dominant in the local organizations In line with the Communists' pro-war policies, peasants' agitation for drastic land reform and even militant agitation for substantial reductions in rent and interest markedly diminished, except in those parts of India where the underground Socialists were active in the peasants' movement.

Communant control of the AIKS was violently shaken in 1944 when the previous fellow traveling but non-Communist leaders of that organization, N C Ranga and Swams Sahajan broke with the Communist Ranga's break with the Communist had cocurred when Gandhi, having been released from prison, informed him that the landlord system should ultimately be abolished and replaced by a system of trusteeship regilated by the state' Impressed by this statement, Ranga pledged allegiance to the still banned Congress and forsook the Communist 130 Swams Sahajan broke with the Communist propaganda, in keeping with the them Communist in propaganda, in keeping with the them Communist line 130 Aik Sahajan broke with the Communist of the result in 1944, the Central Commutee of the AIKS instituted a three-fourths majority rule on resolutions which would balk future Red resolutions; 131 and the General Secretary and central office of the Communist-controlled Bengal Provincial Kisin Sabha was suicended; 132

Thus the Communists faced stern opposition in the year

 $1945\,$ in the A I k.S. the membership of which was now 825 000 as compared to only 285 000 in $1943\,^{103}$

The All India Students Federation also followed the Red line, supporting the Russian but not the British participation in the war from June till December 1941 and in the later months at its annual session amidst alleged scenes of tempes tuous enthusiasm it passed the resolution of the People's War for full support to the Allies by 534 votes to 9.144 The pro-Communist students kept up their pro-war agitation during the course of the year¹⁸⁵ and unlike the case with the peasants movement the CPI maintained control of the Students Federa tion which claimed a membership of no fewer than 500 000 members in 1945 until the end of the conflict.¹⁸⁴

The CP1 also formed and dommated other front organizations between 1912 and 1915. Thus Communists dominated the Progressive Writers and Artists Association and the Indian People's Theatre Association which groups included very many of the leading artists and authors of the sub-continent "11". They also formed a Women's Association which claimed a member ship of 41 1000 various. "volunteer" front groups numbering some 25 000 adherents and even children's groups 1915. The Communists also formed a group known as the Friends of the Soviet Union," which did its utmost to extol the Soviet cause and to disseminate Red propagands."

.

Communist propaganda in India between 1912 and 1915 generally followed the lines laid down in P. G. Joshis booklet "Forward to Freedom" which appeared during the former year In the Party Congress of May 1915 the Indian army was prased 100 and a resolution was passed which urged that the party popularize the army as the defender of India 101 in supporting the war the C.P.1 a propaganda emphasized certain features of the conflict. Naturally the cause of Soviet

In supporting the war the C.P.I s propaganda emphasized certain features of the conflict. Naturally the cause of Soviet Russia and the battle of the Chinese Communitia against Japan were praised to the unmost ³⁸⁸ In addition the need for a Second Front against the Germans was continually demanded until in developed.³⁸⁹

Furthermore the Communists emphasized certain aspects of the war effort to the neglect of others They particularly stressed the food raising (the "grow more food" campaign) and the food and cloth rationing programs *** In keeping *with this Com munists were active in organizing people's food committees and in famine relief work of various kinds. No other group ha(d) done more to popularise the government measures in this respect. 200 In this campaign Community performed gen junely effective service in the Ismineridden areas in Bengal and kerala 200 On the other hand Communits did not serve on provincial War Front Committees or in Air Raid Pre-cautions squads Neither did they propound propaganda in support of the war loan program 201

The Communists also insisted on the independence of India They bitterly decried the "stalemate" which existed as a result And other production and the statemate which exists as a second of the breakdown of the Cripps negotiations the "Quit India" resolution and the imprisonment of the Congress leaders. They held that the granting of independence to India could break the stalemate and²⁰⁰ a resolution to this effect which simul

the stalemate and²⁰⁰ a resolution to this effect which simultaneously demanded the release of the Congress leaders was enacted by the First Party Congress ⁵⁰⁰ But this "independence" would in no way jeoparduse the status of India as an ally of Great Britain and the Sowte Union in the great "peoples war." Indian Communist propaganda took a critical tone towards the British between 1942 and 1945 although it had none of the venomous character which it had possessed prior to the Sowiet German phase of the war. However sharp sentiments were expressed regarding the unwillingness of the British to make concessions beyond those already proposed in the Cripps proposals and their consequent failure to take steps in granting immediate freedom to India. In this connection British Secritary of State for India. Leopold Charles Amery was a frequent target for criticism. The latter was charged with being a dichard Tory of the worst type who desired to perpetuate British imperialist rule over India. Sin addition the British were cluded persons rule over hours of a adultion the printin were those by the Communists for the continued detention of the leaders of the Congress as well as certain Communist leaders.³¹¹ Indeed, it may be noted that on June 6 1943 Peoples War charged

that no fewer than 390 Communists had been juiled between August 1912 and June 1943, in spite of the legalization of the Communist Party ²¹²

The Communists maintained an amicable attitude towards the Congress from the summer of 1941 to that of 1945 Josh halled the decision of the latters Working Commutee of December 1941 (The Bardoli Resolution) which evinced a more cember 1941 (The Bardolt Resolution) which evinced a more pro-Allied disposition on the part of Congress The attitude of Nehru which was qualifiedly pro-war and pro-United Nations (the qualification being Indian freedom) was particularly appliated 23° The couracides maintained a pro-Congress position until 1945 in spite of the growing feeling against them in that body. The Communists campaigned "unceasingly" for the release of Congress leaders from prison Even Valantina Gandhi became a hero to the Communists and a manifesto of Odnatin became a need to the Communication a manness of the party of 1913 prior to his release, went so far as to declare that the future of the Indian nation depended on whether we get Gandhi out or not." On the other hand the Commu we get canoni out or not." On the other hand the Communists sharply criticated the Congress position that India's stand in the war was conditioned on the policy of the British government in regard to India 214 as well as the "Quit India" resolution of August 8 1912 Thus on July 18, 1915, "Peoples War" held that "it will be suicidal blindness to celebrate August 9th for August 9th (sic) is not patriotic mobilisation but treacherous provocation "215 This was naturally in line with the C.P I's pro-war policy

the Cut 1 a prowar policy. The attitude of the Communists towards the Muslim League represented a startling change from their earlier attitude towards that body. In the pait they had, as we have observed, deemed the Muslim League as only a "reactionary communal organisation." But now, suddenly excepting was different Joshi himself averred. "It would be wrong and unrealistic to dismiss the Muslim League as a "reactionary communal organisation." It excretes influences over a large section of the Muslim population." "Nor." argued Joshi, "is the Muslim League alone responsible for lack of communal unity," for the "policy of the Congress leadership also has some responsibility." Joshi expressed the hope that "progressive elements," in both League and

230

Congress would make for a nation wide political unity' which would unite the country behind the war effort.211 This unity would be brought about if Congress were to concede such demands of the Muslim League as representation of the

demands of the Muslim League as representation of the Central (and) Joint ministries in the provinces 121 The failure of the League to achieve unity with Congress did not prejudice the former organization in the Communists eyes between 1912 and 1915. Thus the part which the Muslim League played in the alleviation of the Eamine misery was duly praised and the independence resolution of the League in 1937 which spoke of the independence of India in the form of a federation of independent states was recalled with favor 119 The Communists had much to say on the question of regionalism in the sub-continent on a communal basis Early in 1912 Toolks startastically referred to Mr. Jinnahs dreamland

gionalism in the sub-continent on a communal basis Early in 1912 John surraitically referred to Mr Jinnahs dreamland of Pakutan" as a concept which 'leads nowhere except to stalemate and sitting tight' "185 The Communist view was of licially enunciated in a booklet by Dr. G. M. Adhikari entitled Pakutan and Indian National Unity. He argued that India was inhabited by a considerable number of nationalistic each with its own territory language culture and psychological make-up. Such groups for example were Sindhis. Gueratis and Andhras along with a great many others. These several nationalities should form sovereign states which would unite in a "free Indian Union or Federation but each state would have the right to seed's have the right to secode from it if it so desired 221 The princely states would disappear being parcelled out among the new ethnic states. Adhikari held this scheme would satisfy the new ethnic states. Adhikari held this scheme would satisfy the Muslims in that those states in which Muslims were predominant would be autonomous with the right of secession if they deared to set up independent states 222 In Bengal Adhikari suggested that Muslim Bengals might form an autonomous state within Bengal or set up a separate East Bengal state. 222 The Punjab could also be divided into a Muslim (West Punjab) and a Sikh (East Punjab) state 222 This scheme held Adhikari was su perior to the Palistan plan in that it would avoid dividing India arbitrarily into two nations but would give liberty to all peoples of the Peninsula. 225

The line on the nationalities as presented by Adhikari was disseminated freely by the Communits in their propagated. For example his views were reproduced verbatim in a resolution of the First Communist Congress which also held that the Communists principles in regard to nationalities would lay the groundwork for the much-desired Congress League unity 228. There was also a tendency on the part of the Communists to look with especial favor on the concept of Pakistan for a time in spite of Adhikaris criticism of the narrowly "religious" basis of the concept Thus no less important a Communist personage than B T Ranadive at a "Pakistan Day" meeting in March 1914 averred that the record showed that the League demand was a demand for democracy and freedom ²²¹ In addition the partys General Secretary Joshs held in an official article in Peoples Way" of August 29 1941

Acceptance of Pakistan of course implies a radical revision of our traditional concept of a united India. But India will thereby be stronger and not weaker. Independent strong and contented Muslim states on our North Western and North Eastern borders will constitute the best defence of India. Whether it be through membership in the common union or through treaty relationships we cannot but have the best of relations with them ²²⁸.

It may thus be seen that Joshi was here returning to the original Communist view as laid down by Adhikari that there should be not one but two Pakistans or Musl m states.

A further modification of the Communist view on this queries to was made by Adhistic himself in his capacity of editor of the "People's War." In the October 1 1914 issue of this publication Adhistari asserted that "Jinnah has to give up his theory that the Indian Muslims are a Nation." while simultaneously declaring that "Gandhi has to give up his theory that India is one nation." What should take place ad led P. C. Joshi one week later is that the "independent States of Pakistan and Hindustan" should agree on a common pol cy of "mutual assistance in defence against aggressors and for economic reconstruction." "328 Now his this modified here the Jodan

Communists held that India must remain united for certain purposes namely defense and reconstruction but otherwise each state would have sole competence over the powers of govern ment. This was fairly obvious fence straddling between the concept of a united India and one divided into two independent countries. There is also here a return to the idea that there should be but a single Mistlim state or Pakistan. But to con found the confusion on October 29 1944 Joshi writing in the "Peoples Var emphasized the view that several Muslim nat tionalities (such as Baluchis and Pathans) desire autonomy for their homelands." This was in contrast of course to the idea that there should be but one or at most two Muslim states or (one in the Northwest, the other in the Northessi). Paki stam," "218

It is evident the comrades were in somewhat of a quandary in regards to just how to deal with the ticklish question of Pakistan One thing however is quite certain. They were generally favorable to the idea that India should be divided in its internal structure on the basis of ethnological units in such a way as to destroy the princely states.

In considering the Communiss attutudes on the communal In considering the Communiss attutudes on the communal question in general it may be noted that they evinced definite interest in the second largest minority of the sub-continent, the iDepressed Classes commonly known as Untouchables The Communist prior to 1914 had shown surprisingly little interest in them a sole exception being the 1934 thesis of the CP1 which had stated that the Uniouchables should be informed that "their emanicipation cannot be achieved by their being taken inside the fold of this wide of the state of the 1944 their emantication cannot be achieved by their being taken inside the fold of the subject by the Communists However on December 3 1914 Ranidise in an article in the "Peoples War" agreed to the demands of the Scheduled Castes Federation for separate electorates for the Untouchables in elections to all legulature bodies He also called upon Untouchables to join trade unions and peaants organizations where they would be subjected to Red influence Ranadise did not criticize the Federation or its leader Dr Ambedkar for their policy on

Untouchables but he did criticize them for their alleged passive attitude in the struggle for Indian freedom 233

The plan of Adhikari represented the ulumate political plan for the future of India of the Community but for the immediate task of winning the war the CPI advocated a Provisional National government encompassing a "Government of National Unity". This government could not be the monopoly of a single party but rather would draw in all political groups which would cooperate on the broad common platform for the establishment and maintenance of freedom in the sub-conunent and effective prosecution of the war against the "Fascut aggressors." This was the old line of the united front from above" parexecl letter 214.

The Communists in line with their pro-war policies put forth a moderate economic program which Joshi summed up as follows

Promote a policy of rapid industrialization in order to supply the needs of defence and the needs of the masses

Grant the workers immediate demands ensuring that their living conditions are not curtailed

Grant the demands of the peasants—the amelioration of the burden of indebtedness rents and taxes. Exercise price control in their interest and give generous term of rent remission in flood and faminesurface areas.²²³

It may also be noted that the "Bombay Plan" for Indian post war economic development fabricated by right wing Con gress and Liberal elements was noted by Joshi as a "welcome step forward"²²⁸

The favorable attitude towards the Congress and the Muslim Legue was not extended by the Communist to the minor parties the Congress Socialist the Forward Bloc, whose leader S C. Bose field from India in 1911, traveled through Afghanist and Russia and Beausa and Asias propagandist until his death in a plane crash in August 1915. The Analysis Addict Democratis, whose policies were not unlike the Communists own line. The first two groups obviously were disapproved of by the Communist towards and the Analysis of the Communist towards the Analysis of the Communist towards the Analysis of the Communist towards and t

perialist" war They were, then, for the Communists mere lackeys for the Fascusts 338 M N Roy was castigated as a "political adventurer" and a renegade and a spy who through his Indian Federation of Labour was guilty of "splitting the working class "239

• • • • •

The signing of the Nazi-Soviet non aggression pact of August 23, 1939, marked a renewal of interest on the part of the Soviet Government in regards to India On that very date, Stalin significantly stated that 'it was indiculous that a few hundred British should dominate India ****

In his official report as Foreign Commissar before that puppet parliament, the Supreme Soviet, on October 31, 1939, Molotov referring to the British war effort, averred that 'you cannot give the name of a fight for democracy as the unremitting name of a fight for democracy as the unremitting name of a fight for democracy as the unremitting few days later on November 6th, Molotov bitterly castigated 'colonial oppression" in India 242

Taking their cue from the Foreign Minister, the Soviet press in November, 1939 assaled British rule in India as well as 'right wing Indians' and dilated verbosely on the allegedly growing signs of resolt in India It pictured in Iurd terms the position of the benighted Indian peasants who groaned under the most acute kind of poserty and oppression 245 Soviet and Committern publications repeatedly stressed the opinion that British growing the strength of the worst order, in view of the fearsome oppression she herself was carrying on against the people of India 244

A moment of fear that these pronouncements of the Soviet press were more than mere palaver occurred at the end of 1939 when the Italian press clamed that a Soviet force of no fewer than 800 000 men was massing on the Soviet Afghan border with the apparent intention of a march on India ¹⁴⁴. However, the Afghan legation in London denued the report¹⁴⁶ and a little later, on March 2, 1940 Molotov averred in an address to the Supreme Soviet.

As to the fantastic plans attributed to the Soviet Union of a Red Army 'march on India 'and the like, they are such obvious absurdities that one must completely lose his sense to believe such absurd lies ²⁴⁷

The negotiations between Nail Germany and the Soviet Union in the fall of 1940, following the fall of France most definitely involved India Prior to the signing of the infamous Tripartite Pact, of September 27, 1940, between Germany, Italy and Japan, high officials of the latter country at first considered and then dropped the idea of having India included in a future Japanese sphere of influence 2¹⁴¹ In an apparent effort to bring Soviet Russia into the Axis bloc, on the following October 15th von Ribbentrop sent a dispatch to Stalin asking that the latter send Molotov, his Soviet counterpart to Berlin Having arrived in Berlin on November 15th, Molotov lold Hitler that Soviet Russia might share in the British Empire, "a world wide estate in bankruptcy" ²¹⁶⁷ Specifically, von Ribbentrop informed Molotov that in regards to the Soviet share of the spoils

the focal points in the territorial aspirations of the Soviet Union would presumably be centered south of the territory of the Soviet Union in the direction of the Indian Ocean ²⁵⁰

And added the Nazi Foreign Minister

An agreement could also be reached on possible Soviet aspirations in the direction of British India, if an under standing were reached between the Soviet Union and the Triparitie Pact 181

The Germans indicated that the 90th meridian of longitude would separate the Soviet from the Japanese sphere *** This would leave the Indian province of Assam and a part of East Bengal to the Japanese, although it left most of Tibet and the rest of India in the Soviet sphere.

The Nazis then produced for Molotov's perusal a "Draft

236

Agreement" between the nations of the Tripartite Agreement and the Soviet Union which would have made the Soviet Union an Axis partner. It contained two secret protocols. The first dealt with the parcelling out of the Old World and held in its fourth point that "the Soviet Union declares that its terri torial aspirations center South of the national territory of the Soviet Union in the direction of the Indian Ocean" Hence it repeated von Ribbentrop's proposal 233

On noting the Draft Agreement" Molotov declared that he was very interested in it but he could not take a definite stand at this time since he did not know the opinions of Stalin

On Molotov's return to Moscow Stalin and his associates thoroughly discussed the planned alliance of Communism and Fascism On November 25 1940 Molotov handed a note to German Ambassador von Schulenberg which demanded of Ger many as the price for Russian accession to the Axis alliance that German troops be withdrawn from Finland that Bulgaria and Turkey be placed in the Russian sphere of influence and

provided that the area south of Batum and Baku in the general direction of the Persian Gulf is recognized as the center of the aspirations of the Soviet Union 223

Hitler must have become furious when intelligence of the Soviet reply reached h m for only one month later the Fuhrer himself sent top secret Directive No 21 which called upon the German armed forces to be prepared to crush Russia in a quick campa gn 236 But ignorant of the Fuhrer's decision the Soviet Government demanded of the German ambassador on January 17 1941 that his government answer the Soviet's note of the previous November 25th 237 This indicates that the Soviets were still interested in the prospect of seizing control of India and its borderlands. The Nazi Government replied evasively to the Soviet note 38 Five months later it launched Operat on Barbarossa "

The Soviet Union was so engrossed in its desperate war effort that it had little interest in India between 1941 and 1945 as compared to former years 250 To Russia India during those years represented only one thing-an important base of supplies

to her from the other United Nations It was that India might better serve as a base that the Aremlin through the CP G B demanded the Indian Communists to follow their pro-war line Typical of the attitude the Soviet held towards India during this war period was that of a statement in the official organ of the Soviet Acidemy of Scences, 'World Economics and World Politics,' of August 1, 1915 which urged India to mobilize all her resources to meet the "immediate danger of insolitions" (presumably from Japan) and which falsely asserted that the Indian people were "becoming more and more eager to participate in the war" so that, correspondingly, the anti-war influence of Gandhi was waning selections.

The Soviet press was highly sensitive of criticism of Russia which appeared in certain segments of the Indular press during the war years. Thus the official Russian organ, "War and the Working Class" victously attacked in insulting language, various articles in the Indulan press which criticized certain facets of Soviet policy in the past and present. For example, articles in the Indulan press which criticized Soviet policy in Poland and Northern Iran came in for brutal censure 284

It may also be noted that during the earlier part of Russias conflict with Germany, the Soviets had nothing to say about the independence of India per se. However, in the April 15, 1915 issue of "War and the Working Class" the chief Soviet expert on India, A. M. Dyakov, stated with approval that "the main sections of Indian society are uniting more and more clockly for the struggle for India's independence." After the failure of the Simla conference. From June 25th to July 14th, 1915 in which the Viceroy conferred with Indian Teeders Gandhi, Jinnah and Yaulana Abul Kalam Azad, President of the Congress, Dyakov opined that Viceroy Lord Wavell's

proposals were very limited in character and involved only a few and seemingly by no means far teaching changes in the structure of the executive organs of the Indian Covernment 244

In addition observed Dyakos, the proposals had the "in herent defect". of constituting the (Viceroy's) Council on lines of religious communities rather than of political parties "268 Thus as the Second World War neared its end, the Soviets through this spokesman were evidencing that there would soon be greater Soviet interest in India 244

During the first two years of the war, when the Soviet Union was deemed a virtual non belligerent ally of Nazi Germany, there was a certain amount of nerrous apprehension concerning the security of India's Northwest Frontier on the part of Britons and Americans *** In the spring of 1940 the British pressignificantly spoke of declarations of loyalty on the part of certain tribemen of the Northwest Frontier through whose territory a potential Soviet force might conduct an advance in some of these declarations the determination *To resist any threat of Russian invasion** was affirmed *** But Anglo-Saxon annechemistant was the Schoeners and the Schoeners a

apprehensions were allayed by "Operation Barbarosia". The conclusion of the Anglo-Soviet agreement of July 12, 1941 according to which the two nations agreed to assist each other in the war against Germany and to conclude no separate peace with the latter, *** was followed by the extension of the British Indian railway system to the Persian town of Zahidan from which place Allied goods were sent across Iran to the Soviet from the **

Anglo-Soviet wartime cooperation was manifested in respect to the internal affairs of Iran (where Soviet influence had for several years past been meager) and Afghanistan, as well as in the transport of war goods to the embastled Soviet force. The two nations had collaborated in their uluimata in respect to Iran of August 25, 1914, which claimed that Germans were carrying on dangerous intrigues in Iran and had joined together in the occupation of the country #11 Britain and Russin also collaborated in the division of Persia into three rones, a northern or Soviet sone, a southern or British zone, and an intermediate zone in which the Iranians were to maintain autonomy #12

Anglo-Soviet relations were to maintain autonomy³¹⁷
Anglo-Soviet relations were likewise harmonious in Afghan
ino more the Soviets whose influence there between 1929 and
1941 had markedly declined;²¹⁷ were compelled to enter the
in October, 1941, parallel British and Soviet notes to
Kabul demanded the outser of German and Italian nationals

Realizing their land would likely have to endure the same foreign occupation as that of Iran in event of non-compliance, the Afghan government saw it to honor the request so that some 500 German and Italian nationals were forthwith deported 214 Formal Afghan neutrality in the war was realizined by king Mohammed Zahir Shah in July, 1942 215 and as the tide of battle shifted thereafter to the side of the United Nations Afghanistan became even friendlier to Britain and the Soviet Union alike However, Afghanistan did not become a bel ligerent on the Allied side but maintained a policy of benevolent neutrality towards it.

It may be noted that in relation to the northern borderland of Sinking Soviet influence there remained powerful until the fateful summer of 1941 However, as a result of Soviet military reverses in the early phases of the "Great Patriotic War" with Germany, Russian influence in Chinese Turkestan perceptibly declined and Governor Ma Sheng Shi tail who had been a virtual Soviet pupper was able to assert his authority and simultaneously to place this ternitory under the over-all control of the Nationalist Government.** Although towards the end of the war the Soviets were able to use their war time influence with the Nationalist Government to effect the removal of Ma Sheng who had, from their standpoint betrajed them they had lost control of Kahmirs's northern borderland "It

The Soviets were unable to gain influence in India s borderland of Tibet in the years of the Second World War

Deeply preoccupied in their struggle for freedom and in communal questions, there was little interest in the Soviet Union on the part of non-Communist Indians between the summer of 1939 and that of 1941 Nor, for that matter, was there a great deal of opinion expressed in regard to the activities of the Indian Communists nor of Communism as no ideology. However Mahatma Gandhi reliterated his former view that he disapproved of the "violent" element inherent in Communism particularly in connection with the liberation of India 233 and that he could not subscribe to the Communist philosophy per \$235.

Tagore continued to manifest a friendly interest in the Russian experiment Even in his deathbed message of 1911 the great Bengali poet referred to the unsparing energy which Russia has tried to fight disease and illiteracy and succeeded in steadily liquidating ignorance and poverty

Jawaharlal Nehru also continued to maintain his interest in the Soviet Union and Marxism between 1939 and 1911 He admitted that it had been a "shock" to him that Soviet Russia had concluded its "non aggression" pact with Germany on August 23 1939 and had followed this up in the succeeding month with an invasion of Eastern Poland in September 1939 However he expressed the opinion that there was not going to be in the future any "real alliance" between Russia and Germany 281 Nehru also retained his favorable attitude towards Marxism at this time On September 29 1939 he noted that both Marxism

and Leninism were theories which explained man's poverty and the ways in which the latter might be terminated.202 In a much bolder fashion on March 8 1910 Nehru held that for his own part he would like to see a "socialist economy" established throughout India and that he even believed that the Soviet form of government 'with certain variations and adaptations suited to India might fit in here" for held Nehru he bel eved that the Soviet system was compatible with de-mocracy Hos ever the Pandit indicated that the Soviet system should not be introduced into India for some time for other wise there would be terrible conflicts "283

The attack on Russia by Germany aroused genuine interest and sympathy for the Soviet Union284 on the part of many Indian non-Communists For example seventy leading intel lectuals of Bengal Province led by the well known scientist Sir P C Roy FRSC extended their support to Russia a and which they held had attained great "moral and material land which hey held had attained great "moral and material achievements and which had evinced friendsh p for the people of the East "285 Subrequently a demand was vioiced in the Indian press that an envoy from Russia be allowed to come to India It was pointed out that both the United States and China had sent semi-official envoys to India and that the Soviet

Union had actually sent an envoy to Australia "Why then should not such an envoy be sent to India as well?"286

The question of the Soviet Union in relation to Indian freedom came up in the fateful summer of 1942 On July 31st R H Parker a European member of the Indian Gouncil of State suggested that Gandhi and other members of the Congress Working Committee should meet with Premier Joseph Stalin as well as Generalissimo Chiang Kai shek,287 an interesting albeit abortive suggestion On the same day Gandhi specifically declared that he would not "be appeased into a political truce" if the Soviet Union along with the United States and China would guarantee fulfillment of Britain's pledge to free India after the termination of the war *188*

The disinterested attitude on the part of the Soviet Union towards India between 1941 and 1945 evoked not a Intile uritation from Indian Nationalists Thus the official historian of the National Congress noted that the only book on India published in the Soviet Union in 1918 was pro-British "It was as if the Russians decided to gaze at India through British even."

By contrast Nehru maintained a favorable view toward Russia After his release from prison in December 1911 he took a pro-United Nitions position and was largely responsible for the pro-Allied and pro-Soviet position encompassed in the Bardoli Resolution at that time 195 Early in 1912 he believed that with the intensification of the war resulting from the Japanee invasion of Burma there should be a direct relation hip of cooperation between India and the Soviet Union. 1911 this time he publicly declared his admiration for the Soviets. Socialine at Lucknow on February 22 1912 the Pandia stated

Our problems in India to-day are the same as those that Inced Russia some years ago and they can be solved in the same manner in which the Russians solved thert. We should draw a lesson from the U.S.S.R. in the way of industrialisation and educating our country ³²⁹

Nehru went on to hold that the Soviets had been able to withstand the Nazi hordes only because Russia had been free and because the Soviet economic structure had a very strong

foundation He concluded by holding that India and Russia, together with China, should, at a not distant date be bound in closer tree of amity than had hitherto been the case in view of the fact that these lands possessed much in common and that their problems to a great extent were similar 293

Nehru also evinced a favorable view towards Soviet Russia in his "Autobiography" which appeared in 1942 and his Discovery of India written in prison between 1912 and 1945 In the former volume, Nehru held that with all her blunders Russia had triumphed over enormous difficulties, and had made giant strides towards a new order 294 In the latter work, Nehru complimented the Soviets for their brave and tenacious battle against the Nazis 295 held that the Russians were a new rejuvenated and revitalized people which, in spite of wartime devastation, possessed tremendous potential 296 and specifical ly praised the Soviets' nationalities' policy 297 He implied Rus sia would not be aggressive after the war because she would be busy in repairing the war wrought devastation within her frontiers 298 However, Nehru resterated his view that there had been developments in Russia in recent years which had come as a shock to many of that country's old admirers,200 and that in following a national policy Russia had confounded her sympathizers 300

In both works Nehru praised Marxism as an ideology but in his later work he held he disliked the regimentation implied in Marxism and the lack of ethics also implied in that ideology ⁹³

In both volumes Nehru bitterly attacked India's Communists for having villifed Gandhi and the Congress, for their abuse rather than an attempt to explain the meaning of Communium 100 for the fact they were absolutely divorced from as well as ignorant of the basic traditions of India and for the fact that for Indian Communists, the world's history began in 1917 as

These sentiments indicated that in the days to come Pandit Jawaharlal Nehru in spite of his theoretical sympathy for social ist ideology, would be no friend of the Communist Party of Indiz

Indian Communism on the Eve of Independence

The years from 1945 to 1917 represented a time of turmoil in India The yearning of Indian Nationalists for freedom boiled over in near insurrections in Calcutta in November 1945 and in Bombay the following February 2 Recognizing the dangers inherent in the situation and honestly evidencing sympathy for Indian national aspirations Labour Prime Minister Clement Attlee announced on February 19 1916 that a Cabinet Mission headed by Lord Pethwick Lawrence Secretary of State for India and Sir Stafford Cripps would visit India and en deavor to work out a plan which would be satisfactory to the national aspirations of Indians in general and to the specific wishes of the National Congress and the Muslim League in particular * The Mission which arrived in India on March 24 1916 failed to reconcile differences between the Congress which demanded a strong Central Indian government and the League which although abandoning its desire for Pakistan demanded an Indian federation with a central government possessing little authority Endeavoring to break the impasse on May 16 1916 the Cabinet Mission presented its own plan which provided that in a free Ind a the central government would maintain control in the fields of fore gn affairs, defense and communica tions but would leave all other powers of government to the for Muslim Ind a (the Northwest and Northeast) and the other for non Muslim India Optimistic hopes that a united, free India would come into being under this plan were dashed when the Muslim League late in July 1916 denounced the

Cabinet Mission's Plan as unworkable and called anew for a Caointer Aussion's rian as unworkable and calied anew tor a free Pakistan's The setting up in September, 1946 of an "in terim" government by which the Viceroy's Council took on an all Indian complexion with Nehru serving as a virtual Prime Minister under the over all supervision of the Viceroy, the con vening of a Constituent Assembly, elected the previous June in December, 1946 and the mediation efforts of the British did not heal the irreconcilable Congress League division 6 Final ly, on February 4, 1947 Attlee informed the House of Commons that a 'transference of power to the Indians would take place not later than June, 1948 7 Galvanized into action by this declaration Lord Mountbatten, the successor to Lord Wavell as Governor General, early in 1947, did his utmost to reconcile the Congress and League to participate together in a single Indian dominion His efforts were in vain 8 Consequently, on June 3, 1947 in a broadcast to the peoples of India, Mount batten indicated that the sub-continent would be granted free dom shortly on the basis of two independent dominions, Paki stan which would include the predominantly Muslim popu-lated area of Western and Northwestern India (including the lated area of Western and Northwestern India (including time western part of the Punjab) and East Bengal, and the Indian Union, which would comprise the balance of British India. The native states would be given the right to accede to either dominion or even to become independent, but in practice owing to the small size and lack of resources of most of them, independence was out of the question 19 In line with this "Mount batten Plan," India and Pakistan became free dominions with

in the British Commonwealth of Nations on August 15, 1947.
This freedom for the Muslims and the non Muslims in the Peninsula was not paid without a prize Communal rioting in Calcutta and elsewhere, ensuing from the Muslim League's denunciation of the Cabinet Mission's Plain, lead to the deaths of 12,000 and the wounding of many thousands more in the period between August, 1946 and February, 1947.

The Communists paid the penalty for their non-support of the National Movement so far as the Congress was concerned portent of Congress sentiment was expressed by Nehru short ly after his release from prison in June 1945. On the 22nd of that month he affirmed in an interview.

The Communists missed the chance of a life-time in India during the last two or three years. They i ould have made themselves an enormously powerful party if they had functioned somewhat different. At a critical moment in Indias history it was difficult to be neutral but they went to the other side. They may be right but the approach was wrong 13.

On the following July 8th the Pandit asserted of the Communists that

having opposed the general trend of the national movement they have created a barrier between themselves and Indian nationalism which greatly lessens their influence outside their own sphere ¹²

Anti-Communist sentiments in the Congress were brought out all the more as a result of the disclosure on August 29 1945 of the report of the commutee headed by Bhulabhai Desai which had investigated the CPI as a result of the Gandhi John correspondence. The report contended that "it does appear that the views and attitude of the Communist Party after the 9th August (1942) have been to carry on propaganda contrary to the views and policy of the Congress "In addition at this time Nehru made it clear that in his opinion Communists should not hold office in the National Congress "In addition of the Congress "In addition at this time Nehru made it clear that in his opinion Communists should not hold office in the National Congress "In the Na

On September 2 1915 an anti-Communist riot took place in Bombay and on the following day it was announced that the Executive Committee of the Bombay Provincial Congress had expelled four Communist members of that committee on the grounds that "they have opposed almost extry folicial measure of the Congress" "they do not believe in non violence as a creed or policy" and above all "they refused to obey the Congress" resolution to "Quit India" of August 8 1912.14 The ousted Communists left highly aggrieved at these proceedings and complained that they had been removed not by any "con

crete and specific charges" but only by 'a vague and specious one," a contention manifestly contradictory to the facts 17

Also in September, 1945, the Congress Committee appointed a committee consisting of Pandit Nehru, Vallabhbhai Patel and Govind B Pant to examine the charges of indiscipline on the part of the Communists towards the Congress is Furthermore, a leading member of Congress, the historian of the body, Pat tabh Sitariamayya in a meeting castigated the Communists in the following terms

Russia is knocking at our doors and is hardly forty miles from our frontier. If the Russians should invade the country—and this is not a fantastic proposition—on whose side will these Communists of India fight? Will they side with their Mother Country of their path or with their Father country of their idea? This eccentric party whose centre of gravity is Leningrad whose head, is, however, in the Himalayas, is a dangerous party to be reckoned with ¹⁹

On September 21, 1945 the Congress Working Committee published the charge sheet prepared by the above-mentioned sub-committee and sent it to the Communist members of the All Inda Congress Committee (AICC) demanding of them why disciplinary actions should not be taken against them for their opposition to Congress policies and programs since the summer of 1912.29 Morcover, in the course of an AICC meet ing held later in the month of September, 1945 in Bombay, a number of Congress speakers lashed out at the Indana Communist Parry for its hostile attitude out at the Indana Communist Parry for its hostile attitude out at the Indana Communist Parry for its hostile attitude out at the Indana Communist Parry for its hostile attitude out at the Indana Communist Parry for its hostile attitude out at Communist leader, Dr Ashraf, himself a member of the AICC, tired to speak, he was drowned out by jecting Congression So great was the hostility to the Communist at this time that the latter were compelled to the Communist at this time that the latter were compelled to the Communist at this time that the latter were compelled to a time of Rechauge fire 21 at 1922.

Aware that expulsion from the Congress was likely to come soon. Communist Party boss P. C. Josh called upon all Com munists to reign from the Congress except the Communist members of the A.I.C.C., against whom disciplinary action was pending In a statement in this connection issued on October 5 1945 the Red General Secretary censured the Congress for raising its arms against our young Party which consistently defended it (Congress) against imperialist slander at home and abroad "2".

Meanwhile in October 1945 the AICC went on record in opposing to Communists the right to hold positions of responsibility within the Congress 11 in addition on October 25rd of that year Nehru delivered a sharp attack on the Indian Communists. The cause of Communism and the name of Russia have suffered most at the hands of the Communist Party of India averred the Pandit Alluding to the anti-patriotic role played by the Communists he added

When lakhs (tens of thousands) of Indians staked their all for the country's cause the Community were in the opposite camp which cannot be forgotten. The common man associates the Communist Party with Russia and Commiss But actions of the Communist Party of India have prejudiced both Russia and Communist.

Furthermore Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel the right wing leader of Congress known as the sledgehammer of that body ordered the expulsion of Communists from various provincial Congress organizations ²⁸ Bitterly venting his feelings at this latest turn of events Josh asserted with acerbity

Instead of offering a concrete plan for Indian freedom they (the A I C.C.) have committed the Congress to a course of action that will only further divide and disrupt the freedom forces in the name of the unity of Indian freedom.

The form of the control of the

Adding that "our party cannot patiently hear slanders against itself repeated ad nauseum" and "our party cannot permit itself to be chained and gagged by a leadership that is leading our freedom movement to the rocks" Joshi justified the new Communita line of asking members of the Communita party

218

who were also members of Congress to resign from the latter organization at In addition the Communists purported to an swer the charges presented against them in a bombastic, blustering book in two volumes put out by Joshi on November 27, 1945 entitled. The Communist Reply to the Congress Working Committee This work didactically justified in its entirety the devious course of the Communist Party line for the past several years took an aggressively hostile attitude towards the Congress for daring to take measures against the CPI and contended the CPI was in complete accord with Indias national in terests²⁸ while the Congress was diametrically opposed to those Interests

Needless to say the Congress was angered at the impertinence of the Communists On December 13 1945 meeting at Cal of the Community of December 13 1975 meeting of the ex-cutta the Congress Working Community could not a pulsion of all Communits from the AICC and gave strict orders that in the future no Community could hold office in the Congress on the national or provincial level The basis for this action of the Working Committee was a four thousand word report of the special sub-committee of that body con sisting of Nehru Patel and Pant which dealt with the role the Communists had played in India since the founding of the Communists has payed in india since me founding or their party In addition a charge sheet was drawn up by the special sub-committee which recommended the expulsion of Communists from the All India Congress Committee²⁹ In tracing the growth of the GPI the report noted that

he dating me grown of the GF1 the report house me-before 1936 the Communists had characterued the Congress as a mere reactionary body ** It noted that the Communist had been more friendly to the Congress between 1936 and 1939 but even then there had been much fraction between the Communists and the Congress for remarked that between 1939 and 1941 the Communists had opposed the Congress for launching individual civil disobedience rather than a mass struggle 31.

The report was particularly concerned with Communist ac tivity between 1941 and 1945. It noted than in propounding their demands for Indian participation in the war there were actual conflicts" in public meetings especially in Andhra in which

the Communists employed "violence" to bolster their views and it also commented upon the Communists' vilification of the Congress for the August 8, 1942 resolution and the "disturbances" which followed The report maintained

At a time when the country was passing through a reign of terror and the Congress was involved in a life and death struggle, no organisation allied with the Congress, could without committing serious outrage to the ordinary teness of discipline, indulge in such hostile activities ²³

As for the Communists blustering 'Reply,' of November 27, 1915, the sub-committees report curtly commented

Hardly any attempt has been made in it to meet the charges nor is there a word of regret in it. The signa tories have throughout pleaded justification and attacked the fundamental policy of the Congress in unmistakable terms. Their explanation is tantamount to a tirade against the Congress.

The 'charge sheet in stern terms called upon the Com munuts on the AICC, who then numbered only eight members to explain their course and 'to show cause why such action (their expulsion from the AICC) should not be taken against your "as

The fruculent Communists related to justify their course before the Congress so the Working Committees decision of December 13, 1915 became final On their own volution the Communists saw to it that none of their members remained no rentered the Congress. The rupture between the National Congress and the G.P.I endured in spite of sentiment expressed by Indian Communists and particularly by their British Communist mentors that a reconciliation between the two bodies was leasible as well as desirable 3s a result of the rupture Communist influenced organizations such as the A.I.A.S and the A.I.T.U.C. came in for a definite measure of censure by the Congress at this time 14.

We have seen that the Communists had been able to acquire

a measure of influence within the ranks of the Muslim League in the years 1942 to 1945 However in 1945 and 1946 the leaders of the League Mohammed Ali Jinnah and Liaquat Ali Khan launched a purge of Communists within their organization and emphatically disavowed Communist support in all forms ⁸⁷ The Anglo-Indian authorities likewise had reason to take a

more jaundiced view towards the Communists than during the later war years in view of the latter's policy of fomenting strikes riots and peasants uprisings Thus on January 14 1947 a sweeping search was made of the offices of the CP.I and of organizations influenced by the Communists such as the All Indian Kisan Sabha the Students Federation and the Friends of the Soviet Union 38 The bulk of the raids were carried out in Bombay the seat of Indian Communism but raids were also conducted against provincial headquarters of the Communist Party in such representative Indian cities as Madras Lahore Benares Allahabad Cawnpore and Delhi In several instances arrests were made as well as the carrying out of seturies of documents and papers of various kinds Among those apprehended were Dr G N Adhikari the editor of "Peoples Mar" (formerly Peoples Mar" the name having been changed on November 25 1915) and two leaders of the ATK.S Biwanath Mukherjee and Abdullah Rassool A number of Communist trade union oficials were arrested as well ** P C Joshi refering to the raids in Bombay querulously sputtered The whole party office is being ransacked Residential rooms are Even personal letters of Mrs Joshi have been looked through 40

The immediate cause of the raids was held to have been the fact that People's Age" had printed in the summer of 1946 a series of articles which purportedly disclosed the contexts of top secret documents of the General Army headquarters known as Operation Asylum regarding methods the Indian Army should adopt an deal in with future manifestations of unrest 41 However the base cause was the strike/omenting policy of the Communists 42

On January 19 1947 the CPI's chief mentor R. Palme Dutt sent a telegram to Pandit Nehru in his capacity of Vice-

President (in effect, Prime Minister) of the Interim Government protesting the raids, demanding release of the imprisoned Communists, and the return of the sexied documents Dutt's su perior, CPGB boss, Harry Pollitt, sent a similar message to Lord Pethwick Lawrence Nehru replied that the raids on the Indian Communists

took place without the knowledge or authority of the Ministers and were due to police action in connection with the investigation of a case instituted against a newspaper in Bombay 43

This statement which would seem to indicate the British were solely responsible for the raids, elicited a sceptical reception in certain sections of the non-Communist Indian press, and it was freely alleged that Sardar Patel, the Home Minister of the Interim Government and leader of the right wing Con gressmen, was the man who ordered the raids ⁵⁴

The raids in January, 1947 did not terminate the anti-Communits activities of the authorities. In Madras Presidency under the auspices of the energetic Congress provincial prime minister, Premier Prakasom a drastic "public safety" ordinanswas enacted by which persons could be arrested and decained without bail, without charges being presented and without appeal "except to the arresting agents." As a consequence, some 200 Communist leaders throughout the Presidency were attested between February and April, 1917 45 Contemporaneously, early in 1917, drastic action was undertaken by the authorities signist Communist inspired strikes, notably in the Bombay area which action was featured by what the Communist claimed was a "bloody slugghter" of the workers!

In spite of the repression increasingly directed against them and their activities between August of 1915 and that of 1917, the Communits, of course, did their utmost to augment their influence in the turbulent sub-continent. Their policy during this period involved two facetis—the one, continuance of their line of a "National Front" with patriotic Indian groups, and thus maintenance of an ostensibly friendly attitude towards the Congress and the Muslim League—the other a militant cam

paign of mass agitation, not excluding violence, ostensibly for In dian independence. This latter facet involved the resumption of a marked and sharp attitude against authority in general which contrasted with the mild and well behaved conduct of the Communist from 1941 till the end of the war. The new Red line favored strikes, demonstrations, rots and even peasant uprisings which manifestly invited the repression against the Communist just noted.

252

Communist just noted.

The first significant manifestation of the new Communist policy occurred during the anti-British riots in Calcutta in November, 1945. There the Communists did their utmost to incite workers to shout "get out.of_India," tget out of Asia," and to take to the barricades and they also fostered a general strike of public service employees in that city during this sangunary affair in which forty persons were alain and over 500 were wounded. Although this virtual insurrection was supressed the Communists were pleased in that they had apparently enhanced their influence among the masses of Bengal as a result of the Hoose."

as a result of the 1005.47

The Communists next transferred their attention to Bombay They were active in 1005 there in connection with Independence Day Celebrations (January 26 1946). At that time clashes occurred between the Communists and Congress elements in which the former were stoned and their headquarters set after with damage amounting to 100 000 rupes according to Communist estimates.48 But the greatest subversive activity for the Communists was in connection with the Bombay stallors' mut tiny' or "strike" of February 19th to 23rd of 1946. This "strike which had been occasioned by the low pay (especially as compared to British sailors) and poor living conditions of the sea men, was exactly the thing the Communists needed to arouse revolutionary sentiments in the Bombay area. Communist agit actors were buy among the 2000 "striking" sailors who had seized a score of ships in Bombay harbor and they encouraged them to shout 'Inquilab Zindabad' (Long live the Recolution!) and 'Down with British Imperialism' and to host Red flags That the movement was not exclusively Communist, however,

was indicated by the fact that Congress and League flags were also raised by the striking seamen 10

The Communists on shore, in the meantime, endeavored to stir up the masses into a frenzy Heeding their call, on the following day, some 200 000 workers struck and riotous meetings and demonstrations were carried on in the streets But on February 22nd, the British-directed police and military struck back vigorously, engaging in a 'bloody battle' of several hours' duration with the demonstrating workers and students. In the meantime the strike of sallors spread to other naval scaports of India notably Madras Viragapatam and Karachi and there were likewise sympathetic strikes and demonstrations in such inland cities as Madura and Trichinopoly 80

By February 22nd 1916 the Congress high command real ized that matters were getting out of hand As a consequence, hasty consultations took place between notable Congress leaders Gandhi Nehru and Patel on one hand and the Anglo-Indian authorities on the other As a result the Congress through Patel appealed to the sailors strike committee to desixt from the mutiny and therefore the strike committee, which was on the whole dominated by non-Communist elements, gave in stating "we surrender to India and not to Britain," a reference to the fact that it was the Congress (and also the Muslim Leagues) appeal and not British pressure which ended the mutiny ⁸¹.

The attitude of the Congress and the League towards the strike in endeavoring to quench the flames of a potentially violent revolution throughout India, manifestly came in for bitter condemnation by the Communists ²⁵ but it also drew the acknowledgement that, as of the winter of 1916, faith in the leaders of the League and Congress among the masses. was still great ²⁵

That the "mutiny" of the sailors and the attendant violent strikes and demonstrations were of Communist impiration rather than that of responsible Indian organizations, the Congress and the League, was indicated by Frime Minister Attlee when he declared in the House of Commons on February 22, 1946 that the Congress has officially disclaimed participation in the mutiny, but left wing elements and Communists are trying to work up sympathyse

for the mutinous seamen.

254

Communists were also active in other riots and clashes in India early in 1946 notably a hartal (complete stoppage of work) in Delhi on March 8, 1946 during the course of which the Town Hall was set on fire,55 a "mutiny" by Gurhka (Nepalese) soldiers in the Dehra Dun area of Bengalse and armed clashes and riots in Southern India early in April, 1946 87

At the same time, the Communists, to the limit of their abilities, carried on a strike program during the first half of 1946 All types and categories of Indian labor were involved, textile, chemical, and machine tool workers in Calcutta, textile workers in Dacca, and rail workers in various parts of India were among the many participants of the great strike move ment.⁵⁸ In all, during the first quarter of 1946, there were no fewer than 426 strikes in which 580 000 participated 59 The "strike fever' then sweeping India was the most violent since 1928 when again Communists had been most active 60

The strike wave roared on as the year 1946 proceeded Bom has the wave course on as the year 1990 processes.

Now was especially hard this, being beste by not only industrial
strikes but by its being in July, 1946 virtually cut off from the
rest of Indus by postal and telegraph strikes Even white collar
workers such as Bombay bank clerks walked out and police and tax collectors went on strike in various parts of India 41 Altogether, in the last nine months of 1946 there were through out India, 1,466 strikes in which 1,737,000 workers participated, an unprecedented amount of labor unrest 42

In spite of the repression against their party, the Indian Communists maintained their policy of inciting strikes and agrarian disturbances in 1947 in the months prior to the freedom and partition of the Peninsula Representative strikes at this time involved textile workers in the Central Provinces (now Madhya Pradeth), Gupera and Madras tramway workers and longshoremen in Calcutta and even teachers and state employees in the Central Provinces 1 Indeed, the year 1947 was as noteworthy for strikes in Indea, as had been the previous

year, an acknowledgement of the Communists' influence in the working class movement 44

This influence of the Communists' had been largely or casioned by their continued dominance which had now become outright control of the A I TU C., by far the most important of All Indian labor federations, the 'Royst Indian Federation of Labour, having very heavily lost ground. Moreover, certain small unions set up by pro-Congress elements had not been successful 182.

As a result in the spring of 1947, the Congress leadership determined to break the Communists near monopoly in the labor field. At an important meeting of the leaders of the Interim Government, Home Minister Patel pointed out that Communists were fomenting strikes throughout India and that they had even succeeded in establishing pockets "in every Government organisation". It was high time their activities were terminated The way this could best be done would be to establish a more effective Congress-controlled conservative labor movement on an All Indian scale "6 As a result, an All Indian trade union federation known as the Indian National Trade Union Congress (In TUC) was inaugurated in May 1947 under the nominal leadership of Acharya Kripalani, the bitterly anti-Communist President of the Congress with the behind the scene leadership remaining with Patel "8.

A further blow was struck against the Communist position in the trade union movement when other anti-Communist elements, predominantly Socialists, subsequently founded in 1918 another all Indian labor association known as the Hind Mardoor Sobba (Indian Labour Association) * Since many of the unions together with their memberships that had been affuliated with the AITUC guined the new labor federations, the Communist, on the eve of freedom and partition of the sub-continent inow faced most strenuous competition in the labor movement instead of the virtual monopoly they had possessed when the AITUC dominated the labor series.

The Community were also active in stirring up the Indian persently between the summers of 1915 and 1917. In British India they were particularly active in the rural areas of East.

256

Bengal, where Communist-controlled peasant unions demanded peremptorily that landlords lower the rents by one third (hence the name of the movement, "Tebhaga," one third part) Other areas of Communist activity in the kissin sabha movement were in various parts of the Madras Presidency, particularly in Andhra, in the United Provinces, and in the rural regions of the Bombay Presidency where Communists were particularly interested in carrying on propagnda among the Varith, a small nationality of "wretched peasants and debtors". However, from 1945 to 1947 Congress and Socialist peasant leagues were rising to challenge the power of the Communist influenced All India. Lisan Sabha, and their influence did much to retard the growth of the Communists in the countryside 72

The Communists were busier than ever in the native states of India in the year 1946 in both the industrial and agrarian spheres of these still feudal territories. In the industrial sphere, in the latter part of 1946, no fewer than 25,000 textile workers struck in Hyderabad and Mysore and a palm leaf weavers' struck in Travancore state and neighboring areas involved some 130,000 workers in November, 1946 12

But it was in the agrarian areas of the princely states—they were after all, fundamentally rural regions—that the Communists made their greatest gains and brought about the greatest amount of rioting and virtual rebellion. Hyderabad was an especially important area of Communist agitation. The situal time in that princely state in regard to land tenure presented a particularly impleasant picture, for that state was the domain of extremely wealthy landlords—42 per cent of the land was owned by only 110 landlords—42 per cent of the land was owned by only 110 landlords—43 per cent of the land was income of 60 million types. It is the leading landlord of the state owned no fewer that 8,014 square miles of territory, the rents of which brought for him an annual income of 60 million tupes. It lattle wonder, then, that he was deemed to be one of the (if not the) wealthest men in the world, and the wor especially important area of Communist agitation. The situa of the leading popular organizations in Hyderabad (founded in

1912) known as the Andhra Conference, which represented the Telegu speaking peasantry of the state and which numbered some 100000 persons in the summer of 1916 to The Communisticontrolled organization organized the peasants into 'village committees which made stern demands on the landowners, in sisting that unpaid labor service to the latter, known as 'begar,' be aboushed and that land which had recently been acquired by landlords should be returned forthwith to the peasants. When the landlords refused to yield to the demands the Communist led peasants rose up in rebellion, seried the landlords lands and divided them up among themselves, although, oddly enough they permitted the landowners to retain about 100 to 200 acres for their own use The center of this peasants' in surrection was in the Nalgonda and Warangal districts of the Telengana region, in eastern Hyderabad.

Infurnated by these events the Nizam hurled some 4 000 troops into the disaffected areas and a considerable number were slain as a result of clashes between the peasants and the troops. In addition, some 2 000 persons were arrested. So serious had the situation become that Lord Auchinleck, the Commander in Chief of the Anglo-Indian army arrived in Hyderabad in December, to assist in the quelling of the rural rebellion "Hyderabad then remained relatively queue until August, 1917.

mander in Chief of the Anglo-Indian army arrived in Hyderabad in December, to assist in the quelling of the rural rebellion. Hyderabad then remained relatively quiet until August, 1917.

Another instance in which the ruler's troops had to be called out to suppress Communist agitation occurred in Travancore where the Maharajahs forces towards the end of 1916 were called out to suppress an alleged "Communist uprising".

The Communius also became active in an important way for the first time in the highly strategie state of Sashmir, located just south of the High Pamir territory of the Soviet Union, with only a thin wisp of Afghan territory Jing between them. We have noted that in 1931 there was a peasants' re bellion in Nashmir, and out of this resolt emerged an organization known as the "National Conference" During the 'thirties this body in spite of the Muslim faith of its followers (Asahmir is about 90 per cent Muslim in Justi), maintained a friendly relationship with the National Congress rather than with the Muslim League Up to 1946, the Kashmiri National Conference

was dominated by relatively conservative "bourgeois" elements which maintained a certain affinity with right wing Congress carcles. However, in 1946 the Communists began infiltrating the National Conference. They and other leftuits within it demanded in the spring of 1946 the removal of the Maharajah and the establishment of a democratic republican government and an extensive land reform program. The aroused ruler out some bloodshed, and arrested its leaders, in spite of the desperate efforts of the 'battle committees' of this Communist infiltrated organization 80

Communists were also active even in very small states be tween 1945 and 1947, for example in the tiny state of Tehri Gahrwal in the Central Himalayas ⁸¹ They also carried on an agitation among various primitive tribal peoples of India ⁸³ The Communists also did their best to infiltrate the States

Peoples' Association, a body representing the people of the various princely states. Publicity was given this movement in its meeting in Gwalior between April 19th to 29th, 1917 at which approval was registered for the introduction of democratic government in the states and the election of states' delegates to the Legislative Assembly by popular vote and not by appointment by the rulers *5

appointment by the rulers. As might have been expected, the Communists were as busy as ever in the students' movement. In its Nagpur session in 1946, the All India Students' Federation passed the usual num ber of pro-Communist resolutions. However, the Communist encountered powerful opposition in the students' movement in 1947. They admitted that even in their hitherto exclusive preserve, the All India Students Federation itself, 'pro-Congress' elements had attained a 'strong influence' over that body Bendes, other non-Communist students' bodies, first the Stu dents Congress and then the National Union of Students arose dents Congress and then the National Union of Students arose to challenge the position of the All India Students' Federa tion. Thus the Communists became virtually "solated ... >on the students' front." 31 may also be noted that the authorities of several colleges and universities were commencing to dumiss Communist and pro-Communist students. This was hardly un

natural in view of the part which students had played in various Red inspired strikes and demonstrations *6

The Communists also suffered a reverse in the organized women's movement when their influence in the All India Women's Conference waned and that organization came to be controlled by non-Communist elements \$1

On October 12 1915 party boss P C Joshi announced that the Indian Communists would contest the elections of provincial legislatures to be held between November 1915 and April 1946 It was indicated that in those constituences in which the CPI did not put up its own candidates it would support Congress candidates in the general constituences and Muslim League candidates in pecial Muslim constituences and The Communists put forward a limited number of candidates in agricultural regions where they had attained influence such as in Bengal and Madras Provinces The Communistic sharged that in the areas in which the Communists stood a chance to win seass the Congress formed a bloc with small ultra-con servative parties such as the Justice and non-Brahmin parties of the Madras area and even with the Hindu Mahasabha in the case of Bengal 19

In spite of the coaltions against them the Communists elected nine deputies to various provincial assemblies and amassed a vote of nearly 700 900 ** a figure somewhat over ten times that of the then claimed card-carrying membership of the CPI of 60000** The Communists evinced strength in Andhra but otherwise their showing must have been disappoint ing to their leaders In the workers list in spite of their control of the then virtual trade union federation monopoly, the A1TUC the Communists received only 28.3 per cent of the votes whereas the Congress (including Congress Socialist) received 65.2 per cent of the vote In the village areas the Congress in view of the much greater number of peasants than workers among the Indian masses. Of course the number of sets gained by the Communists surmained as compared to the vote amassed by the League in Muslim regions and electoral

lists and Congress voting power in non Islamic areas 93

The Communists made no serious attempt to enter the elec-tions for the Central Legislative Assembly held at the same time as the provincial election, nor for the elections to the Constitu ent Assembly held in June, 1946, both of these elections being held under the terms of the constitution of 1919, (in contrast to need under the terms of the constitution of 1919, (in contrast to the provincial which operated under the more liberalized pro-visions of that of 1935) which provided for an exceedingly narrow electorate However, in the latter case, among the few seats for which they contested, the comrades gained a single victory—they elected a delegate from Bengal **

The Communist party line during the period from 1915 to 1947 was elaborately put forth in the election manifestors of the party in the elections to the provincial legislatures and for the Constituent Assembly In these manifestores it was insisted upon, in no uncertain terms, that 'Indian must not fight Indian," rather Indians must stand united in a 'United Freedom Front, 95 against British imperialism, and recognize that it has always been the policy of the British to maintain a "divide and rule policy, a policy which was now being manifested by their new diabolical plan of slavery" namely "to divide their new diagonical pian of stavery namely to distinct India into a Hindu majority Dominion and a Muslim Dominion," a plan which had been revealed in the Cripps Proposals of 1942 and the Wavell offer of the summer of 1945. Realizing this therefore, all good Indians must spurn all British overtures and "no freedom loving Indian party should seek a unilateral settlement with the British Government

The political planks of the Communist platform as revealed by the electoral manifestoes involved

Immediate declaration of Indian independence by the British Government

Transfer of power to a real All India Constituent As sembly which will draft the terms of the 'Quit India treaty or to face the united struggle of all Indian peoples **

This All Indian Constituent Assembly would be elected by

seventeen smaller constituent assemblies of a like number of states these states being predicated upon ethnic considerations following the lines of the plan laid down a few years previously by Dr Adhikari The states constituent assemblies which were to be elected by universal adult franchise' would be the only sovereign instrumentalities in India and consequently, then "the delegates of the All India Constituent Assembly shall have no more authority than that of plenipotentiaries' 29. The con stituent assemblies of the states would possess "the unfettered right to decide upon their mutual relations within an independent India As for the right of any Indian state to secede, pendent India As for the right of any Indian state to secede, the manifestose did not specifically confirm such a right, but neither did they deny it. ¹⁵⁰ As regards the disputed provinces of the Punjab and Bengal, the manifestose followed Adhikari in favoring the partition of the former on communal lines that is between Muslims in the western part of the province and the 5kths in the eastern but unlike the plan of the editor of Peoples Age," held that Bengal must remain a united province ¹⁵¹ As for princely India the manifestose made it clear that the party stood for absolute self-determination and adult franchise for the peoples of the princely states, and also looked forward to the "final liquidation" of the "Princely agents" of the British 102

The Communist manifestoes held that their program of free homelands in a free India,"105 met the basic desires of the League and the Congress alike for

the League is free to plead for and get a separate sovereign Federation of Muslim majority areas living in friendly alliance with a sovereign Federation of Hindu majority areas, but not inside a common Indian Union.¹⁰⁴

while on the other hand "the Congress is free to plead for and to get a Federal Indian Union based on autonomous but not sovereign units." 184

Endencing the leftward turn of the party in economic mat ters the election manifestors of the Communist party attacked not only the "British rulers" "but Indian capitalists as well" It was tharged that they had assumed the role of "profiteers" and 'black marketeers during the war, that they had "cheated" and exploited Indian labor,100 that they were planning to make deals with the British capitalists which were entirely contrary to the interests of the peoples of India, 107 and that these 'lovers of their own riches and bloodsuckers of their own people" were 'getting inside" India's chief political organizations, the Na tional Congress and the Muslim League "to ensure their in terests would be safe when popular Ministries came to power 108 Hence, in consideration of these circumstances and in view of the 'unprecedented post war industrial crisis" which was im pending

it will be a crime against our country's future to leave India's economy in the hands of Indian capitalists not only in a free India but even for one single day longer 109

Consequently, the Communist manifestoes stridently demanded the 'nationalisation of all key industries like chemicals, iron and steel and coal mines '110 and dominating state control over other branches of industry, as well as pushing forward with a program of industrialization. As an immediate step in the in dustrial field it was advocated that a popular Indian govern ment should

seize all British capital, plantations, industrial con-cerns, (and) mercantile firms in view of the British Govern ment's refusal to part with India's sterling balances 111

As further measures to be undertaken by such an Indian government, a complete cradle to the grave" social security program was demanded112 as were such immediate measures as the confiscation of the 'illegal riches of the war profiteers," and "no return of the reserve fund of the profiteer capital 15ts ' 113

A like radical tone was taken in regard to Indian agriculture in the Communist election platforms. The misery of the peasants in serf villages" was alluded to, 114 and there was a vigorous advocacy of the following agrarian program

- Abolition of landlordism, nationalisation of land, redistribution of land to make the uneconomic holdings of the poor peasants into consolidated economic hold ings and to make large-scale co-operative farming possible
- (2) Usury to be banned All agricultural credit through co-operative sales basis 115
- (3) Private trade in people's food banned.118

The manifestors of the Communist Party also made special appeals to certain categories of the population, for example, "to Mothers and Sisters"¹¹⁷ to the Youth ¹¹⁸ and to the "Freedom loving Intelligentias" ¹¹⁸

auditioning intelligential are following by same line which was taken in the election platforms of the CPI spokesmen of that party and their men tors in the British Communist Party raked the imperialists over the coals for not quitting India" at once and without qualifications 120 They were charged with pitting Hindus and Muslims against each other, so that Britain might thereby retain her control over India. For example, in a political tract entitled "For the Final Bid to Power," General Secretary Joshi succincily stated.

Their aim is simple enough they have no intention to let India regain her independence. Their strategy is equally traditional to play one Indian party against another. And they hope this way to stay on top in India 123.

John charged that the British were further endeavoring to maintain the division of India, in that they insisted that the representatives of the Princes participate in the Indian constitution making body so as to bring about the creation of a British-controlled pupper princely Sizies Dominion¹².

The British were once again the recipients of traditional Communist charges—for example they were deliberately thwarting the development of Indian industry.¹³ and some new ones—for example they were purposely starving the Indians for news of the outside world as well as literally.¹³ The Communists also held India was as much as ever subjected to the thralldom of British capital.¹⁴

In view of this sentiment it is only natural that much was made over nationalist anti-British rioting most notably the strike or mutiny" of the Bombay sailors in February 1946 126. On the other hand however British attempts to grant satisfaction to Indian national aspirations were greeted with hoots of derision from the Indian comrades and their British men tors 127 Thus referring to the Cabmet Mussion Plan R. Palme Duit held that 'The Constitutional Plan of 1946' was only a very slight step forward complained that by it the Constitution Assembly was not to be elected by universal suffrage but in an undemocratic way" contended that the plan partitioned India into four zones one Hindu two Muslim and one for the Princes and argued that the proposed interim govern ment would only "be a reconstituted Vaceroys Council leaving the Governor General with "overriding powers" 18 In brief the whole Cabinet Missions Plan for Duit was nothing more than an attempt to establish an alliance between the British "an an attempt to establish an alliance between the British and such was analogous to deals made between British and Indian capital size."

Capinais 3: 3: 4.

Anti British sentiments on the part of Communists both in India and in England applied to Conservative and Labour Covernments alike Early in August 1915 Josh butterly assailed the policy of Churchill and Amery in connection with the Simla conversations earlier in the summer of that year charging that they wanted the deadlock in India to continue and attacked the Tory Government for retaining the bars" on Congress activities and keeping the remaining prisoners in justification of the Policy 1918 of the Communists in the belief it would favor an immediate Quit India" policy 191 However with the failure of that government to grant immediate in 1916 all semblance of freendlines by the Communists to the Attlee Cabinet disappeared and the Labourites were branded as imperails with the same venom as had been the case with their Tory predecessors 192 However this notwithstanding the CPJI Indied the Labour Governments Cabinet Vission

prior to the announcement by the latter of 115 Constitutional Plan of 1946 a memorandum of that party demanding that independence and sovereignty be granted Inda based on the Communist plans noted above 135 The Cabinet Mission ignored the Communist proposals and this all the more contributed to their animus against the cabinet of Clement Attlee

The formation of the Interim Government in September 1916 drew no appliause from the Indian Communius Thus an editorial in Peoples Age categorically remarked The present Interim Government is meant to be a tool in the hands of the Imperialist 181 in addition the enactment of a number of acts on both the national and provincial level ameliorating the position of the Indian worker notably the Factories Amend ment Act of April 1916 and the Workmen's Compensation Acts of 1916 and 1947 as enacted by the Central Indian Govern ment and such provincial measures as the Bombay Industrial Relations Act of 1916 were either hooted at or passed over in selence by the Community 181 In the years 1916 and 1917 government in India for the Community still dominated by imperialing could do no good

As might have been expected the Communists were no more charitable to the Mountbatten Plan than they had been to the Cabinet Missions Plan Indeed they had characterized the Brush Governments formal notification in February 1917 of their desire to leave India not later than June 1918 as an imperalist manocurve intended to strengthen the rule of England in India only by changing its form "118 And when the Mountbatten Plan was announced early in June 1917 the Central Committee of the C.P.I made the wrathful assertion that this plan "does not give India real independence but is the culmination of a double-faced impersal policy. The party's recolution on this subject added

The strategy of British imperialism is to exploit all weak nesses in our national and social life and forge new alliances with princes landfords and Indian big business to be able to control through them it e Indian state of the future and also India's economy 181

Their resolution called upon the leaders of the Congress to "implement an anti-imperialist and democratic policy" and assured them of the most complete cooperation of the Communist Party in this task 138 In addition, at about the same time, the Communist Party's Central Committee spoke with particular acerbity against the partition of Bengal and the Punjab, which as noted above, they had formerly been inclined to favor 138

as notec above, they had formerly been inclined to favor 132. The Communists were now openly hostile to the Indian bourgeoise. It was claimed that Indian 'big capitalists have strengthened their position and piled up big profits from the War" as a result of 'war financed contracts, shortages and high prices, inflation and the black market '150 It was also main tained that Indian capitalists had foreseen the possibility that in the post war era there would be a "weakening of British imperalism" and also a possibility for them of 'playing on' rivalry between American capital which was held to be penetrating into India, and the hitherto dominant British capital, and, as a result the Indian capitalists would be able to undertake "profitable industrial development Emphasis was placed on the assumption that Indian capitalism was of an especially oligarchal nature, as instanced by the many firms controlled by the interests of Tata and Brits Through these firms, it was argued, a handful of individuals dominated the entire economic life of India as far as a transference.

arguet, a nanciss of individuals dominated the entire economic life of India as far as native Indian capital was concerned. 1st Contemporaneously, Communist writers assailed with acerbity the landlords of India, the inequitable division of India in the Punjab, an area wherein Communist influence among the peasantry was growing being particularly singled out in this connection 1st

In view of the attacks upon the Indian bourgeoiste and landed class, it is surprising that the Communists maintained in their propaganda a basically annicable attitude towards the National Congress and the Muslim League in that these classes (especially in the case of landloods in the League) played a very important role in these organizations Nonetheless in Keeping histories of the British and still perhaps hooping against hope that they might yet in the future be able to infiltrate into these bodies, the

Communists retained seemingly relatively amicable attitudes toward them, thus largely maintaining the tactic of "united front from above" Thus Joshi in his pamphlet, "For the Final Bid for Power" declared of the Congress that

we are one with the Congress in demanding immediate transfer of power from the British Government¹⁴⁵

and of the Muslim League

we are one with the League in making a demand on the basis of self-determination among Indian peoples 144

As for both the Congress and the League taken together, the then leader of Indias Communists averred that "we respect the Congress and the League as a younger member of the family respects the elder members and he acknowledged that "it is the irresistible urge for freedom in the Congress and League that is our capital, too 145

However, a measure of criticism was included with the praise for the leading bodies of the still undivided sub-continent Referring to the Congress Joshi contended that

the Congress demand for transfers of power combined with its refusal to extend it and share that power with other Indian peoples, its demand for freedom from British domination, without simultaneously the freedom of all peoples lead it straight to seeking a unalateral settlement with the British Government and to fighting other Indian parties.

At the same time Joshi held that the very "strength" of the Congress stell made for the "sectarianism" of its leaders' policy and he made it clear that "we do not support Congress refusal to apply self-determination (of the various nationalities) to our own political future "1st Joshi criticized Congress leaders for negotiations with the British Government and in 3o doing endeavoring to seek "a unilateral settlement" without con sideration of other Indian clements, which was a "sectarian" policy "1st In addition, the leader of the C.P.1 criticized leading

Congressmen for demagogy" in relation to their self praise in connection with the Quit India resolution of August 8 1942 and with their denunciation of the Communists for the latter's course of conduct at that time 149

Joshi also had words of criticism for the Muslim League Hence his assertion that

the demand of the League for the partition of the country in order to be able to win sovereignty for Muslim majority homelands makes it also seek a unilateral settlement with the British Government

The League fails to see the simple truth Why should the imperalant power that demes self-determination to India as a whole agree to unilateral self-determination for the Muslimp²¹⁸⁰

Furthermore Joshi held the Indian Communist Party does not

support the League when it demands unjust bound aries like six provinces or in its demand for partition as the only guarantee of sovereignty for Muslim majority home lands 181.

Wholehearted support was regutered by India's Communits for the National Conference Party of Kashimir Sympathetic attention was also given by the Community press to its leader Sheikh Abdullah in his trial following the suppression of his movement in May 1916 His ensuing three years prison sentence made him a quasi martyr in the eyes of the Communitis who as we have noted had influsted into his organization 1935 Some sympathy was also registered by the Communitis for the cause sympathy was also registered by the Communitis for the Galler Khan in the North West Frontier Province who opposed the Muslim League although they did not go all out in support of the frontier Gandhis demands for the creation of an independent "Pathanistian" in

The Communists maintained their hostil ty to the Socialists and Radical Democrats or "Royists" on the left and the Hindu Mahasabha and the Rashtriya Swayamsewak Sangh (R S S) on

the right. In regard to the Socialists, who were splitting away from the Congress altogether and were presenting a bold program of radical and economic rehabilitation based on the nationalization of industries and drastic land reform. 184 they were most consistently and bitterly attacked in the 'Pravda' of Indian Communism, the "Peoples Age" in a regular column entitled 'Where Stands the Left' This column consistently castigated the alleged Socialist appearement" of the right wing of the Congress. 185 As for M N Roy and his Radical Democratic political party and his labor organization, the Indian Federation of Labour, the quondam follower of Lenin and his organizations were regarded more with contempt than with outright ammosity 185.

As for the R.S.S. Dange declared that it was the purpose of the Communists to 'demoilsh' the influence of that extremist communal organization ¹⁸⁷ It may also be noted that the Forward Bloc, under a new leader, Sarat Chandra Bose, brother of the late Netaji, (leader) Subhas Chandra Bose, attempted to make a political comeback under the name of the Socialist Republic Party This party threatened to steal the Communists' thunder, for it spoke of setting up 'Socialist Republics' based on "linguistic' lines ¹⁸⁸ However, the party made little progress and was generally ignored by the Communists

Between August 1915 and August of 1917, Communist propa

Between August 1945 and August of 1947, Communist propaganda was blared forth, not only by "People's Age" but also by leading "central' Indian languages papers printed in Bom bay as well as by a number of journals located in the several provinces of India ¹³⁶ In spite of all this propaganda, however, it is evident that the Communists were, by and large, in an inferior position on August 15–1947, as compared to that which they had held at the close of the Second World War

In contrast to the war years, the Soviets manifested a noteworthy interest in India between August, 1915, and August, 1917. A harbunger of the new Soviet interest came in the "New Times" of January 1, 1916 in which the Soviet spokeman on India, Dyakov, attacked the statements on India of Attlee and Viceroy Lord Wavell of September 19 1915 as reiterations of the "proposals made by the Churchill government in 1912 through Sir Stallord Cripps." The announcement of elections in India to central and provincial legislative bodies was perend at by Dyakov on the ground of the narrow electorates involved and for the reason that they were based upon com munal lists which "cannot but aggravate Hindu Muslim ant mosties and hamper agreement between the National Congress and the Muslim League "14" Dyakov also assailed the then use of Indian troops in Indonesia and Indo-China as parts of British Imperial contingents in support of the Dutch and French respectively 182

Following the line set by Dyakov a Soviet radio commentator named Mikhail Mikhailov broadcast from Moscow on March 22 1916 a severe criticism of the Indian elections wrathfully charging that less than one per cent of the Indian people were able to vote for representatives to the Central Legislative Assembly (as compared to only 14 per cent in the case of the provincial legislatures) and Mikhailov tied this in with the alleged designs of elements in Britain to instigate war against the Soviet Union "145

Due note was taken in the Soviet press of the tumults in Calcutta and Bombay and the naval "mutiny" in the latter city respectively in November 1915 and February 1946 144 and it was claimed that it was these events which "compelled the British Government to hasten its decision" of furthering Indian DRIMIN COVERIMENT to hasten its decision" of furthering Indian freedom through the dispatch of the Cabinet Vision 185 It may be noted however that when the plans to send that mission in February 1916 were announced the Soviet press remained significantly silent 185 However, when that Vision produced the Plan of May 16 1916 and it appeared that it would become the basis for a free and united (albeit decentralized India) the Soviet press was compelled to comment Thus another Soviet "expert" on India named Boris Izakov declared in Pravda" on July 15 1946 in reference to the Cabinet Mission Plan

Behind the velvet curtain in India the people are de-manding the independence which has been repeatedly prom

The British Government mission dispatched to ised India came with a plan treating all possible questions but the basic one the withdrawal of British troops from India Divide and rule is one of the most common methods he hind this curtain 167

The term velvet curtain was manifestly a Russian retort to the term iron curtain" already in vogue in the West. In addition Dyakov averred It should be remembered that the declaration began by

rejecting the Muslim League's demand for the division of India into Hindustan and Pakistan but went on to say that the British government shares the fears of the Muslims that in a united India they run the risk of being overwhelmed by the Hindu majority This assertion which has no real facts to warrant it was a scarcely concealed move to continue the fight for Pakistan and to fan Hindu Muslim enmity 168

And in so doing it served as a signal for the fratricidal blood shed that is going on in India to this day 169

The formation of the Interim Government in September 1916 also received a sour reception in Moscow Writing in "Pravida" on October 21 1946 Dyakov sharply censored this new government in India Its formation he contended was simply a maneuver by the "imperialists" and it was wholly unfounded optimism to consider that the creation of the new government is any guarantee of the granting of independence to India "170 In a subsequent article in "New Times" Dyakov charged that the British desirous of maintaining control in India hindered Hindu Muslim negotiations in the autumn of 1946 Besides he contended an elfort was made to "thwart the work of the newly-elected Indian Constituent Assembly by the statement of the

British Government that no constitution framed with out participation of the Muslim League would be ac cented 111

It may also be noted that during the course of the year 1946

attention was frequently drawn by Soviet writters to the conditions of famine which beset India as well as the severe poverty of the masses. That the British as well as the upper classes were responsible for all this was of course clearly indicated 11".

In the meantime what the Soviets deemed as a "tool of imperialism the Interim Government on its part was gen unnely anxious to establish relations with the Soviet Union In his broadcast to the Indian people on assuming a post tanta mount to the Prime Ministership in the Interim Government, on September 7 1946 Pandit Nehru declared that India sent her greetings to the United States of America and significant Iy added.

To that other great nation of the modern world the Sowet Union which also carried a vast responsibility for shaping world events we send greetings. They are our neighbours in Asia and inevitably we shall have to under take many common tasks and have much to do with each other ³²⁸

In a press conference held on the following September 26th the Pandit stated that his Interim Government would like to have the same type of diplomatic relations with Russia as it already had with the United States and China but" added Nehrii.

for the moment we have none and we have to explore investigate and then establish it after consultation with the Soyiet Government 174

It may also be noted that in this news conference Nehru gave an exposition of that policy variously termed "neutral ism or independence which since that time has characterized Indian policy in relation to the cold war. Hence he stated that

in the sphere of foreign affairs. India still follows an independent policy keeping away from the power politics of groups aligned one against the other 178

and at the same time he indicated that India might be directly opposed to Great Britain in future international conferences 176

As to Indo-Russian relations Nehru followed up his statements by taking action to bring them about He sent a message to V Krishna Menon, then Secretary of the India League in London to get into contact with Soviet Foreign Minister V M Molotov, then in Paris for the Peace Conference dealing with the European Axis Satellites Menon was an apt choice for dealing with the Communists for he had frequently contributed to Communist publications notably the 'Labour Monthly," and was affiliated with the extreme left wing of the British Labour party Indeed, an Indian spokesman actually declared that Pandit Nehru selected Mr Menon on a secret mission to Mr Molotov because of his Communist background "177 As subsequently revealed by Nehru to the Central Legislative Assembly on November 12, 1946, Menon, who worked in an 'honourary capacity only, but with his traveling expenses being paid, brought Molotov a personal letter from Nehru hunself The conversations turned on the establishment of Indo-Russian diplomatic relations 178

However, it may be noted that at the time the conversations were held, on September 28, 1916 Menon denied that they had any 'significance''118 The conversations also made little impression in Moscow In his "Pravda" article of October 21, 1916, Dyakov gave scant coverage to the Menon Molotov meng but instead quoted the Soviet Foreign Minister's public declaration on the role of India at the Paris Pence Conference which was to the effect.

We might have expected more objective voting on the part of India but we have been confronted again with the impossible situation wherein the Indian delegation simply fulfills its colonial obligation to vote in accordance with the will of another country-according to the will of Great Britain 1889.

And to this Dyakov added that at this conference, "the Indian delegation conducted itself as a loyal vassal of British imperialism" [19]

However, an event which definitely made for improvement in Indo-Russian relations occurred at the end of October, 1946 At the meeting of the United Nations General Assembly in New York, the Soviet Union and its satellites supported a resolution censuring the Union of South Africa for her dis-Foreign Minister, Andrei Vyshinsky was especially eloquent in his attack on the discrimination of Indians in South Africa and he spoke knowingly of "actual ghettos for Indians there More over, on October 30th, Foreign Minister Molotov himself made a speech in which he vigorously supported the Indian position 182
On the other hand, Great Britain supported the case of South Africa The resolution condemning South Africa was approved by a vote of 52 to 15 with 7 abstentions so that it was the vote of Russia and her five satellites (including the then satellite of Russia and her five satellites (including the time satellite Augoslavia) which made possible the necessary two-thirds vote of approval for the resolution 155 The resolution was not binding of course, and South Africa has continued to oppress her Indian minority to the present day but it was of moral significance, and the fact that it was Russian votes which won the day was not lost to the Indian delegation at the United Nations nor at New Delhi 254 Indeed a few months later, Pandit Nehru in his capacity as Vice President of the Indian Interim Govern ment sent a formal letter to Foreign Minister Molotov, thanking him for the support rendered to the Indian delegation at the

General Assembly sesson during the discussion of the persecu-tion of the Indian population in South Africa. 1858 Pandit Nehru was therefore well-disposed towards the Rus-sians when he was questioned on November 12, 1946 in the Central Legulative Assembly on the Menon Molotov meeting by various League members Nehru, as we have noted, revealed the 'friendly' character of the conversations and when asked whether Menon had Communist views and was therefore the

I myself hold Communist views on a great many matters "ist

The Soviets (as contrasted with the domestic Indian Com munists) became even more popular with Congress when on December 23, 1946 Dyakov in his capacity as Soviet spokesman for India made an address on Radio Moscow in which he held that a division of India into two states one Hindu and one Muslim would simply aggravate communal tensions and would simply give the British an excuse for perpetual inter ference in India's internal affairs" so that they "would thus be able to retain their hold on the country 187

This statement indicated that the Soviets were taking a more hostile attitude towards the Muslims than were their comrades in India who were if anything more amicable to Jinnah's

organization than they were to the Congress 188

Shortly after the commencement of the new year 1947 a milestone in Indo-Soviet relations was registered with the ar rival of a delegation of Soviet scholars at the Indian Science Conference held at Delhi in the first week of January The Soviets had been invited the previous October by Nehru him self in his capacity as Vice President of the Interim Government to send a delegation and they eagerly responded On January 7th Nehru specifically addressed the Soviet delegation in the following terms

For many years past we have looked with very great in terest towards the Soviet Union for many reasons but more specifically because of the tremendous achievements of the Soviet Union during the last quarter of a century or so Inevitably when we want to produce great changes in India
we want to learn from your example. We want to know
what you have done and how you have done it.120

V P Volgin Vice-President of the Soviet Academy of Science and Chairman of the Soviet delegation replied that "this oc casion would lead to the strengthening of the scientific bonds of cultural intercourse and friendly relations between the people of our country and the peoples of India "180

Following the conclusion of the Indian Science Conference the Soviet delegates undertook a tour throughout India 181 A considerable amount of publicity for this junket was given in the Soviet press 192 In late March an "Inter Asian Relations Conference" was held in Delhi in which thirty two Asian coun tries participated. Among these were Azerbaijan Armenia ha

of the U.SSR.193 In his message of greeting at the inauguration of the conference on March 23, 1947, Nehru singled out the several Soviet delegations with the following words of praise

We welcome you delegates and representatives from the Soviet Republics of Asia which have advanced so rapid ly in our generation and which have so many lessons to teach us 194

The Inter Asian Conference in practice dealt with matters of pertinent interest to Asian countries It stressed specific political, economic and social questions rather than controversial political topics such as colonialism. Thus the conference in several committees discussed such matters as qualifications for franchise, migrations from one Asian country to another, the rights of women and means of facilitating economic development and improvement of the standard of living in Asian countries 193 The conference adjourned on April 2, 1947, with Pandit Nehru being elected head of a standing organization formed by the conference to strengthen ties between Asian countries 196 The participation of the Soviets (their indirect representation notwithstanding) was a unique honor to a funda mentally non Asian nation

The logical outcome of the good relations which were de veloping between the Interim Government of India and the Soviet Union was manifested on April 14, 1947 with the official announcement of the establishment of diplomatic relations be tween the Soviet Union and India. In the words of the official announcement of the External Department of the Interim Government of India

Being desirous of maintaining and further strengthening the friendly relations existing between India and the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics, the Government of India and the Government of the U.S.S.R. have decided to exchange diplomatic missions at embassy level 101

Thus culminated a period of confidential diplomatic negotia tions which had been initiated by the Menon-Molotov con versations

Soviet reaction to the announcement was rendered in an editorial in the official journal. New Times, which held

The establishment of diplomatic relations between India and the Soviet Union is an event of no mean international significance. The Soviet public welcomes it as evidence of the friendly sentiments the peoples of the two countries entertain for one another and as a sign that India is moving towards an independent policy. The

The editorial went on to praise the struggle of the Indians for freedom but it sternly asserted that "the emancipation of India is by no means completed Besides it was darkly noted British and Indian reactionaries" were endeavoring to undernume assessable Indo-Soviet relation 13

A hitch in these amicable relations between the Indian In terim Government and the U.S.S.R. developed in June 1947 over the election of non-Permanent members of the United Nations Security Council A contest developed between India and an integral part of the Soviet Union the Ukrainian Soviet Republic over one of the seats at stake. The leader of the Indian delegation to the General Assembly Mrs Vijaya Lakshmi Pandit the sister of Jawaharal Nehru argued that if equitable geographical distribution were to prevail India would surely be granted the seat Besides she argued, were India not to be granted representation on the Security Council a half billion people in Southern Asia would be unrepresented on that vital body a circumstance which the framers of the United Nations Charter had surely wanted to prevent 200 However because of a deal concluded by the Soviet Union with Great Britain and China the Ukraine received the disputed seat and all that Mrs Pandit could do was to protest against such "previous arrangements" which made the election by the Assembly "prac tically a farce" of

That this affair did not unduly injure Indo-Soviet relations is evinced by the fact that on June 25 1917 it was announced that Virs Pandis herself would be the first Ambassador of the Indian Union Dominion to the Soviet Union 323 The naming of Virs Pandis perhaps was meant as a genture of aportismanship

by the Indians to indicate to the Soviets that they bore no grudge for the seating of the Ukraine on the Security Council On August II, 1947, four days before the liberation and parti tion of India. Mrs Pandit arrived at her post in Moscow and declared upon her arrival

India has a special link with the Soviet Union since both India and Russia have shown a capacity to blend and har monize different races and nationalities 203

Not long after Mrs Pandits arrival, the first Soviet am bassador to the Indian Union arrived in the person of A. A

Novikov, a veteran Soviet career diplomat 204 Because of its displeasure over the partition of India the Soviets made no immediate effort to establish diplomatic relations with Pakistan Apparently the Soviets blamed the Mus lims on the division However, following a policy of 'Real politik" the Soviets undertook negotiations with Pakistan in October, 1947205 and announcement of the establishment of

Soviet Pakistan diplomatic relations was made in May, 1948 206 Soviet displeasure at the Mountbatten plan was reflected in Dyakov s article in 'Izvestia" in which he averred

The realization of the English plan of June 3rd will transform India into a conglomeration of dominions and states which are formally independent, many of which will actually remain entirely under English control 207

The result of all this meant, for this Kremlin spokesman, that the British would retain economic and political control alike over the allegedly free and truly divided sub-continent 208

The United States as well as Great Britain was severely cen sured by the Soviets in respect to India The fear that the Ameri cans would effectuate a successful economic penetration of the sub-continent was expressed Thus a commentator of Radio Moscow averred on June 3, 1947

What the American monopolists say is that they want to help India develop her industry and agriculture

concealed behind this altruistic formula are far reaching plans for ousting British capital and making India an object of their own economic expansion 200

The broadcaster added

The Indian people are not fighting for their liberty and independence in order to open the way to their country's enslavement by American monopolists.²¹⁰

Like their Indian comrades the Russian Communist propagandists maintained a fairly friendly attitude towards both the National Congress and the Muslim League. Thus in the spring of 1946, Dyakov noted that the League, like the Congress, correctly supported the need for independence on the part of the peoples of the Peninsula. In December, 1946, as noted above, Dyakov attacked the League's concept of Pakistan, but this was not followed by a Soviet denunciation of that organization as such. On the other hand it may be noted that the right wing of Congress was subjected to Soviet enucesian ³¹¹

A definite measure of friendly interest in the Soviet Union was manifested by non-Communist Indians (of course, the CPI as always had nothing but excessive pacans of praise for the U.S.S.R.) between the summers of 1915 and 1917. An extreme example of non-Communist support for Russia came on April 11, 1947, in a debate in the Indian Constituent Assembly in which a Congress member, Balkhrishna Sharma, during the debate on Indian ratification of the peace treaty with Italy and with Nazi Germany's former Balkan satellites, audaciously asserted

The question the country is faced with today is whether we shall aide with one bloc or the other I am very clear in my mind it shall be the bloc of Soviet Russia and not the so-called Western democracies who are doing all manner of things calculated to lead to a Third World War 111

Furthermore, the prominent Muslim League leader, Sir Firozh

din Khan Noon declared during the summer of 1946 that if the Hindus and the British did not agree to the setting up of Pakistan as a separate Muslim state in the Indian peninsula the Muslim League would be compelled to turn for assistance 10 Russia 213

250

Serious discussion was begun in certain commercial Indian, quarters on the establishment of a large scale Indo-Soviet trade a commerce which had been lacking in the past save for British supplies to Russia during the Second World War It was even suggested that the creation of a vigorous Indo-Russian trade would be of benefit to India. It would aid Indian economy by forming a check against rising British prices is would de velop the facilities of the northwestern border territory of India especially if a railway could be built across Afghanistan from Soviet Central Asia and it would bring to India Russian equip-ment and machinery needed in her own industrial development as well as cheap products" from areas under Soviet influence and control such as Czechoslovakia, Latija and Estonia. In addition in view of the possibility of the third world was at would be well for India to develop terrestrial trade routes with the Soviet Union so that she might be ensured of receiving essential commodities which would otherwise be cut off from India through the blocking of vulnerable sea routes thither

in event of that conflict 214 It may also be noted that in certain Indian quarters life in the Soviet Union was noted with approval For example Russia was even praised for the alleged freedom of conscience to religious and non religious people alike as guaranteed by her constitution this being favorably contrasted with the undue emphasis on religious and religious differences as embodied in Anglo-Indian legal precepta²¹⁸ And along with the extolling of the manifestation of Communism in practice in the Soviet Union there was also praise in certain non-Communist Indian quarters for Communism as a theoretical ideology an ideology which was held to be ethically superior to capitalism²¹⁶

On the other hand the Soviet Union was the recipient for

brickbats as well as bouquets Thus the noted right wing Con

gressman, C R Rajagolpalacharia, Prime Vinister of the Madras Presidency, stated on June 3, 1946

I must ask the young people (in India) not to be musted by what is now being written in Russian papers regarding Indian political afairs Russia does not want an Indian settlement now, as that would mean added strength for Britain for a free India will add to the power and prestize of Britain ²¹⁷

An even more hostile tack towards Russia was taken by M R Masani one of the founders of the Congress Socialist Party, who at the opening of the Indian Agrarian Conference in karachi, late in 1946, bitterly criticized the Soviet system of collectivized agriculture. The

Prominent Muslim League members also maintained a suspicious and hostile attitude towards Soviet Russia. Thus in September, 1916. Mohammed Ali. Jinnah was revealed by a source "very close to him" to feel that the League 'did not seek or expect any aid from the Soviet Union. '219

Specific policer of the Soviet Union encountered censure from prominent Indians. Thus Nehru himself enticated Rus sian policy in endeavoring to maintain control of the Arer bayan area of Iran in the winter of 1946 as well as Soviet threats to Turkey, at that time This the Pandid declared that 'Indian opinion as a whole will strongly treent any aggression on Iran and Turkey by any power." Nehru also indicated 'there appears to be Russian aggression." In Iran 222 The prompt action of the United Nations Security Council compelled Soviet evacuation of the affected area of Iran and removed the danger to the sub-continent from that unportant borderland. 223

The Soviet Afghan treaty of June 18, 1916, was viewed with apprehension, in this case unwarrantedly, in certain sections of the Indian press. Thus the "National Herald" of Lucknow speculated that the Soviets would receive the northern provinces of Afghanistian in return for a Soviet promise to add the latter in regaining territories annexed in the past by the Anglo-Indian Empire (such as the North West Frontier Province, and British 1997).

Baluchistan as well) 222 At the same time Soviet aggression in Rumania in 1945 was subjected to criticism 223

It was held that although Russian progress from 1917 to 1916 had made a considerable impression among Indians, notably among certain sections of the working class and the youth, there was 'bound to be distillusionment' when the real truth of the limitations of the Soviet system' were properly weighed. Although this would not lead to the complete elimination' of the ideas of the Russian revolution," it would mean that India would be turned away from the leadership of the new Nationalist Russia "124

Apprehension of the Soviet threat to India was expressed by British sources. It was felt Britain should under no conditions leave India in 'chaos' but should resist 'pressures' which are coming from Russia. The British should not leave India as a "wacuum into which the Russian bear could stride with ease. Similar apprehensions were expressed by Americans,225 especially in connection with the activity of Indian Communities and their sympathiers John Foster Dulles declared on January 20, 1947, that he saw a clear danger in the pro-Communist tendencies which he felt were evident in certain Congress leaders.228

On August 15 1917, free India and free Pakustan came anto being This event marked the emergence of vastly augmented poliused relations between the Soviets and the sub-continent, particularly between the Soviets and the Indian Union It is ushered in the manifestation of the concept and doctrine of "neutralism" as preached and practiced by the Nehra govern ment of India, as well as a policy oscillating between neutral ism" and outright adherence to the policies of the nations of the democratic camp as evined in the foreign policy of Pakustan. How Communist Russia at first manifested an abhorrence of and later professed admiration for, Indian foreign policy, whereas, by contrast, the Soviet Union evidenced to a large extent from the outset only disguist for the foreign policies of Pakustan is one of the most vital and significant stories of recent diplomatic history.

August 15, 1947, also marked an intensification of the ac-

285

ON THE EVE OF INDEPENDENCE

colonial India

tivities of the Communists in the Peninsula although their general position was manifestly weakened in that their slogans advocating liberation of the peoples of the sub-continent from "imperialist" rule-which slogans were still maintained-pos-

of both India and Pakistan as free nations in a new Asia. Nonetheless the Communists in both India and Pakistan, insofar

sessed an empty ring with the passage of time and the emergence

as they were able, and not altogether without success in the case of the former country, did their utmost to convert these nations of the sub-continent into new "people's democracies" or satellites of the Soviets Such a state of affairs would mean the successful effectuation of the designs the Soviets have held on the sub-continent, which designs have been present since November, 1917 in the manifestation of Russia's relations with

Soviet Russia and Indian Communism 1947-1959

Following the foundation of the free Dominion of India, the Communist Party of India maintained a "united front from above" policy It pretended to support the government of Prime Minister Nehru and the liberal wing of the Congress party, al though it sharply criticized the "reactionary right wing' of the Congress So amicable was the policy of the CPI towards the new Nehru regime, that slogans of "no strike" and "increase production were raised The pro-Nehru policy as practiced by the CPI in the autumn of 1947 was likewise championed by R P Dutt on behalf of the Communist Party of Great Britain But the honeymoon between India's Communists and the Nehru government was destined to be a brief one Already in June, 1947, at a conference of the Institute of Economics and Pacific Affairs in Moscow, important papers were presented by Soviet experts on India, A M Dyakov, and V V Balabushevich, as well as by the Director of the Far Eastern Institute, E M Zhukov, which reports castigated the role of the Indian bour geoisie and its political agent, the National Congress Then in the following September, Andrei Zhdanov, the then second most important Russian Communist, addressed the first session of the revived Communist International, which had been dissolved on May 22, 1943, now known as the Communist Infor mation Bureau or Cominform, in Poland He sounded a bold

EPILOGUE 285

call for total cold war' round the world by holding that the world was irrevocably divided into the democratic' and imperialist camps Of special significance to India Zhdanov held that the bourgeoisse were everywhere bound to be allies of imperialism. For India's Communists this simply meant that they could no longer support Nehru's bourgeois government and still remain loyal to Moscow.

As loyal adherents of the world Communist movement in December 1947 the Central Committee of the CPI made a December 1947 the Central Committee of the GP1 made a declaration which changed the party line to fit into the new global cold war pattern The CC" bitterly excornated the Indian bourgeoise castigated the Congress including Nehru personally as well as conservatives in its ranks as hostile to Indian people and boldly chartered a highly militant course for the Communists of the Dominion of India As a sequel to the declaration of December 1947 in the Second Party Con tor the Communists of the Dominion of India As a sequel to the declaration of December 1947 in the Second Party Congress held in Calcutta from February 28th to March 6th 1948 there was presented a Political Theiss which was a bold faced exposition of Red revolution Not only were the bourgeoise and the National Congress as well as the feudalists and the "imperalists" which fatter two groups even in the former "unit ed Iront" days were by no means exempt from Communist censure vigorously condemned but a Irank appeal for a strug gle which would take on a violent character was promulgated By this declaration Communists were candidly endeavoring to carry out a "one-stage" revolution in which the bourgeoiste and its political arm the Congress the former native state rulers landlords British and other foreign interests and rich an middle" peasants were ruthlessly to be swept saide in the creation of a "people's democracy. This program represented a return to the militant and revolutionary tactics of the CP1 in the early thirties In accordance with this new policy P C. John appoile of caution and the "united front" with the "revolutionary national." Indian bourgeoiste was summarily ejected from his post as General Secretary of the CP1 in the active third of the party Sentral Commuttee. His successor was B. T. Ranadiwe who only a few months previously

Another important event of the Calcutta Congress was the creation of the Communist Party of Pakistan Since August, 1947, the CPI had operated as such also in Pakistan, but since the Soviet Union was preparing to recognize the Muslim Dominion (recognition was formally effected several weeks subsequent to the Calcutta Congress, April, 1948) it was felt that a separate Communist party should be formed there An old line Indian Communist, Sajjad Zaheer, was named the General Secretary of the party Briefly reviewing its history, from 1948 to 1958 the Communist Party of Pakistan operated as a tech incally legal organization, but it was constantly under close surveillance by the authorities and its leaders were subject to sudden arrest In March, 1951, it was accused by the then Paki stans Prime Minister Liaquat Ali Khan, of having endeavored to foment a Communist insurrection with the aid of certain high officers of the Pakistani Army As a consequence, Sajjad Zaheer was tried along with General Akbar Khan who had been Chief of Staff of the Pakistani Army and other high officers and civilian Communists in a secret trial This trial, held at Rawal pindi and known consequently as the Rawalpindi Conspiracy Case, resulted in the conviction of Zaheer, Akbar Khan and most of their associates in January, 1953 In July, 1954, the Com-munist party was banned throughout Pakistan Prior to this ban, it had shown signs of growing strength in East Pakistan or East Bengal where there was political disastinfaction owing to the alleged suppression of the Bengalis by the Sindhis, Punjabis and emigré Muslims from Central and Northern India but to the summer of 1988 as compared to the summer of 1989 as compared to

But it was weak in the summer of 1998 as compared to the dominant anti-Communist Muslim League and Awaim parties Returning to the hustory of the Communist Party of India to offset the previous 'errors' of that party (and his own) of 'revisionism' reformism' and undue friendship to the 'bourgeous enemies of the people of India,' Ranadive ordered a progeous enemies of the people of India,' Ranadive ordered a pro-

EPILOGUE 287

gram of terror in the towns and countryside of India, alike, to be commenced Arson, assassination, bombings kidnappings and robberies were to be committed with the hope that the terror involved would shake the Indian Government to its foundations. Desperate and dastardly deeds were duly carried out in pur usance of this order in the spring of 1948, particularly in West Bengal and in the Andhra district of Madras Province But the authorities of the Indian Union were not caught napping Hundreds of Communists, including key leaders such as S. A. Dange, were incarcerated By August, 1949, some 8,500 comrades were in detention Communist fomented strikes, notably an attempted railway strike of national proportions, scheduled for March 1949, were suppressed by prompt governmental action. The Communist party was formally banned in many regions, notably in West Bengal, and it is a wonder that the C.P.I was not banned for good and all throughout India at that time, the behavior of the Communists would scarcely have merited otherwise.

One area where Communist ferment was particularly in evidence during the spring and a number of 1918, was in the princely state of Hyderabad whose ruler, the Nizam, had insisted that his state was a truly sovereign nation. In the Telegu or Andhra speaking area of Hyderabad, the Communist claimed, not without veracity, that they had "liberated" some 5,500 villages The Red' rule constituted a kind of 'parallel government in this area known as Telengana. But in September, 1918 the troops of the Indian Union invaded Hyderabad and destroyed that princely state a presumption to sovereignty. That this action was aimed as much against the Hyderabad Communist as against the pretentions of the Muslim Nizam is manifested by the thoroughly severe measures undertaken by the Indian Army against the Communist, of course, fought back, and a most vicious guerilla war ensued in the former princely state.

Indeed, the policy of Red violence persisted throughout the years, 1948 and 1949, and the now furtise Communist leader ship continued to call for the manifestation of "the highest forms of struggle," that is, armed insurrection, and to arouse

226

black hatred for the British the bourgeoisie landlords feudals rich and "middle peasants and even to engender suspicion in respect to elements of the petty bourgeouse and poorer peasants This policy was obviously a foolish one the Communists were losing friends and influence in an ever increasing tempo Before long it was evoking dissension within the party itself So early as June 1948 the Andhra branch of the party taking its cue from the Chinese Communists were advocating that the struggle against the bourgeoisie be confined to the big bour geoisie" only with the middle" bourgeoisie exempted and were holding that the armed part of the struggle be limited essential ly to peasant guerilla warfare as opposed to insurrectionary activity in urban areas. In 1919 advocacy for such a program in India was made by Liu Shao-chi a leading Chinese Com munist theorist. For this intervention by the Chinese comrades in this matter Ranadive assailed Mao Tse tung as a colleague of those Communist "heretics" Tito and Earl Browder in the CPI s theoretical journal The Communist of July 1949

But the success of the Chinese Communists in the latter half of 1949 certainly prejudiced Ranadives case against them and combined with the failure of the CPI led to stern Muscovite action to alter the course of that party Following the lead taken in a World Federation of Trade Unions Congress in Peiping in November 1949 the journal of the Cominform Tor Lasting Peace For Peoples Democracy in its issue of January 27 1950 called upon the Indian and other Asian Communist parties to take heed that "the path by the Chinese is the path that should be taken by the people of many colonial and dependent countries in their struggle for national independence and peoples democracy." Since the Chi-nese Communists had heretofore sought collaboration with that element of the bourgeoisie which was not "big and had col alborated with groups willing to do battle with the imperial sits this manifestly should be the party I ne in India as well Indeed in a section of the January 27 editorial specifically mentioning India it was held to be the task of the Communits of Ind a to "struggle against the Anglo-American im perialists oppressing it and against the big bourgeoisie and

feudal bourgeoisie and feudal princes collaborating with them reudal bourgeouse and feudal princes collaborating with them

-(and) to unite all classes parties groups and organizations
willing to defend the national independence of India But

Chinas Communists had seized the Chinese mainland by violence Would this also entail the espousal of violence in India?

By implication the answer of the editorial was negative for while the policy formulating statement mentioned Vietnam, South Korea Malaya the Philippines Indonesia and Burma as places where armed struggle might be carried on India and Pakistan were significantly omitted

and Pakistan were significantly omitted
Ranadive desperately endeavoring to remain in charge of
India s declining Communist movement through C.P.I. Polit
bure statements on February 22nd and April 6th 1950 en
dorsed the new line but his lip service was without avail In
the following May and June the party's Central Committee
reconstituted the Politburo as well as itself Ranadive was replaced as General Secretary by Rajeswar Rao leader of the Communists in the Andhra and Telengana regions.

The new CPI leadership in contrast to the old lavishly praised Communist China giving the impression that Peiping not Moscow was the Mecca of Indian Communism It followed the line of the Cominform editorial paying attention to the need for a united front" basically a "united front from below" need for a united front" basically a "united front from below" and looked with favor upon the middle peasants as well as the petty bourgeouse But the Nehru Government continued to draw heavy fire from Communist organs which remarkably enough in view of the Communist insurrectionary tactics were allowed to be published In addition in apparent defiance to the editorial of January 2½th guerilla warfare was still waged by Communists in the Telengana area of Hyderabad and adjoining areas of Madras State However by contrast acts of urban terrorism markedly decreased

As a consequence of two and a half years of terror and in surrection the Communist position had deteriorated notably as the policy of violence led to no victories According to an official statement put out by the C.P.1 s Politubro in September 1950 in the form of a "Gircular No 3" it was admitted that "a state of semi paralysissisation (two leading to lack of

that "a state of semi paralysistation (sic) leading to lack of

290

mass activities is now a general picture in the Party, though exceptions are also there' Indeed, the AITUC had de creased in membership from 700 000 in 1947 to about 100,000 in 1950 while the Communists peasants' and women's movements had virtually disappeared. It was evident that the Indian comrades needed a new party line

The task of furnishing that line, it would seem, was de volved upon, through 'channels' to R. P Dutt who was not an illogical choice. In the shape of a reply to questions about India on December 20, 1950, this long time mentor of the C.P.I. demanded that the Communists fulfill the Cominform directive of the previous January and that in particular they give up their policy of rural violence He emphasized that the CPI should stress the ideal of the 'democratic front," a variant of the old 'popular front strategy, and that emphasis be also placed on the "peace front" This implied that the comrades should modify somewhat their hitherto excessive censure of Nehrus foreign policy as being one in line with the Anglo-American "imperialists"

This directive from Dutt took effect. The Central Committee This circture from Dutt took effect. The Central Commission met that very month of December, 1950 It agreed to stress the idea of a 'united front of all 'left" parties to emphasize the 'peace movement' and to insist that the struggle for Indian 'Inberation be carried on 'by all anti imperalist classes sections and parties' At the same time the 'CC' itself was reorganized. One month later, in January 1951, a statement in a Communist organ, 'Cross Roads," to the effect that 'Pandit Nature Land Community organ, 'Cross Roads," to the effect that 'Pandit Nehru has taken a firm and forthright stand on the steps neces sary to ensure world peace" signified a milder approach in re spect to the Indian Government

spect to the insuan covernment
In the meantime—it is alleged although not proved—four
principal Communist leaders General Secretary Rao, S A
Dange, Ajoy Ghosh and Basava Punnish proceeded on a secret
mission to Moscow from which city they brought early in 1951
a "actucal line" to be followed by the C P I This secret document called for an eventual Communist revolution first in the form of 'partisan areas (which) will inevitably arise in various parts of the country" and which eventually will involve nation wide

revolution The immediate tasks of the party in this clandestine directive were very similar to that of the published "Draft Programme of the CPI of April 1991 This Program held that the Communist Party is not demanding the establishment of Socialism but rather was advocating the creation of "a new of Socialism but rather was advocating the creation of "a new Government of Peoples Democracy created on the basis of a coalition of all democratic and antifeudal and anti-imperalist forces in the country. The party proposed not only a united front of workers peasants and the petty bourgeo use but also advocated collaboration with "small manufacturers" traders." advocated collaboration with "small manufacturers" traders' and non monopoly capitalists " and indeed the "middle classes' generally in "a mighty Democratic Front" Advocacy of this kind of democratic front has persisted in Community propaganda to the present day. On the other hand the "big" bour geoisie landlords princes and of course foreign "imperalisms" were duly easigated as they have also been in C PT declarations.

were duly castigated as they have also been in CPI declarations to this time. Other Community pronouncements in 1951 including the "Electoral Manifesto" or election platform adopted on August 6 1951 followed the same approach. This Electoral Manifesto" is especially interesting in its ado-cacy that India have a federal system based upon linguistically-determined states thus following the line first propounded by Adhikari in 1912. Meantime in view of the new party line two important events took place. In May 1951 Rao was punished for his continued advocacy of violence in the Telengana area by being removed as General Secretary. He was replaced by Ajoy Ghosh a party hack who had been identified with the more moderate wing of the party But P. C. Joshi was not brought back to an influential position although the Central Commutee had agreed. December 1950 to reconsider his former "heresy" of rightist revisionism. The second event, was the uncondutional sur-redrer of the Communists in Telengana on October 29. 1931. revisionism The section certain was the automatical star-render of the Communists in Telengana on October 22 1931 following negotiations which had begun the preceding July 18th Thu violence was finally eschewed by the CPT and the Communists have not resorted to it as a matter of policy (other than in participation in certain localized root) to the present time

The new non violent approach made it possible for the Com

munists to put up candidates for national and state offices in all parts of India in the elections of 1952 except in the state of Indore where the ban on the party remained in effect. In addition certain dangerous Communists accused of criminal activity remained in detention and could not participate in the campaign. The Communists waged a hard hitting campaign for example in Hyderabad Communist party workers would make lists of landless peasants and draw up an allotiment of lands and houses to be given them in event of Red victory Many peasants were deered by such fair promises and a num ber of Communists were deered by such fair promises and a num ber of Communists formed electoral alliances with various small left wing parties but no support was made in general to the Indian Socialist Party which was now entirely distinct from the National Congress having dropped the name. Congress in the Party Congress at Cawinpore in March 1917 by order of Ghosh himself. The Socialists he held in a circular letter to party members, were generally reactionary but support might be given to certain democrats." in the Socialists Party who had amanfested

292

certain democrats: in the Socialist Farry who has manifestational sacrifice for the cause of the people. As a result of the poll held in February and March 1952. The Communits were able to elect only 23 seats out of 489 to the House of the People the lower house of the Indian Parlia ment as established by the Constitution of January 1950. In wew of the fact that the Congress parry won 562 seats and other seats were widely scattered among various minor parties the Community is took second place in the voting if an exceedingly poor second. Their victories in the national and local legislatures were mainly in the Andhra region of Madras State and in the States of Hyderabad and Travancore-Cochin

in the States of Hyderabad and Travancore-Cochin
Although the Communists were Enidicapped by the merger
of the Socialist Party and the Kisan Mardoor Praja Party
(K W P P) an independent Socialist group in September 1952
which challenged the Communists pointion as the leading opposition to the Congress they were now in a position for the
first time to utilize the national legislature to expose their
views For this purpose the Communists had effective spokemen in the House of the People and the Council of States (the

upper house as provided by the Constitution of 1950, its membership being elected by state legulatures) in A. K. Gopalan and P. Sundarayar respectively Dexterrity in parliamentary maneuers by these leaders, however, has often been offset by undue bousterousness on the part of Communist MPs. Besides, evident prevancations by C.P.I parliamentary leaders in relation to Indian foreign and (chiefly) domestic Indian policies have injured their cause. The importance of their new parlia mentary position to the Communists was evinced by the moving of their party headquarters to Delhi from Madras (it had been moved thirther in 1951 from Bombay) in September, 1952. Encouraged by their modest success in the general elections

of 1952 as well as by the evident improvement in their party's strength, some 300 delegates representing 70,000 card-carrying GP1 members, met at Vadura in Madras State from Decem her?, 1953 to January 3 1954 The meeting brought into the open a surprising fissiparous tendency within the CPJ In view of the notorious unity, at least superficially, which nor mally accompanies Communist confabiliations, this fact was all the more remarkable. The issue involved was whether the princi the more remarkable. The issue involved was whencer inc prime pal oponent of the Indian Communists was American or British 'imperialism' Doubtlessly inspired by Moscow and personally espoused at the conference by none other than the C.P.G.B. head, Harty Pollitt humself, the official line was that American "imperialism" was "The Enemy" However, a determined opposition group led by Rajeiswar Rao, who in spite of his demotion had remained an influential Communist leader, and specifically, leader of the very pro-Mao Tse-tung faction, con specifically, leader of the very pro-Mao Tas-tung faction, con tended that British "imperalisim" was "The Foe." This opposition group, the "Andhra faction" contended that since Britain still maintained a powerful economic influence in India and that American capital formed not more than three to four perent of foreign investments in India at was foolish to call America India a chief enemy. As might have been expected the "Andhra" or "left" group led by Rao and Punniah was defeated by the majority faction led by Ghoth, Dange and E. M. S. Namboodripad who took their orders from Politu who in turn ultimately must have received his from Moscow However, the

fact that there had even been opposition to his viewpoint filled the British Communist leader with wrath and he berated the delegates and their leaders like an angry schoolmaster for letting such a debate be carried on as well as on the allegedly poor organization of the conference This Madura Communist Congress, as revealed in its declarations, followed the line formulated in the statements of 1951 and was concluded by a demonstration supposedly involving 200 000 people on January 5, 1954

stration supposedly involving 200 000 people on January 3, 1954. Commencing in 1951, the Communists endeavored to regain their former position and enhance their influence in the work ers, peasants, students, youth, intellectuals and women's movements in addition, they have endeavored to make the so-called 'peace movement' into a great India wide phenomenon We have seen how the Communist polity of violence disrupted the numerical strength of the AATTUC This Red

organization had also been seriously weakened by the forma tion of the Indian Trade Union Congress (INTUC) under National Congress auspices in May, 1947, the Hind Mazdoor Sabha (H M.S.) under Socialist party inspiration in December, 1948 and the United Trade Union Congress (UT UC.) in April, 1949 under independent Socialist direction Beginning in the autumn of 1951, the Communists sought to attain two basic aims in the labor movement. The first was to strengthen the position of their AITUC, the second was to bring about "trade union unity," ultimately in the form of a merger of all Indian unions into a great trade union federation which the Communists could ultimately come to dominate. In the field of building up Communist led unions the Communists, under Dange, their labor 'expert' and leading force in the AITUC., were successful in obtaining control of unions of white-collar workers such as employees of banks, insurance companies and even the poorer civil servants. Such workers were markedly susceptible to the Communist virus on account of their literacy susceptione to the community virus on account of until nicracy in English They could read Community propaganda emanating from England and Russian English lianguage publications such as "New Times" Besudes they were burdened with poor working conditions. The Communists who maintained their in fluence among the textile workers in the Bombay area also

came to dominate workers' associations in the petroleum and air transport fields. They failed, however, in the railways when the Indian National Railway Workers' Federation affiliated to the INTUC in April, 1955.

To the Interview of the transfer of the Commencing with an appeal in October, 1952, in which the veteran labor leader, N M Joshi who had become a "fellow traveler" took part, Communist have insisted that there be 'unity' in the trade union field The INTUC has atternly held aloof from Communist overtures the HMS and UT-UC have at times and for limited purposes—such as local rallies—collaborated with the AITUC, but a natural suspicion of the Communist' motives especially in view of Indian labor history before 1947, have prevented the Communists from carrying out their designs in regard to labour unity.

Since 1931, as Communists have tried to make their party respectable," they have endeavored to soft pedal the strike movement as compared to the late forties while fomenting a sufficient amount of labor unrest to permit themselves to be regarded as militant in the labor movement. Notable Communist fomented strikes since 1950 have been the trainways workers strike in Galculta in July, 1953 the teachers' strike in Rombay in January, 1956 and in Gujeras in August of that year, the latter disturbances being correlated with the question of linguistic provinces in the area of the former Bombay State. The Communities also have tried, commencing in 1952, to build up on a national basis, their All India Kuan Sabha or Peasants' Union Indeed, in April, 1955, the All India Kuan Federation was re-established and beginning at the end of 1953

The Communists also have treed, commencing in 1952, to build up on a national basis, their All India Xiana Sabha or Peasants' Union Indeed, in April, 1953, the All India Xiana Federation was re-established and beginning at the end of 1953 with a National Conference in New Delhi, this organization has been active in promoting the Communist line among India's peasants. The movement has had its greatest strength in the former Madras State in Southern India, formed from parts of the former Madras State and the State of Travancore-Cochin. Communist have also maintained a rather high degree of influence among peasants in the State of Andhra, formerly the northern part of the Madras State, and in the Telengana area of Hydera bad, and also to the north in the areas of Assian and East

296

Punjab In the last named region Communist pressure brought about a merger of the local Communists with the Lal ('Red') Party, a descendant of the Ghadr Party, in the summer of 1952

The Communists have been busy with the students' and youth movement. Starting in the summer of 1951, preparations were made to enable the All India Students' Federation (A LSF) to expand its activity, particularly by carrying on agitation in Indian universities. In August, 1951, the ALSF began anew its fortinghtly periodical, "The Student," as well publishing a large quantity of bulletins and circulars. Among India's universities, it is interesting to note that Aligarh Muslim University was chosen for a great amount of Communist agitation The A.I.S.F. has been divided into state associations and in turn is a com ponent of the International Students' Federation with head quarters in Prague Annual conferences of the A.I.S.F as well as numerous conferences of its regional associations have been held now for the last several years A great effort has also been made by the CPI in conjunction with the requests of the International World Federation of Democratic Youth to establish various youth front groups Activity for this purpose, which has not had the relative success of that attending the students' movement, has largely been concentrated among young salaried employees

Efforts have also been made by the CPI to establish front organizations in artistic and professional circles Outstanding in the artistic and literary fields have been the 'Indian Peoples' Theatre Association' and the Progressive Writer' Association'. These organizations have done much to give the CPI an tion These organizations have done much to give the C.F.1 an importance amongst intellectuals out of proportion to its strength in the Republic of India as a whole This significance is noteworthly marked in the film industry—the second largest in the world in terms of quantity—index it has been held gets in the world in terms of quantity—index, it has been held that Communist influence in this field is "dominant. Like art, science also has had its Red front in the form of the "Indian Associa tion of Scientific Workers," affiliated to that international Communist front, the "World Federation of Scientific Workers" Communist groups have been formed in both the legal and medical professions, and even businessmen or small capitalists,

an conformity with the Communist line initiated since 1951, esposising apparent favor for them (in January, 1953, the 'C.C." even declared that "national industry must be protected against the competition of foreign goods and concerns,') have been subjected to Communist organizing tactics. But with business men, the previous record of Communists in India and elsewhere, not to speak of the evident ultimate purpose of Communism, has brought about no appreciable Red successes

Organizing women's groups has also been carried on by the C.P.1 Commencing with the "National Preparatory Conference" of women's groups in June, 1953, efforts have been steadily made since then with fair success to inspire enthusiasm for Communism among India's women

But the main front on which the Communists have carried on since 1950 has been the "peace" front Needless to say true pacifist propaganda is ignored but only caustic and virulent often vicious, calumnies against Great Britain and, above all, often vicious, calumnies against Great Britain and, above all, the United States are substituted in its place As early as December, 1950 R. P. Dutt informed his Indian comrades that they must emphasize the "peace movement," so did the alleged 'tactical line" brought back by Comrades Rao Ghosh, Dange and Punniah in their hegica to Moscow early in 1951 Indeed, even before the winter of 1950-1951, under the leadership of Rao the Communists were commencing to exploit the "peac movement." In New Delhi on March 3-4 1951, a National Peace Conference was held and in Calcutta on April 2-6, 1952, Peace Conference was held and in Calcutta on April 26, 1952, an All India Conference for Peace was consend These organizations laid great stress, while the Korean War was still in progress, on alleged American germ warfare and indeed on American attrocties in general The anti-United States line persisted even after the armstice of July, 1955. Emphasis on alleged American intervention in the troublesome Asahmir dispute between India and Pakutan has also been evidenced in this move ment On the more positive side appeals have been made for "summit meetings" and for international bars on atomic weap-ons. The leader of this "peace movement" has been Dr Saif uddin klichlew, who has been not only chairman of meetings of the All India Peace Council, the big "peace" from, within

903 India, but has also participated in meetings held abroad in such cities as Peiping and Vienna. In January, 1955, he received the International Stalin Prize for the promotion of peace among nations. President Lutchlew of the AIPC has been careful enough publicly to disassociate himself from outright Commu-nist activities but there is no doubt that the front which he has his activities out incre is no doubt that the front which com-led, has done probably more to advance the cause of world Com-munism in India than any other organization, including the CP I itself, in recent years. It has done much to mix an ingredient of harsh anti Americanism (and also anti British sentiment which in the historical circumstances is not unnatural) into the essentially neutralist and isolationist spirit of India In this respect the AIPC has done more for the Soviet Union and Communist China than such obvious fronts as the 'Friends of the Soviet Union" and the Indo-China Friendship Association Of course, not all who would be won over to the international Communist view of world affairs, would necessarily thereby become all-out advocates for Communism in India It may be added that the work of these last mentioned groups have been supple-mented by the visits of many cultural" delegations from the U.S.S.R and Red China, especially since 1953 Furthermore, the liberal dissemination of Soviet literature at cheap prices

throughout India has aided the Communist cause In recent years there has been a marked growth in the overall membership of the CPI stemming from its line adopted since membership of the CPI stemming from its line adopted since 1950 and undoubtedly aided by the activity of front groups From the low point of some 20 000 in 1950, the party had attained the number of 70 000 adherents by the summer of 1953 some 100 000 were members of the party at the time of its Palghat Congress in the spring of 1956 and nearly 250 000 were enrolled when the Amitisar Congress was convened in April 1958 In conformity with its growth in card-carrying adherents the

In conformity with its growth in card-carrying adherents the CPI has shown ever greater eagerness to contest elections on the state and national level In February, 1954 in conjunction with the Praja Socialist Party the Communists succeeded in defeating the Congress Party in the State of Travancore Cochin The Socialist however, came to govern the state alone for a year, when their ministry collapsed and the Congress resumed

authority The Communists suffered a severe electoral setback authority. The Committees unterted a severe electron's section in the State of Andhra, the first linguistic state formed in India (in October, 1953) in the election of February, 1955 when they were badly swamped by the Congress Party and its allies Annean the Communist losers for a seat in the state parliament was Basava Punniah, one of the CPI's leading lights. In the Na tional Elections held early in 1957, the Communists gained ground Not only were they able to hold their own as the leading opponent of the Congress in the election for seats in the House of the People but, by a narrow vote, the Communists secured a slender majority in the new linguistic State of Kerala and were able to form a Communist ministry there in the spring of and to form a community manustry there in the spring of 1957 under the premiership of Namboodripad. This ministry was still in existence in the spring of 1959, although it was under increasingly heavy political fire from the Congress, and its hold on the new state was tenuous indeed Its most interesting legislative achievement to date has been the passage of an act strictly regulating education which was held to have been hostile to the interest of Christian schools, Christianity is a strong force in Kerala. The establishment of Kerala along with thirteen other states mostly linguistically based, on November 1, 1956, essentially fulfilled the long held Communist view on the establishment of such states

The Fourth Communist Congress held in the South Indian city of Palghat in April, 1956 in its pronouncements was featured by the continued insistence of the line of the CPI's maintenance of a "united front" with various leftust groups. In addition, the idea of "united front" was to be in part extended to Congress committees because "the Congress has, among its members a vast number of democratic elements," hence, "we must strive to forge united front with Congress committees as well, appealing to the Congress and its masses to hold hands with ui." A policy of collaboration with the Socialist Party (formed by Dr. Ram Lohia late in 1955 who had seceded from the Praja Socialist Party and the Praja Socialist Party was also advocated. Continued hostility to the "monopoly bourgeouse," landlords remnants of feudalism and British capital was manifested, but the relatively friendly attitude heretofore held to

tured The Fifth Communist Congress was held in Amritsar in the Punjab exactly two years later in April 1958 The holding of this conference for the first time in Northwest India was oc casioned by the desire of the Communists to extend their in fluence into areas where the party was weak The party al though locally powerful in kerala Andhra (where it had recovered some ground since the spring of 1955) West Bengal and the city of Bombay (where the Communist S S Mirajkar was elected mayor in that very month of April 1958) was weak in other areas especially in North Central India The Congress in other areas especially in routin central moneratic appearing was featured by the adoption of a very democratic appearing party constitution which emphasized the allegedly peaceful pur poses of the party and by resolutions which spoke only of government control rather than outright nationalization of government control rather than Gourgat national placed the certain great industries which thereby seemingly placed the Communist position close to that of the Congress on this issue On other issues the line followed that laid down by the Madura and Palghat Congresses in respect to domestic affairs and the Communists attitude towards Indian classes. In this conference as in previous Communist Congresses since 1955 general approval was given to the foreign as contrasted with the domestic

pol cy of Nehru pol cy of Nehru

In the summer of 1938 therefore the Communist Party of India was making stelf appear as a kind of legitimate opposition party in respect to the National Congress pledged to the peaceful establishment of socialism in India while going further than many democratic opposition political parties in other countries in supporting the ruling party's foreign policy. It was thus following a modified version of P. C. Joshis "united front from above strategy of 1947 and it is pertunent to note that Joshi himself was once again after a period of secral years disgrace and even banishment from the C.P. I., a prominent member of the party's Central Committee. Actual leadership

however, remains in the hands of Ghosh Namboodripad, how ever, by virtue of his success to date in Kerala, has come to the fore as a Communist leader and he may supplant Ghosh as the leader of the CPI

That the Communists have truly foresworn violence, have become a party of 'loyal opposition," and have abandoned close connection with the Sowies in recent years, however, is a matter real doubt. In late 1955 an interesting document came to light known as the 'Shepilov letter," (Shepilov was the then Soviet Foreign Minister) or 'Zukhov letter," (Zukhov was one of Shepilov's aides who allegedly carried the letter to Delhi for the Indian comrades) which missive was revealed to have contained the following points

 The Communist Party of India should do nothing that would act as an irritant to or interfere with the major diplomatic operations in which Soviet Russia and the world Communist movement are engaged
 The Indian Communist Party should not become unduly

2 The Indian Communist Party should not become unduly perturbed or panicy at the ardent courtship of the In dian Government by their mentors in Moscow and Pei ping nor take their public professions at face value

tain Government by their melions in allowed with reping nor take their public professions at face value

7. The Communist Party of India must prepare for the
time which will inevisably come when it will be possible for Communists within and without India to settle
scores with the present bourgeous Government of India
to the property of the professional profession

Although Indian Communists, naturally enough denied the validity of this letter, their bluster about it, in addition to the general history of the Sowest towards India since 1917, lends credence to its veracity If this letter were valid it indicates that Russia's finefully attitude towards India as manifested by Ahrushchev in his famous visit (together with the now discredited Bulganin) to India in the late autumn of 1955 as well as by his mamble attitude towards Nehru upon the latters visit to Moscow in June, 1955, by the praise in regard to Indian foreign policy in the Sowiet press since 1953 by Sowiet trade pacts with India especially that of December 22, 1955, by Soviet connomic assistance to India in the form of construction various

enterprises notably the Soviet construction of a one million ton steel plant at Bhilai which was first announced in October, 1954 is simply a facade Consequently, the friendly gestures of the Soviets notwithstanding the USSR through the instru mentality of the C.P.I., still has designs on India It may be added that Communist China's conquest of the sub-continent's borderlands, Sinkiang and Tibet between 1949 and 1951 mani festly enchanced the threat of Communism to the Peninsula However, Iran and Afghanistan have remained free, the former being allied to the West

That Pandit Nehru and his Government are not unaware of the Communist danger is plainly evident. The Prime Minister has frequently spoken out against the Indian Communists subsequent to, as well as prior to the adoption of the peaceful tactics of the CPI in 1951 In 1952 while campaigning in Travancore-Cochin in connection with the national elections of that year, he espied a hammer and-sickle flag flying from a palm tree and thereupon caustically commented "What is that loreign flag doing over Indian soil? Five years later, an January, 1957, again campaigning for the Congress the Pandit, while holding that India should eventually manifest a socialist society. severely censured the Communists for the "forceful measures" they had shown in the past. And so recently as May 12, 1958, Nehru bluntly assailed the Communists in a Congress Party conference In addition, it may be noted that India's Minister of Economics Krishnamachari in September, 1957 flatly held that Russia might well aid a Communist revolt in India Thus the Nehru Government which early in its existence passed various severe preventive detention" laws and amendments to the criminal code in order to curb Communist activity, remains aware of the potential Red menace Moreover, the fact that India received at her request a \$225,000,000 loan from the United States in June, 1958, indicates that she is showing no sign of subservience to the Communist bloc. While India remains neutral in the "cold war," by the same token she shows no sign of degenerating into a Soviet satellite in the conduct of her foreign policy as is witnessed by the outcry in Indian official quarters over the cruel execution of the Hungarian patriot,

EPILOCHE.

201

the former Premier, Imre Nagy in June, 1958, as well as over the ruthless Soviet suppression of Hungary twenty months previously India is determined to remain an integral part of the "free world" at all costs and manifest the hard won independence, attained in August, 1947, which made of her a great Asian power

Notes

CHAPTER ONE

1 See Hans Kohn, A History of Nationalism in the East (New York, 1929), p. 142

2 Quoted ibid For another Bolshevik view on India at this time see United States Congress, Senate, Committee on the Judiciary, Bolshevik Propaganda, Hearings 65 3, Pursuant to S Res 439-469, February 11-March 10, 1919, Exhibit 15, p 1187

3 Russian Federated Socialist Soviet Republic, Kommissariat po mostrannim dielam (K M Troyanovsky, editor), Sinyaya Aniga, sbornik tainikh dokumentov izvlechevnikh iz arkhiva

bishago ministerstva mostrannikh diel (Moscow, 1918) p 6 4 Ibid, p 8

- 5 Ibid
- 6 Ibid , p 11 7 Ibid p 12
- 8 K Cummings and Petit, W W (editors), Russian Ameri can Relations (New York, 1920), pp 258 ff

9 Quoted in The First Congress of the Third International (Glascow, 1919) pp 67

10 Karl Marx and Engels Friedrich, Manifesto of the Com munist Party (New York, 1932) p 10

11 New York Tribune, July 11, 1853 p 1

12 New York Tribune, July 2, 1853, p 1

18 Karl Marx Capital, Vol II, Ch XXV, Section 44 (The text and specific page references vary to a certain extent in the several editions of this work which are available in English)

14 harl Marx, Writings, 1X, 939 Official Russian edition as quoted in A M Dyakov, Natsionalnii vopros i angliishii im perializm v Indii (Moscow, 1918), p 7

15 See New York Tribune, August 10, 1853, p 2

16 See New York Tribune, August 8, 1853, p 2 17 See Dyakov op cit, p 8 See also harl Marx and Engels, Friedrich, Korrespondentisa Karl Marksa i Friedrich Engelsa c rosskumi politicheskumi deatelam (Moscow, 1917) p 90

18 See Dona Torr, editor, The Correspondence of Marx and

Engels (New York, 1934), p 399

19 Vladimir I Lenin, Writings, VI, 26, (Russian edition) as quoted in Dyakov, op cit, p 31

20 Vladimir I Lenin, Writings, XVI, 383 384, (Russian edi

tion) as quoted in Dyakov, op cit, p 10

- 21 See Government of India (L F Rushbrook Williams editor), India in 1919 (Delhi, 1920) pp 7 ff W K Fraser editor), India in 1919 (Deini, 1520) pp. 192 ff. Louis Fischer, Tytler, Afghanistan (London 1953) pp. 192 ff. Louis Fischer, Soviets in World Affairs (Princeton New Jersey 1951) II, 285, and Joseph Castagne, Soviet Imperalism in Afghanistra, Foreign Affairs, (Vol. XIII, No. 4, July 1935) p. 689 For the Communist view see Fedor Raskolnikov, "The War in Afghani stan," Labour Monthly (Vol I, No 3 March, 1929), pp 179 ff
- 22 The news of the wireless message is recorded in the New York Times of May 10, 1919, p 1 As to the reception of the Afghan envoy in Tashkent, see F M Bailey, Mission to Tash

kent (London, 1916) p 169 23 Bailey op cit, pp 170 ff

24 Raskolinkov, loc cit, p 182

 Bailey, op cit, p 175
 P T Etherson In the Heart of Asia (London, 1925), pp 227 228

27 See Bailey, op cst , p 194 and pp 200 ff See also Etherton, op cit, pp 164 228 and 233

28 Kohn op cit, p 133

29 For the complete text of the treaty ending the Third Anglo-Afghan War of 1919 see Government of India A Col C. Auchison) (Calcutta 1933) XIII, 286-288
30 See M N Roy, Memoirs, Radical Humanut (Calcutta).

(Vol XVII, No 45 November 8, 1953) pp 531 535 See also Sir George MacMunn, Turmoil and Tragedy in India, 1914 and After (London, 1935) pp 49 ff

31 See A. R. Desai, Social Background of Indian Nationalism (Bombay 1918) p 308 D P Mukery, I sees and Counter I sent (Lucknow, 1916) p. 193, L. S. S. O Malley, Modern India and

32 Bailey, op cst, pp 7-8

33 Ibid , p 223

34 Roy, loc. cit. p 535

35 See K Fuse, Soviet Policy in the Orient (Peiping, 1927), p 8

36 Castagne, loc cit, p 701, Etherton, op cit, p 237

37 New York Times, November 27, 1919, p 4

38 M N Roy, 'Memours," Amrita Bazar Patrika (Calcutta),

February 24, 1952, p 10 89 Paresh Nath, "M N Roy, India's One Man Party," Asia,

(Vol XLIII, No 5, May, 1943), pp 151 ff 40 M N Roy, 'Memoirs," Radical Humanist, (Vol XVII,

No 6, February 8 1953) pp 365 If 41 Chandra Chakraberty, New India (Calcutta, 1951), p 34

42. M N Roy, "Memoirs,' Radical Humanist, (Vol XVII,

No 7, February 15, 1953) pp 379-380 See also Evelyn Roy, 'Indian Political Exiles in France," Labour Monthly, (Vol. VII, No 4, April, 1925), p 209, Haribur Rahman, 'Communism in India," Living Age, (Vol. CCCIXI., No 4430, November, 1935), p 237, Nath, loc. cit, pp 151 ff, an article entitled "A

Pupil of the Soviet' in the Times (London), February 25, 1930, p 15, and another article in the Times (London), entitled "A Communist Plot in India-the Activities of Roy," of January 12, 1925, p 11

43 M N Roy, "Memoirs Radical Humanist, (Vol XVII, No 32, August 9, 1953), p 379 and also see his "Memoirs," in Radical Humanist, (Vol. XVII. No. 45, November 8, 1953) p 535

44 M N Roy, "Memoirs," Amnta Bazar Patrike, May 18 1952, pp 9-10 and also see his "Memoirs," in Amrila Bazar Patrika, February 24, 1952, p 10 45 M N Roy, Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, May 18,

1952, pp 9-10

46 See Bailey, op cit, p 145 47 Etherton, op est, p 160

48 Ibid

49 See United States Department of State, Second Gongress of the Third International (as reported verbatim from the Russian press), (Washington D C., 1920), pp 41 ff

NOTES 307 50 M N Roy Memoirs Amrita Bazar Patrika April 6

1952 p 6 51 United States Department of State op cit pp 41 ff

52 M N Roy Memoirs Amrita Bazar Patrika June 6

1952 p 9 53 Leo Pasvolsky Russia in the Far East (New York, 1922) p 74 Robert Payne Red Storm over Asia (New York, 1951)

p 12 54 See A Lobanov Rostovsky Russia and Asia (Ann Arbor

Michigan 1951) p 282 and see Payne op cit p 8
55 M N Roy Memoirs Amnta Bazar Patrika August 3

1952 p 1 (supplement)

56 M N Roy Memoirs Amrita Batar Patrika June 3

1952 p 9 57 See Pasvolsky op cit pp 75 ff and George Lenczowski Russia and the West in Iron 1918 1948 (Ithaca New York

1948) p 6 58 Pasvolsky op cit pp 93 94

59 Ibid

60 See Pasvolsky op cit pp 76 ff and Lenczowski op cit p 7

61 See Lencrowski op est p 7

62 M N Roy Memoirs Amrita Bazar Patrika July 13

1952, pp 1 ff (supplement) 63 M N Roy Memoirs, Amrita Bazar Patrika June 29

1952 pp 9 10 64 The defeat of Russia in Poland in the autumn of 1920 blocked the path of Communism to the West Only the East blocked the pain of Communication to the West Only the Last remained as an area open to Soviet aggression For details of Russias defeat in the West see A J Grant and Temperley Harold Europe in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries

(London 1910) p 561 Lenin held that capitalism could only survive through the colonial system Hence were Britain to lose India through Roy's colonial system renew were braint to lose made atmosphered plan British capitalism would suffer a very serious blow On Lenins thesis on capitalism and colonialism see his Imperalism (New York 19%) passim and especially pp 65-66 65 M. N. Roy "Memoirs," Annita Baar Patrika July 27

1952 p 1 ff. (supplement) 66. Ibid

67 Ibid

- 308 68 The Times (London), February 25, 1930, p 15
- 69 M N Roy, 'Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, March 15, 1953, pp 1 ff (supplement) See also The Times (London), February 25, 1930, p 15 in which is recounted the experiences of the Mujahir, Abdul Oadir Khan
- 70 M N Roy, 'Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, March 15, 1953, pp I ff (supplement), The Times (London), February 25,
- 1930 p 15
- 71 M N Roy, "Memoirs, Amrita Bazar Patrika, March 29, 1953, pp 1 ff (supplement), The Times (London), February 25,
- 1930, p 15 72 M N Roy, 'Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, March 29,
- 1953, pp I ff (supplement)
- 73 M N Roy, Memoirs, Amrita Bazar Patrika, April 12, 1953, pp 1 ff (supplement)
- 74 M N Roy, Memoirs" Amrita Bazar Patrika, April 12,
- 1953, pp 1 ff (supplement) See also his "Memoirs,' June 28, 1953, pp ff (supplement) in ibid
- 75 As regards Fazi I Ilanı Qurban see Minoo R. Masani, The Communist Party of India (New York, 1954) p 22 Concerning Shaukat Usmani's view of Roy see Government of India, Judg
- ment Meerut Conspiracy Case (Simla, 1932), I, 66 76 The Times (London), February 4, 1921, p 9
- 77 M N Roy, Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, August 31, 1952 p 1 (supplement)
- 78 The Times (London), February 3, 1921, p 9
 - 79 Etherton, op cit, pp 187 ff
 - 80 Lenczowski op cit, pp 51, 81
 - 81 Ibid , pp 103 ff
 - 82 Ibid, p 59
- 83 See Alexander Barmine, Memoirs of a Soviet Diplomat (London 1936) p 123
- 84 The Times (London), September 21, 1921, p 12
- 85 The ratification occurred in August, 1921 See Fraser Tytler, op cit, p 199 86 Lobanov Rostovsky, op cit, p 289

 - 87 The Times (London) September 21, 1921, p 12
- 88 See an article by Ikbal Ali Shah of a rather alarming and sensational character The Bolshevik Advance on India, Independent (Vol CVIII No 3804, February 11, 1922) pp

13 ff See also M N Roy, "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika,

August 10, 1952, pp 11 12 89 M N Roy, "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, March 29, 1953, pp I ff (supplement) See also his "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, April 12, 1953, pp 1 ff (supplement)

90 The Times (London), February 25, 1930, p 15 91 M N Roy, "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, March 29, 1953, p 1 (suplement) See also his "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, April 26, 1953, p I (supplement)

92 Etherton, op cit, pp 161 162 and pp 230 ff

93 Ibid, p 239

91 Lenczowski, op cit, pp 59 ff

95 Ibid, p 106

96 Government of India, (J Rushbrook Wiliams, editor) India in 1920 (Delhi, 1921), pp 5-6

97 Ibid, p 5

98 M N Roy, "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, August 3,

1952, pp 1 2 (supplement) 99 The Times (London), February 16, 1921, p 9

100 For details of the note of March 16, 1921, see The Times

(London), March 17, 1921, p 11 101 Ibid

102 Government of India (J Rushbrook Williams, editor), India in the Years 1922 1923 (Delhi, 1923), pp 29 30 103 M. N Roy, "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, May, 3,

1953, pp I ff (supplement)

101 For details see United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Foreign Office, A Selection of Papers Dealing with the Relations between H. M. Government and the Russian

Government, Cmd 2895 (London, 1927), pp 412 105 See Government of India, A Collection of Treaties, En gagements and Sanads, XIII, pp 288 296 especially see p 296 in regard to Afghanistan's willingness not to admit establishment of Soviet consulates. This treaty was followed in the spring of 1922 by the formal exchange of diplomatic relations between London and habul (India in 1922 1923, p. 50) See also on this subject an article by Shaw Desmond, "India Pictured as a Radical Volcano," New York Times, February 21, 1921, Section VIII, p. 8

106 See W P. and Zelda Coates, Soviets in Central Ana

(London, 1951), p 20

107 See M N Roy, 'Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, April 12,

1953, pp 1 ff (supplement) 108 Government of India (I Rushbrook Williams, editor) India in 1921 (Delhi, 1922), p 2 See also Lobanov Rostovsky, ob at. p 284

109 Castagne, loc cit, p 800

110 M N Roy, 'Memoirs Amrita Bazar Patrika, August 31, 1952, pp 1 ff (supplement) See also Fuse, op cit, p 121

111 India in 1921, p 3

112 M N Roy, Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika May 3,

1953, p I (supplement)

113 Government of India (I Rushbrook Williams, editor) India in the Years 1923 1924 (New Dehli, 1924), p 30

114 India in 1921, p 4

115 W P and Zelda Coates A History of Anglo-Soviet Re lations (London, 1944) pp 61 ff

CHAPTER TWO

1 For details, see the text of the note in The Times (Lon don) September 21, 1921, p 12

2 M N Roy, Memoirs," Amnta Bazar Patrika, May 3, 1953, pp 1 ff (supplement) See also his "Memoirs," in Radical

Humanist (Vol. XVII No 45 November 9, 1953), pp 534 ff 3 M N Roy, "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, May 3,

1953, pp 1 ff (supplement)

4 Shaw Desmond in the New York Times, February 24 1924, Section VIII, p 3

5 M N Roy, Memoirs ' Amnta Bazar Patrika, April 26,

1953, pp I ff (supplement)

6 M N Roy, Viemoirs" Amrita Bazar Patrika May 3 1953, pp 1 if (supplement) See also The Times (London) February 25 1930, p 15

7 M N Roy, Memoirs Amrita Bazar Patrika, April 26,

1953 pp 1 ff (supplement) 8 16.1 9 M N Roy, "Memoirs Amrita Bazar Patrika, Way 3

1953 pp I ff (supplement) hidi 01

11 Third Congress of the Communist International, June

22-July 12 1921 (New York, 1921) p 21

12 Ibid 13 M N Roy, Memoirs' Amrita Bazar Patrika June 28,

1953, pp 1 ff (supplement) See also Kohn op cit, p 149
14 M N Roy, Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, June 28,

1953 pp 1 (f (supplement)

15 Ibid 16 Ibid

17 Ibid

18 M N Roy, "Memoirs, Amrita Bazar Patrika, April 12, 1953, pp 1 ff (suplement) 19 M N Roy, "Memoirs Amrita Bazar Patrika, June 28,

1953, pp I ff (supplement)
20 M N Roy, Memoirs Amrita Bazar Patrika, July 19

1953, pp 1 ff (supplement)

21 As quoted in R Palme Dutt, India To-day (London,

1940) p 321 22 M N Roy, 'Memoirs,' Amrita Bazar Patrika, July 19,

1953, pp 1 ff (supplement)
23 M N Roy, "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, July 19, 1953, pp 1 ff (supplement) See also Subhas C. Bose, The Indian

Struggle (London, 1934), p 86 24 Evelyn Roy, 'The Crisis in Indian Nationalism ' Labour

Monthly, (Vol II, No. 2, February 1922), pp 351 355
25 Quoted in S D Punekar, Trade Unionism in India (Born.

bay 1918) p 91

26 M N Roy, "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, July 26. 1953, pp 1 ff (supplement)

27 The Times, (London) February 26, 1930 p 15 28 Ibid

29 1bid

30 The Times, (London) February 27, 1930, pp 15-16 31 Ibid

52 M N Roy, "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, August 25. 1953 pp 1 ff (supplement) See also The Times (London), February 26, 1930, p 15

33 See Abani Mukhern, "The Moplah Rising," Communist Review, (Vol 11, No 5, March, 1922), p \$75 ff See also The Times (London), October 15, 1922, p 15
51 \ N Roy, One Year of Non-Go-operation from Ahmeda

bad to Gaya (Calcutta, 1923), p 60

512 35 M N Roy, "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, April 26,

1953, pp 1 ff (supplement) 36 United States Government, Office of Strategic Services,

- Research and Analysis Branch (R & A) No 2681, The Com munist Party of India (Washington D C., 1915), p 65
- 37 M N Roy 'Memoirs,' Amrita Bazar Patrika, August 23, 1953, pp 1 ff (supplement) 38 O Malley, op cit, p 105

39 A M Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle itoroi mirovoi

voini (Moscow, 1952), p. 297

- 40 See Government of the United Lingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, Judgment of the High Court of Judica ture at Allahabad in the Revolutionary Conspiracy Case, Cmd. 2309 (London, 1924), pp 2 ff
- 41 Raj Chadha Tilak, 'Punjab Communists, an Analysis," Thought, (Vol. IV, No. 21, July 19, 1952) p. 5, and also

Thought, (Vol IV, No 31, August 2, 1952), p 7 42. Communist Party of Great Britain, The Fourth Congress

of the Communist International, November 7-December 3 1922 (London, 1923) pp 208 ff

43 Ibid , p. 223

- 44 Ibid, p 296
- 45 Sec Kohn, op cit., pp 148 149
- 46 See M N Roy, One Year of Non Co-operation from Ale medabad to Gaya, pp 106-111
 - 47 Times of India (Bombay) December 22, 1922, p 4
 - 48 Amrita Basar Patrika, December 27, 1922 p 4
- 49 For details see an article in the Comintern organ, Inter national Press Correspondence, (Vol. III, No. 31, April 5, 1923)
- p. 275 For another approach to the workers see the article 'To the Workers of All Countries, International Press Cor respondence (Vol. III, No 24 March 11, 1923), p 190
- 50 The Tribune (Lahore) April 24 1924, p 9 See also The Tribune (Lahore), May 9 1924 p 2
 - 51 The Tribune (Lahore), April 24, 1924, pp 3-4 52 The Tribune (Lahore) March 24 1924, p 3
- 53 The Tribune (Lahore), March 21, 1921, p 3 Roy believed at this time that the nationalist bourgeoisie should be assisted in the battle against imperialism. See his article, "Anti Imperial ist Struggle in India,' in the Communist International (Vol I,

No 6 1923) p 92

54 The Tribune (Lahore), November 7, 1924, p 2 55 M N Roy, One Year of Non Co-operation from Ahmeda

bad to Gaya, pp 150 ff 56 Bose, op cit, p 106

57 The Tribune (Lahore), April 26, 1924, p. 2.

58 The Tribune (Lahore) April 25, 1924, p 2 Also see Government of India (J Rushbrook Williams, editor), India in the Years 1923 1924, (New Delhi, 1924) p 80

59 The Tribune (Lahore) April 25, 1924, p 2. 60 The Tribune (Lahore) May 8, 1924, p 2

61 Government of India ([Rushbrook Williams editor), India in the Years 1924 1925 (New Delhi, 1925), p 100

62 India in the Years 1923 1924, p 80

63 See an article in the Literary Digest, "The Bolshevik Menace to India' (Vol LXXXVIII, No 12, March 20 1926),

pp 16-17 61 The Tribune (Lahore) April 25, 1924, p 2

65 Philip Spratt, "The Indian Trade Union Movement," Labour Monthly, (Vol IX, No 10, October, 1927) p 613

66 The Tribune (Lahore), May 8, 1924 p 2 67 Joseph Stalin Marxism and the National Question (New York, 1912) p 151

68 See The Times (London) May 13, 1923, p 12, and May

16 1923, p 12. 69 Evelyn Roy, 'Indian Political Exiles in France,' Labour

Monthly, (Vol. VII, No. 4, April, 1925) p 209 70 For details see W P and Zelda Coates, A History of

Anglo-Societ Relations, pp 114 ff

71 See Government of United Lingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland Blue Book A Selection of Papers Dealing with the Relations of His Majesty's Government and the Soviet Government, 1923 1927, cmd 2895 (London, 1927) pp 20-21 See also Fischer, op cit, 1, 448

72. The Tribune (Lahore) April 26, 1921, pp 23

73 The Tribune (Lahore) May 6, 1921, p 2

74 W P and Zelda Coates, A History of Anglo-Soviet Re lations, pp 150 If Replaced Tory Baldwin Govt, Jan., 1921 75 Joseph Stalin, Foundations of Leninism, (New York, 1939) p 37

76 The Tribune (Lahore) March 21, 1924, p 5

77 The Tribune (Lahore) March 19, 1924, p 3

- 78 The Times (London), April 19, 1921 p 11
- 79 The Tribune (Lahore), March 19, 1921, p 3
- 80 The Times (London), March 19, 1924, p 13 81 The Times (London), April 24, 1924, p. 11, May 12, 1924. p. 18
 - 82 The Times (London), May 22, 1924, p. 15

83 The Tribune (Lahore), May 22, 1924, p 2

84 The Times (London), May 20, 1924, p 8 See also Fuse, op cit, p 138

85 The Times (London), November 22, 1924, p. 12.

86 For the text of M N Roy's tirade see his "An Open Letter to Prime Minister MacDonald," Communist Review,

(Vol V., No 3, July, 1924), pp 120 ff 87. Ibid This followed an earlier pronouncement by Roy 81. 191a This followed an earlier pronounterior of soft which he bitterly attacked the "betrayal of India by the Labour Government." See M. N. Roy, "India and the British Labour Government," Labour Monthly, (Vol. VI, No. 4, April,

1924), pp 200 ff

88 Communist Party of Great Britain (published for the Communist International) From the Fourth to the Fifth World Congress, (Report of the Executive Committee of the Commu nut International) (London, 1924), p. 69

89 Ibid . p 68

90 Ibid p 103

91 For the complete statement by Judges Measers and Piggott see "Government of Great Britain and Northern Ireland," Judgment of the High Court of Judicature at Allahabad in the Revolutionary Conspiracy Case, Cmd. 2309 (London, 1924), p 2

CHAPTER THREE

1 Payne, ob cit, p 113

2 Communist Party of Great Britain, Fifth Congress of the Communist International (London, 1924), pp 96-98 3 Ibid

4 Ibid. p 49

5 Executive Committee of the Communist International, 'Resolution on the Oriental Question' (adopted by the E.C.L. March 4, 1922) International Press Correspondence (Vol II, No 29 April 25, 1922), p 22.

- 6 Fifth Congress of the Communist International, p 155 7 Government of the United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland, House of Commons, Communist Papers, Documents selected from those obtained on the Arrest of certain British Communist Leaders on October 14 and 21, 1925, Parlia mentary Publications, 192, Vol XXIII (Accounts and Papers)
- Cmd 2682, (London, 1926) p 96 8 Ibid

9 Ibid, p 81 See also The Tribune (Lahore), December 4 1929 p 2

10 House of Commons of cit, p 96

11 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, pp 23

12 Ibid . p 61

13 For details of this strike see Radakamal Mukerice, The Indian Working Class (Bombay, 1951) p 375, and for Com munit progagnda about it see Evelyn Roy, Some Facts about the Bombay Strike, Labour Monthly, Vol VI, No 5, May, 1921), pp 293 ff and an editorial entitled "Indian Textile Workers Strike," Labour Monthly, (Vol VII, No 12, December, 1923) p 761 In the latter article it in stated that to the strikers 'the All Russian Textile Union sent financial aid

14 From the Fourth to the Fifth Congress, p 69

15 Workers and Peasants' Party of Bengal, A Call to Action (Calcutta, 1928) p 39

16 Ibid

17 Ibid

18 Ibid 19 Ibid p 50

20 See R. Palme Dutt, "The Path to Proletarian Hegemony in the Indian Revolution" Communist International, (Vol. VII. No. 14, December 1, 1930) pp 312 ff, and an article entitled 'India—North West Railway Strike," Labour Monthly, (Vol. VII, No. 8 August, 1925) pp 504 505

21 India-North West Railway Strike," loc cit, pp. 501 505 22 R. Palme Dutt, "The Path to Proletarian Hegemony in

the Indian Revolution," loc. cit, p 312
23 See Government of India, Judgment Meerut Conspiracy

Case, 11, 76

21 B Pattabhi Sitaramayya, The History of the Indian Na tional Congress Vol I, (Madras, 1935), p 228

25 Unsigned article, "The Cawnpore Conference," Near East

and India, (Vol. XXIX, No. 765, January 14, 1926), pp. 43-44 26 Ibid

27 Unsigned article, "The Indian Communist Party," Near East and India (Vol. XXIX, No. 768 February 4, 1926), p. 142

28 Nripendra Nath Mitra, The Indian Annual Register, 1925. 11. 371

29 Covernment of India, Indement Meerut Conspiracy Case,

11. 76 50 The Tribune (Lahore), December 4, 1929, p 2

51 The Tribune (Lahore), June 26, 1929, p 1 See also The

Times (London), January 12, 1926, p 16

32 The Tribune (Lahore) June 26, 1929, p 1, The Times (London) December 4, 1929, p 13

33 The Tribune (Lahore) December 7, 1929, p 1

51 1bid

55 The Times (London), December 4, 1929, p. 13

36 R. Palme Dutt, Modern India (London, 1927), pp. 9 ff

37 Ibid . p 13

38 fbid , pp 15-16 and 75 ff

39 Ibid, p 87.

40 Ibid , pp 153 ff

41 1bid pp 145 146

42. Ibid , pp 133 ff

43 1bid

44 The Tribune (Lahore), December 4, 1929, p 2, July 2,

1932, p 2, The Times (London), December 4, 1929 p 13 45 The Tribune (Lahore) December 4, 1929, p. 2, July 2,

46 The Tribune (Lahore) July 2, 1932, p 2 Also see Govern ment of India (John Coatman, editor) India in the Years, 1926-

1927, (New Delhi, 1927) p 289 47 The Tribune (Lahore) July 2, 1932, p 2 Also see R.

Palme Dutt, The Problem of India (New York, 1913) p 159 for the Communist chronology on the formation of the workers' and peasants' parties

48 Workers and Peasants' Party of Bengal, op cit, p 47 49 Ibid, p 50

50 Ibid See also The Tribune, (Lahore) February 2, 1930,

p 2 51 Desai op cit, p 324

52 Spratt, loc est , p 613

53 A. M Dyakov, Indiya : Pakistan (Moscow, 1950) p 21 54 Spratt, loc ett, p 619

55 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 62

56 Judgment Meerut Conspiracy Case, 1, 88

57 The Times (London), December 4, 1929, p 3 Also see Government of India, (John Coatman editor) India in the Years, 1927 1928, (New Delhi, 1928) p 341

58 The Tribune (Lahore) June 19, 1929, p 1

59 The Tribune (Lahore) July 2, 1932, p 1, December 4, 1929, p 2, December 7, 1929, p 1 60 The Tribune (Lahore) June 29, 1929 p 2

61 Judgment Meerut Conspiracy Case, I, 155

63 Ibid

64 The Tribune (Lahore) June 26, 1929 p 1, December 4 1929 p 2, February 7 1930, p 1 65 The Tribune (Lahore) December 7, 1929, p 1

66 The Times (London), January 16 1930, p 11 67 India in the Years 1926 1927, p 290

68 R. Palme Dutt, India To-day, p. 373 Spratt, loc ett, pp.

619-620 69 Mitra, The Indian Annual Register, (July December, 1927)

11. 91

70 Ibid . II. 416

71 The Times (London), December 4, 1929, p. 18 72 See The Times (London), November 29, 1927, p 8

73 Spratt, loc cit , p 619

74 For the history of the Indian Communist press, including that press at this time see New Age, the present-flay leading English language Communist organ of October 4 1953, pp. 34 For activities of the Bombay Workers' and Peasants Party see. The Tribune (Lahore), December 7, 1929, p 1 and R. Palme Dutt India To-day, p 378

75 The Times (London) August 3, 1929, p 9

76 Workers' and Peasants' Party of Bengal, op cit., p 50 27 Ibid

78 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 62

79 R Palme Dutt India To-day p 378 80 The Tribune (Lahore) December 6 1929, p 1, R. Palme Dutt, India To-day, p. 378

'81 Workers' and Peasants' Party of Bengal, op cit, p 50 See also India in the Years 1926 1927, p 290 82 Spratt, foc. cit. p 619

83 The Tribune (Lahore) December 5, 1929, p 1

81 Office of Strategic Services ob cit, p 61 85 The Tribune (Lahore), December 7, 1929, p I

86 The Tribune (Lahore), December 5, 1929, p 1

87 Government of India (John Coatman, editor), India in the Years 1927 1928. (New Della, 1928), p 341

88 Workers' and Peasants' Party of Bengal, op cit, pp 3-4

89 Ibid, pp 5-6 90 Ibid, pp 6-7

91 Ibid, p 10 92 Ibid, pp 11 ff

93 Ibid, p 23 94 Ibid, pp 11 ff

95 Ibid . pp 33 ff

96 Ibid, pp 31 32 97 Ibid , pp 36 ff

98. The Tribune (Lahore), December 6, 1929, p 1

99 The Times (London), December 9, 1929 p 11

100 R. Palme Dutt, India To-day, p 357

101 Ibid

102 Judgment Meerut Conspiracy Case, I, 177

103 See Clement P Dust, "The Struggle for Independence," Labour Monthly (Vol. X. No 3 March, 1928) pp 155 ff. See also Desai, op cit, p 194

101 See the unsigned article, "All India Trade Union Con gress," Labour Monthly, (Vol. IX, No. 17, July, 1927), pp. 443-445

105 Ibid

106 See the unsigned article, "All India Trade Union Con gress, Labour Monthly, (Vol. X. No. 4, April, 1928) PP 251 253

107 Ibid

108 See the message of "The R.I.L.U to the Eighth All India Congress of Trade Unions," International Press Cor respondence (Vol. VII, No 68, December 1, 1927) p 1539

109 Mitra The Indian Annual Reguler, July December, 1928,

11. 150

110 India in the Years 1926 1927, p 289, Bose, op cit, p 178 III "S' "Trial of Indian Revolutionaries," Communist Inter

national, (Vol VI, No 20 September 1, 1932), p 789 112 See Jack Ryan, Report on Indian Trade Unionsism," Far Eastern Bulletin Pan Pacific Trade Union Secretariat, January 16, 1929, p 5 See also Jawaharlal Nehru (New York, 1942), the Autobiography of Jawaharlal Nehru (New York, 1942).

p 142

113 See John Coatman, Years of Destiny (London, 1932), p 200 See also Bose, op cit, p 178

114 Bose, op cit, p 178

115 Ibid

116 K S Shelvankar, The Problem of India (London, 1940) p 214

117 See the unsigned articles, South Indian Railway Strike," Labour Monthly, (Vol. X., No. 10, October, 1928) pp. 636-639, and "Lillocah Railway Strike," Labour Monthly, (Vol. X., No. 9, September, 1928), pp. 572-575

118 Office of Strategic Services, op ett., p 49
119 See M Singh, "The Struggle of the Indian Textile Work-113 See Al Singn, The Strugge of the Indata Texture workers' Labour Monthly, (Vol XVI, No 6, June, 1934), pp 346, ff, R. Palme Dutt, India To-day, pp 384-385, Boxe, op cit, p 177, and an unsigned article, 'The General Strike in the Bombay Textile Mills' Labour Monthly, (Vol X, No 11, November, 1928) pp 698 ff

120 Singh, loc cit, p 349

121 R. Palme Dutt, India To-day, p 375

122 Coatman, op cit, p 200

123 See Edward Thompson and Garatt, G T., Rise and Full filment of British Rule in India (London, 1910) p 629, The Tribune (Lahore), September 13, 1928, p 4, and The Times (London), January 19, 1929, p 11 124 Shelvankar, op cit, p 214

125 R Palme Dutt, India To-day, pp 322, 374 126 See the articles by 'Valia," "The Development of the

Communist Movement in India," Communist International, (Vol. X, No 3, February 1935) p 80 and 'W," "Prospects of the Labour Movement in India" Communist International, (Vol. VII, No 12, October 15, 1930), p 257 See also R. Palme

Dutt. The Problem of India, p 160

127 The Tribune (Lahore), December 6, 1929, p 1

128 Shelvankar, op cit, p 221 129 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 51 130 See Lester Hutchinson, Conspiracy at Meerut (London,

1935), pp 7 ff

131 The Times (London), December 9 1929, p 11

132 See Jawaharlal Nehru, The Discovery of India (New York, 1936), p 342, and Edward Thompson, Ethical Ideas in India To-day (London 1942), pp 22, 32 33 133 Wilfred C Smith, Modern Islam in India, (Lahore, 1943)

PP 104, 244

134 Nehru, Toward Freedom, the Autobiography of Jawahar

lal Nehru (New York, 1942), pp 123 ff

135 Jawaharlal Nehru, Soviet Russia (Bombay, 1929), pp 25, 97

136 Ibid , pp 2, 126, 129 131

137 Sitaramayya, op cit, I, 51, Bose, op cit, p 168 138 As quoted in Nehru, Soviet Russia, pp 131 132

140 M N Roy, 'Memoirs" Radical Humanist, (Vol XVIII,

No 45, November 8 1953), pp 534 535

141 See Evelyn Roy, 'Indian Political Exiles in France" loc cit, p 205 142 Ibid, p 207

143 New York Times, September 16, 1928 Section III, p 7 144 The Times (London), May 27, 1925, p 15

146 House of Commons op cit, pp 81, 81 ff

147 M N Roy, The Future of Indian Politics, (London, 1926) pp 15 ff

148 Ibid It may be noted on this question Roy succinctly stated in an article, 'New Economic Policy of British Imperial

ism-its Effect on Indian Nationalism, Communist International, (Vol 11, No 21, 1926) p 70 Bourgeois nationalism of India has ended in a complete compromise with imperialism as was predicted by those who judged the situation with Marxian

149 M N Roy The Future of Indian Politics, p 117

151 See M N Roy, My Experience in China (Calcutta, 1915). PP 35 ff

- 152 India in the Years 1926 1927, p 290
- 153 See New York Times, March 1, 1927, p 4
 - 154 See Shelvankar, op cit, p 48
- 155 India in the Years 1926 1927, p 290 156 Ibid
- 157 Mitta The Indian Annual Register, July December, 1928, II, 171 ff
- 158 Indoment Meerut Constitute Case, I 189
- 158 Judgment Meerut Conspiracy Case, I 189 159 Ibid
- 160 Royal Institute of International Affairs Soviet Documents on Foreign Policy, (London, 1952) II, 48
 - 161 Ibid 162 Ibid, 11, 96 ff
- 163 India in the Years 1927 1928, p 543
- 164 See the unsigned article, 'The General Strike in the Bom bay Textile Mills Labour Monthly, (Vol X, No 11, November, 1928) pp 698 ff See also Masani op cit, p 28
- 165 See the unsigned article, 'Soviet Aid for Indian Strikers''
 Literary Digest (Vol XCVIII, No 5 August 4, 1928), p 19
 See also Masani op cit, p 28
 - 166 S D Punekar op ett, pp 209 210
- 167 A kuusinen Imperialisticheskii gnet i problemi revolutivonnogo diviheniya v kolonialnikh stranakh, Novui Jotok (Vol VI, No. 23 24, October, 1928), pp VII XXX, Saldar, "In dia no VI Kongress Kominterna" Ibid, pp L-LXII, M Rafail, Problemi indiuskoi revolutui, "Ibid, pp 1 24, T Rink" Problemi obroni India, "Ibid, pp 25 54
- Thus there were in this issue of Navii I ostok no fewer than four articles on the Communists in India
- than four articles on the Communists in India See also Safdar, 'Hindu Mussulman Strife,' Communist
- International, (Vol. IV, No. 5, March 30, 1927) pp. 68-71, 168. The Tribune (Lahore), July 2, 1932, p. 2.
- 169 Communist International, The Communist International between the Fifth and Sixth World Congress, 1921 1923 published for the Communist International by the Communist
- Party of Great Britain (London, July, 1928), p 476 170 Grigorii Agabekov, OGPU, the Russian Secret Terror,
- (New York, 1931), pp 201202 171 See Communist International, "Sixth Congress of the Communist International," in International Press Correspond ence (Vol VIII, No 32, September 1, 1923), p 1200 See also

Communist Party of France, La Correspondence Internationale Compte Rendu stenographique du VI seme Congress de L'In ternationale communiste (Paris, 1928), p 43

172. Judgment Meerut Conspiracy Case. I. 235

173 Ibid

174 New York Times, August 17, 1928, p 23

175 Communist International, "Thesis on the Revolutionary Movement in the Colonies and Semi-Colonies," International Press Correspondence, (Vol VIII, No 49, December 12, 1928). p 1659

176 Ibid, p 1661

177 Ibid , pp 1664 1666

178 Ibid , p 1668

179 Ibid, p 1670 180 Ibid, p 1671

181 Judgment Meerut Conspiracy Case, I. 238

182 Ibid The acceptance of the new line on India by Britain's Communists is indicated by Clement P Dutt's article, "The Indian League for Independence," Labour Monthly, (Vol XI,

No 1, January, 1929), pp 22 ff

183 Mohan Das, Communist Activity in India, 1925 1950 (Bombay, 1951) p 4 See also R. Palme Dutt, India To-day, p 373

184 For details see Workers' and Peasants' Party, "The Politi cal Situation in India," Labour Monthly, (Vol XI, No 3, March, 1929), pp 152 ff

185 Bose, op cit, pp 179 180

186 Workers' and Peasants' Party, "The Political Situation in India, loc cit, p 161

187 Ibid . pp 161 162

188 The Tribune (Lahore), December 5, 1929, p 1.

189 New York Times, September 16, 1928, Sec. III, p 7 190 The Tribune (Lahore) December 5, 1929, p 1

191 The Tribune (Lahore), June 27, 1929, p 2

192 Judgment Meerut Conspiracy Case, I, 141

193 See the unsigned article, "The Indian Labour Problem' International Press Correspondence, (Vol. XIII, No 22, May 19, 1935). p 619 See also Dyakov, Indiya i Pakistan, p 21

194 Masani, op cit, p 34 For the attitude of Communists in India at this time, see the article by Philip Spratt, "India on

the Eve of Revolt," Labour Monthly, (Vol XI, No 5 May, 1929) pp 285 ff written just before his arrest for conspiracy 195 See Pravda, May 27, 1929, p 1

196 New York Times, May 16, 1925, p 2

197 As cited in R. Palme Dutt, India To-day, p. 378
198 Gandhis hostility to Communism is well evinced in his correspondence with the Indian Communist M. P. in West minster, Shapurji Saklatvala See Shapurji Saklatvala Is India Different? (London, 1927), pp 19 ff

199 The Times, (London) January 31, 1925, p 11

200 India in the Years 1927-1928, p 289
201 For the text see The Tribune (Lahore), August 26, 1928, p 1, September 12, 1928, p 2 The Times (London) August 27, 1928 p 12 202 See the Modern Review (Calcutta) (Vol XLIV, No 261,

October, 1928 p 370, and the Mahratta (Poona) September 2,

1928 p 448

203 For details, see Government of India Legislative Assembly Debates, III, 467 (1928) September 10, 1928, and III 1354, September 24, 1928 Also see The Tribune (Lahore) September 9 1928, pp 12, September 1928, p 2, September 26, 1928, p 1

204 The Times (London), January 22, 1929, p 15

205 The Times (London), January 29, 1929, p 13

206 Mitra, The Indian Annual Register, January June, 1929, 1, 173 See also The Tribune (Lahore), February 6, 1929, p 1, February 7, 1929, p. 1, February 8, 1929, p 1

207 For details see The Tribune (Lahore), March 25, 1929. p 1

208 R Palme Dutt, India To-day, p 378

209 Hutchinson, op cit, pp 51 ff 210 R. Palme Dutt, India, To-day, p 578

211 The Tribune (Lahore), April 14 1929, p 1 212 For details of this law see Shelvankar, op cit, p. 210 and the New York Times, July 28, 1929, Sec. 111, p 8

CHAPTER FOUR

1 The Times (London) April 4 1929, p 10 April 5, 1929, p 14

2. The Times (London), August 26, 1929, p 11

- 3 See The Times (London), May 30, 1930, p 18 and also H N Brailsford, Subject India (London, 1913), p 178
- 4 See Muzalfar Ahmad, "Meerut Communist Conspiracy," Amrita Bazar Patrika, (Independence Number) August 15, 1917.
- p 225 5 See The Times (London), April 4, 1929, p 10, April 5,
- 1929, p 11, April 19, 1929, p 16 and April 24, 1929, p 15
- 6 For details see The Tribune (Lahore), January 18, 1933,
- p 1 7 For details see The Tribune (Lahore), April 19, 1929, p. 1 and The Times (London), March 23, 1929, p. 14
 - 8 The Times (London), April 9, 1929, p 15
 - 9 Ibid
 - 10 Ibid
 - 11 Ibid
 - 12 Ibid
- 13 Ibid See also Clement P Dutt, "The Class Struggle in India," Labour Monthly, (Vol XI, No 7, July, 1929), pp 404 If and 'S." The Trial of the Indian Revolutionaries" Com munist International, (Vol. VI. No. 14. September 1, 1929),
- p 781 14 See Brailsford, op cit, pp 177 178 and 257 258 Also see H S L Polak, Brailsford H N and Lord Pethwick Lawrence
- in their Mahatma Gandhi (London, 1918), p 352
- 15 For the effect of the Labour Party's victory on Anglo-Soviet relations see W P and Zelda Coates A History of Anglo-Soviet Relations, pp 321 ff

 - 16 R Palme Dutt, India To-day, pp 380-381 17 The Times (London) March 22, 1929, p 16, New York Times, March 21, 1929, p 10
 - 18 The Tribune (Lahore), March 23, 1929, p 1
 - 19 See an editorial in the Modern Review, (Vol. XLV, No. 269, May, 1929), p 630
- 20 See The Tribune (Lahore), March 23, 1929, p 8, the Mahratta (Poona), April 7, 1929, p 7, and the Modern Review, (Vol XLV, No 268, April, 1929) p 524
 - 21 See especially the Mahratla, April 14, 1929, p 205 22 Ibid
 - 23 Nehru, Toward I reedom, p. 142
 - 24 A. C. Underwood, Contemporary Thought in India (Lon don, 1930), p 91

25 The Times (London), August 31, 1929, p 9

26 Hutchinson, op. est, p 106

27 The Tribune (Lahore), June 27, 1929, p 1. 28 The Tribune (Lahore), December 3, 1929, p 9

29 The Tribune (Lahore), December 4, 1929, p 2

30 See "The Speech of the Prosecutor in the Meerut Case," Part 2, in Labour Monthly (Vol XII, No 2, February, 1930), p 105

31 Ibid, p 104

32 'S," "Trial of the Indian Revolutionaries," loc. cit , p 787 33 Ibid , p 788

31 Ibid

35 Ibid

36 Ibid

37 See "The Speech of the Prosecutor in the Meerut Case," Part 1 in Labour Monthly, (Vol. XII, No. 1, January, 1930), p. 26

38 See "S." "Trial of the Indian Revolutionaries," loc. cit, p 786 and 'The Speech of the Prosecutor in the Meerut Case,"

Part 1, loc cit , p 27 39 See The Times (London), December 2, 1929, p. 11

40 The Tribune (Lahore), December 11, 1929 p 1

41 The Tribune (Lahore), December 10, 1929, p 2 42 The Tribune (Lahore), December 2, 1929, p 2

43 See The Times (London), July 10, 1929, p 15, September

16, 1929, p 11, and September 25, 1929, p 11

44 As quoted in K L. Gauba, Famous and Historic Trials (Lahore, 1916), p 15

45 Ibid . p 16

46 Ibid For a similarly brazen utterance by Communist leader. Mirajkar, see the unsigned article, "Accused's Speeches at Mer-rut," International Press Correspondence, (Vol. XI, No. 21, April 23, 1931), p 402

47 Hutchinson, op. cit, p 99

48 Ibid, p 104 49 For details see The Tribune (Lahore), January 16, 1930, p 2, and The Times (London), January 15, 1930 p 13

50 The Times (London), January 28, 1930, p 14 51 The Tribune (Lahore), January 18, 1933, p 2

52. See R. Palme Dutt, India To-day, p. 379 and Dyakov, In diya i Pakistan, p 22

53 The Tribune (Lahore), January 18, 1933, p. 2

- 326 54 Ibid
- 55 For details see the unsigned article in the Modern Review, 'Some Meerut Accused Give up Defence," (Vol LI, No 2, February, 1932), p 239
 - 56 See The Tribune (Lahore), January 18, 1933, p 2
 - 57 Ibid
- 58 The Times (London), January 17, 1933, p 17 The Tri bune (Lahore), January 18, 1933, pp 12
- 59 For details of the sentences of the other accused see Ibid 60 See Soumyendranath Tagore, "Meerut," International Press
- Correspondence, (Vol XIV, No 34, August 4, 1933), p 748 61 See R. Palme Dutt, India To-day, pp 379 381
- 62 N Somin, "Meerut Trial in India and the Colonial Policy of the Labourstes" Communist, (Vol 11, No 3, July August, 1950) p 67
 - 63 The Tribune (Lahore), January 19, 1933, p 8
- 64 See the editorial in the Mahratta, January 22, 1933, p 6 65 Hutchinson, op eit, p 81 For an American view sympa thetic to the prisoners see the editorial captioned. Convict 29 of
- Red Conspiracy" in the Christian Century, (Vol L. No 8, Febru ary 22, 1933), p 267
 - 66 R. Palme Dutt, India To-day, pp 380 381
- 67 See Brailsford, op cit, p 258 It may be noted that in an earlier work, Rebel India (New York, 1931), pp 82-83, he had also taken a marked interest in the Communist conspiracy case
 - 68 See The Times (London), January 17, 1933, p 13 69 Ibid

 - 70 The Times (London), April 12, 1933, p 11
 - 71 The Tribune (Lahore), July 2, 1933, p 2, The Times, (London) July 18, 1933, p 8 72 For details of the commutations of the sentences see The
 - Tribune (Lahore), August 5, 1933, p 1
 - 73 Ibid
 - 74 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p ii 75 The Times (London), August 4, 1933, p 9
 - 76 Dyakov, Indiya i Pakistan, p 22
 - 77 The Mahratta, August 13, 1933, p 6 78 The Tribune (Lahore) August 6, 1933, p 8
 - 79 Bose, op cit, pp 180 ff., Sitaramayya, op cit, I, 603 ff
 - 80 R. Palme Dutt, India To-day, p 33, Bose, op cit, p 217.

TES 527

81 Mohammed Ali 'India in 1930," Communist International, (Vol VIII, No 34, February 1, 1934), pp 106-107

82 Bose, op cit, p 287, Dyakov, Indiya i Pakistan, p 17

83 Mohammed Ali, loc cit, p 105

84 For details see Government of India (John Coatman editor) India in 1931 1932, (New Delhi, 1932) pp. 72.73 India in 1931 1933, (New Delhi, 1933) p. 52, and India in 1934 1935 (New Delhi, 1935) p. 109

85 New York Times, June 21, 1930, p 9

86 India in 1931 1932, p. 8

87 Ibid

88 See MacMunn, op cit, p 269 an unsigned article 'Crush ing India's Red Shirts' Literary Digest, (Vol CXII, No 13, March 26, 1932), p 18, New York Times, June 21, 1930, p 9 and

Patrick Lacey Fascist India, (London, 1916), p 96

89 Bose, op cit, p. 267

90 Ibid

91 Sitaramayya op cit, I, 849 see also ibid, I 709

92 See Mohammed Ali, Ioc. ett, p 106, and R. Palme Dutt, The Problem of India, p 146

93 Agabekov, op cit, p 191

94 Ibid, p 192 95 M N Roy Letters from Jail, (Bombay 1945) III, 283, and by the same author, Revolution and Counter Revolution in

China, (Calcutta, 1916) p 538 96 See Communist Party of France, op cit, p 43

97 The Times (London), December 5, 1929 p 14

98 Young Workers' League (of) Madras 'Mr Roy's Services to Counter Revolution" International Press Correspondence, (Vol. XI. No. 55 October 99, 1931) p. 996

(Vol. XI, No. 55. October 29, 1931), p. 996. 99. This is acknowledged by M. N. Roy himself in the Preface to his unpublished manuscript Alternative to Communium (Calcutta, 1955).

100 Ibid

101 See the unsigned article, 'Mr Roy in the Service of British Imperialism and the National Congress," Communit International, (Vol. VIII, No. 20, November 15, 1951), pp. 649 ff

tional, (Vol. VIII, No. 20, November 15, 1931), pp. 649 ff.
102 Vf. N. Roy, I Accuse (with introduction by Aswami Kurma
Sharma). (New York, 1932), p. 6

103 Ibid (Sharma in Roy) p 7

101 Ibid

106 Sharma in Roy, op cit, p 7

107 Thid

108 Bombay Chronicle, October 22, 1931, p 1

109 See the editorial, "The Conviction of M N Roy," Modern Review, (Vol LI, No 2, February, 1932), p 239

110 See the editorial, "Jawaharlal Nehru on M N Roy," Mod ern Review, (Vol LIV, No 5, November, 1933), p 605

111 Mr Roy in the Service of British Imperialism and the National Congress," loc. cit, p 649

112. Jay Prakash Narayan, Towards Struggle (Bombay, 1946),

pp 164 ff 113 Ralph Linton, editor, Most of the World, selection, "India

and Pakistan" by Ralph Linton, (New York, 1949), p 621 114 Clare and Harris Wolford, India Afire (New York, 1951),

pp 255-256 115 Ibid

116 N V Rajkumar, Indian Political Parties (New Delhi, 1948) pp 61-62

117 Sitaramayya, op cit. 1, 961

118 Rajkumar, op cit, p 62

119 Payne, op cit, p 114

120 Lawrence L. Rosinger, editor, The State of Asia, selection 'India' by Lawrence K Rosinger, (New York, 1951), p 475

121 Rajkumar, op cit, p 68 122. Bose, ob cit, p 300

123 See the editorial, "The Congress Socialist Party," in the

Modern Review, (Vol LVI, No 1, July, 1934), p 114 124 For the general policy of the Congress towards the Con

gress Socialist movement, see Rajkumar, op est, p 63 See also The Tribune (Lahore), July 29, 1934, p 1

125 "The Congress Socialist Party,' loc cit, pp 113-114 126 Rajkumar, op cit, p 68

127 Ibid p 62

128 Narayan, op cit, p 160

129 Ibid, p 162

130 The Mahratta, June 12, 1935, p I

131 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 64 132 Vallya, "The Struggle of the Working Class for the Leader ship of the National Movement in India," Communist Inter national, (Vol VIII, No 17, October 1, 1931), p 521

- 133 Ibid
- 134 Ibid, p 525
- 185 Kranti, June 16, 1929, p 1
- 186 See the unsigned article, "The Indian National Revolu tion," Labour Monthly, (Vol XII, No 6, June, 1930), p 333

See also Workers' Weekly, May 20, 1929, p 1

137 K S Bhat, "The Workers Welfare League of India," La bour Monthly, (Vol III, No 12, December, 1931), pp 777 779

138 Nehru, Toward Freedom, p 127

139 Central Committee, Communist Party of India, 'Draft Platform of Action of the Communist Party of India," Inter national Press Correspondence, (Vol X. No 58, December 18, 1930), pp 1218 ff

140 Ibid p 1222

- 141 Central Committee of the Communist Parties of China, Great Britain and Germany, "Open Letter to the Indian Communists," Communist International, (Vol. XI, No. 10, June 1,
- 1932), p 349 142 Ibid
 - 143 Ibid , p 353
 - 144 Ibid , p 350
 - 145 Ibid , pp 351, 353
 - 146 Ibid, pp 350 351
 - 147 Ibid, p 352
 - 148 Ibid p 354

 - 149 Ibid., pp 354 357 150 Ibid., pp 351, 357

 - 151 Ibid, pp 357 358

 - 152. See R Palme Dutt "The Path to Proletarian Hegenomy in the Indian Revolution," Communist International (Vol VII, No 14 December 1, 1930), p 315 Valya, "The Struggle for Indian State Independence," Communist International, (Vol. XVIII No 20 November, 1931) p 697, R. Page Arnot, 'Report of the Simon Commission," Labour Monthly, (Vol XII No 7, July, 1930) pp 388 ff and Shapurji Saklatvala "The Indian Round Table Conference," Labour Monthly, (Vol XII, No 12. December 1930) pp 720 ff
 - 153 See Hugo Rathbone, 'The Place of the Peasantry in the Indian Revolution," Labour Monthly, (Vol. XII, No. 7, July, 1930), pp 418 ff , Shapurji Saklatvala, "The Second Round Table Conference, Labour Monthly, (Vol XIII, No. 10, October,

154 A particularly savage attack on the Mahatma was made by Shapurji Saklatvala, the Indian Communist member of the British Parliament who however, was defeated in 1929 for re election, in his article, "Who Is This Gandhi,? Labour Monthly,

330

(Vol XII, No 7, July, 1950) pp 415 ff 155 See Clemens P Dutt, The Role and Leadership of the Indian Working Class,' Labour Monthly, (Vol XI, No 12, December, 1929), pp 741 ff, D P R. Gunawardena, "The Indian Masses Come Forward," Labour Monthly, (Vol. XIV, No. 2 February 1932), p 92, Safarov, The World Economic Crisis in Imperialism and the Development of the Revolutionary Ferment in the Colonies," Communist International, (Vol. VI. No 3. February 15, 1930), p 1233 Safarov, The Treachery of the Indian National Congress and the Revolutionary Upsurge in India," Communist International, (Vol. VIII, No. 9, May 1, 1931), p 264 Safarov, The Present Moment in India, loc cit, p 250, Valiya, Prospects of the Labour Movement in India, Communist International, (Vol. VII, No. 12, October 15, 1930). p 260, Valiya, "The Round Table Congress and the Indian National Congress' Communist International, (Vol. VIII, No. 2, January 15, 1931), pp 105

156 See Valiya 'The Strength of the Working Class for the Leadership of the National Movement in India,' Communist International, (Vol. VIII, No. 17, October 1, 1931) p. 523 Gunawardena, loc cit, p. 52, unsigned article, 'The Treachery of the Indian National Congress and the Revolutionary Upsurge in India,' loc cit, p 263, and the unsigned article, Mr Roy in the Service of British Imperialism and the Indian Na tional Congress, Communist International, (Vol. VIII, No. 20, November 15, 1931), p. 649

157 Mohammed Ali, loc cit, p 108

158 See R. Page Arnot, Notes on British Imperialism,' Com munist International, (Vol. VIII, No. 5, February 15, 1931), p.

125, R. Palme Dutt, 'The Path to Proletarian Hegenomy in the Indian Revolution," loc cit, p 315 and Saklatvala, "The Round Table Conference," loc cit, p 723

159 Bose, op cit, p 192

160 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 47, Bose, op cit, p 42

161 All India Trade Union Congress, 'Manifesto of the All India Trade Union Congress," Labour Monthly, (Vol XII,

No 3, March, 1930), p 187

162. See Sitaramayya, op cit, I, 583, Shelvankar, op cit, p 214 Government of India (John Coatman, editor), India in 1929 1930, (New Delhi, 1930) p 11, The Tribune (Lahore), June 16, 1929, p 3, June 28, 1929 p 4

163 Clemens P Dutt, 'The Role and Leadership of the Indian

Working Class, loc cst , p 742, Safarov, 'The Present Moment in India loc. cit. p. 1052

164 Sitaramayya op cit, 1, 583 Shelvankar, op cit, p 214 165 R Palme Duit, India To-day, pp 372 374 Desai, op cit,

p 327

166 'W.' "Prospects of the Labour Movement in India," Communist International, (Vol XII, No 7, October 15 1930).

pp 257 258

167 R. Palme Dutt, "The Path to Proletarian Hegenomy in the Indian Revolution," loc cit, p 313 Valiya, "The Round Table Conference and the Indian National Congress," loc cit, p 48, Safarov, "The Treachery of the National Congress and p 16, Statov, The Frederick of the National Confession the Revolutionary Upsurge in India," loc cit, p 261, and un signed article, "The Split in the All India Trade Union Congress." Communist International, (Vol. VIII, No. 18, October 15 1931) p 583

168 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 47, Bose op cit, pp 42, 263 264

169 Bose op cit, p 264 170 For details see Communist International, Executive Com mittee, "The XI Plenum of the EGGI' International Press mittee, The X returns of the Local American Correspondence, (Vol. M. No. 30 June 10, 1931), p. 552
171 R. Falme Dutt, India To-day, pp. 572, 'W', 'Prospects of the Labour Movement in India,' loc cit, pp. 258 fil 172 Office of Strategic Services, op. cit, p. 52.
173 Young Communist League of India, 'Draft Platform of

- 332 Action of the Young Communist League of India," International
- Press Correspondence, (Vol XII, No 2, March 10, 1932), p 231 174 Ibid p 228
- 175 Orgwald, 'A Conversation with Indian Comrades," In ternational Press Correspondence, (Vol XIV, No 20, March 29,
- 1934) pp 519 ff 176 Communist Party of China, Central Committee, 'Open
- Letter to the Indian Communists," International Press Cor respondence, (Vol XIII, No 51, November 24, 1933), p 1153
- 177 Ibid , pp 1154 1155 178 Ibid, p 1155
- 179 See Madhu Limaye, Communist Party, Facts and Fiction, (Hyderabad, India, 1951), p 23, and Office of Strategic Services,
- ob cit, p 4 180 Office of Strategic Services, p ix
- 181 Ibid
- 182 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voine, D 33
- 183 Communist Party of India, Central Committee, "Abridged Draft of the Political Thesis of the Central Committee of the
- Communist Party of India " International Press Correspondence, (Vol XIV, No 40, July 20, 1934), p 1024
 - 184 Ibid . p 1025
 - 185 Ibid p 1026
 - 186 Ibid, p 1028 187 Ibid, p 1029
 - 188 Ibid , pp 1029 1030
 - 189 Ibid , p 1031 190 Dad
- 191 See Bombay Chronicle, May 30, 1934, and League Against Imperialism 'Crushing the Working Classes in India,' Inter national Press Correspondence, (Vol XIV, No 44, August 17,
- 1934), p 1139 192 See The Tribune (Lahore), July 29, 1934, p 1 for the official notification in full as published in the Gazette of India "
 - 193 R Palme Dutt, The Problem of India, p 393
 - 194 League Against Imperialism, loc cit, p 1139
 - 195 The Times of India (Bombay), July 31, 1934 p 8 196 The Mahratta, August 5, 1934, p 8
 - 197 Ibid

198 292 H C Deb 5s, pp. 2258 2254 199 See R. Palme Dutt, "The Meaning of the Indian Con ututional Proposals," International Press Correspondence, (Vol XIV, No 60, December 1, 1934), pp. 1997 1599, R. Page Arnor, The Sham Constitution of India," International Press Cor respondence, (Vol. XIII, No. 31, July 14, 1933), p. 683, Reg. nald Bridgeman, The New Deal for India," Labour Monthly, (Vol. XVII, No. 1, January, 1935), pp. 20 ff. Lester Hutchmon, 'The New Imperialist Strategy in India," Labour Monthly, Inc. New Imperialist Strategy in India, Labour Monthly, Vol XVII, No. 2, February, 1935, pp. 107 ff. V. Basak, "The Present Situation in India," Part I, International Press Correspondence, (Vol XIII, No. 39, September 8, 1938), pp. 853-854, Part II, Ibid., (Vol XIII, No. 41, September 15, 1935), p. 896, Part III, Ibid., (Vol XIII, No. 45, September 29, 1933), p. 916-Valiya, "The Constitution for the Enslavement of the p 310 Varya, The Constitution for the Emissioner of the Indian People and the Policy of the Indian Bourgeousie," Communist International, (Vol. X, No. 11, June 15, 1933), pp. 385 If, and a contribution from the just released from prison Ben Bradley, "The Background in India," Labour Monthly, (Vol. XVI, No. 3, March, 1934), pp. 173 If

200 See V. Basak, "A Few Remarks on the Communist Move-ment," International Press Correspondence, (Vol XIV, No 82,

June 1, 1934), pp 816-848

201 See Ben Bradley, "What the Congress Socialists Want," International Press Correspondence, (Vol XIV, No 63, De-cember 15, 1934), pp 1691 ff For Committern attacks upon the "Roysts," see the unsigned article, "India, a Few Facts of History," International Press Correspondence, (Vol XIV, No 8, February 9, 1934), p 225

202 See the unsigned article, "Problems of the Anu Imperial ist Struggle in India," International Press Correspondence, (Vol XV, No 10, March 9, 1935), p 289 203 D F. Karaka, Betrayal in India (London, 1950), p 238

201 Puran Chandra Joshi, For the Final Bid to Power (Bom bay, 1947), p 122

205 The Mahratta, June 12, 1935, p 1.

200 See New Age (Delh), October 4, 1955, p 3
 201 See The Times (London), September 19, 1955, p 11
 208 The Times (London), November 28, 1955, p 8

200 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 48 210 India in 1933 1934, pp 10-11, Bose, op cit, p 308

334 211 See R Palme Dutt, The Problem of India, p 393, and Bose, op est, p 308

212 R Palme Dutt, India To-day, pp 371 373

213 M Muzaffar, 'India's Fight Against the India Bill," La bour Monthly, (Vol XVII, No 5, May, 1935), p 305 Office of Strategic Services, ab cit, p 48

CHAPTER FIVE

- 1 Communist International, VII Congress of the Communist International (Moscow, 1936), p. 299 2 Ibid
 - 3 Ibid

4 Georgi Dimitroy, The United Front Against War and Fascism, (address delivered at the Seventh World Congress July 25-August 20, 1935) (New York, 1936), p. 67

5 Georgi Dimitrov "The Offensive of Fascism and the Tasks of the C. I in the Struggle for the Unity of the Working Class against Fascism International Press Correspondence, (Vol

XV, No 43, September 7, 1935), pp 1221 ff 6 R. Palme Dutt and Bradley, Ben 'The Anti Imperialist People's Front, International Press Correspondence, (Vol XVI

No 11, February 29 1936), p 297

7 Ibid 8 Harry Pollitt, Dutt, R. Palme and Bradley Ben for the Communist Party of Great Britain, Central Committee, Letter to the Indian Communists' International Press Correspondence, (Vol XVI No 50, November 17, 1936), p 1342

9 Ibid

10 Ibid 11 Ibid

12 Ibid, pp 1342 1343

- 13 Dutt and Bradley 'The Anti Imperialist Front,' loc cit, p 299
 - 14 Ibid

15 For details see S Krishna After the Lucknow Con ference, International Press Correspondence, (Vol XVI No 30 June 27, 1936) p 804

16 Ben Bradley "Indian National Congress-Tripuri Session,

World News and Views, (Vol XIX, No 18, April I, 1939), p 366 17 V L. Krishna Menon 'India and World Peace," Labour Monthly, (Vol XX, No 6, June, 1938), pp 375 ff This is the first but not the last article by this noted Indian in Communist publications to be noted here

18 Statement "Indian Communists Greet Hampura," in Ben Bradley India-the Hampura Session' Labour Monthly, (Vol.

XX, No 4, April, 1938), pp 243-244

19 Ben Bradley, Dutt, R Palme and Pollitt, Harry (for the Communist Party of Great Britain) Greetings to the Indian National Congress, Haripura Session, International Press Correspondence, (Vol XVIII, No 6, February 1, 1938), p 113

20 Communist Party of Great Britain, Central Committee, Greetings to the Indian National Congress,' World News and

Views, (Vol XIX, No 10, March 11, 1939), p 199 21 Montagu Slater, "The Indian National Congress," In

ternational Press Correspondence, (Vol XVI, No I, January 4, 1936), p 14

22. See Labour Monthly (Vol. XVIII, No 5, May, 1936), pp 282 ff for the complete text of Nehru's presidential address at the Lucknow session of the Congress and ibud, (Vol. XIX, No 2, February, 1937) pp 980 ff for the complete text of his presidential address at the Fairpur session of the Congress.

23 See Montagu Slater, "The Indian National Congress and

the Future,' International Press Correspondence, (Vol XVI, No 2, February 8, 1936), p 218

24 Krishna, loc. cit, p 801

25 Jawaharlal Nehru, "Nationalism and the Mass Struggle in India," Labour Monthly, (Vol XX, No 8, August, 1938) pp 476 ([

26 For criticism of Gandhi see, "A R." "The Congress Movement without the Assemblies," International Press Correspond ence, (vol XVIII, No 46, October 50, 1937) p 1039, and Ben Bradley, "The Indian National Congress-Tripuri Session, (loc cit) p 367 For criticism of Bose see third, and also Ben Bradley, 'Indian Nationalism after Tripuri," Labour Monthly, (Vol

XXI, No 5 May, 1939) p 301 27 Dutt and Bradley, "The Anti Imperialist Front," loc cit, p 299

28 Ibid. p 300

29 See Ben Bradley and Dutt, R Palme, "Towards Trade

Union Unity in India," International Press Correspondence, (Vol XVI, No 12, March 7, 1936), pp 325 ff

30 See Montagu Slater, "India after the Elections," Inter national Press Correspondence, (Vol. XVII, No. 10, March 6, 1937), p. 266 See also Ben Bradley, "A New Phase in the Strug gle for Freedom," International Press Correspondence, (Vol

XVII, No 16, April 10, 1937), pp 400-401 31 Dutt and Bradley, "The Anti Imperialist Front, loc cit, p 299

32 Ibid, p 300 33 See R Bishop, "The Present Situation in India, Inter

national Press Correspondence, (Vol XVI, No 54, December 5, 1936), p. 1444, and Bradley, "Indian Nationalism after Tri puri," loc cit, p 297

34 Ben Bradley, "The Indian Elections" Labour Monthly, (Vol. XIX, No. 4, April, 1937), p. 240

35 Acharya Narendra Deva Socialism and the National

Revolution (Bombay, 1946), p 151

36 See Ajoy K Ghosh, 'Indian States' Repressive Rule," World News and Views, (Vol XIX, No 4, January 28, 1939), pp 82 83, 'A R' "Civil and Personal Liberties in Native States," International Press Correspondence, (Vol XVIII, No 4, Janu

ary 29, 1938) pp 78 79
37 B Singh "The Position of the Working People," World News and Views, (Vol. XIX, No. 20, April 5, 1939), p. 448

38 See Dutt and Bradley, "The Anti Imperialist Front," loc

cit, p 297 39 K Tilak, Rise and Fall of the Comintern, (Bombay, 1947),

р 121

40 Minoo R. Masani, "The Communists in India," Pacific

Affairs, (Vol XXIV, No I, March, 1951), p 22 41 Linton, op cit, p 22 Masani, 'The Communists in India,"

loc cit, p 22

42 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, pp 59 ff 43 Masani, The Communist Party of India, p 74

44 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 24

45 Narayan ob cit. b 143

46 Ibid

47 Ajoy K Ghosh, 'Before the Tripura Conference," World News and Fieus, (Vol XIV, No 10, March 1, 1939), pp 192 193

48 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 26

- 49 Narayan op cit p 143
- 50 Ibid
- 51 Narayan op cit p 170
- 52 See Masani The Communists in India loc cit p 22
- 53 Thid
- 54 Narayan op cit p 171 55 Narayan op cit pp 170 171 Masani The Communists
- in India loc cit p 22
- 56 Narayan op cit p 171
 57 Modan Gopal Leftism in Indian Politics Current His
 tory (Vol XIII No 72 August 1947) p. 88
- 58 See Narayan ob cut p 171

 - 59 Ibid p 172 60 Ibid p 174
 - 61 See Minoo R Masani Socialism Reconsidered (Bombay
- 1914) pp 28-29 and Narayan op cit pp 175-176 62 Narayan op cit pp 176-178
 - 63 Masani "The Communists in India loc cit p 22
 - 64 R. Palme Dutt India To-day p 472
- 65 Indian Correspondent. Russia's Grip on India Satur day Review (London) (Vol XLXII No 4237 December 18 1936) p 783
 - 66 Nath loc est p 152
- 67 A R The Annual Session of the All India Trade Union Congress International Press Correspondence (Vol. XVIII No 7 February 14 1938 p 134
 68 Indian Year Book 1939 1940 (Bombay 1910) p 575
 69 For details see Office of Strategic Services op cit p 48
 70 Ramesh C. Majumdar Raychaudhuri H. C. Dutta Kali
- kinkar An Advanced History of India (London 1918) p 955 71 See Krishnalal Shridharani War II ithout Violence (New York 1939) p 17 and International Labor Organization In
- dustrial Labour in India (Geneva 1938) p 131
 72. R. Palme Dutt India Today pp 371 572
 73 For details see L. Burns The Strike Struggle in India
- Communist International (Sol XV No 12 January February 1938) pp 95-96

 - 74 Burns, loc cit p 96
 75 Michael Carritt Ind a Before the Storm Labour Month
 y (vol. XAII to 5 Nay 1910) p 299 Bradley Indian
 Nationalism after Tripuri loc cit p 197 Burns loc cit p 97

Union Unity in India," International Press Correspondence, (Vol XVI, No 12, March 7, 1936), pp 325 ff

30 See Montagu Slater, "India after the Elections," Inter national Press Correspondence, (Vol XVII, No 10, March 6, 1937), p 266 See also Ben Bradley. "A New Phase in the Strug gle for Freedom," International Press Correspondence, (Vol XVII, No 16, April 10, 1937), pp 400-401

31 Dutt and Bradley, "The Anti Imperialist Front," loc cit, p 299

32 Ibid , p 300

33 See R Bishop, "The Present Situation in India," Inter national Press Correspondence, (Vol XVI, No 54, December 5, 1936), p 1444, and Bradley, "Indian Nationalism after Tri puri, loc cit, p 297

34 Ben Bradley, "The Indian Elections," Labour Monthly,

(Vol XIX, No 4, April, 1937), p 240

35 Acharya Narendra Deva. Socialism and the National

Revolution (Bombay, 1946), p 151 36 See Ajoy K Ghosh, "Indian States' Repressive Rule,"

World News and Views, (Vol XIX, No 4, January 28, 1939), pp 82-83, 'A R' 'Civil and Personal Liberties in Native States" International Press Correspondence, (Vol XVIII, No 4, Janu ary 29, 1938), pp 7879

37 B Singh. The Position of the Working People," World News and Views, (Vol XIX, No 20, April 5, 1939), p 448

38 See Dutt and Bradley, 'The Anti Imperialist Front," loc cit, p 297

39 K Tilak, Rise and Fall of the Comintern, (Bombay, 1947),

p 121 40 Minoo R Masani, "The Communists in India," Pacific

Affairs, (Vol XXIV, No 1, March, 1951), p 22

41 Linton op cit, p 22 Masani, The Communists in India." loc cit, p 22

42 Office of Strategic Services op est, pp 59 ff

43 Masani, The Communist Party of India, p. 74 44 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 24

45 Narayan, ob cit, b 143

46 Ibid

47 Ajoy K Ghosh, Before the Tripuri Conference," World Neus and Views (Vol XIX, No 10, March 1, 1939), pp 192 193

48 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 26

- 49 Narayan, ob cit, p 143
- 50 Ibid
- 51 Narayan, ob cit, p 170 52 See Masani, "The Communists in India," loc. cit, p 22
- 53 Ibid
- 54 Narayan, ob cit, p 171 55 Narayan, op. cit, pp 170-171, Masani, "The Communists in India," loc cit, p 22
 - 56 Narayan, ob cit, p 171
- 57 Modan Gopal, "Leftism in Indian Politics," Current His tory, (Vol XIII, No 72, August, 1947) p 88
 - 58 See Narayan, op cit. D 171
 - 59 Ibid, p 172
 - 60 Ibid, p 174
- 61 See Minoo R Masani, Socialism Reconsidered (Bombay, 1944) pp 28-29, and Narayan, ob cit, pp 175-176
 - 62 Narayan, op cit, pp 176-178
 - 63 Masani, "The Communists in India," loc cit, p 22
 - 64 R. Palme Dutt India To-day, p 472
- 65 'Indian Correspondent," 'Russia's Grip on India," Satur day Review (London). (Vol. XLXII. No. 4237, December 18, 1936) p 783
 - 66 Nath, loc cit, p 152
- 67 "A R' The Annual Session of the All India Trade Union Congress,' International Press Correspondence, (Vol. XVIII, No 7, February 14, 1938) p 131 68 Indian Year Book, 1939 1940, (Bombay, 1940), p 575
 - - 69 For details see Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 48
- 70 Ramesh C. Masumdar, Raychaudhurs, H. C., Dutta, Kali kinkar, An Advanced History of India (London, 1918), p 955
- 71 See Krishnalal Shridharani, War Without Violence (New York, 1939), p. 17, and International Labor Organization. In dustrial Labour in India, (Geneva, 1938) p 154
 - 72 R. Palme Dutt, India To-day, pp. 371 372
- 75 For details see L. Burns, "The Strike Struggle in India." Communist International, (Vol XV, No 12, January February, 1938) pp 95-96
 - 74 Burns, loc est, p 96
- 75 Michael Carritt, "India Before the Storm," Labour Month W. (Vol XXII No 5, May, 1910) p 299 Bradley, 'Indian Nationalum after Tripuri," loc est , p 197 Burns, loc, est , p 97

- 338
- 76 R Palme Dutt, India To-day, p 384, Bradley, "Indian Nationalism after Tripuri," loc. cit, p 197
- 77 Ben Bradley, "India's Workers' Great One Day Strike," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXI, No 1, January, 1939), pp 46 ff, R Palme Dutt, India To-day, p 468
 - 78 See Burns, loc cit, p 98
 - 79 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 53
 - 80 Shelvankar, ob cit, p 223
 - 81 Masani, The Communist Party of India, p 68
- 82 See B Shiva Rao "New Social Forces in India," Foreign Affairs, (Vol XXIII, No 4, July, 1945), p 639 See also Shelvan kar, ob cit, pp 219 ff
 - 83 Shelvankar, op cit, p 224 84 Karaka, op cit, p 239
 - 85 Office of Strategic Services, ob cit, p 54
 - 86 Karaka, op cit, p 239
- 87 Akademiya Nauk, S.S.S.R., Krizis kolonialnoi systema (Moscow, 1951) p 92 Dyakov, Indiya i Pakistan, p 27, O'Malley,
- ob cit, p 106 Karaka, ob cit, p 259 88 Karaka, ob cit, p 239
 - 89 O'Malley, op cit, p 196
 - 90 Shelvankar, op. cit, p 239
 - 91 Office of Strategic Services, ob cit, p 54
- 92 Indian National Congress, Report of the General Secre
- tary (New Delhi, 1936), p 8 93 Burns, loc cit , pp 97 98
 - 94 Masani, The Communist Party of India, pp. 7172
- 95 Puran Chandra Joshi The Indian Communist Party,
- (Foreword by Harry Pollitt) (London, 1942) p 1
- 96 Burns, loc cit, p 97 97 Montagu Slater, 'Government Frames up Another Com munist Conspiracy,' International Press Correspondence, (Vol. XVI No 14, March 14 1936), p 376
 - 98 Bombay Chronicle, February 6, 1936, p. 1
 - 99 The Times (London), February 14, 1936, p. 15
 - 100 The Times (London), June 5, 1936, p 11 101 Ibid
 - 102 See 'Indian Correspondent, The Soviet Hand in India," Saturday Review (London), (Vol CLXII, No 4235, December 5, 1936), p 725
 - 103 Ibid

104 See R. Bishop, "Imperialism's Handiwork in India," In ternational Press Correspondence, (Vol. XVI, No. 42, September 12, 1936), pp. 1153 1154 See also his article, "The Present

Stuation in India, loc cit, p 1444

105 Ben Bradley, "The Indian National Congress and Ministries," International Press Correspondence, (Vol XVII, No 41,

September 25, 1937), p 926 106 "A R" 'The Situation in India,' loc cit, p 1385

107 New Age (Delhi), October 4, 1953 p 2

108 Ibid

109 Rabindranath Tagore, "The Soviet System,' Modern Re view, (Vol L. No 3, September, 1931), pp 252 253

110 Rabindranath Tagore, "On Russia," Modern Review, (Vol LV, No 6, June, 1934) p 617

III Unsigned article in Modern Review, (Vol XLVIII, No 5, November, 1930), p 534

112 Ibid

113 Rabindranath Tagore, 'On Russia," loc cit, p 620

114 Sitaramayya, op cit, I, 620

115 Ibid, 1 782

116 As quoted in Bose, op cit, p 346

117 As cited in Patricia Kendall, India and the British (Lon don, 1931), p 426

118 Taraknath Das, 'Indian Nationalism and Bolshevism, Calcutta Review, (Vol XXXV, No 3, June, 1930), p 326 119 Jawaharlal Nehru, Important Speeches, Being a Collection

of Most Significant Speeches Delivered by Jawaharlal Nehru from 1922 to 1916, edited by J S Bright (Lahore, 1916) p 94

120 Ibid pp 9195

121 Ibid, pp 102, 311 122 Communist, (Vol. 1, No. 12, December, 1956), p. 16

123 Nehru, Toward Freedom, (appendix) p 402.

124 Ibid, p 424

125 Ibid

126 Jawaharlal Nehru, The Unity of India, Collected Writings (New York, 1918) essay- From Lucknow to Tripuri, A Survey of Congress Politics, p 117, essay- The Choice Before Us." p 296

127 Nehru, 'From Lucknow to Tripuri, A Survey of Congress Politics" for cit, pp 119 ff

128 Nehru, The Discovery of India, pp 401 II

- 129 Nehru, 'From Lucknow to Tripuri, A Survey of Congress Politics,' loc. cit, p 116
- 130 Ibid, p 113

340

- 131 As quoted in Menon, loc cit, p 377
- 132 See Iqbal Singh India's Foreign Policy, (Bombay, 1946) P 21
- 133 The Tribune (Lahore), March 13, 1939, pp 1, 16
 134 Mitra, The Indian Annual Reguter, July December, 1936,
- II, 384 135 As quoted in Menon, loc est, p 377.
- 136 Jagadisan M Kumarappa, 'Russia on the March," Modern
- Remew, (Vol. XLIX, No 6, June, 1931), p 656 137 Nitya N Banerjee, 'My First Day in Leningrad,' Modern
- Review, (Vol LIV, No 6, December, 1933) p 689
 158 Nitya N Banerjee, Russia Today, Modern Review, (Vol
- LV, No 2, February, 1934), p 144 By the same writer, "Art and Literature in Russia Today," Modern Review, (Vol. LV, No 5, May, 1934), pp 567 ff
- 139 G S Khair, 'Liquidation of Illiteracy in Soviet Russia,
- Modern Review, (Vol L.V. No 4, April, 1954), p 419
 140 'C. A' Russia and the Five Year Plan, Modern Review,
- (Vol L. No 6, December, 1931) pp 621-622
- 141 Prafulla C Mukherjee, Russia and Opportunities for Technical Men from India, Modern Review, (Vol LIII, No
- March, 1933), p 277
 N I Teelyapov, 'Higher Schools of the Soviet Union,"
- Modern Review, (Vol XLVI, No 11, November, 1929), pp 554 ff
- 143 For example see Mahmud Husan, Soviet Policy Old and New, Calcutta Remew, (Vol LV, No 1, May 1935) pp 180-182
 - 144 Edutorial The New Peril in India Feudatory and Zamindary Review, (Vol VI, No 9 May, 1936) p 456
 - 145 The Tribune (Lahore), October 10, 1937, p 2
 - 146 Young India, May I, 1920, p 18, Young India, November 24, 1921 p 510
 - 147 Young India, October 11, 1924, p 406 148 Saklatvala, Is India Different? p 19
 - 148 Sakiatvaia, is India Differenti p 19 149 Ibid, pp 21 ff
 - 150 Young India, March 26 1931 p 53
 - 150 Hanjan, February 13, 1937, p 45

341

152 Harran, January 28, 1939 p 438

153 Young India, March 26, 1931 p 35 See also the work on this subject by Gandhi's disciple, Richard B Gregg Gandhism and Socialism (Madras, 1931) p 36, who considered Gandhism 'more effective than Socialism"

154 Harman, February 13, 1937, p 45

155 Hanjan, March 13, 1937, p 152

156 See Orgwald, loc cit, p 532

157 Ibid

158 Young India, July 2 1932, p 251

159 Young India, March 26, 1931, p 53 See also Louis Fischer, Gandhi (New York, 1954) p 88 and an article in the Literary Digest, (Vol CVIII, No 12, March 21, 1931) p 13

160 Werner Levi, Free India in Asia (Minneapolis 1952),

p 82 161 Servant of India, November 10, 1938, p 555

162 Bradley, 'The Indian National Congress and Ministries,

loc cit, p 296. A R." The Congress Movement without the Assemblies, loc cit, p 1059

163 Bradley, "Indian Workers' Great One-Day Strike, loc cit, p 46, Bradley, "The Indian National Congress and Ministries," loc cit, p 46

CHAPTER SIX

1 India in the Years 1922 1923, p 30 2 Ibid

3 Fuse, op cit, p 123

4 For details see India in the Years 1923 1924, pp 27 28 New York Times, December 20, 1923, p 3 Fraser Tytler, op cit, p 201

5 New York Times, December 20 1925 p 3

6 New York Times, December 21, 1923 p 5 7 India in the Years 1923 1924, p 29

8 See Izvestia, April 20 1921 p 2

9 Agabekov, op cit, p 42.

10 Ibid , pp 45 ff

11 Ibid , p 55 12 Ibid , pp 44 ff

13 Ibia, p 56

15 Agabekov, op cit, pp 59 ff 16 Ibid

17 Ibid, pp 66-68

18 India in the Years 1924 1925, p 12, Fraser Tytler, op cit, DD 204 205

19 India in the Years 1924-1925, p. 12.

20 For details of this island incident, see Agabekov, op. cit, pp 69 ff, Fraser Tytler, op cit, p 219, The Times (London), December 28, 1925, p 12, December 29, 1925, p 13, March 5, 1926, p. 13, March 6, 1926, p. 13, March 30, 1926, p. 13

21 Agabekov, ob. cit, p. 66

22 See the unsigned article in Literary Digest, "The Bol shevik Menace to India," (Vol LXXXVIII, No 12, March 20, 1926), pp 16-17

23 Agabekov, op cit, p 66 24 Ibid

25 See "The Bolshevik Menace to India," loc. cit , pp 16-17 26 Agabekov, op cit, p. 158

27 For details of the treaty see New York Times, October 10, 1925, p X. 13

28 See Fraser Tytler, op est, p 221 See also The Times (London), September 14, 1926, p. 13

29 The Times (London), November 7, 1926, p 8

30 The Times (London), May 9, 1927, p 15, June 10, 1927, p 14

31 Agabekov, op cit, p 158

32 The Times (London), March 7, 1928, p 15

33 New York Times, May 4, 1928, p 6 May 7, 1928, p II, 3 34 Izvestia, May 3, 1928, p 3

35 Agabekov, op cit, p 162.

36 Agabekov, op cit, p 164, Fraser Tyrler, op cit, pp 213

215 37 See Felix Howland, Afghanistan Has no Frontiers,'

Asia, (Vol. XL. No. 12, December, 1940), p. 634

38 Castagne, loc cit. p 701 39 Agabekov, op cit, pp 164 165

40 Ibid, p 166

41 Fraser Tytler, op cit, p 217

42. Agabekov, op cit, pp 166-167 43 Ibid , pp 167 168

- 44 For details see Fraser Tytler, op cit, p 217, see also New York Times, May 24 1929, p 5
 - 45 Agabekov, op cit, p 168
 - 46 Castagne, loc cst , p 702 47 Agabekov, op cit, p 168
- 48 Fedor Raskolnikov "The War in Afghanistan," Labour Monthly, (Vol XI, No 3, March 1929) pp 181 ff.
- 49 New York Times, October 10 1929 p 4, October 24, 1929, p 10, November 3, 1929, p 1, Fraser-Tytler op cit, p 222, unsigned article, 'No Buffer-Afghans Play Both Ends Against the Middle," Literary Digest, (Vol CXXIII No 2, July 10, 1937), pp 13 14
 - 50 Agabekov, op cit, pp 169-170 51 Ibid
 - 52 Fraser Tytler, op cit, p 230
 - 53 Ibid
 - 54 Ibid See also The Times (London) July 9 1931, p 13
 - 55 Fraser Tytler, op cit, p 227, Castagne, loc cit, p 703 56 Howland, loc cit, p 634 New York Times, November
- 9, 1933, p 1 57. Howland, loc. cit, p 635
 - 58 Ibid
 - 59 Castagne, loc cit, p 703
 - 60 India in the Years 1922 1923, p 30
 - 61 Lencrowski, op cit, pp 95-96
 - 62 For details of this intrigue, see Agabekov, op cit, pp 73-74 63 Ibid
 - 64 Ibid, p 90

 - 65 Ibid, p 88
 - 66 Ibid, pp 140 ff
 - 67 See "The Bolshevik Menace to India" loc cit . p. 17 68 David J Dallin, Somet Russia and the Far East, (New
 - Haven, Connecticut, 1913) p 193 Wilbur Burton "Tug of War in Central Asia," Ana, (Vol. VI, No. 9, September, 1935) pp 517 520
 - 69 Dallin, op cit, pp 93 ff Barmine, op cit, p 231, and Burton, loc cit, p. 519
 - 70 Dallin, op est, p 97
 - 71 Ibid., pp 97.98 72. Ibid., p 100
 - 73 Ibid

- 74 See Burton, loc cit, p 517
- 75 Masani, The Communist Party of India, p 23 76 Amaury de Riencourt, Roof of the World, (New York,
- 1950), pp 185-186
 77 Masani, The Communist Party of India, p 23
 - 78 Pravda, July 27, 1932, p 2 79 Masani, The Communist Party of India, p 273
 - 80 Ibid . p 46

341

- 81 Ibid. p 47
- 82 The Times (London), March 17, 1921, p 11
- 83 The Times (London), February 2, 1924, p 10
 84 See I A R Marriott, Anglo-Russian Relations, 1689 1943
- (London, 1943), p 191 and Foreign Office, Blue Book, pp 28-33 85 W P and Zelda Costes, A History of Anglo-Soviet Re-
- lations, pp 228 ff
- 86 Sée Sir Stanley Recd and Cadell, P R India, the New Phase (London, 1928), p 72 New York Times, July 9, 1926, p 21 For an American view of the Soviet threat to India see Katherine Mayo, Mother India (New York, 1927) pp 322 323 87 Agabekov, op cit, pp 92 ff
 - 88 Foreign Office Blue Book, pp 4550, especially p 47 Agabekov (ob cit, p 93) observes the Soviet Government was
 - 89 W B Middleton, Britain and Russia (London, 1946)
 - p 129 W P and Zelda Coates, A History of Anglo-Somet Relations, p 268
 - 90 See New York Times, June 30, 1927, p 15, and W P and Zelda Coates A History of Anglo-Soviet Relations, p 268
 - 91 Foreign Office, Blue Book, pp 69 ff
 - 92 As quoted in W P and Zelda Coates, A History of Anglo-Soviet Relations, p 299
 - 93 206 H C, deb 5s pp 2171 and 2197 ft. 94 New York Times, June 30 1927, p 15
 - 95 Pravda, April 28, 1929 p 4
 - 96 Izvestra, June 12 1929, p 3
 - 97 Ibid
 - 98 Unsigned article, "League against Imperialism," Modern Review, (Vol. XLVI, No. 2, August, 1929), p. 230
 - 99 Communist International, Executive Committee, 'Manifesto to India," International Press Correspondence, (Vol. IX, No. 57, November 1, 1929), pp. 1485-1488

- 100 Izvestia, February 9, 1930, p 3
- 101 Joseph Stalin, Political Report to the Sixteenth Party Congress of the Russian Communist Party (London, 1930), p 19 102 See 234 H C. Deb 5s. p 1478

103 See 235 H C. Deb 5s, p 899

104 See 238 H C. Deb 5s, pp 1428-1429 and 239 H C. Deb 5s, pp 830-831 and pp 1478-1479

105 See The Times (London) June 19, 1930, p 14 regarding alleged Communist activity in the Peshawar area

106 See The Times (London) May 22, 1930, p 15 June 18,

1930 p 13, and August 19, 1930, p 12

107 For details of Molotov's report see Royal Institute of International Affairs, op cit, II 465 In 1930 Molotov an nounced 'it is essential to form a Communist Party of India for none has ever existed, obviously an insult to M N Roy who had been a short time before expelled from the Comintern (Payne op cit, p 113)

108 The Times (London), February 2, 1931, p 12

- 109 The Times (London), February 5, 1931, p 11
- 110 Pravda, April 3, 1931, p 3 111 The Times (London) April 25, 1931, p 12

112 The Times (London) April 28, 1931, p 16

113 Ibid

111 See 248 H C. Deb 5s, p 1734 and 251 H C. Deb 5s, pp 1356 and 1612

115 For full details of the discussion see 252 H C. Deb 5s p 1356

116 For details of this program which called for the "violent destruction of the British political and economic power in India see Pravda May 9, 1931, p 3

117 See 252 H C. Deb 5s, pp 1619 ff., especially p 1630 118 Ibid

119 Ibid

120 Ibid See also 253 H C. Deb 5s, p 602

121 See 257 H C. Deb 5s, pp 783 1882. 122 See Pravda, August 16, 1931, p 3 For concern over Soviet radio propaganda beamed to India see The Times (London) January 25, 1932, p 13

123 See Izvestia, November 15, 1932, p 1 121 See W P and Zelda Coates, A History of Anglo-Soviet

Relations, p 501

125 For details of the Soviet 'catechism' intended for the benefit of Indian Communists, see The Times (London), Novem ber 9, 1953, p 11

126 See 281 H C Deb 5s, p 551

127 See The Times (London), June 2, 1934, p 13 128 See the leading article, Moscow Indians Rounded Up," Calcutta Review, (Vol LXVII, No 3, June, 1938), p 338

It may be noted that Chattopadhyaya had been a con tributor to Communist publications See his articles, 'The Capitulation of the Indian Bourgeoisie, in International Press Correspondence, (Vol XI, No 15, March 19, 1931), p 285, and "The Indian National Congress," Labour Monthly, (Vol XIII, No 5, May, 1931), pp 303

129 The Times (London), September 13, 1929, p 16

130 "Moscow Indians Rounded Up," op est, p 338

CHAPTER SEVEN

1 Masani, "The Communists in India," loc cit, p 23 2 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini, p 15 He claims that "the leading role in this conference was

played by the Indian Communists."

3 B Ashe, The Anti War Movement in India," World News and Views, (Vol XIX, No 58, December 16, 1939), p 111.

4 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini,

p 17 R. Palme Dutt, India Today, (Bombay, 1949), p 399 5 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini,

p 18

6 The Times (London) March 26, 1940, p 7 7 For details see ibid

8 B Ashe, 'Increased Tension in the Indian Situation," World News and Views, (Vol XX, No 13, April 6, 1940), p 216 Carritt, 'India Before the Storm, loc cit, p 301

9 See The Hindu, (Madras) September 3, 1940, p 5 Also

see The Times (London), September 3, 1940, p 3
10 Government of India, Legislative Assembly Debates, (February, 1941) I, 121 See also the unsigned article, Mobilising India, World News and Views, (Vol XXI, No 2, January 11,

11 For details about Gopalan, see V P Johar, 'Gopalan, the

Darling of the Kerala Reds, 'Amrita Bazar Patrika, July 27, 1952, p 2 (supplement)

- 12 For details about Namboodripad see Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 63
 - 15 For details about Zaheer, see thid, p 66

14 Ibid, p 55

15 Johar, loc cit, p 2

- 16 For the Communist position see Puran Chandra Joshi, Communist Reply to Congress Working Committee's Charges, (Bombay, 1945) I, 36
- 17 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle utoroi mirovoi voini,
- 18 Ibid
 - 19 Ashe, 'The Political Situation in India," loc cit, p 689
 - 20 Ibid
 21 R Palme Dutt, India Today, (1919 ed.) p 400

22 Ibid

- 23 For complete details of the strike of October 2, 1939 see S S Battwala, 'The Bombay Strike,' in the still tolerated Indian Community journal, the National Front, of October 8, 1939, p 1 See also Carritt, "India Before the Storm," loc ett p 299, Ashe, "The Anti War Movement in India" loc ett, p 1151. R. Palme Dutt, India Today, (1949 ed) p 399 and
- Shelvankar, op est, p 242
 24 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vitoroi mirovoi voini
- p 15 25 Carritt, 'India Before the Storm,' loc cit, p 299
- 26 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini, p 15
- 27 Ibid, pp 16 17
- 28 R. Palme Dutt, India Today, (1919 ed.) pp 598-599 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoros mirovos voini, p 15
- Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vloroi mirovoi voini, p 15
 29 Dyakov Indiya vo vremya i posle vloroi mirovoi voini,
 p 17
- 30 For details see the unsigned article, 'News from India," is orld News and Liews, (Vol. XXI, No. 13 March 29, 1911) pp. 204-205
- 31 Dyakov, Indrya vo vremya i posle vtoros mirovos vosni, pp 16 ff
 - 32 Carritt, "India Before the Storm," loc cit, p 299
 - 33. B. Ashe, "Political Parties in India," Horld News and

- Views, (Vol XIX, No 60 December 30, 1939), p 1174, Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini, pp 16 ff, Carritt, 'India Before the Storm, ' loc cit, p 299
- 34 James Gordon, "Indian Students Against the Imperialist War," World News and Views, (Vol XX, No 18, February 24, 1940), p 121
- 35 Ibid
- 36 Ibid, p 122
- 37 Ibid, p 121 See also Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoros mirovos voins, pp. 16-17 38 Michael Carritt, "The Crisis in India," Labour Monthly,
- (Vol XXIII, No 2, February, 1941), p 82 39 Narayan, op cit, pp 180-181
- 40 Ibid, pp 179-180
 - 41 Ibid , p 181
 - 42 R Palme Dutt, India Today, (1949 ed.) p 399
- 43 Dolores Ibarruri, "The Struggle of the Indian People against Imperialist War and for National Independence,"
 World News and Views, (Vol XX, No 4, January 27, 1940). p 53, V k krishna Menon, "Labour and India," World News and Views, (Vol XXI, No 1, January 4, 1941), pp 4-5 B Ashe, 'India and World Opinion," World News and Views (Vol XX,
- No 5 February 3, 1940), pp 67-68 Michael Carritt, "India Before the Storm loc cit, pp 294 295 44 Joshi, Communist Reply to Congress Working Committee's Charges, I 37
 - - 45 Batıwala loc cit, p 1 46 Ibid
- 47 See R Page Arnot, India To-day," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXII, No 9 September, 1940), pp 495, and B Ashe, The Viceroy's Offer to India, World News and Views, (Vol
- XX, No 33, August 17, 1940), pp 447-448 48 See B Ashe, "The Underground Struggle in India" World News and Liews (Vol XX, No 43, October 26, 1910), p 597
- See also his article, 'India and World Opinion" loc. cit, pp 49 See B Ashe Who Are the Indian Princes? World News
- and I tews, (Vol XA, No. 6 February 10, 1940) p 89 50 R. Palme Dutt India To-day, (1910 ed.) p 405
- 51 B Ashe, Non Violence or a People's Army, World Neus and I sews, (Vol XX, No 27, July 6, 1910) p 15

- 52 Communist, (Vol. 11, No. 7, February, 1941), p. 15
- 53 See Communist Party of India, Central Commutee, 'Mani festo of the Communist Party of India," World News and Views, (Vol XX, No 11, March 16, 1940), p 166, Communist Party of Great Britain, Central Committee, "Stand by the Indian People," World News and Views, (Vol XIX, No 53, November 1, 1939), p 1087 See also the articles by Ashe, "Increasing Tension in the Indian Stuation," World News and Views, (Vol 3X, No 13, April 6, 1940), p 216, Carrit, 'India Before the Storm,' loc ctt, p 301, and Arnot, "India To-day," loc ctt, p 496, and an unsigned article 'The Working Committee on the War Situation," World News and Views, (Vol XIX, No 50, October 21, 1939), p 1040

51 See the unsigned article, "India and the War,' Labour Monthly, (Vol XXI, No 10, October, 1939), pp 626 ff

- 55 See V K Krishna Menon, "Amritsar," World News and Views, (Vol XXI, No 15, April 12, 1911), pp 231 232 See also Ashe, 'The Political Situation in India,' loc cit, p 689, and Carritt, 'The Crisis in India," loc cit, p 82
 - 56 See Communist Party of India, Central Committee, Mani festo of the Communist Party of India," loc cit, p 166
 - 57 Joshi, Communist Reply to Congress Working Committee's Charges, 1, 83
 - 58 Quoted in Ashe, 'The Underground Struggle in India,' loc cit, p 599
 - 59 Mitra, The Indian Annual Register, (July December,
 - 1945) II, 114 115
 - 60 See Carritt, "India Before the Storm," loc ett, p 300,
 - and an unsigned article, Letter from India, World News and Vieus, (Vol XX, No 32, August 10, 1910), p 439
 - 61 B Ashe, "The Viceroy's Offer to India," World News
 - and Views, (Vol XX, No 33, August 17, 1910), p 448 62 See Narayan, op cit, p 142 63 Acharya Narendra Deva, Socialism and the National Revo-
 - lution (Bombay, 1916), pp 132 133 See also Narayan, op cit, P 187
 - 61 Ashe, "The Underground Struggle in India," loc cit, P 559
 - 65 R. Palme Dutt, India Today, (1919 ed.) p 402.
- 66 See R. Palme Dutt, India Today, (1919 ed.) p 403, and Ashe, "The Political Situation in India," loc. cit, p 689.

67 Ashe, "Political Parties in India," loc cit, p 1173 68 Ibid

350

- 69 Dyakov, Indiya : Pakistan, p 17 70 Ashe, 'Political Parties in India," loc cit, p 1173
- 71 See R Palme Dutt, India Today, (1949 ed.) pp 529 ff 72 See Ashe, "Non Violence or a People's Army, loc cit,
- p 439
- 73 Harry Pollitt 'India, a Call to the British People" Labour Monthly, (Vol XXIII, No 6, June, 1941), p 265 74 Communist Party of India, Central Committee, "Mani
- festo of the Communist Party of India, loc cit, p 167
- 75 For full details of the manifesto, see ibid, pp 166 167 76 R Palme Dutt in the 1940 edition of his India To-day
- went great details (pp 316) in arguing that India was a wealthy country Following Marx, he then went into detail to show that Britain had ruined India (pp 16-27) He then at tacked the zamindary (landlord) and other systems of land tenure (pp 2123) Dutt then went into the history of the Indian national movement, praised Tilak and Das, was relatively friendly to Jawaharlal Nehru and Bose, but scorned Gandhi (pp 24 36) This leading mentor of India's Communists dealt with (pp 37-47) the history of the Indian labor movement up to 1910 and also (pp 48-60) made particular reference to the government and politics of India as of 1940, criticizing the government structure of India, but showing an amicable atti tude towards the National Congress
- 77 Ibid, p 535 Dutt insisted that British concessions be confiscated and held that 'key industries' should be owned by the Indian state
 - 78 Pollitt, loc cit, pp 263 265
- 79 For the Communists' attitude before the Second World War as applied to an Anglo-Soviet alliance against the Fascist powers see the article in National Front (Bombay) of April 3, 1938 p 4 in which a rising Communist leader, P Sundarayya, argued that 'the immediate issue before us in India is not the fight against fascism but the fight for a true democracy in our country India's part in the coming international struggle for power is the struggle for her own freedom. Only in so far as she consistently pursues this will she be able to weaken the forces of reaction in the world"

80 Quoted in Deva op cit, pp 152 153

81 B Pattabhi Sitaramayya, The History of the Indian National Congress (Bombay, 1947), II, 241

82 Deva, op cit, p 142

83 Sitamarayya, op. cit , II, 241

84 Quoted in Deva. op cit, pp 152 153

85 An ambiguous line was taken in respect to India and the war between June and October, 1911 by British Communists and their fellow travelers as shown by the following articles

V L. Krishna Menon, "Freedom's Battle," Labour Monthly (Vol XXIII, No 8 August, 1941), pp 364 ff, "The Change in India, World News and Views, (Vol XXI, No 31, August 2, 1911), p 481, "India-a Conference," World News and Views, (Vol XXI, No 32, August 9, 1941), p 507, Bill Keats, Release the Indian Prisoners,' World News and Views, (Vol XXI, No 33, August 16, 1941), p 552 The change in the line of the C.P G B came with the publication of an article by An Indian Resident in London," who held that India must participate in the war on the Allied side See World News and Views, (Vol

XXI, No 40 October 4, 1941), p 637 86 Communist Party of Great Britain Central Committee 'India," World News and Views, (Vol XXI, No 42, October

18 1911), p 668

87 Ibid 88 Ibid.

89 Ibid

90 1bid

91 Mohan Das, ob cit, pp 7-8

92 See Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 6, Linton,

op cit, p 639 93 Joshi, Communist Reply to Congress Working Committee's

Charges, 1, 5 91 Joshi, The Indian Communist Party (also referred to as

Forward to Freedom) p 18

95 Ibid 96 Ibid. p 12

97 Ibid , p 21

98 Ibid., p 22.

99 Ibid

100 Ibid., p 23 101 Ibid., pp 16 18

102. Ibid., pp 10-11

- 103 Ibid, pp 23 24 104 See Akademiya Nauk, op eit, pp 95-96
- 105 Tilak, op cit, p 122
- 106 For the official Government of India announcement in full see The Hindu (Madras), July 24, 1942, p 6
- 107 Ibid
- 108 Ibid , pp 4, 6

109 See Harry Pollitt, 'Greetings to India," It orld News and Views, (Vol XXII, No 31, August 1, 1912) p 326, and Ben Bradley, "A Great Step Forward in India, World News and

Views, (Vol XXII, No 31, August 1, 1942), p 326

110 The Times (London) July 24, 1942, p 3

111 Ben Bradley, 'Stabbing Our Allies in the Back,' World News and Views, (Vol XXIII, No 3, January 16, 1943), p 22

- 112 People's War, (Bombay) May 16, 1943, p 6 See also unsigned article, 'First National Convention of the Communist Party of India, World News and Views, (Vol XXIII, No 26, June 29, 1943), p 285
- 113 Ibid
- 114 Ibid
- 115 The Hindu, June 2, 1943, p 2
- 116 See New Age, October 4 1953, p 2 117 See Khwaja Ahmad Abbas 'Moscow Comes to India,"
- Asia, (Vol XL No 8, August, 1914), p 350 118 Office of Strategic Services op cit, p 7 See also Karaka, ob cit, p 240
 - 119 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 7
 - 120 Karaka, op cit, p 240
 - 121 Office of Strategic Services op cit, pp 5 7
 - 122 Unsigned article, The Growth of the Communist Party
- of India, World News and Liews, (Vol XXIII, No 18, May 123 For details of the CPI s membership at this time see
- ibid See also Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 8, and People's War, March 7, 1943, p 1 124 Office of Strategic Services op cit, p 8
 - 125 Bradley Stabbing Our Allies in the Back, loc cit, p 22 126 See Sitaramayya op cit, II, 114 ff
 - 127 Office of Strategic Services op cit, p 10

 - 129 People's War, April 4, 1943, p 3

- 130 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 10
- 131 People's War, June 13, 1943, p 1.
- 132. Ibid.
 - 133 Office of Strategic Services, op. cit, p 13
- 134 People's War, April 4, 1943, p 3
- 135 People's War, June 13, 1943, p 1, January 7, 1945, p 2 136 Mitra, The Indian Annual Register, July December, 1945,
- II. 117
 - 137 D N Pritt, "India," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXIV, No
 - 4, April, 1912), p 105 138 See New York Times, April 1, 1942, p 4 For the British Communist view see Ben Bradley, "India Threatened," Labour
 - Monthly, (Vol XXIV, No 5, May, 1942), p 45 139 Joshi, Communist Reply to Congress Working Committee's
 - Charges, I, 77 140 Ibid, I, 95 96 See also Linton, op cit, p 639, and R
- Palme Dutt, The Problem of India, p 206

 - 141 Sir Reginald Coupland, India, a Re-Statement (Oxford, 1945), p 22
 - 142 See R. Palme Dutt, "India and the Second Front," Labour
 - Monthly, (Vol XXIV, No 8, August, 1912), p 231, unsigned article, "India-What Must Be Done," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXIV, No 9, September, 1912), pp 259 ff, and another unsigned article, "The Only Solution for India," World News and Views, (Vol XXII, No 44, August 15, 1912), p 337 See also People's
 - War, August 23, 1942, p 1 143 Unsigned article, "India-What Must Be Done," loc cit,
 - p 264 144 Harry Pollitt for the Communist Party of Great Britain
 - Central Committee, 'Statement by the Communist Party of Great Britain on India," World News and Vieus, (Vol XXII, No 33, August 15, 1912), p 339
 - 145 People's War, August 23, 1912, p 1
 - 146 Harry Pollitt 'India, the Communist Party's Call to the Prime Minister," World News and Lieus, (Vol XXII, No 55, August 29, 1912), p 357
 - 147 People's Har, May 14, 1911, p 1
 - 148 Puran Chandra Joshi, Correspondence between Mahatma Gandhe and P C Joshs, (Bombay, 1915), p 2
 - 149 Ibid, pp 4 ff
 - 150 Ibid. p 21

- 354 151 Ibid
 - 152 Ibid, p 23
 - 152 Ibid, p 25 153 Mitra, The Indian Annual Register, July December, 1945,
- 11, 117 118
 - 154 Ibid 155 People's 18 ar, December 17, 1914, p 2
 - 156 Amrita Basar Patrika (Calcutta), December 22, 1914, p 2
 - 157 The Hindu, January 1, 1915, p 8
 - 158 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 33
 - 159 Modan Gopal, loc est, p 90
 - 160 Amrita Bazar Patrika, February 5, 1915, p 4
- 161 Star of India, June 11, 1915, p 2 162 The Tribune (Lahore) March 21, 1914, p 8
 - 163 Amrita Bazar Patrika, February 15, 1915, p 3
 - 164 R. Palme Dutt, The Problem of India, p 192
- 165 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vioros mirovos voini, p 27, R. Palme Dutt, India Today, (1919 ed.) p 400
- 166 Masani "The Communists in India," loc cit, p 24
- 167 See Office of Strategic Services op cit, p 33, and Mitra,
- The Indian Annual Register, January June, 1943, I, 312
 - 168 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 50
- 169 Ibid, pp 32 33
- 100 See All India Trade Union Congress Report, Twentieth Session, Nagpur, 1943 (Bombay, 1943) p 27 See also, Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya 1 poile vtoroi miravoi voint, pp 29, 400, and Office of Statiegic Services, ob etc. p. 50
- 171 All India Trade Union Congress, Report, Twentieth Ses
- 172 Desai of cit, p 195, Office of Strategic Services, of cit, p 50 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vioroi mirovoi voini, p 29
- 173 Office of Strategic Services op cit, p 51
- 174 See Lawrence K. Rosinger, Restless India, (New York, 1946) p 39 and George Raleigh Parkin, India Today-an Introduction to Indian Politics, (New York, 1946) p 56
 - 175 Linton op cit, p 639
 - 176 The Hindu June 2 1943 p 2
- 177 Dyakov Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoros mirovos vosni, p 28
 - 178 Mohan Das op cit, p 8 179 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini,

p 28 For another Soviet analysis emphasizing the miserable condition of Indian workers during the war period see V V Balabushevich, Rabochii klass i rabochii dvizhenie v Indii (Moscow, 1919), pp 10-11

180 Staramayya op cri, II, 241
181 Unsigned article, 'India and the Soviet Union," World
News and Views, (Vol XXI, No 51, December 19 1911) p 813
182 All India Kisan Sabha, Central Kisan Council 'Indian

Peasants Call for Aid to the Soviet, World News and Views, (Vol XXI, No 41, October II, 1911) p 653 183 Quoted in Joshi, The Indian Communist Party (Forward

to Freedom) p 29 184 Office of Strategic Services, op est, p 55

185 Mitra, The Indian Annual Register, January June, 1913

I. 313

186 Ibid, I, 314 For additional information about this ses ston see also Puran Chandra Josh, 'The Pessants of Indu.'
World News and I tees (Vol XXIII, No 20, May, 1915) p
159 Also see the latters work in connection with the peasants
movement, Among Kuan Patriots, (Bombay 1946), pp 1 ff and passim

187 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini,

p 1 188 Ibid

- 189 See The Leader, December 8, 1914, p 2 and The Hindu, December 9, 1914, p 5
- 190 Office of Strategic Services op est, p 58

191 Ibid, p 59

192 Ibid, p 58

193 For detailed statistics of the peasants movement at this

time see Dyakov, Indrya vo vremsa i posle vtoros mirovos voini. p 30 191 Quoted in Joshs, The Indian Communist Party (Forward

to Freedom), p 326

to Freedom), p. 320.

195 Bradley, A Great Step Forward in India, loc cit, p. 326.

196 See Feeple's Her, March 14, 1915, p. 3 and Dyakov, Indiya to vermys; poles vtoros mirrows vorm, p. 51.

197 Office of Strategic Service, op cit, p. 16. Limaye, or cit, p. 55. The hater author gives P. G. Josh full credit for building up these cultural front organizations.

198 Modain Gopal, loc et f., p. 90. Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya

- 356 1 posle vioros mirovos voins, p 34, People's War, March 21,
- 1943, p 3, April 18, 1943, p 3, May 9, 1943, p 6 199 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 16
 - 200 The Hindu, June 1, 1943, p 2
 - 201 Ibid
 - 202 People's War, June 6, 1943, pp 1, 3
 - 203 Tilak, op cit, p 122
 - 204 Peoble's War, April 25, 1948, p 1
- 205 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 19 For the Indian Communist view see Puran Chandra Joshi's article, "How to End Hoarding in India," World News and Views, (Vol XXIII, No 33, October 30, 1943), p 351
 - 206 Office of Strategic Services, op cit, p 19
- 207 Ibid
- 208 Ben Bradley, India Must be Freed," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXIV, No 10, October, 1942), p 307
- 209 The Hindu, June 1, 1943, p 2
- 210 See R Palme Dutt, 'India Labour Monthly, (Vol XXV, No 1, January, 1943), p 5, Emile Burns "Amery Must Go," World News and Views, (Vol XXIII, No 18, May 1, 1943), p 141, Ben Bradley, 'Indian Crisis," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXV No 5, May, 1943) pp 153 ff and the unsigned article, 'Amery World News and Views, (Vol XXII, No 40, Octo-
- ber 3, 1942), p 395 211 See S A Dange India and the British Elections," La bour Monthly (Vol XXVII, No 6, June, 1945), p 183, Bradley, 'Indian Crisis, loc cit, p 154, and the unsigned article, Gandhi World News and Views, (Vol XXIII, No 9 Febru
- ary 27, 1943), p 68
- 212 People's War, June 6, 1943, p 4 213 Joshi The Indian Communist Party (Forward to Free dom), p 26
- 214. Ibid., pp 26 27
- 215 People's War July 18, 1943 p 1
- 216 Joshi The Indian Communist Party (Forward to Free dom), p 27
- 217 Ibid, p 28
- 218 Ibid In spite of Joshi's favorable attitude towards the League certain British Communist mentors of the C.P.I. remained critical of it. See Ben Bradley, "India Threatened,"

Labour Monthly, (Vol XXIV, No 5, May, 1942), p 146, and Pritt, loc cit, p 107

219 See E M S Namboodripad, 'Eighteen Months of Fam ine" World News and Views, (Vol XXIV, No 32 August 5, 1944), p 254, and People's War, October 1, 1944, p 2

220 Joshi, The Indian Communist Party (Forward to Free

dom), p 27 221 G M Adhikari, Pakistan and Indian National Unity

(London, 1943), pp 117 ff

222 Ibid 223 Ibid

224 Ibid

225 Ibid See also G M Adhikari, "Pakistan and National Umty, Labour Monthly, (Vol XXV, No 3, March, 1943), pp 88-89 Note the analogy with Stalin's professed theory of nationalities, see his On the National Question p 7

226 The Hindu, June 2, 1943, p 2

227 People's War, March 26, 1944, p 2 228 People's War, August 29 1914, p 2

229 People's War, October 1, 1914, p 1

230 People's War, October 8, 1914, p 2, 231 People's War, October 29, 1914 p 2

232 Communist Party of India, Central Committee, A bridged Draft of the Political Thesis of the Central Committee of the Communist Party of India," loc cit, p 1031 253 For details see People's War, December 3, 1914, p 1

234 Bradley, 'Indian Crisis loc cit, p 156, R Palme Dutt. The Problem of India p 216

235 Joshi The Indian Communist Party (Forward to Free dom) p 17

236 Puran Chandra Joshi 'India's Post War Plan," Il orld Neus and Lieus, (Vol XXIV, No 16 April 15 1914) p 125 See also R Palme Dutt, 'Planning for India,' Labour Monthly, (101 XXVI No 9 September, 1914) pp 286 287 237 See Clare and Harris Wolford op ett, pp 201 ff, Rounger, Reitless India, p 68 Wallbank, op. cst, p 156

258 See Joshi, The Indian Communist Party (Forward to Freedom), p 19 R Palme Dutt, 'India Faces Japan,' Labour Monthly (Vol XXVI, No 5 May, 1914) p 131 Dyakov, Indiya 10 vremya i poste utoroi mirovoi voini, p. 35

338 239 Sec V K Krishna Menon, "India for Action," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXIV, No 6, June, 1942) pp 185-188

Other topical articles dealing with India at wartime in clude that of Clemens P Dutt, 'India and Freedom,' Labour Monthly, (Vol XXIV, No 8, August, 1942), pp 247, and those of V h Krishna Menon, "India and the War," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXIV, No 1, January, 1942), pp 22 ff, and Egypt and India," World News and Views, (Vol XXII, No 28, July 11, 1942), p 300

240 United States Government, Department of State, Nazi Soviet Relations, 1939 1941 (edited by Raymond J Sontag and James C Beddie) (Washington, D C, 1948), p 74

241 New York Times, November 1, 1939. p 8

242 New York Times, November 7, 1939, p 1

243 See Trud, November 11, 1939, p 2, and Pravda, Novem ber 16, 1939, p 5

244 Unsigned article, "The Indian People Ask," Communist International, (Vol. XVI, No. 10, October, 1939), pp. 1045 1046

245 See New York Times, December 28, 1939, p. 1, Decem ber 29, 1939, p 3 and January 1, 1940, p 3

246 The Times, (London) January 9, 1940, p 7

247 New York Times, March 3, 1940, p 3 248 For details see Herbert Feis, The Road to Pearl Harbor

Princeton New Jersey, 1950) pp 114 ff, United States De partment of State, op cit, p 213, Dallin, op cit, p 155
249 United States Department of State, op cit, pp 243 244

250 Ibid, p 250

251 Ibid p 251 252 Ibid

253 For details of the Draft Agreement see ibid, pp 255 ff 254 Ibid, p 254

255 For the text of the Soviet note in reply to the German memorandum see ibid, pp 258 ff

256 Ibid, p 260

257 Ibid, p 270

258 Dallin, op cit, p 159

259 But, this notwithstanding, Russia was held to have 'sym pathy for the Indians See Frances Gunther, Revolution in India (New York, 1944), p 44

260 New York Times, August 2, 1942 p 7

261 See the unsigned articles entitled 'Obtash Chetelam,"

NOTES 359

Voina i Rabochii Klass, (No 8 September 15, 1913), pp 25-26, dealing with alleged anti Papal activity on the part of the Soviets 'Fascisti agenti na uperami Gangi," Vona : Rabochi Klass, (No 13, July 1, 1933), pp 23-24, complaining about some Opastnost," Voina i Rabochii Klass, (No 21, November 1, 1914), pp 1920, denouncing the criticism by the Bombay

Chronicle of Soviet policy in Northern Iran 262 A M Dyakov, "The Political Situation in India," War

and the Working Class, (No 7, April 1, 1945) p 13 263 See George Raleigh Parkin, India Today-an Introduc

tion to Indian Politics (New York, 1946), pp. 296 ff 264 A M Dyakov, 'After the Failure of the Simla Con

ference.' War and the Working Class, (No 13, July 1, 1945) p 1 265 Ibid

266 Y Mikneyev, 'A Journey to Australia," Har and the Working Class, (No 12, June 15 1945) p 2 See also an un signed article, "Famine in India," War and the Working Class, (No 11, June 1, 1915), p 20

267 See, W E Lucas, Russia's Threat to India, ' The Nation (New York), (Vol. CLII, No. 22, May 31, 1911), pp. 652-633

268 See The Times (London), May 18, 1940, p 5, June 7, 1910, p 5, June 17, 1910, p 5

269 See W P and Zelda Coates, A Hutory of Anglo-Soviet Relations, pp 681 and 775-776

270 For details, see The Times (London), October 4 1911. p 3

271 For details see Lenczowski, op cit, pp 166 ff

272 Ibid p 174

273 See Elizabeth Bacon and Hudson, Alfred E., 'Afghans stan Waits, Ana, (Vol. XLI, No 1, January, 1941) p 52 Donald N Wilber, 'Afghanistan, Independent and Encircled, Foreign Affairs, (Vol XXXI No 5, April, 1935) p 490 How land, loc cit, p 635 and Fraser Tytler, op cit, 252 ff. For a Communist view see Ben Bradley 'Unrest in the North West Frontier," International Press Correspondence, Vol XVII, No. 17. April 17, 1937), pp 421-422

274 See The Times (London) October 21, 1911 p 5 Fraser Tysler, op cit, pp. 254 255 For Communist views see the un signed articles 'Afghanistan Expels Fascius," If orld News and I sea s. (of XXI, No. 44, November 1, 1911), p 702, and "Afghanistan,' World News and Views, (Vol XXI, No 48, November 29, 1941), p 748 For a historical review of the situa-

tion see New York Times, July 6, 1946, p 3 275 The Times, (London) July 3, 1942, p 3

276 See Harriett L. Moore, Soviet Far Eastern Policy (Princeton, New Jersey, 1945), pp 131 ff, and Dallin, op cit, pp 361 362

277 Dallin op cit, p 363

278 See the Hanjan, December 19, 1939, p 351, January 26, 1941, p 376

279 See the Harrian, January 26, 1941, p 376, April 13, 1940, p 92

280 Nehru, The Discovery of India, p 376

281 Nehru, The Unity of India, essay 'War Aims and Peace Aims," p 309

282 Nehru Important Speeches, p 164

283 Nehru, The Unity of India, essay, "The Constituent Assembly," p 370

284 The Times (London), June 25, 1941, p 5

285 See unsigned article, 'India and the Soviet Union,"

loc cit, p 813 286 See the unsigned articles. Anglo-Soviet Alliance," Cal

cutta Review, (Vol LXXXIII, No 5, May 1942), pp 299 ff, and 'Relations with Soviet Russia,' Calcutta Review, (Vol. LXXXV, No 3, December, 1942), pp 270-271

287 New York Times, August 1, 1942, p 5 288 Ibid

289 Sitaramayya, op cit, II, 747

290 Parkin op cit, p 214

291 John S Howland, Indian Cruss (New York, 1943), p 182 292 Nehru, Important Speeches, p 213

293 Nehru, Towards Freedom, pp 229 230, 348 349

291 Nehru, Important Speeches, p. 214

295 Nehru, The Discovery of India, p 480 296 Ibid, pp 44, 553

297 Ibid, pp 247 248

298 Ibid, p 569

299 Ibid, p 553 300 Ibid, p 425

301 Nehru, Towards Freedom, pp 229-230, 348 349 See also

his The Discovery of India, p 18

NOTES 361

302 Nehru, Towards Freedom, p 233 303 Nehru, The Discovery of India, pp. 441, 528

CHAPTER EIGHT

- 1 See George E Jones, Tumult in India (New York, 1948), pp 14 16. Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i bosle vtoroi mirovoi voini. p 65, The Hindu, November 23, 1945, p 4
 - 2 434 H C. Deb 5s, pp 964 965 3 Wallbank, op est, p 162
 - 4 The Hindu, May 13, 1916, p 3, May 17, 1916, p 4
 - 5 Wallbank, op est, pp 164 165
 - 6 434 H C. Deb 5s, 663 ff
- 7 Rosinger, The State of Asia, p 455 Wallbank, op cit, pp 165-166
 - 8 Ibid 9 For details see The Tribune (Lahore), June 4, 1917, p 1
 - 10 See unsigned article 'Paramountcy and the States," Feuda
- tory and Zamindary Review, (Vol XXVII, No 10, June, 1947) p 527, unsigned article, "Sovereign Status for Hyderabad," Feudatory and Zamindary Review, (Vol XXVII, No 11, July, 1917), p 595, and unsigned article, "The States and Accession," Feudatory and Zamindary Review, (Vol XXVII, No 12, Au gust, 1915), p. 617
 - 11 Wallbank, op cit, p 165
 - 12 Nehru, Important Speeches, p 86 13 Ibid , p 291
- 14 Mitra. The Indian Annual Register, July December, 1915, 11, 117 118
 - 15 The Times (London) September 4, 1915, p. 3 16 The Hindu, September 4, 1915, p 3
 - 17 The Hindu, September 5, 1915, p 3
- 18 Mitra, The Indian Annual Register, July December, 1915. II. 118 119
 - 19 Quoted in Martin Ebon, Horld Communism Today
- (Toronto, 1948), p 403 20 Mitra. The Indian Annual Register July December, 1915. 11, 118-119
 - 21 The Hindu, September 21, 1915, p 4

22. Mitra, The Indian Annual Register, 11, 120

362 23 R. Palme Dutt, "India and Pakistan," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXVIII, No 3), March, 1946, pp 9192

24 Mitra, The Indian Annual Register, July December, 1945, II, 122

25 Modan Gopal, loc. cit, p 91

26 P C. Joshi quoted in unsigned article, "Indian Com munists and the Congress," World News and Views, (Vol XXV, No 45, November 17, 1945), p 362

27 Ibid

28 See Joshi, Communist Reply to Congress Working Com mittee's Charges, I, 1 and passim

29 The Hindu, December 14, 1945, p 4 30 Ibid

31 Ibid.

32 Ibid

33 Mitra, The Indian Annual Register, July December, 1945, II, 119

34 The Hindu, December 14, 1945, p 4

35 See R. Palme Dutt, 'Travel Notes," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXVIII, No 6, June, 1946), p 188, "Travel Notes," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXVIII, No 9, September, 1946), p 285 India and Pakistan, loc ctt, pp 91, 92, and Michael Carritt, "A Constituent Assembly," Labour Monthly, (Vol. XXVII, No 11, November, 1945), pp 344 345

36 Linton, op cit, p 643

37 Jones, op cit, p 179 38 The Hindu, January 15, 1947, p 4

39 Ibid

40 Ibid

41 Ibid

42 The Times (London), January 15, 1947, p 3

43 The Times (London), January 20, 1947, p 2 Sec also Harry Pollitt (For the Communist Party of Great Britain, Executive Committee,) "Free the Communist Leaders," World News and I tews, (Vol. XXVII, No 4, January 25, 1947) p 40

44 The Tribune (Lahore), January 16, 1947, p 4
45 The Tribune (Lahore), January 30, 1947, p 1, New York Times, April 13, 1947, p 32, "Bombay Correspondent," 'Com munism in India, ' Economist, (Vol. CLIII, No 5439), Novem ber 22, 1947) p 846

NOTES 363

46 For details of clashes between strikers and the authorities see Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini, p 97.

- 47 See ibid, pp 65-66
 48 The Hindu, January 27, 1946, p 3
 49 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vloroi mirovoi voini, p 68
- 50 A full account of these proceedings from the Communist point of view is given in a volume put out by the Communist Party of India, entitled Strake, (Bombay, 1946) pp 13 ff and passim Also see the C. P. I's publication, People's Age of February, 24, and March 3, 1946 which issues are full of ex cited propaganda about the alfair Also note Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini, p 70 and Akademiya Nauk, op cit, p 101, for the Russian Communist and R. Palme Dutt, India Today, (1949 ed) pp 537 538 for the British Communist views on this affair The Times of India and Bombay Chronicle as well as other Indian newspapers also give copious accounts from a non Communist standpoint.
 - 51 See Strike, pp 13 ff and passim, and R. Palme Dutt,

India Today, (1949 ed.) p 539 52 See People's Age, March 10, 1916, pp 2, 11

- 53 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoros mirovos voins,
- p 73 54 434 H C. Deb 5s, pp 1441 1442
- 55 Communist Party of India, Political Thesis Adobted at the Second Congress, February 29-March 6, 1948 (Calcutta,
- 1918) p 28 56 Ibid
 - 57 See New York Times, April 4, 1916 p 3
 - 58 Diakov, Indiya vo vremja i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini,
- pp 68 74 59 Ibid. p. 74 See also Political Thesis, p. 28
- 60 Dyakov, Indiga vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini, PP 68 74
- 61 See People's Age, September 1, 1916, pp 1, 4 September 8, 1916 pp 1, 8, September 15, 1916, p 1 September 22, 1916, pp
- 4. 8 62. People's Age, February 16, 1917, p 1
- 63 For details of the strikes, riots and arrests see People's Age, January 9 1947, p. 1 February 16, 1947, p 2 March 30, 1917, p 3 and June 29 1917, p 2

- 364 64 See Andrew Mellor, India Since Partition (New York,
- 1951), p 127
- 65 See Dyakov, Indiya vo vremza i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini, p 76 See also People's War, March 18, 1945, p 2
 - 66 Mellor, op cit, p 127
 - 67 Ibid
 - 68 Masani, 'The Communists in India," loc cit, p 25
- 69 Ibid
 - 70 See People's Age, September 15, 1946, p 5, September 22, 1946, pp 5, 7, and Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vioros mirovoi voini, pp 77, 101
 - 71 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini, p 101

 - 72 See People's Age, November 10, 1946, p 1 73 See Alexander Roth as quoted in the unsigned article,
 - 'Peasant Revolt in Hyderabad," Modern Review, (Vol LXXXII, No 3, September, 1947), p 81
 - 74 See the unsigned article, 'Fabulous Wealth of the Nizam," Feudatory and Zamindary Review, (Vol XXV, No 1, Septem ber, 1944), p 14
 - 75 People's Age, October 20, 1946, pp 67
 - 76 Roth, loc est, p 182 See also People's Age, October 20,
 - 1946, pp 6-7 for a laudation of Red activities in Hyderabad 77 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini,
 - p 102
 - 78 People's Age, May 18, 1947, p 9 79 Dyakov, Natsionalnii vopros i angliiski imperializm v
 - Indii, p 195 See also his Indiya i Pakistan, p 10
 - 80 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vitoroi mirovoi voini, D 103
 - 81 People's Age, September 1, 1946, pp 6-7 82 Payne, op cit, p 115
 - 83 Dyakov Indiya vo vremja i posle vitoroi mirovoi voini, p 104
 - 84 Ibid
 - 85 Limaye, op cit, p 55 He claims between 1945 and 1947 the All India Students' Federation became a mere paper
 - 86 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vloroi mirovoi voini, p 76
 - 87 Limaye, op cit, p 55

NOTES 365

- 88 Mitra, The Indian Annual Register, July December, 1915, II. 121 89 Akademiya Nauk, op cit, p 101, Dyakov, India vo
- vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini, p 79 90 Unsigned article, 'Communist Progress in India,' World News and Views, (Vol XXI, No 19 May 11, 1946), p 145 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini, p 79 The Communists gained seven seats from the workers' electoral
 - list, one from the Untouchables' list and one, oddly enough, from the Christian communal list
- 91 Ramesh Sanghvi, "The New Upsurge World News and Views, (Vol XXVII, No 5, February 1, 1917) p 60 See also New York Times, January 8, 1947, p 5
- 92 Unsigned article 'Communist Progress in India, loc cit, p 145, Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini,
 - p 79 93 R. Palme Dutt, India Today, (1949 ed.) p 548 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini, p 79 unsigned
 - article "Communist Progress in India," loc cit, p 145 91 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini,
 - PP 86-87
 - 95 On October 12, 1945 Communist party leader P C. Joshi declared that the C.P.I. would contest the elections for provincial legislatures For details of his statement see Mitra The Indian Annual Register, July December, 1945, II, 121
 - 96 Communist Party of India, Election Manifesto of the Communist Party of India, in appendix of P C Joshi's For the Final Bid to Power, (Bombay, 1917) pp 100-101
 - 97 Ibid, p 105
 - 98 Ibid
 - 99 Ibid, p 106 100 Ibid
 - 101 Ibid , pp 106-107
 - 102 Ibid , p 106
 - 103 Ibid . p 108
 - 101 Ibid, p 111 105 Ibid
 - 106 Ibid., p 110
 - 107 Ibid
 - 108 Ibid, pp 110-111 109 Ibid, p 117

112 Ibid 113 Ibid

366

114 Ibid , pp 114 115 115 Ibid, p 116

116 Ibid, pp 117 118

117 Ibid , p 120 118 Ibid

119 Ibid, pp 120-121

120 See R Palme Dutt, "Quitting India," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXVIII, No 10, October, 1946), p 300, James Spedding, Stop Interfering in India, World News and Views, (Vol. XXVI, No 27, July 6, 1946), p 211, Michael Carritt, 'The Failure of a Mission," World News and Views, (Vol XXVI, No 32, August 10, 1946), pp 249 250, and unsigned article, 'Con scription for What, Labour Monthly, (Vol XXVIII, No 12, December, 1946), p 362 The latter article held peace-time

conscription had been introduced in Britain to hold down the hapless Indians 121 Joshi, For the Final Bid to Power, pp 54 55 122 Ibid , pp 60 ff.

123 "A G 'India's Food Problem," Labour Monthly, (Vol. XXVIII, No 5, May, 1946), p 160

124 R. Palme Dutt, "Travel Notes," Labour Monthly, (Vol

XXVIII, No 6, June, 1946), pp 185-186

125 For details see R Palme Dutt, India Today, (1949 ed.), pp 178 ff

126 R. Palme Dutt, 'India-No Time to Lose," World News and Views, (Vol XXVI, No 9, March 2, 1946), p 65

127 R. Palme Dutt, "Independence for India," Labour Month ly, (Vol XXVIII, No 8, August, 1946), pp 246-247 128 Ibid , pp 247 248

129 Ibid, p 249

130 Puran Chandra Joshi, "India, What Now?" World News and Views, (Vol XXV, No 31, August 11, 1945), p 243

131 Communist Party of Great Britain, (Central Committee), India World News and Views, (Vol XXV, No 40, October 13, 1945), p 316, Michael Carritt, Labour and India," World News and Views, (Vol XXV, No 38, September 28, 1945), p 299, and Joshi India, What Now? loc cit, p 243

367 NOTES

132 R Palme Dutt, "Independence for India," loc cit, p 247, unsigned article, "Plan for India," World News and Views, (Vol XXVI, No 21, May 25, 1916), p 161

133 Unsigned article, New Times, (Moscow) (No 9, May

1, 1946), p 32

134 People's Age, September 1, 1946, p 5

135 For details see thid

136 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini, p 109

137 People's Age, June 29, 1947, p 6 138 Ibid For hostile British Communist reaction to the plan see Harry Pollitt (for the Communist Party of Great Britain, Executive Committee) 'India," World News and Views, (Vol XXVII, No 23, June 21, 1947), pp 265 266, and R. Palme Dutt, "The Mountbatten Plan for India," Labour Monthly,

(Vol XXIX, No 7, July, 1947) pp 210 ff
139 See People's Age, June 22, 1947, p 7 in reference to the
attitude of the C.P.I as regards the partition of Bengal, and March 30, 1917, p 2 in respect to the partition of the Punjab

140 R. Palme Dutt, 'India and Pakistan," loc. cit, p 90 141 Ibid

142 R. Palme Dutt, "Travel Notes," Labour Monthly, (Vol XXVIII, No. 10, October, 1946), p 319

143 Joshi, For the Final Bid to Power, p 84

144 Ibid.

145 Communist Party of India, 'Election Manifesto of the Communist Party of India," World News and Views, (Vol. XXVI, No 10, March 9, 1916), p 78

146 Joshi, For the Final Bid to Power, p 81

147 Ibid, p 84

148 Ibid , p 5

149 Ibid, p 70

150 Ibid, pp 81-82

151 Ibid p 81

152. See the article on the defense of Sheikh Abdullah, "Not Guilty" Labour Monthly, (Vol XXVIII, No 10, October, 1916), p 311 See also Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle vioroi mirovoi voini, p 79

153 Dyakov, Indiya vo vremya i posle utoros mirovos voini,

p 221

- 154 See Narayan, op est., pp 225 ff., Jones, op est., p 178,
- Rajkumar, op cit, pp 63-64, and Mellor, op cit, p 72
- 155 For example, see People's Age, February 9, 1917, p 9 156 Unsigned article, "Communist Progress in India," World
- Neus and Vieus, (Vol XXVI, No 19, May 11, 1916), p 145 157 "Bombay Correspondent," "Communism in India," loc
- cit, p 846 158 See Mohan Singh, Congress Unmasked (Ludhiana, India,
- 1917), pp 24, 36 ff
- 159 For details see New Age, October 4, 1953, p 3
- 160 A M Dyakov, 'India after the War," New Times, (No 2, January 15, 1916), pp 10 ff
 - 161 Ibid pp 10-11
- 162 Ibid For a generalized criticism of British rule in India, see also Dyakov s article, "India and her Peoples," New Times, (No 5 March 1, 1946), pp 25 ff See also A Bolshakov, 'Life
- in India as It Really Is," New Times, (No 7, April I, 1946). pp 2930, and V Borssov, "The British Colonial Empire as It Really Is," New Times, (No 22, November 15, 1946), pp 25-28
 - 163 New York Times, March 26, 1916, p 2 164 Unsigned article, New Times, (No 6, March 15, 1946),
- p 13, and news 11cm, New Times, (No 7, April I, 1946), p 32
- 165 Unsigned article, 'The Indian Problem," New Times, (No 6, March 15, 1946) pp 17 18
- 166 But this did not apply to the comrades in England, the immediate supervisors of India's Communists See R Palme Dutt, India-No Time to Lose, loc cit, p 65
- 167 Pravda July 15, 1946, p 3
- 168 A M Dyakov, "The Events in India," New Times, (No 24, December 15, 1946), p. 15
 - 169 Ibid
 - 170 Pravda, October 21, 1946, p 4
 - 171 Dyakov, 'The Events in India,' loc cit, p 15 172 Dyakov, India after the War, loc cit, p 13
 - 173 As quoted in Sitaramayya, op cit, II, cexlin
 - 174 The Hindu, September 27, 1946, p 4
- 175 Jawaharlal Nehru, Before and After Independence, Speeches, 1922 1950 J S Bright, editor (New Delhi, 1950)
 - 176 The Hindu, September 27, 1946, p 4
 - 177 New York Times, September 26, 1946, p 34

369 NOTES

178 The Times (London) November 13, 1946 p 5

179 New York Times, October 1, 1946, p 15 180 Pravda October 21, 1946, p 4

181 Ibid 182 For full details see United Nations General Assembly, Plenary Meetings of the General Assembly, 23 October-16 December, 1946, Documents A/205 and A/205, Add 1 Treat ment of Indians in the Union of South Africa, pp 1006 ff.

especially pp 1041 1046 183 Ibid, p 1061

184 Pravda, October 21, 1946, p 4

185 See New Times, (No 10, March 7, 1916), p 31 186 The Hindu, November 13, 1916, p 4

187 As quoted in unsigned article, 'Soviet Comment on Pakistan,' Modern Review, (Vol LXXXI, No 1, January, 1917), p 9

188 Ibid

189 See A Volgin, 'Our Trip to India," New Times, (No 12, March 21 1917) p 20 and unsigned article, 'India and Russia," Calcutta Review, (Vol XII, No 1, January, 1917) DD 52.53

190 Unsigned article "India and Russia" loc cit, pp 52 53

191 Ibid

192 For details see Volgin loc cit, pp 21 if

193 New Times (No 15, March 28, 1917), p 32. 194 Nehru, Before and After Independence, p 425

195 Unsigned article, "The Inter Asian Relations Conference,"

Junigneu Bruce, Line Inter Asian Relations Conference, Colclutta Review, (Vol. Cli. No. 2, February 1947) p. 154
196 New Times, (No. 15, April 11, 1947) p. 31
197 The Hindus, April 16, 1947, p. 7
198 Leading attick, 'Establishment of Soviet Indian Diplo-

matic Relations" New Times, (No. 16 April 18 1917) p. 31. 199 Ibid. The theme of the editorial was "The machinations of the foes of international peace and friendship were unable to prevent the establishment of diplomatic relations between

India and the Soviet Union " 200 Unsigned article, "The Security Council and India," Cal cutta Revieu, (Vol CIV, No I, January, 1917), p 47

201 Ibid

202 The Hindu June 27, 1917, p 4

203 New York Times, August 12, 1917, p 3

204 The Times (London), October 22, 1947, p 3 205 The Times (London), December 18, 1947, p 3 206 The Times (London), May 13, 1948, p 3

207 Izvestia, July 5, 1947, p 3

208 Ibid The Soviet view in brief was that the Mountbatten plan for the sub-continent, by partitioning it, "gives England an opportunity to retain to a maximum degree her economic and political position there"

209 New York Times, June 4, 1947, p 14

210 Ibid

211 See the unsigned articles, 'Spotlight on Slander," New Times, (No 12, June 15, 1946), p 19 and "Anti-Soviet Slander in India. (No 10, March 7, 1947), p 26

212 Times of India (Bombay), April 12, 1947, p 4
213 Unsigned article, 'Different Voices but One Choir Lead

er, New Times, (No 18, September 15, 1946), p 28 214 See the unsigned article, 'Indo-Russian Trade Prospects,"

Modern Review, (Vol LXXX, No 2, August, 1946), p 101

215 See the unsigned article, "Religion in Russia and India," Modern Review, (Vol LXXXI, No 2, February, 1947), p 180 216 'B K.' 'Communism and Indian Communism,' Modern

Review, (Vol LXXXIX, No 4, April, 1946), p 292
217 Unigned article, "Spotlight on Stander," loc cit, p 19
218 Unigned article, Anti-Soviet Slander in India," loc cit, p 26 For critical views of Soviet policy see also D P Mukherji, Views and Counter Views," (Lucknow, 1946), p 188, and

Iqbal Singh, op cit, pp 7172

219 New York Times, September 25, 1946, p 11 The state ment was made in connection with a reported attempt by a Muslim League member in September, 1946 to interview Foreign Minister Molotov in Paris in regard to possible Soviet aid to the League The rumor, however, was denied 220 Nehru, Important Speeches, p 358

221 For the story of the Soviet retreat from Northern Iran in 1945 1946, see Lenczowski, op cit, pp 284 ff

222 See the unsigned articles in the New Times, Diverting Attention, (No 17, September 1, 1946), p 24, and "Different Voices but One Choir Leader," loc cit, p 28 As for Afghani stan, it may be noted that the Soviets made little progress there between August, 1945 and August, 1947 Neither did they make any progress in Chinese Turkestan nor Tibet during that period

223 B K' Communism and Indian Communism," loc cit,

p 294 224 Ibid, pp 292 295

225 New York Times, March 3, 1946, p IV, 5 See also Robert A Smith Divided India (New York 1947) p 25 226 New York Times, January 21, 1947, p 11

Bibliography

A Books and Pamphlets

- Adhıkarı, Gangadhar M., Pakıstan and Indian National Unity, London, Labour Monthly, 1943, 32 pp
- Agabekov, Georgii (Grigorii), OGPU, the Russian Secret
- Terror, New York, Brentano s, 1931, 277 pp Akademiia Nauk, S.SSR., Krizis kolonialnoi systemi, Moscow,
- Akademiia Nauk, 1951, 289 pp Bailey, Frederick M , Mission to Tashkent, London, Jonathan
- Cape, 1946, 308 pp Balabushevich, V V. Rabochii klass i rabochee dvizhenie v
- Indii, Moscow, Uchenie zapiski Tikhookeanskogo in stituta AN SSSR (Vol II) 1949, 226 pp Barmine, Alexandre Memoirs of a Soviet Diplomat, L. Dick
- son, London, 1938, 360 pp
- Beauchamp, Joan British Imperialism in India, London, Martin Lawrence, 1934, 135 pp
- Bose, Subhas C, The Indian Struggle, 1920 1934, London, Wishart & Co 1934, 349 pp
- Brailsford Henry Noel, Rebel India, New York, New Republic Co 1931 262 pp
 - , Subject India, New York, the John Day Co., 1943,
- Cambridge University, Cambridge History of India, the In dian Empire 1858-1918, H H Dodwell, editor, Cam bridge (England) (Cambridge) University Press, 1932 Vol VI, 660 pp
- Chakraberty, Chandra, New India, Calcutta Vijayakhrishna Press 1951 125 pp
- Coates, W P and Zelda K, A History of Anglo-Somet Re lations, London Lawrence & Wishart, 1944, 816 pp
- , Soviets in Central Asia, London, Lawrence & Wishart, 1951 288 pp

Coatman, John, Years of Destiny, India, 1926 1932, London, Ionathan Cape, 1932, 384 pp

Coupland, Sir Reginald India a Re Statement, Oxford Ox ford University Press, 1945, 311 pp

Problem in India New York, London, Oxford University

Press 1944, 711 pp

Cummings K and W W Petit, (editors) Russian American Relations, Documents and Papers, prepared for the For eign Policy Association, New York, Harcourt, Brace & Howe, 1920, 375 pp

Dallin, David J., Soviet Russia and the Far East, New Haven, Connecticut Yale University Press, 1913, 398 pp

Das, Mohan, Communist Activity in India, 1925 1950, Bom

bay, the Democratic Research Service, 1951, 16 pp Das, Rajani kanta, The Labour Movement in India, Berlin,

Leipzig Walter De Gruyter Co 1923, 112 pp Desai, A R. Social Background of Indian Nationalism, Bom

bay, Oxford University Press 1918 415 pp

Deva, Acharya Narendra Socialism and the National Revolution, Bombay Padma Publications, 1916, 208 pp

Dutt, Rajani Palme, India To-day, London, Victor Gollancz Ltd. 1910 544 pp

Publishing House, 1919 581 pp

----- Modern India, London, Communist Party of Great Britain 1927, 174 pp

Publishers 1945 221 pp

Dyakov, A. M., Indiya i Pakistan, Moscow, Pravda, 1950.

71 pp Indiya vo vremya i posle vtoroi mirovoi voini,

1939 1949, Moscow, Akademiya Nauk, 1952, 258 pp

Indu, Moscow, Gos-12d vy pol litra, 1948, \$28 pp.

Ebon Martin, World Communism Today, New York and Toronto McGraw Hill Book Co., 1918 536 pp

Etherton, Percy T., In the Heart of Asia London, Constable & Co., 1925, 205 pp

Feis Herbert, The Road to Pearl Harbor, Princeton, New Jersey Princeton University Press, 1950 35G pp.

Fischer, Louis, Gandhi and Stalin, Madras, Rajkamal Publi cations, 1947, 147 pp , The Soviets in World Affairs, Princeton, New Jer sey, Princeton University Press, 1951, 2 Vols Fraser Tytler, Sir William K., Afghanistan, a Study of Politi

G P Putnam's Sons, 1927, 343 pp

cal Developments in Central Asia, London, Oxford Uni versity Press, 1953, (second edition) 348 pp Fulop-Millar, Rene, Lenin and Gandhi, London & New York, Fuse, K., Soviet Policy in the Orient, Peiping (Peking),

Enjinsha, 1927, 409 pp

Gauba, L. I., Famous and Historic Trials, Lahore, Lion Press, 1946, 423 pp

Grant, A. J and Harold Temperly, Europe in the Nineteenth and Twentieth Centuries, London, Longmans, Green & Co. 1940, 716 pp

Gregg, Richard B , Gandhism and Socialism, Madras, S Ganesan, 1931, 400 pp

Gunther, Frances, Revolution in India, New York, Island Press, 1944, 122 pp

Howland, John S. Indian Crisis, New York, the Macmillan Co. 1913, 193 pp Hutchinson, Lester, Conspiracy at Meerut, London, George

Allen & Unwin, Ltd., 1935, 190 pp Joshi, Puran Chandra, Among Kuan Patriots, Bombay, People s Publishing House, 1946, 16 pp

, The Indian Communist Party, Forward to Free-

dom, with introduction by Harry Pollitt, London, Com munist Party of Great Britain, 1942, 35 pp

Jones, George E., Tumult in India, New York, Dodd, Mead & Co., 1948, 277 pp

karaka, D F. Betrayal in India, London, Victor Gollancz Ltd., 1950, 253 pp

kendall, Patricia, India and the British, London, Charles Scribners' Sons 1931, 467 pp

kohn, Hans, A History of Nationalism in the East, New York, Harcourt Brace & Co., 1929, 476 pp Lacey, Patrick, Fascist India, London, Nicholson and Watson

Lenczowski, George, Russia and the West in Iran, 1918 1948,

Ithaca, New York, Cornell University Press, 1948, 383 pp

Lenin, Nikolai, Imperialism, New York, Vanguard Press, 1926,

108 pp Levi, Werner, Free India in Asia, Minneaoplis, University of Minnesota Press, 1952, 161 pp

Limaye, Madhu, Communist Party, Facts and Fiction, Hydera

bad, (India) Chetana Prakashan, 1951, 100 pp Linton, Ralph, editor, Most of the World, New York, Colum

bia University Press 1919, 917 pp Lobanov Rostovsky, Prince A., Russia and Asia, Ann Arbor,

Michigan, the G Wahr Publishing Co., 1951, 542 pp Lyons Gervais (James) Afghanistan, the Buffer State, Madras.

Lyons Gervais (James) Afghanistan, the Buffer State, Madras, Higginbothan & Co., 1910, 232 pp MacMunn, Sir George, Turmoil and Tragedy in India, 1914

and After, Jarrolds 1935, 291 pp

Majumdar, Ramesh Chandra, H C. Raychaudhuri, kali kinkar Dutta An Advanced History of India, London, Macmillan Co., 1948, 1081 pp

Marx, Karl, Capital, a Critique of Political Economy, Chicago

C. H Kerr & Co., 1909-1921, 3 Vols

lishers, 1934, 551 pp.
Marx. Karl and Friedrich Engels. Korrespondentsia Karl

International Publishers, 1932, 48 pp

Marriott, J A R., Anglo-Russian Relations, 1689 1943, Lon don, Methuen & Co., 1913, 227 pp

don, Methuen & Co., 1913, 227 pp Masani, Minoo R., The Communist Party of India, New

York, the Macmillan Co. 1954, 302 pp

——, Socialism Reconsidered, Bombay, Padma Publica

Mayo, Katherine, Mother India, New York, Harcourt Brace & Co., 1927, 440 pp

k Co., 1927, 440 pp Middleton, W B., Britain and Russa, London, Hutchinson & Co., 1916, 238 pp

Mellor, Andrew, India since Partition, New York, Frederick A Praeger, 1951, 156 pp

Moore, Harriet L., Souret Far Eastern Policy, 1931 1945, Princeton, New Jersey Princeton University Press 1945.

285 pp

Mukerjee, Radakamal, The Indian Working Class, Bombay, Hind Kitabas 1951, 407 pp

Mukerji, Dhurjati Prasad, Views and Counter-Views, Lucknow, Universal Publishers, 1946, 196 pp

Mukhtar, Ahmed, Trade Unionism and Labour Disputes in India, Calcutta, Longmans, Green & Co. 1935, 251 pp Narayan, Jay Prakash, Towards Struggle, Bombay, Padma

Publications, 1946, 244 pp

Nehru, Jawaharlal, Before and After Independence, Speeches, 1922 1950, edited by J S Bright, New Delhi, the Indian Printing Works, 1950, 612 pp

Co, 1946, 595 pp

. Important Speeches, Being a Collection of Most Significant Speeches Delivered by Jawaharlal Nehru from 1922 to 1946, edited by J S Bright, Lahore, the Indian Printing Works 396 pp

Soviet Russia, Bombay, Chetena, 1929, 182 pp harlal Nehru, New York, the John Day Co , 1942, 438 pp the John Day Co., 1948, 432 pp

O'Malley, L S S. Modern India and the West, London,

Oxford University Press 1941, 834 pp Parkin, George Raleigh, India Today-an Introduction to

Indian Politics, New York, Toronto, Longmans, Green & Co, 1946, 387 pp

Pasvolski, Leo, Russia in the Far East, New York, the Mac millan Co 1922, 181 pp

Payne, Robert, Red Storm over Asia, New York, the Mac mılan Co, 1951, 309 pp

Polak, H S L., Henry Noel Brailsford, Lord Pethwick Lawrence, Mahaima Gandhi, London, Odham Press Ltd., 1918, 320 pp

Punekar, S D. Trade Unionism in India, Bombay, New Book Co, 1948, 407 pp

Rajkumar, N V, Indian Political Parties, New Delhi All India Congress Committee, 1948, 139 pp

Raman, T. A., Report on India, New York, Oxford Uni versity Press, 1943, 231 pp

Reed, Sir Stanley and P. R Cadell, India, the New Phase. London, Phillip Alan & Co., 1928, 175 pp

Riencourt, Amaury de. Roof of the World, Tibet, Key to Ana. New York, Rinehart, 1950, 322 pp

Rosinger, Lawrence K., Restless India, New York, Henry Holt

& Co., 1946, 113 pp Rosinger, Lawrence K, and Associates, The State of Asia,

New York, Henry Holt & Co., 1946, 113 pp. Roy, Manabendra Nath, The Future of Indian Politics, Lon

don, R. Bishop, 1926, 118 pp

---- I Accuse, New York, Roy Defense Committee of India, 1932, 30 pp

1943, Vol. III, 284 pp.

--- My Experience in China, Calcutta, Renaissance Publishers, 1915, 70 pp , New Orientation, Calcutta, Renaissance Publish

ers, 1916, 27 pp

Calcutta, Renaissance Publishers, 1946, 631 pp

Roy, Manabendra Nath and Evelyn, One Year of Non-Cooperation from Ahmedabad to Gaya, Calcutta, Commu

nist Party of India, 1923, 181 pp Saklatvala, Shapurji, Is India Differenti, London, Communist

Party of Great Britain, 1927, 35 pp. Shelyankar, Krishnarao Shiyarao, The Problem of India, Lon

don, Penguin Books, 1910, 251 pp

Shridharani, Krishnalal, War Without Violence, New York, Harcourt, Brace & Co. 1939 351 pp

Singh, Mohan, Congress Unmasked, Ludhiana, India, 1917.

Desh Sewak Sanehwal, 1917, 199 pp Sitaramayya, B. Pattabhi, The History of the Indian National Congress, Vol I, Madras, Law Printing House, 1935.

1161 pp., Vol. II, Bombay, Padma Publications, 1917. 1093 pp Smith, Robert A., Divided India, New York, Whittlesey House, McGraw Hill, 1917, 259 pp

Smith, Wilfred C., Modern Islam in India, Labore, Minerva

Book Shop, 1913, 399 pp

- Spratt, Philip, The Communist Peace Appeal-its Real Charac
- ter, Bombay, Democratic Research Society, 1951, 46 pp Stalin, Joseph Foundations of Leninism, New York, International Publishers, 1939, 127 pp

 - International Publishers, 1942, 222 pp

 On the National Question, London, Lawrence &
- Wishart, 1943, 32 pp Steiger, G Nye, A History of the Far East, Boston, Ginn &
 - Co, 1936, 928 pp
- Tendulkar, Dinanath Gopal, M. C. Rau, M. Sarabhai, U. K. Jaseri, Gandhiji, His Life and Work, Bombay, Jhaveri,
- karnatak Publishing House, 1944, 501 pp Thompson, Edward John, Ethical Ideas in India To-day,
- London Watts & Co., 1912, 39 pp Thompson, Edward John and G T Garratt, The Rise and
- Fulfilment of British Rule in India, London, Macmillan & Co. 1934, 690 pp
 - Tilak, K, Rise and Fall of the Comintern, Bombay, Spark Syndicate, 1947, 157 pp
- Underwood A C., Contemporary Thought of India, London, Williams & Norgate Ltd., 1930, 235 pp
- Wallbank, T Walter, India in the New Era, New York, Scott, Foresman & Co., 1951, 204 pp.
- Wolford, Clare and Harris, India Afire, New York, the John Day Co., 1951, 545 pp

B Documents

- All India Kisan Sabha, General Kisan Council, 'Indian Peasants Call for Aid to the Soviet," World News and Views, Vol XXI, No 41, October 11, 1941, p. 653
- All India Trade Union Congress, An Appeal to Indian Labour, an Address Delivered by D Chaman Lal, General Sectetary of the AIT UC, Labour Monthly, Vol. I, No. 2, September 1921, pp. 181-182
 - House, 1945, 82 pp
 - Delha, March, 1927 Labour Monthly, Vol IX, No 7, July, 1927, pp 443-444

....., "Resolutions," Eighth Annual Session, held in Cawnpore, November, 1927, Labour Monthly, Vol X, No 4, April, 1928, pp 251 253

Bradley, Ben (jamin), Rajani Palme Dutt and Harry Pollitt, (for the Central Committee, Communist Party of Great Britain) 'Greetings to the Indian National Congress, Haripura Session," International Press Correspondence, Vol XVIII, No 6, February 1, 1938, pp 113 114

Communist International, The Communist International be tween the Fifth and Sixth Congress, published for the Communist International by the Communist Party of Great Britain, London, Communist Party of Great Britain, 1928, 508 pp

From the Fourth to the Fifth World Congress, Reports of the Executive Committee of the Communist International, London, Communist Party of Great Britain,

1924, 122 pp

1919, edited by William Paul, Glascow, Socialist Labour Press, 1919, 12 pp

19-August 7, 1920, (As reported verbatum from the Rus sian press for the United States Department of State)
Washington, D. C., United States Government Printing Office, 1920, 137 pp

22-Iuly 12, 1921. Theses and Resolutions, New York, the Contemporary Publishing Association, 1921, 199 pp

-, Fourth Congress of the Communist International, November 7-December 3, 1922, London, Communist Party of Great Britain, 1922, 297 pp

_____, Fifth Congress of the Communist International, June 17-July 8, 1924, London, Communist Party of Great Britain, 1921, 291 pp -, Compte rendu stenographique du l'Iieme Con

gress de L'internationale Communiste, July 17-August 28, 1928, Paris, Communist Party of France, 1928, 287 pp -, Seventh Congress of the Communist International.

July 25-August 20, 1935, Moscow, Foreign Language Publishing House, 1939, 601 pp

Colonies and Semi-Colonies,"-Adopted at the Sixth Con gress of the Communist International, International Press Correspondence, Vol VIII, No 49, December 12, 1928, pp 1659 1676 --- Executive Committee, "The XI Plenum of the

ECC.I" International Press Correspondence, Vol XI, No 30, June 10, 1931 (special number), p 552 Report of the Meeting of the Execu

tive Committee of the Communist International," Com munist Review, Vol. I, No. 1, May, 1921, p. 21

, "Resolution on the Oriental Question," (as adopted by the ECC.I on March 4, 1922), International Press Correspondence, Vol II, No 29, April 25, 1922, p 225

, 'To the Workers of all Countries,' International Press Correspondence, Vol III, No 24,

March 11, 1923, (Political Prisoners' Week) p 190 Communist Party of China, Central Committee, Open Letter to the Indian Communists," from the CC of the Com munist Party of China, International Press Correspond ence, Vol XIII No 51, November 24, 1933, pp 1153 1158

Communist Parties of China, Great Britain and Germany, Central Committees, 'Open Letter to the Indian Com munists, from the CC s of the Communist Parties of China, Great Britain and Germany, Communist Inter national, Vol XI, No 10, June 1, 1932, pp 347 358 Communist Party of Great Britain, Central Committee, 'Com munist Views,' (on the Cripps Proposals) World News

and Views, Vol XXII, No 14, April 4, 1942, p 203 , Greetings to the Indian National Congress World News and Views, Vol XIX, No 10, March 11, 1939, p 199

, 'India' World News and Views, Vol XXI, No 42, October 18, 1941, p 668

, India, World News and Views, Vol XXV No 40, October 13, 1945 p 316

----, Stand by the Indian People,' World News and Views, Vol XIX, No 55, November 1, 1939,

Communist Party of India, Central Committee, 'Abridged Draft of the Political Thesis of the Communist Party BIBLIOGRAPHY 381

of India," International Press Correspondence, Vol XIV, No 40, July 20, 1934, pp 1024 1034

munist Party of India," International Press Correspondence, Vol X, No 58, December 18, 1930, pp 1218-1222

nist Party of India," World News and Views, Vol XXVI, No 10, March 9, 1946, p 78

No 10, March 9, 1946, p 78

ton Manifesto World News and Views, Vol. XXV. No.

tion Manifesto) World News and Views, Vol XXV, No 47, December I, 1945, p 391

of India," World News and Views, Vol XV, No II, March 16 1910, pp 166-167

Strike, the Story of the Strike in the

Indian Navy, Bombay, People's Publishing House, 1916,

Second Congress, Political Thens Passed by the Second Congress, February 28-March 6, 1948, Calcutta, published by M Kaul for the Communist Party of India, 1919, 65 pp

Dimitrov, Georgi, "The Offensive of Fascism and the Tasks of the C. I in the Struggle for the Unity of the Working Class against Fascism," International Press Correspond ence, Vol XV, No 43, September 7, 1935, pp 1121 1128

(Speeches delivered at the Seventh Congress of the Communist International, July 25—August 20, 1935) New York, International Publishers, 1936, 238 pp

India, Government of, (L. F. Rushbrook Williams, editor) India in 1919, Calcutta, Superintendent of Government Printing 1920, 281 pp

intendent of Government Printing 1920, Calcutta, Super intendent of Government Printing 1921, 275 pp

Superintendent of Government Printing 1922, 368 pp

 382

Superintendent of Government Printing 1927, 377 pp.

-) India in 1927 1928, Calcutta,
Superintendent of Government Printing, 1928, 461 pp

Superintendent of Government Printing, 1920, 416 pp.

Superintendent of Government Printing, 1923, 416 pp.

Superintendent of Government Printing, 1929, 416 pp.

Lidda in 1929 1930, 62leutta, 1920 in 1929 1930, 62leutta, 1920 in 1929 1930, 494 pp.

Superniendent of Government Printing 1930, 491 ppt 5, holds in 1930 1971, Calcutts, Superniendent of Government Printing 1931, 732 pp 1, holds in 1931 1932, 238 pp 1, holds in 1932 1931, Calcutts, Superniendent of Government Printing 1932, 283 pp 1, holds in 1932 1931, Calcutts, Superniendent of Government Printing 1935, 197 pp

Superintendent of Government Printing 1933, 196 pp.

(—) India in 1931 1934, 196 pp.

(—) India in 1931 1935, Calcutta,
Superintendent of Government Printing 1935, 145 pp.

Judgment Memory Compracy Case, Simla, Government of India, Printing Compracy Case, Simla, Government of India, Printing Company C

Government of India Press, 1911

Indian National Congress, Report of the General Secretary,

New Delhi, 1936, Indian National Congress, 40 pp Indian Year Book, a Statistical and Historical Annual of the Indian Empire with an Explanation of the Principal

Topics of the Day, Vol XXVI, 1939-1910, Bombay, Bennett & Coleman & Co., Ltd., 1910, 1265 pp.
International Labor Office, Industrial Labour in India, Studies and Reports-Industrial Page 1919.

ics and Reports-Industrial Relations, Geneva, Inter national Labor Office, 1938, 335 pp

Josh, Puran Chandra, Communist Reply to Congress Work

ing Committee's Charges, Bombay, People's Publishing

- P. C. Joshi, Bombay, People's Publishing House, 1945,
- 68 pp — For the Final Bid to Power, Bombay, People's Publishing House, 1947, 122 pp — "An Indian Appeal to the British People," World

News and Views, Vol. XXII, No 34, August 22, 1942,

News and Views, Vol. XXII, No 34, August 22, 1942

Labour Monthly The Speech of the Prosecutor in the Meerut Case, 'Part I, Labour Monthly, Vol XII, Janu ary, 1930, pp 24-29, Part II, Jud, Vol XII, No 2, Febru ary, 1930, pp 97 105 Part III, Jud, Vol XII, No 3,

March, 1950, pp 177 185
League Against Imperialism, Crushing the Working Classes in India," International Press Correspondence, Vol XIV, No 44 August 17, 1934, p. 1159

Mitra, Nripendra Nath The Indian Quarterly Register, (later issued on an annual basis and called The Indian Annual Register) Calcutta, The Annual Register Office

- A The Indian Annual Register for 1922, Calcutta, the Annual Register Office, 1923, 1012 pp
- B The Indian Annual Register for 1925 (Vol II, July December), Calcutta, the Annual Register Office, 1926, 407 pp
- C. The Indian Annual Register for 1928 (Vol II, July December), Calcutta, the Annual Register Office, 1929, 516 pp
- D The Indian Annual Register for 1936 (Vol II, July December) Calcutta, the Annual Register Office, 1937, 512 pp
- E. The Indian Annual Register for 1945 (Vol 3, January June), Calcutta, the Annual Register Office 1914, 436 pp
- F The Indian Annual Register for 1915 (Vol 11 July December) Calcutta the Annual Register Office, 1916, 474 pp
- Orgwald, "A Conversation with Indian Comrades," (An analysis of the then policies of Indian Communism as ascertained by an interview by the author with leading

- Indian Communists) International Press Correspondence,
- Vol XIV, No 20, March 29, 1934, pp 517 522 Pollitt, Harry, (for the Central Committee, Communist Party
- of Great Britain) 'The Communist Party's Call to the Prime Minister," World News and Views, Vol XXII, No 35. August 29, 1942, p 357
 - (Free the Indian Communist Leaders, World News and Views, Vol XXVII, No 4, January 25, 1947, p 40
 - News and Views, Vol XXII, No 31, August 1, 1942,
- Views, Vol XXVII, No 23, June 21, 1947, pp 265 266 (India a Call to the British People, Labour Monthly, Vol XXIII, No 6, June, 1941,
 - Party of Great Britain on India, World News and Views, Vol XXII, No 33, August 15, 1942, p 330
- Pollitt, Harry, Rajani Palme Dutt and Ben (jamin) Bradley for the Central Committee, Communist Party of Great Britain Letter to the Indian Communists," Interna tional Press Correspondence, Vol XVI No 50, Novem
 - ber 7, 1936, pp 1342 1344 Red International of Labour Unions (RILU) 'The RILU to the Eight All India Congress of Trade Unions," International Press Correspondence, Vol VII, No 68,
 - December 1, 1927, p 1539 Royal Institute of Internal Affairs, Iane Tabrisky Degras compiler, Somet Documents on Foreign Policy, London,
- New York, Oxford University Press, 1951 1953, 3 vols Russian Federated Soviet Socialist Republic, Commissariat of Foreign Affairs, Sinyaya Kniga, Shornik tainikh doku mentov izvlechevnikh iz arkhiva bishago Ministerstav inostrannikh diel Moscow, izdanie narodnago kom missariata po inostrannim dielam, 1918 115 pp
- United Kingdom of Great Britain and Northern Ireland Foreign Office, Blue Book, a Selection of Papers dealing with the Relations of His Majesty's Government with

BIBLIOGRAPHY 385

the Soviet Government Cmd 2895 London His Maj esty's Stationery Office 1927 72 pp

House of Commons Communist
Papers and Documents Selected from those Obtained on
the Arrest of Certain British Communist Leaders on
October 14 and 21 1925 Parliamentary Publications 199
Vol XXIII (Accounts and Papers) Cmd. 2682 London

His Majesty's Stationery Office, 1926 135 pp

Court of Judicature of Allahabad in the Revolutionary Conspiracy Case Cmd. 2309 London His Majesty's Stationery Office 1924 16 pp

Parliament (House of Commons)
(Parliamentary) Debates (Hansard) London His Maj

esty's Stationery Office

A. 292 H C Deb 5s (1927)

231 H C. Deb 5s (1930) 235 H C. Deb 5s (1930) D 238 H C. Deb 5s (1930) E. 239 H C. Deb 5s (1930) 218 H C. Deb 5s (1931) 251 H C. Deb 5s (1931) G 252 H C. Deb 5s (1931) н T 253 H C. Deb 5s (1931) 257 H C. Deb 5s (1931) h 265 H C. Deb 5s (1932) 281 H C. Deb 5s (1933) t_ M 291 H C. Deb 5s (1933) N 292 H C. Deb 5s (1931) O 401 H C. Deb 5s (1914) P 419 H C. Deb 5: (1946) 431 H C. Deb 5s (1917)

United Nations Ceneral Assembly Plenary Meeting of the General Assembly 23 October-16 December 1916 Docs A/205 and \(\gamma\)205 Add 1 Treatment of Indians in the Union of South Africa New York United Nations 1917

pp 1006-1061
United States Government, Department of State National Relations edited by Raymond J Sontag and James C.

Beddie, Washington D C., United States Government Printing Office, 1948, 362 pp --- Office of Strategic Services, The Communist Party

of India, (at one time placed in the Confidential Center) R & A No 2681, Washington, D C 1945, United States Government Printing Office, 82 pp United States Senate, Committee on the Judiciary, Bolshevik

Propaganda, hearings 65 3, pursuant to S Res 439 and 469, February 11-March 10, 1919, Washington DC. United States Government Printing Office, 1919, 1265 pp

Workers and Peasants' Party of Bengal, A Call to Action, being the Resolutions, Theses and Report Presented to the Third Annual Conference of the Workers' and Peasants' Party of Bengal, Calcutta, Workers' and Peas ants' Party of Bengal, 1928, 58 pp

Workers' and Peasants' Party of India, "The Political Situa tion in India," (the Party Thesis) Labour Monthly, Vol XI, No 3, March, 1929, pp 151 162

Young Communist League of India, 'Draft Platform of Ac tion of the Young Communist League of India," Inter national Press Correspondence, Vol XII, No 10, March 10 1932, pp 228 232

Zinoviev, G., Survey of the Class War," (Report of the President of the Communist International at the Fourth Congress of the Communist International) Communist Review, Vol III, No 9, January, 1923, pp 474-503

C Selected Periodical Articles

Abbas, Khwaja Ahmad, "Moscow Comes to India," Asia, Vol XI, No 8, August, 1944, pp 350-351

Adhikari, Gangadhar M, 'Pakistan and National Unity," Labour Monthly, Vol XXV, No 3, March, 1943, pp

Ahmad, Muzaffar, "Meerut Communist Conspiracy," Amrita

Batar Patrika, (Independence Number) August 15, 1947. Ali, Mohammed, "India in 1930," Communist International,

Vol VIII, Nos 34, February 1, 1931, pp 105-113 Arnot, R. Page, 'The Meerut Sentences," Labour Monthly,

Vol XV, No 1, January, 1933, pp 96-101

RIRI TOCK APHY 387

, "Notes on British Imperialism," Communist In ternational, Vol VIII, No 5, February 15, 1931, pp 125 131

...., "Report on the Simon Commission," Labour Monthly, Vol XII, No 7, July, 1930, pp 388-403, 'The Sham Constitution of India" International

Press Correspondence, Vol. XIII, No. 31, July 14, 1933.

pp 683-687 Ashe, B, "The Anti War Movement in India," World News

and Views, Vol XIX, No 58, December 16, 1939, p 1151

"Britain and the North West Frontier," World News and Views, Vol XX, No 20, May 18, 1910, p 291

News and Views, Vol XX, No 13, April 6, 1910, p 216

Views, Vol XX, No 5, February 3 1910, pp 67-68 and Views, Vol XX, No 27, July 6, 1910, p 379

1173-1174 ---, 'Political Parties in India," World News and

Views, Vol XIX, No. 60, December 30, 1939, pp. 1173 1174

and Views, Vol XX, No 49, November 30, 1910, pp 689,690 ---. 'The Underground Struggle in India," World

News and I seus, Vol XX, No 43, October 26, 1910. pp 597 599

"Who Are the Indian Princes?" World News and Views, Vol XX, No 6, February 10, 1910, p 89

, "The Viceroy's Offer to India," World Neus and l'tews, Vol XX, No. 33, August 17, 1910, pp. 447-448 Bacon, Elizabeth and Alfred E. Hudson, "Afghanistan Waits,"

Asia, Vol XVI, No 1, January, 1911, pp 31 36 Bannerjee, Nitya, N., "Art and Literature in Russia," Afodern

Review, Vol LV, No 5, May, 1931, pp 567 570

Vol LIV, No 12, December, 1935, pp 683-689 "Russia Today," Modern Review, Vol LV. No.

2. February, 1934, pp 140-144 Basak, V., "A Few Remarks on the Indian Communist Movement," International Press Correspondence, Vol XIV,

No 32, June 1, 1934, pp 845-849

"The Situation in India," Part I, International Press Correspondence, Vol XIII, No 39, September 8, 1933, pp 853-854, Part II, ibid, Vol XIII, No 40, September 15, 1933, pp 896-897 and ibid, Vol XIII, No 41, September 22, 1933, pp 927 928, Part III, ibid, Vol. XIII, No 43, September 29, 1933, pp 946-948

Basu, Tara, "India's Famine," Labour Monthly, Vol XXVI,

No 1, January, 1944, p 32

Beauchamp, Joan, 'The Sixty-Six Per Cent Background to the India Bill." Labour Monthly, Vol XVII, No 3, March, 1935, pp 171 176

Bernard, Theos, "The Penl of Tibet," Asia, Vol XL, No

9, September, 1940, pp 500 504 Bhat, K. S. "The Workers' Welfare League of India," La

bour Monthly, Vol XIII, No 12, December, 1931, pp 777 779 Bishop, R., 'Imperialism's Handiwork in India," Interna

tional Press Correspondence, Vol XVI, No 42, September 12, 1936, pp 1153 1154 ---. Industrial Ferment in India," International Press

Correspondence, Vol XVIII, No I, January 8, 1938, pp 15 16 "The Present Situation in India." International

Press Correspondence, Vol XVI, No 64, December 5, 1936, pp 1444 1445 Blair, Hamish, 'Communism in the Open-the Meaning of

the Bombay Riots," Saturday Review, (London) Vol CLVII, No 4101, June 2, 1934, p 633 Bolshakov, A., 'Life in India as It Really Is," New Times,

No 7, April I, 1946, pp 29-30

Borisov, V., "The British Colonial Empire as It Really Is," New Times, No 22, November 15, 1946, pp 25-28

Bradley, Ben (12min), 'The Background in India," Labour Monthly, Vol XVI, No 3, March, 1934, pp 173 177

and Vieus, Vol XXII, No 31, August I, 1912, p 326 ---, "India, the Haripura Session, Labour Monthly, Vol XX, No 4, April, 1938 pp 237 244

- XXIV. No 10, October, 1942, pp 506-307
 ----, "India Threatened," Labour Monthly, Vol XXIV,
 - No 5, May, 1942, pp 144-148

 , 'India's Workers' Great One Day Strike," Labour
- - Monthly, Vol XXI, No 1, January, 1939, pp 4652—, 'Indian Criss," Labour Monthly, Vol XXV, No 5, May, 1943, pp 153 158
- -, "The Indian Elections,' Labour Monthly, Vol
- XIV, No 4, April, 1987, pp 229-240

 The Indian National Congress," International
- Press Correspondence, Vol XVIII, No 6, February 6, 1938, pp 126 127 --- The Indian National Congress and Ministries,"
- International Press Correspondence, Vol XVII, No 41, September 25, 1937, pp 925 927
- PP 365 366
- ----, "A New Phase in the Struggle for Freedom International Press Corespondence, Vol XVII, No 16,
- April 14, 1937, pp 400-401
- and hews, Vol XXIII, No 3, January 16, 1915, p 22

 _____, Unrest in the North West Frontier, Interna tional Press Correspondence, Vol. XVII, No. 17, April 17, 1937, pp. 421-422.
 - Vol XXII, No 29, July 18, 1912 p 312
- ----, What the Congress Socialists Want," Interna tional Press Correspondence, Vol. XIV, No. 60, December 15, 1931, pp. 1691 1698
- Bradley Ben (jamin) and Rajani Palme Dutt, "Towards Trade Union Unity in India," International Press Correspond
- ence, Vol XXI, No 12, March 7, 1936, pp 325 328
 Bridgeman, Reginald, "The New Deal for India, Labour
- Monthly, Vol XVII No 1, January, 1935, pp 20-29
 Burns, Fmile, Amery Must Go, Horld Neus and Liews,
 Vol XXIII, No 18, May 1, 1915, p 142
 Burns, L., "The Strike Struggle in India," Communit Inter

national, Vol XV, No 12, January February, 1938, pp

95-98 Burton, Wilbur, "Tug of War in Central Asia," Asia, Vol

XXXV, No 9, September, 1935, p 517 Carritt, Michael, "Britain and India," World News and Views,

Vol XXV, No 24, June 23, 1945, p 187

XXVII, No 11, November, 1945, pp \$42 \$45

"The Crisis in India," Labour Monthly, Vol XXIII, No 2, February, 1941, pp 75-82

"The Fatlure of a Mission," World News and Views, Vol XXVI, No 32, August 10, 1946, pp 249 250

--- "India before the Storm,' Labour Monthly. Vol

XXII. No 5, May, 1940, pp 294 303

"The Indian Conspiracy Case," World News and

Views, Vol XXI, No 23, June 7, 1941, pp 366-367
Labour and India, World News and Views, Vol

XXV, No 38, September 28, 1945, p 299

--- "A Tense Situation in India." World News and Views, Vol XXV, No 20, May 26, 1945, pp 156-157

Castagne, Joseph, 'Soviet Imperialism in Afghamstan," For eign Affairs, Vol XIII, No 4, July, 1935, pp 698 705 Chattopadhyaya, Virendranath, "The Capitulation of the

Indian Bourgeoisie," International Press Corespondence, Vol M, No 15, March 19, 1931, pp 285-286

--- The Indian National Congress " Labour Monthly. Vol XIII, No 5, May, 1931, pp 303 307

Dange, S A, "Danger Signals in Indian Economy," Labour

Monthly, Vol XXVI, No 8, August, 1944, pp 181 183 Vol XXVII, No 6, June, 1915, pp 181 183

Das, Taraknath, "Indian Nationalism and Bolshevism," Cal cutta Review, Vol LXX, No 2, February, 1939, pp

137 143 "Realities in World Politics of the Pacific," Cal cutta Review, Vol XLIV, No 2, February, 1939, pp.

137 143 , 'War or Peace in the Far East," Colcutta Review,

Vol XI.II. No 1, January, 1937, pp 87-89 Dutt, Clemens P, "The Class Struggle in India," Labour Monthly, Vol XI, No 7, July, 1929, pp 404416

- ----, "India and Freedom," Labour Monthly, Vol. XXIV, No 8, August, 1942, pp 247 250
- -, "The Indian League for Independence," Labour Monthly, Vol XI, No 1, January, 1929, pp 22 28
- -, "Indian Politics, an Analysis," Labour Monthly, Vol VII, No 7, July, 1925, pp 399-410

---, 'The Role and Leadership of the Indian Working Class," Labour Monthly, Vol XI, No 12, December, 1929, pp 741 752

-, "The Struggle for India," Labour Monthly, Vol

X, No. 3, March, 1938, pp 155-162

Dutt, Rajani Palme, "Independence for India," Labour Monthly, Vol XXVIII, No 8, August, 1946, pp 245 249 "India," Labour Monthly, Vol XIII, No 5, May,

1931, pp 259-274

--- "India Faces Japan," Labour Monthly, Vol XXVI. No 5, May, 1944, p 134

----, "India, No Time to Lose,' World News and Views, Vol XXVI, No 9, March 2, 1916, pp 65-66

---, "India and Pakistan," Labour Monthly, Vol

XXVIII, No 3, March, 1946, pp 83-93 -, "The Meaning of the Indian Constitutional Pro-

posals," International Press Correspondence, Vol. XIV, No 60, December 1, 1931, pp 1597 1599 -, "The Mountbatten Plan for India," Labour

Monthly, Vol XXIX, No 7, July, 1917, pp 210-219 -. "The Path to Proletarian Hegemony in the In

dian Revolution," Communist International, Vol. VII. No 14, December 1, 1930, pp 312 318

....., "Planning for India," Labour Monthly, Vol XXVI,

No 9, September, 1914, pp. 286-287 'Quitting India," Labour Monthly, Vol XXVIII.

No 10, October, 1916, p 299 Dutt, Rajani Palme and Ben (jamin) Bradley, "The Anti-

Imperialist People's Front,' International Press Corre spondence, Vol XVI, No 11, February 29, 1936, pp

297 300 Dyakov, A. M., "After the Failure of the Simla Conference," Har and the Horking Class, No 13, July 1, 1915, pp

-. "India after the War," New Times, No 2, Janu ary 15, 1916, pp 10-13

______, "India and Her Peoples," New Times, No 5, March 1, 1946, pp 25 31

"The Indian Problem," War and the Working Class, No 2, January 15, 1945, pp 12 17

Class, No 2, January 15, 1949, pp 12-17

"The Political Situation in India," War and the Working Class, No 9, April 1, 1945, pp 10-12

Working Class, No 9, April 1, 1919, pp 10 12 Ghosh, Ajoy K., 'Before the Tripuri Conference,' World News and Views, Vol. XIX, No 10, March 11, 1939.

pp 198-199

Views, Vol XIX, No 4, January 29, 1939, pp 82.83

Gopal, "The Peasants' Struggle for Debt Cancellation," In ternational Press Correspondence, Vol XVII, No 25, May 14, 1938, p. 617

Gopal, Modan, 'Lefusm in Indian Politics," Gurrent History, Vol. XIII. No. 72, August. 1947, pp. 88 91.

Gordon, James, 'Indian Students Against the Imperialist War," World News and Views, Vol XX, No 18, February 24, 1940, pp. 121 123

Gunawardena, D. P. R., 'The Indian Masses Come Forward,'
Labour Monthly, Vol. XI, No. 2, February, 1931, pp
87 92

87 92 Howland, Felix, Afghanistan Has No Frontiers, Asia, Vol XL, No 2 December, 1940, pp 633-636

Husan, Mahmud "Soviet Policy Old and New," Calcutta Review, Vol XI., No 5, May, 1935, pp 180-182

Hutchinson Lester, "The New Imperialist Strategy in India,"

Labour Monthly, Vol. XVII, No. 2, February, 1935. pp.
107-113

Ibarruri Dolores, "The Struggle of the Indian People against Imperialist War and for National Independence," World News and Views, Vol. XX, No. 4, January 27, 1940 p. 53

Joshi Puran Chandra How to End Hoarding in India"
World News and Views Vol XXIII, No 33, October
30, 1943, p 351

Vol XXV, No 31, August 11 1945, p 1243

Vol XXIV, No 16, April 16 1944, p 123

Vol XXIII, No 20, May 15, 1943, p 159

BIBLIOGRAPHY 393

Kambagal, 'The Expropriation of the Peasants and the Duties of the Communists in India," International Press Correspondence, Vol XIII, No 54, December 8, 1933, pp 1232 1235

Keats, Bill, 'Release the Indian Prisoners," World News and Views, Vol XXI, No 33, August 16, 1941, p 522

Khair, G S, 'Liquidation of Illiteracy in Soviet Russia,"

Modern Review, Vol LV, No 4, April, 1934, pp 419-425 Krishna, S, "After the Lucknow Conference," International Press Correspondence, Vol XVI, No 30, June 27, 1936,

pp 803-804

Kumarappa, Jagadisan M, "Russia on the March," Modern Review, Vol XLIX, No 6, June, 1931, pp 651-657

kuusinen, A, "Imperialisticheskii gnet i problemi revolu tsionnogo dvizhenia v kolonialnikh stranakh," Novii Vostok, Vol VI, No 23-24, October, 1928, pp VII XXX Lucas, W. E., "Russia's Threat to India," Nation (New York)

Vol CLII, No 22, May 31, 1911, pp 632-633

Masini, Minoo R., "The Communists in India," Pacific Af Jairs, Vol XXIV, No 1, March, 1951, pp 13-18

Menon, V K Arishna, "Amritsar," World News and Views, No 5. April 12, 1911, pp 231 232

-, "The Change' in India," World News and Views.

Vol XXI, No 31, August 2, 1911, p 491

"Egypt and India," World News and Liews, Vol.

XXII, No 28, July 11, 1912, p 300 ---- "Famine in India." Labour Monthly, Vol. XXV.

No 10, October, 1943, pp 316-318

"Freedom's Battle," Labour Monthly, Vol XXIII,
No 8, August, 1941, pp 364 366

XXII, No 10, March 7, 1912, p 149

..... India and World Peace, Labour Monthly, Vol XX. No 6, June, 1938 pp 375-378

---, 'India-a Conference," World News and Lieus, Vol XXI, No 32, August 9, 1911, p 507.

-, 'India for Action," Labour Monthly, Vol XXIV. No 6, June, 1912, pp 26 28

- India in the War," Labour Monthly, Vol XXIV.

No 1, January, 1912, pp 26-29

- , "Labour and India," World News and Views, Vol XXI, No 1, January 4, 1941, pp 45
- Mikneyev, Y, "A Journey to Australia," If ar and the Working Class, No 12, June 15, 1945, pp 20-26
- Mukhern, Abani, 'The Indian Labour Movement," Com
- munist Review, Vol. III, No. 5, September, 1923, pp. 239 245
 - , "The Moplah Rising," Communist Review, Vol. II. No 5, March, 1922, pp 373 378
 - Muzaffar, M., "India's Fight against the Indian Bill," La bour Monthly, Vol XVII, No 5, May, 1935, pp 300-305 Nair, Kusun, 'India on the Fence," Fortune, Vol XLIII,
- No I, January, 1951, pp 61, 142 143 Namboodripad, E. M. S., Eighteen Months of Famine," World News and Views, Vol XXIV, No 32, April 15, 1944. p 254
- Nath, Paresh, 'M N Roy, India's One Man Party," Asia,
- Vol XLIII, No 5, May, 1943 Nehru, Jawaharlal, "Nationalism and the Mass Struggle in
 - India,' Labour Monthly, Vol XX, No 8, August, 1938, p 476-482
 - Pritt, D N., "India," Labour Monthly, Vol XXIV, No 4. April, 1942, pp 105-108
 - Rafail, M., Problemi induskoi revolutsu," Novii Vostok, Vol VI, No 23 24, October, 1928, pp 1 24
 - Rahman Haribur, Communism in India, Living Age, Vol. CIXL, No 4430, November, 1935, pp 236-240
 - Rao, B Shiva, New Social Forces in India," Foreign Affairs,
 - Vol XX, No 4, July, 1945, pp 635-643 Raskolnikov, Fedor, "The War in Alghanistan," Labour
 - Monthly, Vol XI, No 3, March, 1929, pp 179-185
 - Rathbone, Hugo, "The Place of the Peasantry in the Indian Revolution Labour Monthly, Vol. XII, No 7, July, 1930, pp 418-428
 - Rice, Stanley, 'Communism in India," Nineteenth Gentury and After, Vol. CII, No. 605, July, 1927, pp 38-47 Rink, T., Problems oborons Indss, Novis Vostok, Vol VI.
 - No 23 24, October 1928, pp 25-34 Roy, Evelyn, "The Crisis in Indian Nationalism," Labour
 - Monthly, Vol 11, No 2, February, 1922, pp 146-157 -, "Indian Political Exiles in France,' Labour Month

BIBLIOGRAPHY 101

ly, Vol VII, No 4, April, 1925, pp 205-209

-, "Some Facts about the Bombay Strike," Labour

Monthly, Vol VI, No 5, May, 1924, pp 293-300

Roy, Manabendra Nath, "The Anti Imperalist Struggle in India," Communist International, Vol I, No 6, 1923,

pp 83-93

bour Monthly, Vol VI, No 4, April, 1924 pp 200-219, "Memoirs," Amrita Bazar Patrika, 1952 and 1953, passim, Radical Humanist, Vols XXI and XXXIII, 1953,

bassım -, "The New Economic Policy of British Imperialism

-its Effect on Indian Nationalism," Communist Inter national, Vol II, No 21, 1926, pp 70-91

---, "An Open Letter to Prime Minister MacDonald,"

Communist Review, Vol V, No 3, July, 1924 pp 120-127 Ryan, Jack, "Report on Indian Trade Unionism, Far East ern Bulletin, (Pan Pacific Trade Union Secretariat),

January 16 1929 p 5 Safarov, G., 'The Present Moment in India," Communist

International, Vol VI, No 26, December 1, 1929, pp 1052 1055

----, "The Treachery of the Indian National Congress and the Revolutionary Upsurge in India' Communist International, Vol VIII, No 9 May 1, 1931, pp 258 264

-, "The World Economic Crisis in Imperialism and the Development of the Revolutionary Movement in the Colonies" Communist International, Vol VI, No 31

February 15 1930, pp 1233 1259
Safdar, "Hindu Yussulman Strife," Communist International,

Vol IV, No 5, March 30, 1927, pp 68-71 ----, "Indiya na Vi Kongress Kominterna," Novii Vos

tok, Vol VI No 2321, October, 1928 pp LLXII Saklatvala Shapurji, "India in the Labour World," Labour

Monthly Vol I, No 5, November, 1921, pp 440-451 ---, "The Indian Round Table Conference," Labour Monthly, Part 1, Vol XII No 12 December, 1930, pp 720-723, Part II, ibid, Vol XIII, No 2, February, 1951,

PP 86 92 ---, "The Second Round Table Conference" Labour

Monthly, Vol XIII, No 10, October, 1931, pp 636-642.

- -, "Who Is This Gandhi?," Labour Monthly, Vol XII, No 7, July, 1930, pp 413-417.
- Shah, Ikbal Alı, "The Bolsheviks Advance on India,' Independent, Vol CCVIII, No 3804, February 11, 1922, pp
- Shcherbinovsky, N, "Independence Day for India, New Times,
- No 5, January 31, 1947, pp 2930 Singh, B. "The Position of the Working People," World News
 - and Views, Vol XIX, No. 20, April 5, 1939, p 448
- -, "The Struggle of the Indian Textile Workers," Labour Monthly, Vol XXI, No 6, June, 1934, pp. 346-352
- Slater, Montagu, "Government Frames up another Communist Conspiracy," International Press Correspondence, Vol XVII, No 10, March 6, 1937, pp 266-267.
 - "The Indian National Congress," International Press Correspondence, Vol XVI, No 1, January 4, 1936,
 - , 'The Indian National Congress and the Future," International Press Correspondence, Vol XVI, No 5,
 - February 8, 1936, pp 218 219 Somin, N., "Meerut Trial in India and the Colonial Policy
 - of the Labour Government,' Communist, Vol III, No 3. July August, 1950, pp 62-87
 - Spedding, James, 'Stop Interfering in India," World News
 - and Views, Vol XXVI, No 27, July 6, 1946, p 211. Spratt, Phillip, "India on the Eve of Revolt," Labour Monthly,
 - Vol XI, No 5, May, 1929, pp 285 288
 - , "The Indian Trade Union Movement, Labour Monthly, Vol IX, No 10, October, 1927, pp 606-620
 - Tagore, Sir Rabindranath, "On Russia," Modern Review, Vol LV, No 6, June, 1934, pp 611-620
 - , "The Soviet System," Modern Review, Vol L, No
 - 3, September 1931, pp 251 253
 - Tagore, Soumyendranath, 'Mecrut," International Press Correspondence, Vol XIII, No 34, August 4, 1933, p 748
 - Teelyapov, N I, 'Higher Schools in the Soviet Union," Modern Review, Vol XLVI, No 11, November, 1929,
 - Tilak, Raj Chadha, "Punjab's Red and White Communists," Thought, Vol IV, No 24, June 14, 1952, p 7, "Punjab's Communists, an Analysis," ibid, Vol IV, No 24, July

19, 1952 p 5 "Punjab's Communists, an Analysis," ibid, Vol IV, No 31, August 2, 1952 p 7

Valiya "The Constitution for the Enslavement of the Indian People and the Policy of the Indian Bourgeoisie," Communist International, Vol X, No 11, June 15 1933, pp. 385,390

385-390

——, 'The Development of the Communist Movement in India' Part I, Gommunist International, pp 79 84,

Part II, sbid, Vol X, No 7, April 15, 1933, pp 230-235

The Economic Crisis and the Policy of British
Imperialism in India. Communist International, Vol

IX, No 9 May 15, 1932, pp 317 325

The Round Table Congress and the Indian

National Congress," Gommunist International, Vol. VIII, No. 2, January 15, 1931, pp. 106-113

Communist International, Vol VIII No 20, November 15, 1931, pp 691-699

"The Struggle of the Working Class for the Leader ship of the National Movement in India, Communist International, Vol VIII, No 17, October 1, 1931, pp

516-526 Volgin, A., "Our Trip to India," New Times, No. 12, March 21, 1947, pp. 1924

Wilber, Donald, "Afghanistan, Independent and Encircled,"
Foreign Affairs, Vol XXXI, No 3 April, 1953, pp 486491

D Periodicals

f Reviews

Asia
Calcutta Review
Communist (Bombay)
Communist International (English edition)
Communist Review (London)
Current Plustory
Economist
Frudatory and Zamindary Review (Madras)
Foreign Alfairs
Fortune

398

Harijan (Ahmadabad) Independent International Press Correspondence

International Press (Labour Monthly

Literary Digest Living Age

Mahratta (Poona)

Masses of India (Berlin)

Modern Review (Calcutta) Nation (New York)

National Front (Bombay)

Near East and India

New Age (New Delhi)

New Times (English language edition)

Nineteenth Century and After Novu Vostok (Moscow)

People's Age (Bombay)

People's War (Bombay)
Radical Humanist (Calcutta)

Saturday Review (London)

Servant of India (Poona)

Voina : Rabochii klass (Moscow) War and the Working Class (Moscow)

Workers Weekly (London) Young India (Ahmadabad)

2. NEWSPAPERS

Amrita Bazar Patrika (Calcutta) Bombay Chronicle

Daily Herald (London)
Daily Worker (London)

Hindu (Madras)

Manchester Guardian New York Daily Tribune New York Times

Pravda The Times (London)

Times of India (Bombay)
The Tribune (Lahore now published at Ambala)



Index

"A.B.C. of Communism" by Buk harm 83 Abdul Ghaffer Khan 117 118 268

Abdullah Sheikh 268 Acharya early Indian Communist

leader 22 23 39 40 48 56 Adhikari Gangadhar M., 100 103 206 214 250 231 233 250 261

"Advance Guard" 58 60 92 Afghan Air Force 35 Alghan Army 31 33

Alghan embassy in the USSR, 181 Alghan Government 20 143 175 176 239

Afghan Iranian frontier 187

Afghan legation in Great Britain 294 Afghan Pamir Territory 35

Afghan Principalities of Herat and Kabul in the nineteenth century

Afghan tribesmen 33 Afghan Turkestan 177

Afghanistan 27 29 31 32 33 36 50 67 107 168 172 183 189 233 280 Anglo-Soviet diplomacy in respect to during the Second World War 238 259 civil war 1923-1950 1"8 182 ejects the "Provisional Covernment of the People of In dia" 45 minion by Mahendra Pratep to in the Interest of Germany in 1916 21 22 permits the "Provisional Government of the People of India" to be established

in Labul, 22 relations with Great Britain 41-45 1"6-182, with 50sict Ruels 1820 22 37 39 41-43 173-1"6 182, 281 242, 502, represented at the Third Congress of

the Communist International, 47 M N Roys plans in respect to in 1920 30-31 remains independ ent 302 Soviet armed interven tion in during tivil war 1"8 80 support for Enver Pasha by 44 treaty with Russia (June 1916) evokes fear on the part of a section of the Indian press 280-281 Afghans 1821 38 41-43 173 176 177 179 180

Africa 220 Agabekov Grigori (Georgi) 173 174

175 177 183 Agreement of 1907 between Czarist Russia and Great Britain 12

Ahmad Muzaffar 55 69 139 159arrested by Anglo-Indian authori ties March 1924 63 March 1979 103 March 1940 200 behavior at Meetut trial 102 correspondence with M N Roy 59-60 elected to be one of the vice presidents of the AJTUC, in December 1998 86 given life sentence at Mecrut trial 113 at a leader of the A.I K.S. in the early Torties, 225 as a leader of the Bengal peasant movement in the late Thurtles 157 as a lead er of the Calcutta Red Center in 1925 55; life sentence reduced to three years imprisonment on sppeal in Meerut trial, 115 plays important role in the Conference of the Bengal Workers and Peace ants Party 54 tried and sentenced at Camppore in 1974 to four years imprisonment, 64

Ahmadabad Labour Association 155 Ahmadabad Sculon of the Indian 40 (

Ahmadabad, 51 156

National Congress December 1921 51 72

102

Ahmed Z A 157 Air Raid Precaution Squads C.P.J.

and 212 228 Apper 81 Akalı Sikhs 54

Alexander the Great 172 Alexander I Czar of Russia II 12 Alexander II Czar of Russia 12

Alı Brothers leaders of the Caliphate movement 119

Alı Aftab 206 Alı Mohammed 48

Aligarh Muslim University 296 All Bengal Tenants Conference 76 All India Conference for Peace 297 All India Congress Committee

(AJCC) 54 82 84 106 112 144 183 203 218 219 224 246 247 249

All India Kisan Congress at Luck now April 1936 156

All India Kisan Sabha (A.I.K.S.) 156 157 158 162 199 212 225 236 241 249 250 295

All India Muslim League (see Mus lim League)

All India Peace Council, 297 298 All India Railwaymen's Federation

(AJRF) 155 224 All India Students Federation

(A.I.S.F.) 150 199 202 212 227 250 258 296 All-India Trade Union Congress (A.I.T.U.C.) 58 69 71 74 76 85 101 122 162 249 259 agreement

with the NFTU (formerly the AITUF) for provisional unity 153-155 calls many strikes in 1940 on initiative of its Red-dominated executive committee 202 Com munist activity in the years 1942

1945 223-224 Communist parties pation in its 1924 Congress, 70 Communut penetration of during the late twenties 86 competition to it after 1948 from the Hind Mazdoor Sabha (H.M.S) 255 294 after 1947 from the LN T U.C. 255

294, after 1949 from the United Trade Union Congress (UTU.C.) 294 Congress Socialists power in during the middle thirties 124 formal amalgamation with the NFTU in November 1940 201 growth and policies of after 1951 294 invited by R.I.L.U to join that body in 1921 55 "Royist" Indian Federation of Labour which received a government subsidy a competitor of in the early forties 206 226 255 secession of a major portion of membership during the autumn of 1929 130 131 serious decline in membership of between 1947 and 1950 290 294 truce with the Communists Red Trade Union Congress of November 1935 159 withdrawal of Communists from in

the summer of 1931 131 All India Trade Union Federation (AITUF) 131 154

All India Women's Conference 259 All India Workers and Peasants Party (1928) 84 87 98 104 All India Workers and Peasants

Party Congress in Calcutta Decem ber 1928 98-99 102 All Parties Conference 65 All Russian Textile Union 71 94

Allahabad 66 103 113 115 156 160 201 250 Allies, First World War 12 Allies Second World War See

United Nations alliance Allison George 76 80 92 102

Alvarado General Salvador 23 Alston Ross 64 Amanullah Emir later King of Af

ghanistan 30 31 43 44 II2 173 174 176 182 191 flees to India 180 gives a good reception to Indian revolutionaries under Ma hendra Pratep 22 insurrection against 178-180 makes war on

British India 1829 policy of play ing Britain and Russia off against each other 177 sends mission to Moscow under General Shah Mah

moud Chulam Wali Khan 19 Soviets cons der support of in Afghan civil war 179-180 visits the U.S.S.R., 178 Ambedkar Bhimrao R., 232 American people (the) 210

American "monopolists" 278-279
Americans 238
Amery Leopold-Charles 228 264

"Amrita Bazar Patrika" (Calcutta) 57 Amritsar 300

Amsterdam 90 131 154 Anarchism 47

Andaman Islands 113 122 159 Andhra region of 152 158 160 162 20° 215 217 218 226 248 256

259 287 289 292 Andhra State of in the Republ c of India 295 299 300 election in

February 1955 299
Andhra Conference 257
"Andhra faction" of the C.P.L., 295

Andhras 230
Anglo-Afghan Trade Convention of

June 5 1923 172 Anglo-Afghan Treaty of November

22, 1971 43 Anglo-American "impersaluts" 288

Anglo-Indian Army 28 33 34 35 108, 227 250

Angio Indian authorities, 53 61 62, 82, 87 92 98 400 101 109 133 140 160 200 211 213 230 231 Angio Indian Government 20 43 91

100 101 102 103 105 111 157 139 191 193 200 204 206, 213 218 224 225 237 255 Anglophobus 29 34 Anglo-Soviet Agreement of July 12.

1941 and resulting attiance 208
238
Anglo-Soviet relations, 42, 45, 45, 85

150 187 188 191 19" 208 238 239 Anglo-Soviet Trade Agreement of

of March 16 19*1 45 187
"Anushilan Society "77 159
Araba, 10

Aral Lake 11
Arcos Soviet trading agency in Great

Britain, 188
Armenia (Soviet) 275
Armenians, 28
Arnot R. Page 75 79
Arra-Swaraj movement 125

Arya-Swaraj movement 125
Ashley British Communist agent in
India 69
Ashraf M., 157 246
Asia 28 125 168 272

Asia 28 123 100 272 222 235
Asiam Province 91 202 222 235
State in the Republic of India 295
Attila 30
Attilee Clement Richard 213 244

255 264 265 269
Auchinieck Field Marshal Sir Claude

John Eyre 257 Aurangzeb Mogul Emperor 10

Austrain 14 241 Austrain Hungarian Army 31 "Autobiography of Jawaharlal Neh-

ru " 242 Awami Party (Pakistan) 286 Axis Powers 210 212 218 235 236 Axis Satell tes peace treaties with

Axis Satell tes peace treaties with 273 279 Azad Maulana Abul Kalam 237 Azerbaijan Iranian 36 37 41 231

Azerbaijan Soviet 27 29 275

Babur Mogul Emperor 9-10 Bachir Moulk 174 Bakao-ISakao 178-181 Bakharz, 183 Baku 27 40 236

Baku Conference of (see Congress of the Peoples of the East) Balabanova Angel ca 24 Balabanovakh V V. 284 Balabanovikh V V. 284 Balabanovikh V V. 284

Baldwin, Strnicy 101 114 187 183 Baluchis, 232 Barakhistan, 185 222 Baraktullah Soviet agent 21 24 25

26, 45 Bard II Resolution of December 30 1941 229 241

Bare lly Central Prison, 122 Baroda Princely State of 218 404

Bengal Province of 20 23 55 60 76 80 82 85 86 98 103 117 119 131 135 155 157 158 160 162 202 215 218 222 226 228 230 240 214 25° 254 259 260 261 266

Bengal Labour Party 122 144 Bengal Nagpur Railway 85 Bengal Social st Party 144 Bengal s (n India) 71 230 Bengal s (in Pakistan) 286 Benn John 191 Berl n 20 21 46 47 48 53 54 58

59 64 65 66 93 100 121 131 256 "Berlin Committee " 20 23 47 43 Berl n Treaty of 1878 12 Besant Annie 65 Bhakan Conference of the AIKS of

April 1913 225 Bhakta Satya 70 72 73 77 Bhardwaj R D 149 Bhilai 302 B ble the 167

Bihar Province 123 148 158 202 226 Bn Nak 56 "Birrell and Carrott," 78 Brita frm of 266 Blucher General Vassili K. 91 Blue Book of the Soviets on India of 1918 14 16

Bolshev k agents 42 95 174 175 Bolshevik Revolut on in Russia of November 7 1917 14 15 32 78 85 88 150 289 Bol hevism See Communism Russian Bombay city of 55 58 60 63 77 80 85 103 105 121 126 132 149

150 152 155 159 160 16° 199 214 215 222 243 251 252 270 activit es of Allison in 82 of Spratt n 78 arrests of Communists in 10° Communist group under Dauge formed in 35 Communist meeting of March 1929 in 100 of May 1927 m, 78-79 of May Bradley Ben (Benjam n) 79 80 103 1943 in 214 demonstrations aga nat Brahmin caste 23

in 107 108 first open meeting of the Central (Executive) Comm tree of the C.P I in 214 made head quarters of the C.P.J., 73 old 1 ne Red Shantaram S Muraikar elected mayor of 300 raid against CPJ lea iquarters in 2.0 textile work ers strike in (1971) 68 "0 (1923) 71 (1998) 82 86 87 1.0 (1934) 133-40 (1940) 202 weavers strike of 1997 in 8, Bombay "Chron cle " 122 160 Bombay Conference of the AJT U.C. of November 1910 201 Bombay Industrial Relations Act 265 "Bombay Plan " 233 Bombay Province (Presidency) 117 158 161 170 250 Bombay Province Congress Ministry 1937 1939 159 161 162 170 171

Bombay Provincial Congress Execu tive Comm ttee 84 153 245 Bombay Provincial Trade Union Con gress 223 Bombay Saliors Mutiny of February 1946 25 253 264 270 Bombay State (in the Republic of India) 295 Borod n M chael (Mikhail) 24 48 Bose Sarat C., 269 Bose Subhar C. 124 150 152 153 134 136 150 153 203 233 269 Bourgeois e British 75 119 Bourgeoisse Ind an In general 25

26 30 56 57 68 75 77 89 93

96 107 120 129 130 132 134 138

189 204 206 266 28₃ 288 also

bourgeouse "bg 288 291 "feud

al," 281 "m ddle 57 68 288 291

300 "national" 25 49 57 91 96

110 119 129 135 147 189 petty

57 68 91 104 129 134 190 291

105 114 144 145 151 156 161

300 'revolut onary" 93 96

Bombay Province Trades Disputes

B II 156

Brit sh Raj 45 116 161 198 206 Brandler Heinrich 120 Bravin, first Soviet envoy in Af 255 British rule in India 20 25 30 56 ghanistan 19 22 42 Bremen 35

59 127 135 136 137 139 143 Brita n See Great Britain 157 161 190 208 British proletariat, 17 British the 20 21 25 30 51 32,

British trades union movement 107 33 34 42 46 47 59 64 66 68 Browder Earl 288

75 81 101 116 130 147 148 160 Brussels 188 161 162 173 183 189 200 20° Bukhara Khanate of 17 21 22 51

203 204 206 209 210 212 219 228 234 238 244 251 253 260 35 44

Bukharin Nikolai L. 88 96 120 261 263 264 271 278 firm policy Bulganın Nikolai A., 301 of repression in India in 1922

Burma 241 289 of 52 reaction by on the lifting of "Burra katha" 215 the ban on the CPJ., 213-214

Soviet "Blue Book" on alleged Cabinet Mission 243 264 265 270 wicked conduct in India of 14-16 271

theoretical war of against the Cab net Mission Plan 243 244 264 U.S.S.R. 82 83 89 99 106-07 129 265 270 271

134 138 147 197 270 views of Calcutta 12 31 60 63 69 "0 85 karl Marx on rule in India, 16-17 105 121 13° 152 161 25° 251

See also Anglo-Indian authorities and Great Britain British agents 41

activities of All son in 76 arrests of Communists in 103 Commu nist group under Muzifar Ahmad formed in 55 Communist meet ings in of November 1933 131-35 of February March 1918 285-285 consiction of Communist agi tators in 161 First Conference of the All India Workers and Peasants Party at 98-99 near insur section in 241 252 Second Con ference of the Workers and Pearants Party of Bengal at 80 se-

cret meeting of the C.P.I In December 1978 at 99-100 "Soviet Day" demonstrations at 225 strikes in (1977) 86 (1997) 131 (1958) 155 (1940) 201 (1946) 254 (1975)

-93 Calcutta Conference of the A.I.T U.C.,

July 1931 132

Calcutta Socialist Youth Conference 110 Cal formia 55 186

Calinh the %, 59 Caliphate the 25 53 119 Cal phate movement 25 "2 119 Cambridge University 74 75

British authorities, See Anglo-Indian authorities British Commonwealth of Nations

244 British consulate in Hamburg 122

British embassy in Berlin 62 British Empire 42 43 68

British Foreign Office 173 British goods boycott of 80 British Government (not in the sense of a specific Cabinet) 16 22,

27 15 66 89 119 116 197 145 147 177 184 209 219 229 260 262 267 268 271 British "Imperialism " 54 "8 96 97 106 107 112 134 148 155 186

189 19" 204 "0" 260 26, British "imperialists," 14 46 106, 133 134 189 251 255

British India See India as consti tuted before August 15 1947 British intelligence 186

British Ldes, 68 British legation in Mexico 23 British Parlament, 85 114 British People 210

Chakraverty K C. 111

Chamberlain Neville 203

48 54 197 198

Chiang kai-shek 241

Chickachev General 11

Chela the 44

173 178

nuts Chinese

Chinese Nationalists 186

power in 1997 36 40

239

Chitral 53

264 270

Christianity 299

Chungking 185

Class Warfare 124

Columbia University 23

Le Comité pro-Hindu 90

Christians 217 299

Chamberlain Sir Austen 177 187

Chattopadhyaya Virendranath 47

Chicherin Georgi 24 31 47 98

China (pre-Communist) 29 36 70

China Red (Communut) 289 298 Chinese the 52 147

Chinese Communist Government 288

Ch nese Communists See Commu

Chinese Nat onal st Government 185

Chinese Republ can Government be-

Churchill Sr Winston 188 209 219

Caval Disobed ence Campaign of the

Cochin Princely State of 158 218

Communism British 208 European

Cold War " the 264 272 285

Ind an National Congress 116-117

fore the Nationalist assumpt on of

185 212 215 240 241 242

81 85 91 99 119 128 143 168

Canton 70 91 Capital American 266 278 279 293 British 208 262 263 266 279

Capitalism 72 112 280 Capitalists British 148 262 264 Ind an 39 148 149 261 262 264 "monopoly 256 299 "nonmon

opoly " 291

406

Canada 17

Carnatic, the (Karnatak) 215 217 Carranza Venustiano 23 Caspian Sea 28

Catherine the Great Empress of Russia 11 12

Caucasus Mountains area 37

Cawnpore (Kanpur) 55 64 65 66

70 72 73 81 86 101 122 131 152

15. 1.6 159 161 201 250

Cawnpore Conference of AJTUC. of November 1927 77

Cawnpore Conference of the Congress Socialist Party of March 1947 292

Camppore Conference of the Indian Communist Party See First Con

ference of the Indian Communist Party under anti Cominturn leader

ship at Campore December 1925 Campore Conspiracy Case (Trial) 64 65 67 103

Central As a 10 12 20 27 35 39 44 49 50 85 174

Central Asians, 33 174 Central Assatic Bureau of the Com-

intern 27 31 36 44 49 50 Central Defence Committee

Meerut Conspiracy Case Central Defence Committee Central Execut ve Committee of the

C.P.1 (All India) 135 192 205 214 215 265 266 285 290 291 297 300

Central Executive Committee of the ın 1931 192

Central Indian Government, See Angle-Indian Government Central Legislative Assembly of In-

Soviet Government as constituted

158 2s an ideology 23 29 30 54 39 47 53 55 57 62 70 71 72 75

75 79 81 87 88 89 91 102 110 111 119 123 163 164 168 169 170 239 242 280 297 Indian 26 62 63 73 79 87 200 211 250 289; International, 24, 27, 29 35, 49, 53, 54, 57, 89, 91, 93, 110, 111, 119, 112, 293, Soviet Russian (Bol thevism), 26, 28, 39, 46, 73, 167, 168, 169, 170, 197, 280

"Communut," (Bombay), 165, 204,

Communist Information Bureau (Cominform), 284, 288 Communist (Third) International

(Comintern), 25, 27, 28, 29, 51, 48, 52, 58, 61, 62, 66, 70, 72, 73 80, 85 92, 96, 99, 104, 110, 114, 117, 125 126, 127, 128, 129, 132, 133, 137, 138, 144, 146, 147, 151, 154, 155, 160, 162, 167, 171, 187, 195, 192, 196, 197, 211, calls on terror ists to join the C.P.I., 192, in ton tact with R. S. Nimbkar, 82, demands formation of a Workers and Peasants' Party of India, 77 78, dissolved on May 22, 1943, 284, and expulsion of M N Roy, 119-120, and Indians of the "Berlin Com mittee," 46-48, policy towards In dia in the Congress of the Peoples of the East at Baku, 28-29, recognued by the C.P.1 as source for "lead and guidance;" 78-79, report prepared for Sixth Congress of, 95, repudiated by Indian Na tionalist leaders, 64-65, violent manifestoes of April, 1929 on India, 105-107 "Communist International" (peri-

Communist International* (periodical), 128-192

Communist Party of China, 128, 134 141, 16 288

Communist Party of Germany, 48 62, 128 198 Communist Party of Great Britain

(CPGB), 48, 49 55, 79, 80 58; 89 90, 91, 92, 95, 98 129, 120 121; 135, 186, 198, 207, 213 227, 237, 237 247 257, 187 257, 247 257, 257 257

nists under Comintern supervision, 68-69, sends to India Allison, 76, Ashley, 69, Bradley, 79, Gladding, 69, Saklarata 29, Stratt 78

69, Saklatvala, 79, Spratt, 78 Communist Party of India (C.P.I.) before the freedom and partition of the sub-continent, 13, 26, 39, 40, 49, 51, 55 56, 66, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 88 92, 98, 105, 110, 117, 118, 122 123, 126, 127 128, 129, 133, 134, 155 156, 150, 151, 152, 155, 167, 172 185, 186 190, 193, 194, 200 203, 205, 209, 211, 212, 215, 220, 221, 222, 223, 226 227, 229 233 242, 246, 247, 248, 249, 259, 261, 263 267, 268 activities of in the years 1942 1945, 214 215, 223-227; Adhi karts plan of organization for accepted in theory, 100 ban on lifted, 215 composition of (1942 1915), 217. Despande and Ranadive become leaders of, 125, expels M N Roy 120, first founded early in 1921 in Tashkent among former Indian soldiers and Mujahirs, 35, 59 formally and officially banned 157, 159, "founded" again, a party "constitution" drawn up and an executive committee selected (December 1928) at a secret meeting in Calcutta, 99-100 "founded" vet again (November, 1935) in Calcutta, this time officially, 153-154, 196 Ghate becomes General Secretary of, 70 growth of 1942 1945 and reasons for 216-217. P C. Joshi as leader of (1933 to 1918) 139 100 made a section of the Comintern in December, 1930 124, memorandum of on Indian independence given British Labour Covernment 264 265, organization of (1912 1915), 215 216; periodical "Vanguard of Independence" pubinhed by M & Roy with skil of German Communists proclaimed organ of. 51 repression against (1935-1939) 160-1(2, (1933-1911) 200 203. (1941 1945) 225 229 (1947) 250 251, accession of elements from

forming the Bolshevik Leninist" Party 213 sends letter to Jawa harlal Nehru 109 united front policy of 55 56 81, 83 97 136 141 145

Communist Party of India after Au gust 15 1947 in the Indian Union and the Republic of India 285 286 288 293 296 297, 298 300 310 control of Kerala State by 299 follows united front line for several months after August 15 1947 284 Ghosh replaces Rao as General Secretary 291 membership of 298 militant revolutionary line after March 1948 286-288 291 policy of violence abandoned in favor of peaceful tactics 291 302 pro-Red China policy under Rao 289 Ranadive replaces P C. Joshi as General Secretary 283 Rao replaces Ranadive as General Secretary 289 repression against 291 united front policy after Decem ber 1950 290-291

Communist Party of Mexico 2324 Communist Party of Pakistan 13

Communist Party of the Soviet Union (C.P.S.U.) 31 61 128 193 "The Communist Reply to the Con gress Working Committee

Communist Review" (London) 65 Communist University of the Toilers of the East 50 52 53 54 95 Communists British 74 76 92 98

100 101 106 119 151 198 208 264 order Indian Communists to support Allied cause in World War Il 210 retain control of Indias Communists in spite of M N Roys efforts 93

Communists Chinese 94 141 288-

Communists German 55

Communists Indian (before August 15 1947) 22 27 29 63 65 78 79 83 92 93 96 101 108 109 110 111 119 120 123 125 151 155

145 146 161 162 166 167, 170 187 191 199 208 212 215 216 217, 218 221 222 242 abandon workers and peasants parties 100 adopt pro-Soviet but anti British line after Nazi attack on Russia anti war policy 199-201 203 204 arrest of leaders March 1924 63-64 March 1929 103-104 spring 1934 137 March 1940 200 attract former terrorists 54 55 62 77 83 117 218 and the Congress Socialists 150-153 203 217 225 defiant behavior of leaders at Meerut trial 111 113 form "front groups (1942 1945) 227 form workers and peas ants parties 76 77 foment strikes 86-87 131 155-156 201 203 254 and the Indian National Congress 149 150 153 203 244 249 insult Gandhi in public 169 insurrection of in Hyderabad State (Telengana) 256-257 and intellectuals 55 66 88 110 217 263 and the labor movement 87 130-132 139 141 155 155 201 223-224 255 leaders im prisoned after Campore Conspir acy Trial 64 leadership in late thirties 159 160 Meerut trial of leaders 105-116 and the Muslim League 222 223 249 250 and the National Conference of Kashmir 257 258 oppose strikes 1942 1945 224 225 ordered by the ECC.I to organize a revolutionary offensive 193 ordered by Izvestia to get control of the "revolutionary petit bourgeouse 190 participation in elections 149 259-260 and the peasants movement 87-88 132 153 156-159 202 225 227 255-256 policy of encouraging riots in the post war period 251 254 and princely (native) states 256-258 receive "catechism" from the E.C.C.I 196 receive orders for united front policy at Seventh Commern Con gress 141 143 and the "Red Shirt" movement 118 268 reduction on

victed at Meerut 115 116 release of Meerut prisoners causes upsurge of activity 135 reluctantly aban don anti British line under pressure from the CPGB during World War II 210-215 in Russia 197 198 schism in ranks as Bhakta forms anti Comintern group 71 73 sentences of Meerut prisoners 113-114 and the students movement 133 159 223 258-259 views of on the bourgeoiste (Indian) 96 99 135-136 147 204 261, 262 the British (very often called "im perualists") 99 127 155 147 148 191 201 203 204 219 228 260 264 265 the Cabinet Mission Plan 264 265 the Congress Socialists 138 144 206 233 234 268 269 the Hindu Mahasabha 207, 268 269 Indian independence 71 76 85 99 127 136 207 260 the Indian Na tional Congress 84 97 158 144-146 203-206 218 219 229-230 266-268 the landlords 99 127 136 146 263 266 268 the "middle classes" ("middle bourgeoisie") 143 the Mountbatten Plan 263-266 the Muslim League 206-207 229-230 266-268 the National Conference of Kashmir 268 the nationalities and communal question 207 230-233 250 261 the nationalization of in dustry 99 127 136 262 269 the princes and princely states 127 136 146 148 201 261 the question of Indian freedom 1942 1945 218-219 228 the R.S.S., 268-269 religion in India 170 the Roy fats " 156 158 206 268 Soviet Russa 207 the territorists 136-13" and the women's movement 227 259 265 and the youth move-

ment 89 110 133 139 265 Communists in the Indian Union (Yugus 13 1917—January 26 1990) and the Republic of India (after the latter date) 285 285 288 279 290 300 arrests of leaders. 287 and elections 291 292 298 299 foment strikes 287 295 form fronts " 296-297 form the "peace" movement 290 297 298 in the Indian Parliament 292 293 insur rection of in Hyderabad State (Telengana) 287 289 291 and the labor movement 290 294 295 and the peasants movement 290 296 293-296 and the students movement 294 296 views of on the bourgeouse (Indian) 285 288 299 the British 295 297 298 299 the Indian Government of Nehru 282 289 300 501 302 the Indian Na tional Congress 285 299 the land lords 283 288 299 the "middle classes" ('middle bourgeoisie") 288 291 the "middle" peasants 285 283 289 "non monopoly capital ests" and "small industrialists " 291 500 the petty bourgeouse 288 289 291 300 the princes 285 288 299 the Socialists 292 299 the United States of America and Americans 293 298 and the women's move ment 290 294 297 and the youth movement 294 296

Communists Iranian 36 37 41 182 Communists Mongols 185 Communists Pakutani 283 286 Communists Russian (Bolsheviks) 12

14 21 25 27 28 34 38 40 47 110 167 173 178 284 Iail in forming a nucleus of Indian revolution aries in Central Aua 39 scie the Khanate of Bukhara 31 and the Third Anglo-Afghan War 1820 Congress Inquiry Committee of the

United Provinces, 136 Congress Labour Party of Bombay 403

policy of 55 56 81 83 97 156 141 145 Communist Party of India after Au gust 15 1947 in the Indian Union and the Republic of India 285 286 288 295 296 297 298 300 \$10 control of kerala State by 299 Iollows united front line for several months after August 15 1947 284 Ghosh replaces Rao as General Secretary 291 membership of 298 militant revolutionary line after March 1948 286-288 291 policy of violence abandoned in favor of peaceful tactics 291 30% pro-Red China pol cy under Rao 289 Ranadive replaces P C Joshi as General Secretary 285 Rao replaces Ranadive as General Secretary 289 repression against 291 united front policy after Decem

Communist Party of Mexico 23 24 Communist Party of Pakistan 13 286

ber 1950 290 291

Communist Party of the Soviet Union (C.P.S.U.) 51 61 198 193 'The Communist Reply to the Congress Working Committee 246

Communist Review (London) 65 Communist University of the Tesiers of the East 50 52 53 54 95 Communists British 74 76 92 98

100 101 106 119 151 193 203 264 order Indian Community to support Allued cause in World War II 210 retain control of Ind as Communists in spite of M N Roy a efforts 93

Communists Chinese 94 141 288-289

Communists German 53

Communists Indian (before August 15 1947) 22 27 29 63 65 78 79 83 92 95 96 101 108 109 110 111 119 120 123 125 151 135 145 146 161 162 166 167 170 187 191 199 908 919 915 916 217 218 221 222 942 abandon workers and peasants parties 100 adont pro-Soviet but anti British line after Nazi attack on Russ a 209 210 anti-way policy 1939 1941 199-201 203-204 arrest of leaders March 1924 63-64 March 1929 103 104 spring 1934 137 March 1940 200 attract former terrorists 54 55 62 77 85 117 218 and the Congress Socialists 150-153 205 217 225 defiant behavior of leaders at Meerut trial 111 113 form "front groups (1942 1945) 227 form workers and peas ants parties 76.77 foment strikes 86-87 131 155-156 201 203 254 and the Ind an Nat onal Congress 149 150 153 203 244 249 insult Gandhi in public 169 ansurrection of an Hyderabad State (Telepeana) 256-257 and intellectuals 55 66 88 110 217 263 and the labor movement 87 130-152 159-141 155 155 201 223 224 255 leaders im prisoned after Cawnpore Conspir acy Trial 64 leadership in late th rues 159-160 Meenat trial of leaders 10-116 and the Musl m Learne 222 223 249,250 and the National Conference of Kashmir 257 258 oppose strikes 1942 1945 224-225 ordered by the E.C.C.I to organize a revolutionary offens ve 193 ordered by Izvestia to get control of the revolutionary octat bourgeo sie 190 participation in elections 149 959-260 and the peasants movement 87-88 132 133 156-159 20° 225 227 255-256 pol cy of encouraging riots in the post war period 251 254 princely (native) states 256-258 rereive "catechism from the ECCI 196 receive orders for united front pol cy at Seventh Com ntern Con gress 141 145 and the "Red Shirt movement 118 268 reduction on

INDEX

appeal of sentences of leaders convicted at Meerut 115-116 release of Meerut prisoners causes upsurge of activity 130 reluctantly abandon anti British line under pressure from the C.P.G.B. during World War II 210-213 in Russia 197 198 schism in ranks as Bhakta forms anti Comintern group 71 75 sentences of Meerut prisoners 113-114 and the students movement 153 159 223 258-259 views of on the bourseose (Indian) 96 99 15-156 147 204 261 262 the British (very often called "im perulists") 99 127 135 147 148 191 201 203-204 219 228 260 264-252 the Cabinet Mission Flan 264 26.1 the Congress Socialists 138 144 206 253 254 268-269 the H ndu Mahasabha 207 268 269 Indian independence 71 76, 83 99 127 136 207 260 the Indian Na tional Congress 84 97 138 141 146 205-206 218-219 229-230 266-268 the landfords 99 127 136 146 263 266 268 the "middle classes" ("middle bourgeousie") 145 the Mountbatten Plan 25-266 the Muslim League 206-207 229 230 266-268 the National Conference of kashmir 268 the nationalities and communal question 207 230-233 250.261 the nationalization of in dustry 99 127 136 262 269 the princes and princely states 127 136 146 143 204 261 the quertion of Indian freedom 1942 1915 218-219 228 the R.S.S 268-209 rel gion in India 170 the "Roy itts" 136 138 206 268 Soviet Russia 207 the territorists 136-13° and the women's movement 227 259 263 and the routh morement 88 110 135 159 263 Communists in the Indian Union

Communists in the Indian Union (August 15 1947—January 26 1950) and the Republic of India (after the latter date) 293 284 295 288 289 290 300 arrests of leaders 287 and elections 291 292 298-299 foment strikes 287 295 "fronts " 296-297 form the "peace" movement 290 297-298 in the Ind an Parliament, 292 293 Insur rection of in Hyderabad State (Telengana) 287 289 291; and the labor movement 290 294 295 and the peasants movement 290 294 295-296 and the students movement 294 296 views of on the bourgeouse (Indian) 285 288 299 the British 293 297 298 299 the Indian Government of Nebru 285 289 300 301 302 the Indian Na tional Congress 285 299 the land lords 282 288 299 the "middle classes" ("muldle bourgeoisie ? 298 291 the "middle" peasants 285 283 289 "non monopoly capital ists" and "small industrialists " 291 200 the petty bourgeoise 288 289 291 300 the princes 28, 298 299 the Socialists 292 299 the United States of America and Americans, 201 208 and the women a move ment 290 294 297 and the touth movement, 294 296

Communists Iranian 36 \$7 41 182 Communists Mongols 18., Communists Pakistani 283 286

Communist Rustian (Boltheriks) 12 14 21 25 27 28 34 38 40 47 10 167 173 178 286 fal in forming a nucleus of Indian resolution aries in Central Aus 39 seire the Khanate of B khara 31 and the Third Anglo-Algi an War 18-20 Congress Inquier Commutee of the

United Provinces, 156 Congress Labour Party of Bombay

77
Congress of Oppressed Nationalities
at Brussels, February 1927 88

Congress of the Peoples of the East at Baku September 1922 27 50 "Congress Rebellion" 224

Congress Socialist Party (a segment of the Indian National Congress) 200 203 235 256 281 Congress Socialists 125 124 133 138 140 149 150 153 157 166 167 200 203 206 217 259 268 269

Congress Working Committee 108 124 150 205 229 241 248 249 Congressmen (adherents of the Industri

Congressmen (adherents of the Indian National Congress) 108 149 Conservative Cabmet of Stanley Bald

Conservative Cabinet of Stanley Bald win May 1925-January 1924 63 November 1924-May 1979 114

1°5 Conservative-dominated Cabinet of Sr Winston Churchill 1940-1945

264 Conservative Party (British) 138 Conservatives (Tones) 191 195 264

Conservatives (Tones) 191 195 264 Const tuent Assembly (Ind an) 260 271

Constitution (British) Indian of 1919 104 147 260 Constitut on (British) Indian of 1935

147 148 260 Constitution Indian (Republic of Ind a) of 1950 292

Constitutional Plan of 1946. See Cabinet Miss on Plan Continent of Europe 115

Council entry " 124 Council of State (Republic of India)

292 Council of People's Commissars

(U.S.S.R.) 14 31
Court of Special Sessions at Meetut

109 111 113 Crimean War 11

Crimean War II
Criminal Investigation Division
(C.I.D.) 57 61 87 108 139 160
Criminal Law Amendment Act 139

146 Cripps Sir Stafford 218 228 243 260 Cripps Plan. See "Draft Declaration"

of March 29 1942
"Cross Roads" (Delha) 290
Curron of Faddleson Carron

"Cross Roads" (Delhi) 290 Curron of Keddleston George N., Marqus 52 Czanst Russia 9-18 21 190

Czars 168 Czechoslovakia 166 280 Patra 103 2,4
"Daily Worker" (London) 191
Daladier Edouard, 203
Table Lama 185 186

Dalai Lama 185-186 Dange Shripat A., 139 159 active in

tomenting 1928 testile workers attake in Bombay Far activity at attake in Bombay Far activity at Madura Party Congress 293 ar rested by Anglo-Indian authorities in March, 1924 63 March 1929 193 March 1940 200 arrested by Judana authorities in the spring of 1948 105 becomes leader of the Bombay Red Center 55 behavior

peal in Meerui Conspiracy Case
115 tried and sentenced at Cavopore in 1924 to four years in
prisonment 64 work in labor
morement after 1931 297
Day C R., 51 29 65
Theolonization theory of M V
Roy 119-120
Defence of Ind a Act 204

gar Union, 60 sentence reduced to three years imprisonment on ap-

Dehra Dun 254
Delhi 10 20° 250 254 275 298 300
Delhi Conference of the ALTUC
of March 1927 79 85 of January
1938 154

of March 1927 79 85 of Ja 1938 154 Delhi Pact 116 118 Democracy 203 240

Democracy 203 240
Deols Prison 211
Depressed Classes. See Untouchables
Desai Bhulabhal, 221 245

Desa M G., 74 Deshpande S V., 125 130 131 135

139 159 160

Dimitrov Georgi 143
"Discovery of India" by Jawaharlal

Nehru 242 Djang Salar 183 Dobbs S r Henry 41 Don Cossacks 11

Don River Valley 11

Dorj ev Communist Mongol agent in

Tibet, 185 186
Draft Agreement between Soviet Rus-

sia and Germany as proposed by the Nazis in November 1910 253-236

Draft Declaration" of Six Stafford Cripps of Warch 29 1942 218 260 Draft Platform of Action of the

C.P.I., December 1930 127 192 "Draft Programme" of the C.P.I April 1931 291

Duhamel General 11 Dulles John Foster 282

Durand Line 43 Dutch the in Indonesia Dutt Clemens Palme 73 79 82 90

Dutt Rajani Palme 75 75 77 87 119 145 146 208 281 activity at Seventh Commern Congress 1951 at 5 xth Commern Congress 95

becomes principal mentor of In dia a Communists in the thirries 186-19" demands CPJ full II Cominform d rective of January 1850-290 297 sends telegram to Nehru protesting 1947 anti-Communist rad s 2,0-2511 view on Cabinet

Mission Plan, 264 on workers and peasants parties after 518th Comintern Congress 98 Dutta Bhupendranath 47 Dyakov Aleksei M., 116 237 267

Dyakov Aleksei M., 116 237 269 270 271 275 274 278 279 284

East the 24 25 101 142
East Bengal (in Patietan) 286
East India Railway 131
East Punjab State 296 300
Eastern Department of Propaganda
35

Eastern Section of the Commissern 49 54 Eden Sir Anthony 196 Egypt 69

Einstein Albert 114 122
Election manifestoes of the C.P.I of

Election manifestors of the C.P.I. of 1945-1946 260-264 Elections. British General (National) October 1974 187 May 1929 114

411

of August 16 1951 291 Eliawa Commissar 35

Employers Association of Northern India 171

Engels Fredrich 15 17 18 England, See Great Britain English See British

Enver Pasha 31 52, 45 Eston a 2:0 Etherton Lt. Col P T., 26 36 40

Ethiopia 143 Ethiopians 14

Ethiopians 14" Europe 63 "5 9" 109 128 167 198

203 210 234

Executive Committee of the Com

munat International abbreviated as ECC1 or 1.k.K.1, 28 48 61 6, 67 76 78 96 190 183 185 189 190 191 192, 193 196 197 Executive Committee of the Con-

gress Socialist Party 152

Factories Amendment Act of 1946,

2'3
Faizpur Session of the Indian Na tional Congress, December 1936

115 165 167 Famine and food relief program of the C.P.I in World War II 228 Far East 27 "0 90 Far Eastern Institute (Moscow) 284 Farouk: Communist agent 119 Fascism 120 141 146 164 203 209

210 212 223 225 Fascist Powers See Axis Powers

Fascist Powers See Axis Powers
Fascists 220 233 234
Fellow Travelers," 73 98 100 107

130 Fergana 35

Feudalism 25 97 299 "Feudalis" See princes

Feudatory and Zamindary Review*
(Madras) 168

Fifth Annual Convention of the All India Students Federation at Delhi Ianuary 1940 202 203

Fifth Congress of the Communist International at Moscow June-July 1924 68 69 73 89

Fifth Party Congress of the CPI at Amritsar April 1958 298 300 Film todustry Indian Communists

ın 296 Fınland 203 256

First Conference of the All India Workers and Peasants Party at Calcutta December 1928 98 First Conference of the Indian Communist Party under anti-Comintern leadership at Campore December

1925 72 73
First Congress of the Communist
International at Moscow March

"The First Indian Communist Conference" a manifesto by Satya

Bhakta 72 First Party Congress of the C.P.1 at Bombay May-June 1943 214 217

224 2°8 231
First Round Table Conference at
London November 1959 to Jan

London November 1959 to Jan uary 1931 116 192 First World War 12 15 30 36 46

48 50 90 198
For the Final Bid to Power" pam
phlet by P C. Joshi 263 267
For Lasting Peace For People's

Democracy " the Commform jour nat (Bucharest) 288 289 Forward Bloc Party 203 233 269 Forward to Freedom booklet by P C Joshi 211 227 Fourth Congress of the Communist

International Moscow December 1922 55 56 58 Fourth International the 213

Fourth International the 213
Fourth Party Congress of the C.P.I.
at Palghat April 1936 298 299-500
France 62 90 148 181 203 225
Frankfurt am Main 190

Frantzevich Soviet agent in Afghani stan 175 Free World " the 303

French India See Pondicherry
French India See Pondicherry

"Friends of the Soviet Union" 225 250 298

Fundamental Rights of the Indian People declaration of the Karachi session of the Indian National Congress December 1931 163-164 "The Future of Indian Politics," by Vi N Roy 30

The Future Programme of the Communist Party a manifesto by Satya Bhakta 72

"Ganavans (Calcutta) 76

Gandhi Mohindas Karamchaud (Mahama) 25 1 54 101 112 145 130 222 231 242 carries on exrecord company after release of Congress leaders 116 Communitar fuendly rave on (1942 1945) 220 225 correspondence with F C, 200 250 corresponde

241 raises objection to Congress

Socialist policy of class warfare

124 released from prison May

INDEX

413

1944 219 repudairs Third International along with other Indian leader 65 Soviet view on during Russo-German war phase of World War II 237 target for Communist abuse 130 136 146 20-206 views on Communism 168-170 239 on Soviet Russia 16-170 visits Meerur prisoners 109

Gandhism and Gandhist ideology 149 20., 206

Gaya Session of the Indian National Congress December 1922, 57 "Gazette of India" 137

General Strike in England 1926 187 Genghis khan 30

German Government Nam 256 pre-Nati 62

Germans 258-259 Germany 21 22, 46 53 119 120 122,

141 186 197 198 210 227 235 237 239 240 279 Ghadr Party 23 54 55 86 216

Ghate 5 V. "0 71 72 "9 105 115 159 200 211 Ghami 38 42

Chilan Province (Iran) 36 41 Ghosh Ajoy L., 150 301 activity at Madura Party Congress, 293 be

comes General Secretary of the GPJ in May 1951 291 mission to Moscow in 1951 290 297, orders no Communist support to Indian Socialuts, 292

Girs V 1, 224 Girns kamgar Union 60 77 82 85 87 108 125 151 152, 162

67 108 125 131 132, 162 Gladding Percy 69 75 76 90 Glasgow 79

Goldstein OGPU agent 119 Gopalan A K., 77 209 203 Gotwami colleague of Chaman Lal 24

Gowami, Dharani 77 81 83 81 103 Goulem Padcha 174 Crand (Great) Mogul 9 10

Great Britain 12 19 39 35 3* 42 44 62, 78 80 301 102, 106, 107 133 145 175 182 18, 187 190 203 205 208 209 212, 219 223 255 270 275 281 282 294 297
annoyed by Sowet penetration of
Sinkiang, 185 policy at varance
with that of lodat in the U N
General Assembly on the question
of the treatment of lodatos in
South Africa 274 partisan debate
in the House of Common about
the Sowet threat to India 191 19.
reaction to Weretti trail sentiones
and their reduction on appeal 114116 supports the Utraine rather

and their reduction on appeal 11-116 supports the Utraine rather than India for a non-permanent United Nations Security Council seat 277 See also British the Great Indian Februsula (G.I.P.) Rail

waymen a Union 108
Great War See First World War
Greek 33

Gujerat 256 295 Gujeratis 250

Gupta Nalini 47 48 49 51 55, 60 62 64 Gupta Virendranath Das 47

Gurkhas 254 Gurmukhi language 92 Gwaltor Princely State of 218 258

Habibullah Emir of Afghanntan 17 Habibullah Khan See Bakeo Salao Haliz, Indian anarchin 39 59

Hamburg 122 Hammer and sickle insignia, the 158 Hankow 91 Haripura Session of the Indian Na

tional Congress February 1938 145 167 Ilashin Khan 182

Herat 38 41
Herat nineteenth century princi
pal ty of 11
High Pamirs, See Pamirs

H malayas 185 246 258 Hand Mardoor Sabha (H.M.S.) 255

294 295 Hindi language 215 Hindu Mahasabha 202 219 255 259

268 Hinduren 232 Hindus, 23 217 265 280 H ndustan See India as constituted before August 15 1917 Hirota Koki 185 Hitler Adolf 199 200 209 223 226

236
Hoare Sr Samuel 138
Holme, Judge R E., 64
House of the People (Republic of

House of the People India) 299 Horya Tausein, 9

Hungary 303 Huns 50

Hussan Ghulam 64 Hussan Shamsuddin 71 Hutchinson Lester B., 88 103 105

109 Hyderabad Princely State of 152 158 218 226 256 257 287 289 292 295

litikharrudan Mian 149

Illahi Fazal 95 Imperialist War " 148 203 204 206 203 213 234

Inayabullah Sırdar 178 179
"Independence Day" Gelebrations
207 252

Independent Labour Party British 107 115

Independent Labourites 115 138 India as constituted before August 15 1947 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 33 35 35 37 38 49 62 66 68 71 73 74 75 89 100 106 115 122 123 143 148 164 165 166 168 169 170 171 172 174 176 179 180 182 183 184 185 186 187 189 198 239 240 241 242 245 244 280 281 283 British concern for the safety of in the face of the Soviet threat, in the years 1924 1929 187 188 1929-1934 191 197 1939 1941 238 1941 1945 208-234 1945 1947 282 British Com munist propagandists in 69 75-88

Communist activities and propa-

ganda in the years 1922 1976 55 75

1926-1929 73 88 98-103 1929-1936

125-140 1936-1939 141 162 1939-

1941 199-208 1941 1945 208-234

1942 1947 2.1 269 Congress-Com munist quarrel in 1945 244-249 Congress of the Peoples of the East on 28-30 Congress Socialist movement started 122 125 Czarist Russian relations with 913 14 Friedrich Engels on 17 18 Fifth Comuntern Congress on First Com intern Congress on 16 first Com munist centers set up and their activities 55 57-63 first Commu nist propaganda sent i ito 50 55 Fourth Comptern Congress on 53-56 Karl Marx 16-17 national struggle for freedom in the years 1929-1934 116-117 reaction to ar rests and sentences of Communists leaders in 1924 64-65 in 1929 107 109 in 1933 114 repression of Communists by Anglo-Indian au thorsties in the years 1993 1924 61 63-64 66-67 1924-1929 101 103

1929 1936 137 140 1936 1939 160

162 1939-1941 200 203 1941 1945

as an adherent of the Comintern 30-67 89-93 as an independent"

Commun st 118 122 Second Com

1947 2,0-251 254 M N Roy and

Ind a Republe of since January 26 1990 288 301 302 303 Communuts activity 289-301 Communist "lime" 291293 299-309 gen eral elections 1992 29129 19,7 299 I agustic states in 299 vis ted by Khrushchev and Bulgan n 301 See also Indian Union August 15 1947—January 25 1950 "India," resolution of the C.P.G.B., October, 1941, 210

"India House," 34 "India in Transition" by M N Roy,

India League, 273

"India To-day," by R P Dutt, 281 Indian Armed Forces, 201. See also

Anglo-Indian Army Indian Army (since independence).

287 Indian Communist Party (anti-Com munist faction of 1925), 71, 75, 77

Indian Council of State, 241 Indian Federation of Labour (LFL),

224, 233, 255 Indian Government before August

15 1917 See Anglo-Indian Government Indian Government subsequent to

August 15, 1947, 287, 290, 502 Indian High Court of Judicature, 66,

113 115 118 Indus Independence resolution on by the Indian National Congress,

89, 97 98 Indian Legislative Assembly See Cen-

tral Legislative Amembly of India "Indian Mutiny," 11, 51, 194

Indian National Congress (Congress Party) 58, 59, 69, 70, 71, 72, 74, 75 76, 82, 106, 116, 117, 118, 120, 122, 128, 129, 130, 138, 149 150,

153, 165, 166, 168, 188, 212, 215. 221, 230, 231, 236, 242, 243 251, 252, 255, 261, 266, 267, 270 279 282, 284, 299, 300, 502, anti-Communist campaign results in expulsion of Communists from, 244-249; appeal by M N Roy to Ahmadabad Semion of, 50-51, to

Caya Session of, 55-57, attitude towards Bombay Sallors' Mutiny, 253-254, ban on aids Communists. 216 breach with AI K.S., 158 139. Communist minority in and the "Quit India" resolution, 215219, ECCI urges revolutionary of

fendre against, 195, electoral defeats in Travancore-Cochin State. February, 1954, 298, in Kerala State, early 1957, 299, electoral successes, 1937, 141, 1945-1947, 258-260, 1952, 292, February, 1955 in Andhra, 299. 1957 throughout India, ibid., favor able attitude towards Soviet Russia

in 1936-1939, 164 166, 1942 1945, 241 242, 1945-1947, 279-281, infil trated by Communuts, 1926-1929, 84-85, 1936-1939, 149-150, 153, 162, 1939-1945, 203, 220, 222, organizes the INT U.C., 206 294, and peasant leagues, 256, policies of Communists inside of 149-150; prominent members join the C.P.L. 200. provincial ministries of and Communists, 1936-1939, 161 162, 170-171, 1915-1947, 251, reaction of towards trial of Red leaders at Meerut, 106, 108-109, relations with Congress Socialist movement, 123-124, 269 292, with the Kashmir

unfavorable attitude towards Sovict Russia in 1942 1945, 241, 1945 Indian National Liberation movement, 24, 25, 26, 29, 49, 123, 123, 198, 208

1947, 246, 281

National Conference 257-258, sets

up committee under Bhulabhal

Desai to investigate the C.P.J., 221

Indian National Railway Workers' Federation, 295

Indian National Trade Union Congres, INTUC, 253, 291

Indian Ocean, 12 96 235, 236, 253, 294 295

Indian Parliament, after August 15, 1947, 292 293 299 Indian people, the, 144, 210 212, 272,

Indian People's Theatre Association 227, 296

Indus resolutionaries, originally non Communist but largely converted to Communism, 2023 2526, 4648 54, 119, 197 189

Indian revolutionary centers abroad, 30

"Indian Revolutionary Committee" See Berlin Committee" Indian Science Conference of Janu ary 1947 275 Indian Scamena Union 85

Indian self rule 81 See also Swaraj Indian Socialist Party 292 Indian Students Federation See All

Indian Students Federation See All India Students Federation Indian students in British Universities 74 109

Indian Union Dominion of August 15 1947 to January 26 1950 9

15 1597 to January 20 1550 5 15 244 277 278 282 283 284 285 287 288 Indians 14 16 35 34 52 54 55

101 278 Indians in South Africa 274 Indo-Afghan frontier 152 173 175 188

Indo China French 270 See also Vietnam Indo-Iranian (Pers an) fronter 183

187
Indonesia 270 289
Indonesia 270 289
Indone Princely State of 218

Indore Princely State of 218 Information Department of the Comintern 48

intern 48
Inquilab" (Lahore) 60 63
Institute of Economics and Pacific
Affairs (Moscow) 284

Institute of Oriental Languages (Moscow) 174 Intellectuals 55 66 88 110 123 129 217 265 294 296

Inter Asian Relations Conference March April 1947 in Delhi 275 Interim Government 251 255 265 271 272 275 2°6

"International Communist Opposition" 121 International Federation of Trade

Unions 86 131 154

International Press Correspond ence 127 133 146 192 196

International Stalin Prize for Person

ence" 127 133 146 192 196 International Stalin Prize for Peace 298 International World Federation of Democratic Youth 296 Internationale" the 111 Iqbal Sir Muhammed 88 Iran (Persia) 10 11 27, 35 36 37 41 43 50 168 182 184 187 189 238 259 281 302

238 259 281 302
Iranian Government 37 41 183
Iranian revolutionaries 34
Iranian Soviet frontier 34
Iranians 20 28 238
Irwin Edward Frederick Lindley
Ramon now Feed of Halifax 102

104 Islam (Mohammedanism) 39 44 Islam Quari Navrul 26 71 Italians 147 238 239 Italy 180 235 279

Italy 180 235 279
Iyengar Communist labor agitator
"0
Irakov Boris 270
"Irvestus" 163 181 190 196 278

Ja ns 217 Jagaran" (Calcutta) 81 Jalalabad 38 42 James Langford 105 109 110 111 113

113 Jamshedpur 131 242 Janssakhti (Madras) 162 Japan 12 22 129 147 185 197 219 235 Japanose the 147

Japanese invasion of Burma in 1942 241 Jeevanandam P 157 Jbaria 202 Jharia Conference of the AITUC... December 1928 86

Jinnah Mohammed Alt, 168 225 230 237 250 275 281 Jogičkar K N 70 72 84 86 114 Jordan David Starr 23 Josh N M 74 91 115 129 189 Josh Puran Chandra 216 247 248

Josha Puran Chandra 216 247 248 250 259 265 291 activity at Bombay Party Conference 214 arrested by Anglo-Indian author ities March 1929 103 spring 1934 157 calls on all Commu nusts except members of the

AIC.C. to resign from Congress 246-247 correspondence with Gan db: 220-221 245 edits "People's War" 214 ejected from post of General Secretary in March 1948 285 leader of the C.P.J 1935 1948 159 160 leads United Prov inces Workers and Peasants Party-81 84 postwar views on Congress and Muslim League 267 268 receives three years prison sentence in spring 1934 137 139 return to favor in C.P.L. 300 sets party line in 1942 as General Secretary 211 213 views on Muslim League and its concept of Pakistan in 1944 229 232 wartime economic program of 233 Joshi V N 122 129

"A Journey Beyond Three Seas" by Athanasius Nikitin 9 Joynson Hicks Sir William 101 188 Jugantar Society" 159

Justice Party 259 Kabul city of 19 22 31 38 59 42 43 172 173 174 175 176 179 179 180 181 258 Kabul mineteenth century princi pal ty of 11 Raiser the (Wilhelm II) 47, 198 Kal nin Milkhail L. 178 Nandahar 58 42, 126 129 178 179 Kandalkar A B., 122, 129 Karachi 281 Karachi Session of the Indian Na

tional Congress December 1951 Karakhan Leon M., 24 Aarnik, Royin" labor leader 206 Kashgar 26 36, 184 Kashmir Princely State of 117 193 259 257 258 297 Kashinir Dispute 297 Kashmir National Conference 257

268 Rautsky Karl 17 kaye Lt. Col Sir Ceril 61 67 Kambnetan 2'6

Kemal Mustafa (Atatürk) 25 45 Kemp M I 113 Kerala region 215 217 218 226 228 herala State in the Republic of India 295 300 301 Communist victory in election early in 1957 and formation of Ministry 295

Kerensky Alexander 14 khaddar 149 169 221 khan Abdul Ghaffer See Abdul Chaffer Khan khan Abdul Qadır 155

Khan General Akbar 286 khan Haidar 91 186 Kharagpur 85 khwa khanate of 11 38 44 Khorasan Province (Iran) 28 35 43 "khost Rebell on " 173 174 176 Abruley General 11 Abrushchev Nikita S., 301 Khyber Pass, 43

Kirghtz tribesmen 32 "Kirti" (Lahore) 84 Kisan Mardoor Praja Party (KMPP) 292 Risan sabhas (peasant unions) 124 144 157 158 20° 226 256 258

Ritchlew Saif ud-din 297 298 hokand Khanate of 11 Ropp early Soviet envoy to Germany Korean War 297 Roreans 52 "Kranti" (Bombay) 80 125 262 197 "Krasnava Gazeta" 22

Arasnovodsk 34 Kreml n the 57 41 69 72 89 91 99 100 117 120 125 128 140 147 170 175 178 181 184 237 28 Kringlani Acharya 255 Arishnamachari Tiruvallur T., 302 Auchik Khan 35 37 40

Kuusinen Otto 1. 95 Labor movement in India (prior to

kulkemi D P. 85

Kushk 38

August 15 1947 52 55 60 61

Labor movement in India (Subsequent to August 15 1947) 294

Labor movement in Iran 37 41
Labour Cabinet of Richard Clement
Attlee 1945 1951 243 264 265
Labour Cabinet of James Ramsay

Labour Cabinet of James Ramsay MacDonald January 1924—October 1924 63 64 1929 1931 180

191 193 195 Labour Monthly " 146 153 167 273 Labour Party British 197 115 195

Labour Party British 107 115 195 273 Labour Research Department, 74 78

Labour Swaraj Party of Bengal also known as the Labour Swaraj Party of the Indian National Congress

70 71 76 Lahore 55 60 76 77 103 114 152 250

Lahore Conference of the Congress
Socialist Party April 1938 167
Lahore Session of the Indian Na

t onal Congress December 1929 163 Lal ("Red") Party 296 Lal Chaman 52 74 Land question in India 28 Land reform plans in India 225 269

Landords Afghan 179 Landords Afghan 179 Landords and landordsim in India 30 56 75 85 99 127 136 146 156 157 158 208 257 263 265 266 285 288 291 299

"Langal" (Calcutta) 71 76 Lasks Harold Joseph 115 Lattis Daniyal 222 Latin America 24 Latva 280

League Against Imperialism 86 88 110 126 189 190 "League for the Liberation of the

East 14 League of Radical Congressmen 153 Len n Vladim r Hyntch (Nikotai) 18 20 21 24 25 26 27 31 47 63 75 88 119 143 158 269 Lenin Day 78 Leningrad 197 246 Leninism 51 240 Levit See Tivil Lhasa 185

Lhasa 185 Liaquat Alı Khan Nawbzada 222 250 286 Liberal Party British 65 180 193

195 Liberal Party Indian 216 219 233 Liu Shao-thi 288

Lohia Dr Ram 299 London 20 51 45 50 75 74 75 79 82 92 101 116 168 188 192 194 195 275

Lovestone Jay 23 121 Lozovsky General Secretary of the R.I.L.U., 94 Lucknow 61 103 160 222 241

Lucknow Agreement between the Communists and the Congress So cialists May 1936 151 152 Lucknow Sess on of the Indian Na

tional Congress April 1936 146 151 164 165 Luhani Ghulam Khan 47 48 Luxembourg 90 Ma Sheng Shi tsai 184 185 259

MacDonald James Ramsay 63 65 180 187 191 194 195 MacManus Arthur 69 Madras city of 55 58 61 65 155 156 162 186 199 222 253 254

293
Madras Conference of the A.I.T. U.G.,
January 1945 223
Madras Province (Presidency) 152

170 202 218 226 250 256 269 281 287 Madras Province Congress Ministry

1937 1939 170 171 1945 1947 251 Madras Sess on of the Indian Na tional Congress November 1927 80 84 89

Madras State (n the Republic of India) 289 23° 293 295 Madura (Madurai) 253 293

Madhya Pradesh See Central Priv

Magusteral Inquiry in the Meerut Conspiracy Case 105 109 112 Magustrates Court of Quarter Ses sions at Cawnpore 64 122 Maharajah of Rashmir 117 258 Maharajah of Travancore 257 Maharashtra region 55

Maharashtra region 55
Mahmoud C.P.I delegate to the
Sixth Comintern Congress 95
"Mahratta" (Poona) 114 158
Maharasa 88

Maimana 53 Majid Mohammed Abdul 81 84 86 103 Malabar coast region 54 162 202

Malabar coast region : Malaya 212 289 Malenki Simon 10

"Manifesto of the Communist Party" or "Communist Manifesto" 16 Mansoor Ferozdin 54 197 198 Man Tie tung 134 288 293

Marathi language 80 162 215 Marhov Soviet agent in Afghanistan 174 175 Mary Kard 16 17 23 88

774 775 Marx Kart 16 17 23 88 Marxism 24 34 52 123 166 240 242 Marxist League of Bombay 162

Wasard Minochecher (Minoo) 151 152 281 "Misses of India" 92 "Maulana X" 44 Maswell Sr Reginald 200 May Day demonstrations, 77 78 87 Mazard I-Sharif 38 175 Meetut 84 105 108 109 111 112

119 150 155 159
Meerut Conference of the Congress
Socialist Party January 1936 151
Meerut Conspiracy Case (Trial) 105
116 122 126 130 157 159 144

Merrit Conspirer Case (1711) 105 116 122 126 130 137 139 144 149 154 182, 190 195 Merrit Conspirer Case Central De fence Committee 108 Merrit Prison 125

Mchta Ashoka 125 Menon, V K. Krishna 275 274 276 Stenhed 36 186 Nesopotamis (Irsq) 15 22 Mexican Socialist Party 25 Mexico 25 24

Middle Classes, See "Bourgeoisie Middle Middle East 14 27 44 45 Mikhailov Mikhail 270

Mill Mazdur Union of Ahmadabad 162 Mirajkar Shantaram S., 103 200 300 Mirta R R. 103 115 Mitter J P., 109

"Modern India" by R. P Dutt 75 91 208 Mogul Empire 9 10 Mohammed Nadir Shah King of

Molotov Vyacheslav M., 44 192 234 235 236 273 274 276 Mongols 185 Vophlahs 54 Moscow used figuratively See Soviet Russia

Russia Moscow (place) 10 15 16 19 20 21 22 23 29 33 37 44 45 46 47 48 49 50 51 55 25 35 45 55 60 63 68 76 81 82 94 95 10) 107 109 111 127 142 159 163 174 175 181 163 186 188 192 195 194 199 197 20 271 273 278 284 279 270 273 277 278 "Moscow Comparacy Case 53

Mountbatten of Burma Louis Earl 214 Mountbatten Plan 211 265 278 Mountell Soviet spy in India 176 Mujahira 32, 33 31 39 50 52 63

8i Mukerji U N., 8i Mukherjee Bankim 187 225 Mukherjee Bawanath 2:0 Munich Crisis and Agreement (Pact) 166 16

Muslow 9 10 Muslim League 126 229 230 231 233, 243 244 257 259 261 266 26" 268 270 271 276 286 at titude towards Bombay Sallor's Mutiny 233 (avoyable attitude towards Soviet Russia 279 280 in filtration by Communists 222 225 opposition to leftist tendencies of Nehru in thirties 168 showed no sympathy for Communists in twen

420

ties 161 unfavorable attitude towards Soviet Russia 1945 1947 281

Muslims (in the sub-continent before August 15 1947) 25 33 45 174 217 261 265 268 271 275

Muchins of Central Asia 44 Muslems of Pakistan 278 286 Mysore Princely State of 256

Namur 59 199 202 258 Nagpur Conference of the A.I.K.S. February 1942 225

Namur Conference of the A.I.T. H.C. November-December 1929 131 April 1938 131 154, May

1943 223 Nagpur Session of the Indian Na

tional Congress December 1920

Nagy Imre 303 Naidu Aroi ni 221

Nalgonda 257 Namboodripad E M S 157 200 225 293 299 301

Nanking 185 Napoleon 11

Naravan Jay Prakash 95 125 125 150 155

Narkomindel See Soviet Commissariat of Foreign Affairs Nas k Taul 123

National Awami Party (Pakistan) 286 "National Bloc" of Iran 37 41 National Demands of the Indian

National Congress 145 203 National Federation of Trade Unions

(NFTU) 154 155 201 National front (idea) See united front

"National Front" (Bombay) 169 199 "National Herald" (Lucknow) 281 National Government (Cabinet) of Ramsay MacDonald 1931 1932 193 National Joint Councils of the Trades

Union Congress and the Labour Party 115 National Legislative Assembly See Central Legislative Assembly of

India "National Liberals British 195

National Minorities movement 92

National Peace Conference at New

Delhi March 1951 297 National Planning Committee of the Indian National Constess 166

National Preparatory Conference" of women's groups 297 Nationalism and the Mass Struggle

in India" article in "Labour Monthly" by Jawaharlal Nehru

Nationalists Indian 66 102 111 114 116 117 159 188 211 225 241

Nationalities Indian Communist views on 207 250 252 261 291 Nationalization of industry 99 127

136 163 164 166 208 262 269 291 300 Native States See Princely States

Nazi Germany See Germany Nazi Soviet Pact of August 24 1939

148 234 240 Natus 198 225 255 242 Sec also

Germans Near East See Middle East

Negroes in Africa and America 220 Nehro Jawaharlal 109 218 250 253

272 285 activity on behalf of socialist principles at the Karachi Session of National Congress 163 164 attends Congress of Oppressed Nationalities at Brussels 88 becomes virtual head of the Ind an Government in the Interim Coverament under Viceroy's over all supervision 244 censured by spokesmen of landlords and princes, 158 elected president of the AITUC. 86 expelled from the League Against Imperialism 127

member of Congress sub commutee

investigating indicipline of Com-

munists towards Constess 246 248 member of Meerut Conspiracy Case Central Defence Committee 108 object of Communist praise 1936-1939 146 1912 1945 229 protests against allegedly "barbarous" treatment of M N Roy 121 122 target for Community abuse 1999 1935 129 130 133 134 156 138 views on Communism 164 240 Indian Communists, 166-167 242 244 245 247 302 Socialism (Marx ism) 165 167 240 242 302 Soviet Russia 89 163 166 240 242 272 275 276 281 visits Soviet Russia November 1927 88 109 188 June 1955 301 writes dispassionate re view of Indian National Move ment in "Labour Monthly" 146 Nehru Motilal 65 88 103 108 145 170 188

Neutralism of the Nehru Govern ment 2"2 282 302 New Delhi 12 101 274 295 297 "New Spark" (Bombay) 103

"New Times " 269 271 277 296 New York City 23 274 Nikitin Athanasius 9 Vimbkar R 5., 82 87 103 112 114 Nuram of Hyderabad 256 257 287 Non Brahmin Party 259 Non-cooperation movement of 1922

50 5. Non violence concept of 73 147 20" 222 245

Noon Sr Fireshd n Khan 280 North America 92 North West Frontier Province 20

54 47 62 117 118 174 179 188 222 268 291 North West Indian Rallway 71 Northwestern frontier region of In dia 41 42, 47 117 175 195

Soukor A A. 278 "Sorii Loutok" (Moscow) 91

Obeidullah Maulana 43 Obregon, Alvaro, 23 "October Revolution" See Boliberil

Resolution in Russia of Navember 7 1917 119 173 OGP U., 119 175 178 179

"Open Letter" to the C.P I by the Central Committee of the Communist Party of China of Novem ber 24 1955 153 154 196

"Open Letter" to the CPI by the Central Committees of the Com munist Parties of China Great Britain and Germany of June 1

1939 128 129 133 "Open Letter" to the People of India by M N Roy (1923) 65 "Operation Asylum " 250 "Operation Barbarossa " 236 238 Orenburg (Chkalov) 19 32 Orista Province 215 Orloy Denisoy Prince 11

Oxford University 74 Oxus (Amu Darya) River 176 "Pabasakhti" (Andhra region) 162

Pahleys (place) 36 Pak stan (as a concept) 206 250 231 232 243 244 271 279 280 Pakistan (as a nation) 9 13 246

2"8 282 283 286 289 297 "Pakistan and Indian National Uni ty" booklet by Adbikari 230

Pakistan s 28 34 Palghat 299 Pamers 55 36 193 257

Pan Islamic Movement 25 29 49 Pan Pacific Labout Conference 70 Panthen Lama 186 Pandut Vijava Lakshmi 277 278 Pant Govind B. 246 248

Pappengut White Russian leader in Sinklang 181 Paris 20 31 89 90 273

Paris Peace Conference of 1986 273 Parker R. H. 241 Partees 217

"Farry Letter" of the C.P.1 of October 30 1931 209

Patel Vallabhbhal, 246 247 248 251 255 255

Patel Vithalbhal 102

"Fathanbtan " 268

422

Patna 125 Patna Decision 152 Patnabhi S taramayya Bhagaraju 241

246 Patwardhan Achuyt 123 Paul Czar of Russia 11 12 197 Peace movement, 290 296 297

Peace movement, 290 296 297
Peace Treaties with Axis Satellites
2"3
Leasant unions See kisan sabhas

2"5 Leasant unions See kisan sabhas Peasantry 25 26 68 75 77 87 91 93 97 104 126 127 129 150 132 134 143 187 158 189 217 233

134 143 157 158 189 217 233 234 250 252 256 259 263 288 291 29° 295 Peasants "middle" 285 288 289 300

Peasants mindale 283 288 289 300
Peasants movement 102 133 156
159 160 171 225-227 256-257 294
290 296
Peasants Tarch 7 286 288

295 296 Peasants "rich" 285 288 Peiping (Feking) 31 288 289 298 501

501
Penjdeh 41
"People's Age" (Bombay) 250 261
265-269
"People's (Citizens') Army 207 212

People's (Citizens') Army 207 212
People's democracies, 283 291
People's front. See united front
"People's War" (idea) 211 212 213
220 221 227 228 235

"People's War" (period cal of Bom bay) 214 217 228 229 Peria and Persians See Iran and Iranians

Pernan Gulf 236
Peshawar 117 130 188 191
Peter the Great Czar of Russia 10
Pethwick Lawrence Frederick Will

Pethwick Lawrence Frederick William Baron 243 257
Phili ppines the 289
Plekhanov Georgi V., 25
Pogodin Soviet envoy in Sinkiang
184

184
Poland 234 237 249 284
Polithuro (C.P.I.) 200-201 203 204
209 215 289
Pol tburo (C.P.S.U.) 31 1-9

197 252
Political Thesis of the Communist
Party of India" of the Calcutta
Congress February-March 1948
285
Pollitt Harry 208 appeals to Prime
Minister Churchull to free India

"Political Thesis of the Communist Party of India" of 1934 135 137

so that latter might fight on United Nations side 219 attends and tries to dominate CPJ2 Madura Party Congress 923 94 is present at the Seventh Congress of the Comment 151 sends (etc.) and 162 per commonst rada 251 Poendacherry French India 58 60 64 90 155 Poenda 103 114 Poepular bont See Un ted front

Poona, 103 114
Popular front See Un ted front
Popular movement in Czarist Russia
25
Prabahatam (Malabar region) 163
Prague 296
Praga Socialist Party (formed in 1922

by a merger of the Socialist Party formerly the Congress Socialist Party and the Assin Mardoor Prays Party 298 299 Prakassim Premier of Madras Province 251 Prasad Sheo 113

Pratep Mahendra 20 21 22 25 40 45 46 92 Prated **9 186 189 195 194 2*0 271 Pres dum of the Communist Inter national 50

national 5.

Press Acts 146

Press British 57 116 19° 23° Brit

\$\$\text{bh}\$ Communist 150 167 191 Com

intern 92 118 150 132 134 146

148 161 191 195 196 197 Euro

pean 180 Indian 57 116 167

240 251 231 Ind an Communist

139 162 214 269 259 Italium,

234 Soniet 94 178 161 182 169

190 191 192 193 194 196 254

257 269 273 275

Primakov Soviet military attaché in Afghanistan 180 Princely States 17 83 102 127 148 204 218 230 235 244 256 261 Princes 127 136 148 168 204 261

263 264 265 285 288 289 291 "A Programme for the Indian Na t onal Congress" leaflet by M N Roy 56

*Progressive Writers and Artists As sociation " 227 "Progressive Writers Association"

296 Proletariat See Working Class Indian

Propaganda Communist 22 55 57 191 269 294

Provisional Central Committee of the C.P.I 133 134

"Provisional Government of India" at Berlin 20 21 22 46 54 "Provisional Government of the Peo-

ple of India" at Kabul 22 25 40 45 48

Provisional Covernment of Russia Public Safety Bill 102 104

Punjab the (Province) 20 54 55 76 103 119 122 149 158 192 218 222 225 226 230 261 266 See also East Punjab

Punjab Provincial Congress Com mittee 84 149 Punjab Proxincial League Commit

tee 222 Punjab Kirti Kisan Party 122 Punjab Musl m Students Federa

tion 225 Punjab Socialist Party 122, 190 144 l unjabi language 92

Punjabis (in Pakistan) 286 Punniah Rasava 290 293 297 299

Quelch Tom 48 "Quit India" Resolution of August 8 1942 218 219 224 228 229

245 268 Qirban Farl i Ilani 35

Rab, Abdur 22, 39 45 Radet Kart 29 29 47 Rad cal Democratic Party 206 216 219 235 268 269 Radical League Party of the Punjab

Radio Moscow 275 278 Railway system Indian 238

Railwaymen's Federation 155 Rajagopalacharia C. R 213 281

Rajputana 81 121 Ramamurti (Ramamurthy) P., 157 Ramgarh 203 Ramgarh Session of the Indian Na tional Congress March 1940 206

Ranadive B T., 125 150 151 155 904 23] activity in "anti im persalist" and "anti war" confer ence at Nagpur October 1939 in Bombay Party Congress arrested and unprisoned by Anglo-Indian authorities spring 1934 157 159 160 March 1910 200 becomes General Secretary of C.P.J., March 1948 295 286 and is ejected from this post spring, 1950 289 mani fests hostil ty towards the Chinese Commi nuts, 288 orders a policy of violence in 1948 286 organizes with Deshpande the April 1979 textile workers strike 131 views

on the Untouchables 232 Ranga N G., 225 226 Rao Rajeswar 289 290 291 295 Rao Shina 101

Rashtriya Swayamsewak Singh (R.5.5) 268 269

Raskalnikov Fedor 31 32, 37 42, 62 174 Ramool Abdullah 250

Rawalpindi 20 Rawalpindi Conspiracy Case 286 Rawalpunds, Treaty of 20

Raza, delegate to the Sixth Comin tern Congress 95 96 Red (Soviet) Air Force 50

Red (Soviet) Army 22, 27 52, 55 31 35 50 221 226 235

Red Eastern Labour Unions, 70 Red Flag, the 36 158 "Red Ibg" (song) 113

Red International of Labour Unions 52 70 80 94 "Red Shirts (Khuda : Khadmat

garam) 117 118 268 Red Trade Union Congress 132 139

Reich See Germany

121

Rent strikes 157

Reuters News Agency 57 "Review of Gandhism" booklet by Adbikan 205

"Revisionism 286

"The Revolutionary" (Cawnpore) 101

Revolutionary Mil tary Council (Soviet Russia) 32 Ribbentrop Joachim von 235 236

Riza Pahlevi Shah of Iran 37 41 182 184

Robson R W 90 Roosevelt Franklin D 209

Rolland Romain 114 Roy Evelyn Trent 23 47 90 119

Roy Manabendra Nath Bhattachar jii 28 29 30 37 38 49 61 62 64 65 79 95 99 100 131 161 143 186 197 216 219 224 ac tivities in Russian Turkestan 33 36 49 50 addresses Fifth Comin tern Congress 68 Fourth Commis tern Congress 55-56 Second Com intern Congress 26-27 agent of the

Berlin Committee" in World War I 23 arrives in India is arrested tned and sentenced to twelve years transportation while followers or ganize Royat Party (1932) 121 122 attends Third Comintern Con gress 146 correspondence with In dian Communists and non Com munists 57 60 63 eclipsed virtu ally by C.P.G.B. 89 expenences in the United States and Mexico 23 24 expelled from Comuntern joins the "International Communist Op position" 119 121 forced to leave

Berlin establishes Zürich as his

base of operations 62 foreign

bureau" of three set up to con

tiliate dispute between his Euro pean group centered in Berlin and the CP.GB 92 93 forms Western European Indian Com munist center 91 goes to Russia and has interview with Lenin 24 25 object of scorn and contempt on C.P.I: part 233 234 269 part ticipates in Red colonial confer ence at Amsterdam 90 proceeds to Berlin where establishes European center for dissemination of Communist propaganda to India 53 54 proceeds from Switzerland to France and is evicted from the latter country 89 90 proceeds to Russian Turkestan with a military expedition 32 33 proposed scheme for an invasion of India approved by Soviet leaders and plans for it drawn up 30 52 proposes a Com munist invasion of India 30-31 reasons for abandonment of in vasion of India scheme 39-45 re lations with Indians of "Berlin Committee during Third Com intern Congress 46-48 released from prison 153 selected as one of four directors of Commetern's Far Eastern Bureau 90 sends first Communist propaganda from Rus sia into India sends Nalini Gupta to India to disseminate propa ganda and establish Communist centers results 55 sets up mild Red program for India 56 57 a supervisor of the Communist University of the Toilers of the East 52 53 work of in China 91 92 Roy Sir P C. 240

Royal Commission on Indian La bour 130

Royal Air Force 38 Royists " 122 124 125 129 150

152 136 138 140 144 153 155 201 206 211 268 Ruskar R. S., 122

Rumania 282 Russia as constituted before Novem

ber 7 1917 See Czarist Russia

as constituted after that date See Sepoys 26 Soviet Russia Seventh Congress of the Communist Russia European 10 45 International at Moscow July-Russian Central Asia, See Turkestan August 1935 141 143 151 159 Russian Seyyıd Zıa 37 41 Russ an Civil War 19 32 2 Shah Mahmoud Khan Sardar 181 Russian Revolution of 1905 135 of 182 1917 See Bolshevik Revolution of Shah Mohammed Ghulam Wali November 7 1917 Khan General, 19 37 42, 182 Russians See Soviets Shahs 36 Russo-German Pact. See Nazi Soviet Shanki Melnit 190 Pact Sharma Balkhrishna 279 Russo-German War known in the Sharma Pandt P L. 113 U.S.S.R. as the 'Great Patriotic Sharma R. C. L. 58 60 64 90 Sheik ul Islam a Pathan tribal lead War " See Second World War Russo-Japanese War 1904 1905 12 Russo-Turkish War 1877 1878 12 Sheikh ul Islam Mushm cleric 26 Shepdov Dimitri, 301 Sacco and Vanzetti 78 "Shepilov letter" 301 Sadiq Mohammed 39 53 55 60 Shinwaria 178 179 Salarov Georgi 27 Sholapur 86 119 130 136 178 Sahajan Swami 225-226 179 191 St. Genie M de 11 Shumiatsky Boris 62 Saklatvala Shapurji 75 79 80 83 5 beria 10 168 187 220 Sikhs 66 92 161 185 217 261 San Francisco 20 23 47 S mla Conference of June-July 1945 237 264 Sane P 5, 222 Simon, 5 r John, 193 Sarkar Hemant, 71 Satyagraha 157 Simon Commission 83 84 87 147

S nd (the) 222

5 ndhts 250 286

Singh Sheo 161

170 183 199

Smedler Agnes, 47

65 64 ~2 73 77

Singh Dharamvir 112 Singh Rattan 55 86

5 mbz D P., 111 112 5 mkiang 21 26, 27 33 36 40 55

Scheduled Cause Federation 232 Schulenberg Count Frederic Werner von der 235 Souland 1 ard 101 Scond Coogress of the Communist Internat onal ast Leningrad July-August 1970 25 27 Second Party Congress of the C.P.L. at Calcutts February March 1948 285 286

Second Round Table Conference at London September-December 1931 116 125 Second World Conference of the League Against Imperial sm at Expeditory and Main July 1929 189-

League Against Imperial sm at Frankfurt am Main July 1929 189-190 Second Workl War 155 199 225 238 237 Sepani Communist agent and lieu tenant of M % Roy 91 92

Social Revolutionary movement in Czerist Ruma 25 Socialism 165 165 See also Marxism "The Socialist" (Bombay) 60 63 Social at Party a group which se

173 184 185 186 239 302

5 ath Congress of the Communist International at Moscow July-

August 1979 95 98 103 107 119

Singaravelu Chettiar dissident In dian Communist 55 57 58, 61 ceded from the Praja Socialist Party in 1955 299 Socialist Republic Party 269 Socialists, Indian after March 1947 292 298 Sokolnikov General 22 27 36

426

Sokolnikov General 22 27 36 South India Railway 131 South Korea 289 Southern Assa 277 Soviet Afghan frontier 34

Soviet Afghan Treaties of February 28 1921 37 42 173 August 31 1976 177 June 13 1946 231 282 Soviet agents 58 43 62 119 174 175

Soviet Army See Red Army Soviet attack on Finland 203 Soviet authorities in Central Asia 58 Soviet "Blue Book" on India 1416

183 184 186

Soviet British relations. See Anglo-Soviet relations

Soviet Bureau of the Communist International 150 Soviet Central Asia See Turkestan

Russian Soviet Commissariat of Foreign Af

Soviet Commissariat of Foreign Affairs, 50 179 Soviet des gos on India 44 58 69

93 104 140 302 Molotova formal den al of before the Supreme Sovict 234 235 Soviet embassy in Afghanistan 174

Soviet "experts" on India 284 Soviet Covernment 27 39 45 45 46 62 84 94 166 179 180 188 189 193 196 234 236 272

Soviet Iranian frontier 34 Soviet Iranian Treaties of February 25 1921 37 40 October 1 1927 182 183

182 183 Soviet military academy in Tashkent

34 35 49 50 Soviet people 200 210 220

Soviet people 209 210 220 Soviet propaganda school in Tash

kent, 33 34 39 45 50

"Soviet Republic of India" 97 101

127 136 Soviet Republics in As a 275 276 Soviet Russia 13 15 21 22 24 31 33 40 44 49 50 52, 53 54 55

1945 236 238 1945 1947 2"0-272

2"8 279 called "Fatherland" by

63 72 73 76 82 83 85 87 88

89 92 93 94 95 99 103 104

106 107 110 118 120 121 125

Ind an Commun sts 209 change in foreign pol cv 1935 141 1939 199 establishes diplomatic rela tions with Interim Covernment 277 278 Pakistan 278 286 and former Berl n Committee" revolu tionaries 46-48 197 198 hostile feel ing in India towards 1939-1945 241 1945 1947 246 281 hostale feeling in the Republic of India towards 30° internal difficulties prevent aggressive move on India 45 and Iran 36-37 40-41 62 182 184 258 302 Nam attack on 208 relations with Germany with re spect to India in 1940 235 236 with Interim Covernment of In d a 272 278 sends A A Novikov

as first ambassador to the Ind an

Union 278 a des with India in

the U N General Assembly on

question of Indians treatment to

South Africa 274 and Sinklang

46 50 184 185 259 302 and

Tibet 185 186 239 502 trade

the Indian Union and the Re public of India, 298 Souet Un on See Soviet (Russian) 18 20 21 22 23 55 38 40 44 47 62 65 67 68 73 93 172 188 191 195 195 197 207 256 229 222 283 501 502 59zia "Rebel" 167 Spanish Civil War 166 "The Spark" (Blombar) 80

Spratt Phil p '8 '79 '80 82 84 88, 105 105 114 Stal n Joseph V 20 61 63 88 91 33 94 96 119 180 190 19° 193 197 224 235 235 Sanford Leland Jr University Stark Leonid 175 16 178 State People: Association 258 Str te in Ind a before August 15 Str te in Ind a before August 15

1947 65 68 *0 71 86-87 108 129
1947 65 68 *0 71 86-87 108 129
194 195 199 140 190 195 195, 201
202 202 224 225 250 232 255 256
1 nec August 15 1947 287 295
The Student 2206
Student Call ** 2072

Student Call 202
Students Congress, 258
Students movement (the) and the
C.P.L., 153 217 227 238 259 294

Sulaiman Chief Justice S r Shah Vinhammed 135 Sultan Asoled 185 Sundarayya P., 157 160 295

Sundara) ja P., 157 160 295 Si preme Smlet 234 235 S iritz Soviet envoy 10 Afghanistan 22 31 Sterdlov University (Moscow) 63 Swarzj (self rule) 79 169 Swarzjust Party 75 80 108 124 147 See also Indian National Congress

Swaraj sts 106 Swaranatar Teja Singh 186 Switzerland 64

Tady kistan 193 276
Tagore Sir Rabindranth 25 88
163 293 240
Tagore Soumyendranath 80
Taj ki (Tadj ki) 177 179
Tamerlane 50 44 1/2
Tam land region 162 215 226
Tartars 30

Tartars 30
Tashkent 19 35 34 55 38 59 40
41 44 45 48 50 55 81 173 185
191
Tata firm of 86 131 266
Tanney R H 115
Tehrital Senat ton in India 174

Tanney R H 115
Tchittals Soute top in India 174
Tchittals Soute top in India 174
Tchitaga movement 2:6
Tchran (Tcheran) 57 40
Tchra-Cahrwal Princely Siate of 2:8
Telegua language topken by Andhras
16, 237 287
Tclengan 189 226 227 287 289
291 295
Terrorists 54 55 67 77 117 218
Thalheumer August 48 120 191
Thengdi D R 71 86

Therison to R 71 es Therison Therison to Recolutionary Movement in the Colonies and Service Colonies and Service of the Commerce Association of the Colonies and Service of the Commerce of the Workert and Penants Party of Ebalpara March 1998 et 84

Third Congress of the Communist International 4649 35 for

The d Paris Congress of the CPJ at Madura December 1955—Jan uary 1954 293 294 500 Tibet 13 185 186 189 235 239 Tibetan Assam (Indian) frontier 91 Tilah B. C. (Lokamanya) 18 55 Tills 111

"The Times" (London) 57 115

428

Tivil associate of M N Roy 50 Tolstoy Count Leo Nikolaievich 25 Tories See Conservatives "Trades Disputes" Act 104

Travancore Princely State of 158 218 256 257

Travancore Cochin State of in the Republic of India 292 295 298 302

Tribal peoples in India 258 Tribes of the Indian Northwestern

Frontier 42 174 238 The Tubune (Labore) 114

Trichinopoly 253 Tripartite Pact 235 236 Tripuri Session of the Indian Na

tional Congress March 1939 145 150 167

Trotaky Leon 21 Trotskyites 197 Troyanovsky K. M 1416

Tsars See Czars Turkestan Bureau or Turk Bureau " See Central Assauc Bureau of the

Comintern Turkestan Chinese (Eastern) See S nkiane

Turkestan Commission of the Soviet Government 27 44 Turkestan Russian (Soviet) 12 17,

18 22 25 27 35 36 38 50 66 95 107 177 179 191 280 Turkey 25 32 53 45 119 168 236 281

Turks 28 Tver (now Kalinin) 9 Twelfth Congress of the Russian Communist Party 61

Ukraman Soviet Republic (the Ukraine) 277 Union of South Africa 274 United front Communist tactic of 55 56 81 83 97 136 141 144 266

United front from above 144 206 267 284 500 United front from below" 134 United Nations (alliance in World

War ID 212 218 225 229 239 United Nations Charter 277 United Nations General Assembly, 274 277

United Nations Security Council, 277 2"8 281

United Provinces 20 55 59 61 87, 103 105 144 158 171 226

United Provinces Congress Ministry 1937 1939 171 United Provinces Workers and Peas

ants Party See Workers and Peas ants Party of the United Provinces United States of America 24 47 125. 129 240 241 272 297, 302

United Trade Union Congress (UTUC.) 294

Untouchables 217 232 294 295 Upadhaya agent of M N Roy 91

Urdu language 215 Urumchi 185

U.S.S.R. See Soviet Russia. U.S.S.R. Society for Cultural Rela tions with Foreign Countries 80 Usman: Shaukat 35 53 55 59 61,

64 90 103 114 115 Uttar Pradesh See United Provinces Uzbekistan 2°6

Uzbeks 177 179 Vanguard of Indian Independence " 53 54 58

Varils, 256 "Velvet curtain 270-271 Vicerov s Council 257 244 264

Vienna 38 298 Vietnam 289 See also French Indo China Vikila 64 Vithalbhai a Congress party mem

ber 59 Viragapatam 253 Volga River 11 32 Volgin V P 275

Voroshilov Klimenty 192 Vyshinsky (Vishinski) Andrei 274

Wang Ming 141 142 "War and the Working Class 237 War Front Committees 228

"War of Indian Independence" See "Indian Mutiny" Warrangal, 257 Wavell, Field Marshal Archibald P.,

Viscount, 237, 244, 260, 270 Waziris, 62

Weimar Republic. See Germany Weiss, Skijali, 177, 178

Welch British Communist leader, 27

Wells, H G, 114 West, the See Western Demorcracies West Bengal Province, later State

since August 15, 1947, 287, 300 Western Democracies, 279, 282

Western Europe, 15, 69 Westminster, 115 "What do We Want," pamphlet by

M N Roy, 56 White, Milner 105

White (anti Bolshevik) forces 18, 32, 45 185

Whitley Commission See Royal Com mission on Indian Labour

Willingdon, Freeman Freeman Thom as Earl of, 139

"Wobbly John " 52, 35 Women's movement and the CPI. 217, 227, 259, 263, 290, 294, 297

Workers, Indian See Working Class, Indian Workers' and Peasants' Party of Bengal, 71, 78 80 82, 83, 84, 92,

102 Workers' and Peasants' Party of

Bombay, 78 80, 82 88 "Norkers and Peasants' Party of

India" as proposed in 1923, 60-61, Workers and Peasants' Party of the

Punjab 76 77 81 Morkers' and Peasants' Party of Rajputana 61

Morkers' and Peasants' Party of the United Provinces, 81, 84

Workers Welfare League of India

Norkers Youth League of Bombay 159

Working Class, Indian, 25, 26 29 60, 66, 68, 77, 78, 85, 87, 91, 93, 97, 99 104 107, 121, 126, 127, 129, 130, 134 136, 143, 146, 156, 189, 201, 217, 223, 224, 233, 251, 254, 259, reference to in "Draft Platform of Action" of C.P.I of December, 1930, 127, "Draft Programme of C.P.I. of April, 1931, 291, "Politi cal Thesis" of C.P.I of 1934, 135 156, post war political manifestoes of C.P.J., 262

Workmen's Compensation Acts of 1946 and 1947 265

World Economics and World Poli tics," organ of the Soviet Academy of Sciences, 237 World Federation of Scientific Work

ers 296 World Federation of Trade Unions,

288

Yakub Sır Mohammed 161 Yen Tsen hsian, 184 Yorke, Judge R L., 112 113 115 Young, Justice John D., 115 "Young Comrades League," 81 Young Communist League of India

153 Young Workers' League, 137, 162 Youth movement and the C.P.J., 88 110, 155 158 159, 263

Yucatan 23 Sugoslavia, 272

Zaheer Sajjad, 157, 200 225, 286

Zahiara, Indian revolutionary 48 Zahidan, 238 Zamindars (Zemindars) See land lords and landlordsom in India Zhdanov Andrei, 284 285

Thukov, E M., 294 501 Zinoviev, Grigori E., 27 28 29 56

68 187

"Zinovier letter," 187 Zukhov, ande of Foreign Minister

Shepilov 301 Zürich 62, 65